



F.No.89-64/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Maa Bhagwati Shiksha Evam Jankalyan Samiti, Sharda Vihar, Sheopur, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh dated 31.01.2017 is against the Order No. WRC/APP3336/222/263<sup>rd</sup>/{M.P.}/2016/ 176313-319 dated 30.11.2016 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the ground that a clarification letter was issued to the institution (on 26.07.2016) enquiring if they are running two colleges in the same premises. The institution vide letter dt. 09/08/2016 has stated that both the colleges are running in different campuses. This makes the Ganesh Education College a standalone institution which is not permissible under Clause 2(b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Hence, Recognition is refused."

**AND WHEREAS** No one from Maa Bhagwati Shiksha Evam Jankalyan Samiti, Sharda Vihar, Sheopur, Gwalior, Madhya appeared before Appeal Committee on behalf of the appellant institution on 02/05/2017.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution to make a personal presentation before Appeal Committee.

**AND WHEREAS** Maa Bhagwati Shiksha Evam Jankalyan Samiti, Sharda Vihar, Sheopur, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.06.2017 i.e the second opportunity granted to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Maa Bhagwati Shiksha Evam Jankalyan Samiti, Sharda Vihar, Sheopur, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh was asked to present the case of appellant institution on 25.10.2017, i.e. the third and final opportunity granted to them but

nobody from the institution appeared. In these circumstances, the Committee decided to consider the appeal on the basis of records.

**AND WHEREAS** the appellant, in the appeal submitted that in response to the show cause notice dt. 15.02.2016, they had inter alia forwarded, with their letter dt. 15.03.2016, a letter issued by Jiwaji University, granting temporary affiliation for conducting degree courses. This was to prove that their institution was a composite one. The appellant also submitted that after conducting an inspection of the institution on 21.04.2016, issuing a show cause on 05.05.2016 and considering the appellant's reply thereto, the WRC issued a show cause notice on 26.07.2016, seeking clarification whether the appellant has two colleges or one college and whether they are running in the same premises. This clarification was sought as the appellant submitted a NOC from Jiwaji University dt. 17.09.2015 in favour of Ganesh Degree College to run B.A., B.Sc. courses, whereas in the application for grant of recognition, the name of the college was mentioned as 'Ganesh Shiksha Mahavidyalaya'. The appellant submitted that they sent a reply to the show cause notice dt. 26.07.2016 on 09.08.2016 stating that due to mistake of staff, the NOC issued for Degree college was wrongly attached with the application. The appellant, in their letter dt. 09.08.2016 stating that Ganesh Degree College and Ganesh Shiksha Mahavidyalaya are different institutions, also enclosed a copy of the NOC issued in favour of Ganesh Shiksha Mahavidyalaya. The WRC, without considering the clarification furnished has refused recognition. The appellant, therefore, requested that their claim of being a composite institution be accepted and WRC directed to grant recognition.


**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted from the file that the order of the Jiwaji University dt. 17.09.2015 granting temporary affiliation to Ganesh Degree College for conducting degree course was forwarded by the appellant on 15.0.2016 in support of composite status of the institution. The appellant, in their reply dt. 09.08.2016 to the clarification letter dt. 26.07.2016, explaining that due to a mistake the order issued by the University in favour of Ganesh Degree College was sent, forwarded a copy of the affiliation order dt. 17.05.2015 issued by Jiwaji University granting temporary affiliation to Ganesh Shiksha Mahavidyalay for conducting degree course. In this reply the appellant also mentioned that the two different

Colleges have their own buildings. It appears that the WRC has not considered this clarification, while deciding to refuse recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noting that the appellant applied for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed. course in Ganesh Education College i.e. Ganesh Shiksha Mahavidyalaya and also produced the affiliation order issued by the University for conduct of Degree course by Ganesh Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, to prove their composite status concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to WRC with a direction to consider the reply of the appellant dt. 09.08.2016 and take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit and documents available on records, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to WRC with a direction to consider the reply of the appellant dt. 09.08.2016 and take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Maa Bhagwati Shiksha Evam Jankalyan Samiti, Sharda Vihar, Sheopur, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Maa Bhagwati Siksha Evam Jan Kalyan Samiti, 97, Sharda Vihar, Gwalior, Sheopur, Madhya Pradesh – 474001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-72/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shri Ram Saran Mahavidyalaya, Rai Aliganj, Etah, Uttar Pradesh dated 30.01.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-12908/260<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2016/162588 dated 01/12/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "Rejected due to standalone course (absence of certificate of composite unit)."

**AND WHEREAS** No one from Shri Ram Saran Mahavidyalaya, Aliganj, Etah, Uttar Pradesh appeared on behalf of the appellant institution on 02/05/2017. In the appeal Memoranda it was submitted that "I am submitting the composite unit certificate."

**AND WHEREAS** Appellant neither appeared before Appeal Committee for making personal presentation of the case nor submitted evidence of being a Composite Institution. Appeal Committee decided to grant another(Second) opportunity to the appellant for making an oral presentation of the appellant's case.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Ram Saran Mahavidyalaya, Aliganj, Etah, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.06.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Shri Ram Saran Mahavidyalaya, Aliganj, Etah, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 25.10.2017 i.e. the third and final opportunity granted to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. In


these circumstances, the Committee decided to consider the appeal on the basis of the records.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant was issued a show cause notice by the NRC on 26.07.2016 pointing that the institution has not submitted any proof/evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant submitted a reply dt. 20.10.2016 stating that they applied to Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Agra on 16.06.2015 for a No Objection Certificate for conducting B.A. and B.Sc. courses. The appellant enclosed copies of their two letters dt. 16.06.2015 (for B.A. and B.Sc. courses) along with two receipts for payment of Rs. 20,000/- each towards processing. The appellant, in their letter, submitted that their institution was inspected by the Distt. Collector, Etah. The report of inspection has been forwarded to the University and the Working Committee of the University has agreed to issue the NOC to their institution but due to some reasons, some delay is taking place in issuing the certificate. The appellant also submitted that they will forward the certificate very soon after it is issued. The NRC after considering this reply decided to refuse recognition on account of absence of certificate composite unit.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant in their appeal dt. 30.01.2017 stated that he is submitting the composite unit certificate. No such certificate has been submitted. The appellant only enclosed copies of their two letters dt. 16.06.2015 addressed to B.R. Ambedkar University and copies of two receipts for payment of processing fee, which were submitted to the NRC earlier on 20.10.2016. Since the appellant has not produced any evidence to prove that their institution has become a composite one, concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit and the documents available on records, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Shri Ram Saran Mahavidyalaya, 971, 972, 973, Ownership, Rai Hatsari, Aliganj, Etah, Uttar Pradesh – 207247.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-76/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Smt. Shakuntala Devi Durga Prasad Srivastav Mahavidyalaya, Phoolpur, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 28.01.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14164/260<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2016/162517 dated 30/11/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "No CLU is attached. Building plan is not signed by the Competent Authority."

**AND WHEREAS** No one from Smt. Shakuntala Devi Durga Prasad Srivastav Mahavidyalaya, Phoolpur, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh appeared on behalf of the appellant institution on 02/05/2017. In the appeal Memoranda, it is submitted that "the appellant applied to the Northern Regional Committee under section 14 and 15 of the National Council for Teacher Education Act 1993, on 27/06/2015 for granting recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration. The NRC processed the application and some deficiency was shown in the application itself. It appears that the NRC issued some letter pointing out the short coming in the application. The institution did not receive any such letter and could not acquaint the shortcomings which were in the normal nature. Since, the appellant had no knowledge about the shortcomings pointed out by the NRC, the reply could not be submitted or the deficiency could not be removed within the time stipulated. Under the above said sordid state of affairs, the NRC issued show cause notice without being satisfied that the letter was served upon the institution. The show cause notice was also not served. The appellant was under the impression that the deficiency would be pointed out and before rejection of the application time to remove the deficiency would be provided. The 260<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the NRC was held w.e.f. 19/10/2016 and the case of the institution was also considered therein and the NRC rejected the application without serving the show cause notice. The appellant received the letter dt. 30/11/2016 and it was revealed that the application has been rejected without assigning any deficiency. The appellant most respectfully submits that if there was deficiency or

mistake in the application it must have been pointed out and the institution is still ready to remove the deficiency and the visiting team should have been sent to ascertain that the institution fulfils the criteria for granting the recognition for running the above said course in conformity with the guidelines, rules and regulations framed by the NCTE. The NRC rejected the case, of the appellant vide order dt. 30/11/2016. It is writ large from the perusal of the above said order that the NRC passed non-speaking order without addressing that the institution fulfils the criteria for granting recognition to the institution. The application for granting the recognition has been rejected without providing opportunity of hearing vide order dt. 30/11/2016 which is against the principles of natural justice. The institution has constructed a building worth more than Rs. One Crore and several lakhs have been defrayed in purchasing the instruments.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant failed to appear for hearing scheduled on 02.05.2017. Appeal Committee decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the appellant to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Smt. Shakuntala Devi Durga Prasad Srivastav Mahavidyalaya, Phoolpur, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.06.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Smt. Shakuntala Devi Durga Prasad Srivastav Mahavidyalaya, Phoolpur, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 25.10.2017 i.e. the third and final opportunity given to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. In these circumstances, the Committee decided to consider the appeal on the basis of the records.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted from the file of the NRC that a show cause notice dt. 19.09.2016 was issued to the appellant pointing out non-submission of (i) approved building plan signed by competent Govt. authority indicating various details; and (ii) Notarised copy of change of land use certificate issued by competent Govt. authority. The appellant sent a reply on 19.10.2016 enclosing a building plan



~3~

and a certificate signed by the Tahsildar. It is seen that the building plan has been signed by a Govt. Engineer, Azamgarh and contains the details required by the NRC such as khasra number plot area, built-up area, name of the institution, name of the course etc. The certificate regarding the land with Khasra number 151 bears the seal of Tahsildar. The NRC, however, decided to refuse recognition on the grounds that No CLU is attached and building plan is not signed by the competent authority.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the building plan submitted was signed by a competent authority and hence this ground is not valid. If the land use certificate submitted by the appellant was not in the prescribed format or not notarised, the appellant should have been given an opportunity to submit a properly acceptable document. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to give the appellant an opportunity to submit the CLU in an acceptable form and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit and documents available on records, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to give the appellant an opportunity to submit the CLU in an acceptable form and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**NOW THEREFORE,** the Council hereby remands back the case of Smt. Shakuntala Devi Durga Prasad Srivastav Mahavidyalaya, Phoolpur, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Smt. Shakuntala Devi Durga Prasad Srivastav Mahavidyalaya, 151, 160, Chaklatif PO – Mahul, Tehsil – Phoolpur, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh - 223225.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-278/E-1888/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Radhe Krishna Shikshan Prashikshan College, Persahi, Husainpur, Sadar, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 04.04.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-12724/260<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2016/164523 dated 04/01/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution was given show cause notice dt. 21/10/2015. The institution has not submitted the NOC issued by the affiliating body in response to the show cause notice."


**AND WHEREAS** Radhe Krishna Shikshan Prashikshan College, Persahi, Husainpur, Sadar, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 30/06/2017 but nobody appeared. In the appeal Memoranda it was submitted that "The delay in submission of NOC was due to University not issuing NOC while all the NOC documents were put up to University by appellant. The appellant now has all requisite documents required for recognition which may be considered, evaluated and appeal may be allowed in the interest of justice." The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Devendra Singh Yadav, Chairman, Radhe Krishna Shikshan Prashikshan College, Persahi, Husainpur, Sadar, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.10.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the course of presentation, the appellant submitted a copy of the letter dt. 24.10.2017 from the Registrar, Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur addressed to the Northern Regional Committee, NCTE, Jaipur. In this letter the University informed that they have no objection if the NCTE, Jaipur grants affiliation (recognition) to the institution for conducting B.Ed. course.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that according to the provisions of clause 5(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, a No Objection Certificate (NOC) issued by the concerned affiliating body shall be submitted along with the hard copy of the on-line application. The appellant, who submitted the hard copy of the online application dt. 30.05.2015 on 08.06.2015 could not submit the NOC in time as per the Regulations and the same was issued by the University only on 24.10.2017. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Radhe Krishna Shikshan Prashikshan College Persahi Husainpur, Sadar, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh – 233002.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-305/E-1079/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Awadhoot Bhagwan Ram Post Graduate College, Village – Auri, Anpara, Dudhi, Town-Anpara, District – Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh dated 30.04.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-9841/265<sup>th</sup> Meeting (Part1)/2017/169027 dated 14/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the grounds that (i) the institution has not submitted the readable building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class room etc; and (ii) the institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned.

**AND WHEREAS** Awadhoot Bhagwan Ram Post Graduate College, Village – Auri, Anpara, Dudhi, Town-Anpara, District – Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 01/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the lands of the institute are under period of survey settlement and due to non-availability of competent authority, they could not submit the approved building plan by that authority. The appellant enclosed to the appeal photocopies of same land documents purported to have been given by the Revenue Department of District Sonbhadra.


**AND WHEREAS** the appellant, during the course of presentation, submitted a letter dt. 01.07.2017. In this letter, the appellant, stating that certain documents are yet to be verified by the competent authority and the map has to be counter-signed by the PWC office/ engineer, requested that they may be granted further time of 10 days for hearing of their appeal. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Anil Kumar Shukla, Office Supdt., Awadhoot Bhagwan Ram PG College, Village – Auri, Anpara, Dudhi, Town-Anpara, District – Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.10.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant, after the hearing held on 1.7.2017, with their letter dt. 23.9.2017, had sent a copy of the college building map verified by the PWD Engineer and copies of the land documents verified by the Tehsildar. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider these documents to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward, the documents submitted in the appeal, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider these documents to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward, the documents submitted in the appeal, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Awadhoot Bhagwan Ram Post Graduate College, Village – Auri, Anpara, Dudhi, Town-Anpara, District – Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Awadhoot Bhagwan Ram PG College, Plot no. 933, Street no. 933, Village – Auri, Anpara, P.O. Anpara, Tehsil – Dudhi, Town-Anpara, District – Sonbhadra Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

74



F.No.89-304/E-1085/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Awadhoot Bhagwan Ram PG College, Village – Auri, Anpara, Dudhi, Town-Anpara, District – Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh dated 03.04.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-9844/265<sup>th</sup> Meeting (Part1)/2017/169020 dated 14/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that (i) the institution has not submitted the readable building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class room etc; and (ii) the institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Anil Kumar Shukla, Office Supdt., Awadhoot Bhagwan Ram PG College, Village – Auri, Anpara, Dudhi, Town-Anpara, District – Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 01/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the lands of the institute are under period of survey settlement and due to non-availability of competent authority, they could not submit the approved building plan by that authority. The appellant enclosed to the appeal photocopies of some land documents purported to have been given by the Revenue Department of District Sonbhadra.

**AND WHEREAS** the appellant, during the course of presentation, submitted a letter dt. 01.07.2017. In this letter, the appellant, stating that certain documents are yet to be verified by competent authority and the map has to be counter-signed by the PWD office/ engineer, requested that he may be granted further time of 10 days for hearing of their appeal. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Anil Kumar Shukla, Office Supdt., Awadhoot Bhagwan Ram PG College, Village – Auri, Anpara, Dudhi, Town-Anpara, District – Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.10.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant, after the hearing held on 1.7.2017, with their letter dt. 23.9.2017, had sent a copy of the college building map verified by the PWD Engineer and copies of the land documents verified by the Tehsildar. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider these documents to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward, the documents submitted in the appeal, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider these documents to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward, the documents submitted in the appeal, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Awadhoot Bhagwan Ram PG College, Village – Auri, Anpara, Dudhi, Town-Anpara, District – Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Awadhoot Bhagwan Ram PG College, Plot no. 933, Street no. 933, Village – Auri, Anpara, P.O. Anpara, Tehsil – Dudhi, Town-Anpara, District – Sonbhadra – 231225, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-309/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Vaidik Balika PG Mahavidyalaya, Jaipur, Rajasthan dated 27.04.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/ NRC/ NRCAPP201616252/ B.A.B.Ed./ B.Sc.B.Ed.-4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2 dated 25/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The reply of the institution received in NRC on 17.03.2017 to the SCN issued by NRC on 24.02.2017 was considered by the Committee and following observations were made:- (1) The institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. (2) The institution has not submitted the Non-Encumbrance certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. (3) The institution has not submitted the approved Building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc. (4) The lease deed is only for 3055 Sq. Mtrs. wherein Balika Vaidik Mahavidyalaya is also running; (5) The institution has not established that the land is exclusively meant for B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. courses. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3) (b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. C.K. Samvedi, Secretary, Vaidik Balika PG Mahavidyalaya, Jaipur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 01/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 28.04.2017 enclosed to the appeal, it was submitted that in response to the show cause notice dt. 27.02.2017, they had submitted all documents with their letter dt. 17.03.2017. They received a show cause notice dt 15.04.2017 on line wherein 21 days time was provided for submitting a representation but NRC without waiting for



the time given, refused recognition on 25.04.2017. The appellant also submitted that all information and documents were submitted except building plan which was not mandatory as the building was completed in 1989-90 i.e. about 27 years back and Vaidik Baliaka P.G. Mahavidyalaya is running in this building since 1995 regularly. A plan of the completed building, raised on the Trust Land was submitted along with the application and also with the reply to the show cause notice. The appellant with their letter dt. 01.07.2017 submitted a copy of their reply dt. 05.05.2017 sent to the NRC in response to the show cause notice dt. 25.04.2017 received on line.

**AND WHEREAS** the appellant, in a separate letter dt. 01.07.2017, requested for some extra time for producing the documents. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. C.K. Samvedi, Secretary, Vaidik Balika PG Mahavidyalaya, Jaipur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.10.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant also submitted a letter dt. 23.10.2017 enclosing a notarised copy of the registered conveyance-cum-perpetual lease deed; a notarised copy of Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by Tahsildar's office, Sanganer and a building plan signed by an Engineer of Nagar Nigam, Jaipur, showing plot area, built-up area, name of the college and measurements of various facilities etc. The appellant has also explained that they have a land area of 3055.86 Sq. mts and built-up area of 4993.88 Sq. mts. which fulfils the norms of NCTE.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant, with their letter dt. 05.05.2017, had sent this information to the NRC, in response to a stated e-mail dt. 15.04.2017, i.e. after the issue of the refusal order on 25.04.2017. Though the appellant has now submitted various documents, he has not explained how the land measuring 3055.86 Sq. mts. is exclusively meant for the proposed B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. programme as per the provisions of the Norms and Standards for this 4-year Integrated programme, particularly when they are conducting a number of courses other than teacher education courses in their PG. Mahavidyalaya. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded to remand back the matter to NRC with a

direction to consider the documents submitted in the appeal to be forwarded to them by the appellant together with their explanation about availability of exclusive land / building for the proposed course, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in the appeal and also their explanation about the requirement of exclusive and well-demarcated land for the proposed 4-year Integrated programme to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the order on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded to remand back the matter to NRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in the appeal, to be forwarded to them by the appellant together with their explanation about availability exclusive land / building for the proposed course, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in the appeal and also their explanation about the requirement of exclusive and well-demarcated land for the proposed 4-year Integrated programme to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the order on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Vaidik Balika PG Mahavidyalaya, Jaipur, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Vaidik Balika PG Mahavidyalaya, Varun Path, Mansarovar, Jaipur, Rajasthan – 302020.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-314/E-2284/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of MA Sarbati College of Education, Zainabad, Rewari, Haryana dated 27/04/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-9600/263<sup>rd</sup> (Part-5) Meeting/2017/167282 dated 16/02/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "NRC had issued a SCN to the institution on 29.12.2016. the applicant has not submitted the reply of this SCN till date. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** MA Sarbati College of Education, Zainabad, Rewari, Haryana was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017 but nobody appeared. In the appeal Memoranda it is submitted that Shaheed Captain DK Khola Educational and Charitable Trust, submitted its application to NRC for grant of recognition to MA Sarbati College of Education for conducting D.El.Ed. course of two years on 31.12.2012. During the pendency of said application, Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide its order dated 10.09.2013 in SLP (C) No.4247-4248/2009, directed that all pending applications shall be processed in accordance with the new Regulations, to be notified by the NCTE. After the notification of new NCTE Regulations namely, NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 on 01.12.2014, the NCTE vide its letter No.F.51-4/2014/NCTE/N&S dated 24.12.2014 issued Guidelines for processing of pending applications. Clause 5 of the said Guidelines permitted grant of approval to standalone programmes and clause 17 of the Guidelines provided that the condition of the No Object Certificate of the affiliating body will not apply to the pending applications. Despite the aforesaid, NRC vide its letter dated 29.12.2016, decided to issue Show Cause Notice to our institution on the following ground "NRC decided to issue Show Cause Notice to the Applicant


institution with regard to the decision of Government of Haryana not to recommend any fresh opening/ recognition / increase intake of any D.El.Ed. institute for the year 2017-18." We submitted our reply to the Show Cause Notice vide our letter dated 25.01.2017 through "The Professional Couriers". However, notwithstanding the aforesaid reply to the Show Cause Notice, NRC has refused recognition only on the ground that we have not submitted reply to the Show Cause Notice. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Satish Kumar, Office President, MA Sarbati College of Education, Zainabad, Rewari, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.10.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, with their letter given during the course of presentation, submitted a copy of their reply dt. 25.01.2017 to the show cause notice dt. 29.12.2016 and also a courier receipt dt. 25.01.2017, in support of their having sent a letter to the NRC.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the reply dt. 25.01.2017 to the show cause notice is not available in the file of the NRC. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the reply dt. 25.01.2017 to the show cause, to be re-submitted by the appellant to them, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward their reply to the show cause notice again to the NRC within 15 days of the receipt of the order on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the reply dt. 25.01.2017 to the show cause, to be re-submitted by the appellant to them, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward their reply to the show cause notice again to the NRC within 15 days of the receipt of the order on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of MA Sarbati College of Education, Zainabad, Rewari, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary/Appellant, MA Sarbati College of Education, VPO-Zainabad, Kund Dahina Road, Zainabad, Rewari, Haryana – 123411.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.**



F.No.89-318/E-2574/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of K.R.E. Society's (Karnataka Rashtreeya Education Society) Karnataka College of Education, Jangalkoi, Bidar, Karnataka dated 26/04/2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS02056/B.Ed/KA/2016/17/91027 dated 16/01/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "1. LUC not given. 2. BP not approved by competent authority. 3. BCC not given. 4. FDRs not given. 5. Built-up area as shown in Building Plan is only 811 sq. mts. which is inadequate even for one unit of B.Ed."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Rajshekhar, Principal and Sh. M. Shetty, Member, K.R.E. Society's (Karnataka Rashtreeya Education Society) Karnataka College of Education, Jangalkoi, Bidar, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "LUC was submitted to SRC duly signed by management representative, now they are submitting LUC duly issued by competent authority. Earlier the building was 811 Sq.m. now they have constructed 2nd floor to meet the NCTE requirement of 1500 sq.m. The BP copy of the building duly approved by competent authority is enclosed with details and having completed II floor which is a very big building. BCC of whole building measuring 1593.05 sq.m. duly issued by competent authority in prescribed format. We have maintained the FDRs of 5,00,000/- and 3,00,000/- toward endowment and reserve fund. The original FDRs are verified by SRC Bangalore vide No. F.NO.SRO/ NCTE/APSO2056/BEd /KA/2015/ 70058 dated 16.07.2015. FDR Nos. 1. 829099 Dated 21/06/2013 for Rs 5,00,000".

**AND WHEREAS** during the course of Appeal presentation on 03.07.2017, appellant sought another opportunity to enable him submit documentary evidence in


support of averments made in the Appeal Memoranda. Appeal Committee decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Rajsekhar, Principal, K.R.E. Society's (Karnataka Rashtreeya Education Society) Karnataka College of Education, Jangalkoi, Bidar, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.01.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, with their letter dt. 24.10.2017, submitted a copy of the Land Use Certificate issued by the Municipal Commissioner, Bidar, a copy of building plan, sanctioned by the Municipal Commissioner, Bidar a copy of the Building Completion Certificate for an area measuring 3216.65 sq. mts for B.Ed. and D.Ed. also issued by the Municipal Commissioner, Bidar; and copies of FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 3 lakhs, which are reported to have been verified by the SRC earlier.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noting that the appellant has given explanations and submitted documents in respect of the grounds mentioned in the SRC's order dt. 16.01.2017, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the explanation/ documents to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the explanations/ documents submitted in the appeal to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal. In the meanwhile, the order of withdrawal shall be kept in abeyance.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to consider the explanation/ documents to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the explanations/ documents submitted in the appeal to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal. In the meanwhile, the order of withdrawal shall be kept in abeyance.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of K.R.E. Society's (Karnataka Rashtreeya Education Society) Karnataka College of Education, Jangalkoi, Bidar, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, K.R.E. Society's (Karnataka Rashtreeya Education Society) Karnataka College of Education, Jangalkoi Bidar, Hyderabad Road, Bidar – 585401, Karnataka.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.





F.No.89-327/E-2587/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Mata Kitab Kaur Mahavidyalaya, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan dated 25.04.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP 201616568/BA B.Ed, BSc B.Ed. 4 year Integrated/SCN/RJ/2017-2018/ (LSG Sl. No.) dated 24.02.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, summarily rejecting their application for grant of recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution submitted application online on 30.06.2016 and hard copy of the application received in NRC on 18.07.2016. As per clause 7(2)(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014, the hard copy of the application is to be submitted within 15 days of the submission of the online application. As per NCTE Hqrs. direction, the hard copy was acceptable up-to 15<sup>th</sup> July which was the last date for submission of hard copy irrespective of online submission. The hard copy of the application has been received in NRC on 18.07.2016 i.e. beyond 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2017. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is summarily rejected."

**AND WHEREAS** Mata Kitab Kaur Mahavidyalaya, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017 but nobody appeared. In the appeal Memoranda, it is submitted that "the institution has submitted application on 30.06.2016 for recognition of B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. course. The institution has to submit hard copies of application in the office within 15 days from the date of submission of online application. The institution went to the Regional Office of NCTE to submit hard copies of application along with the related papers on 15.07.16. The staff at diary counter refused to accept the application saying that the counter is closed for the day and told to submit the same on the next working day. The next two days i.e., on 16<sup>th</sup> and 17<sup>th</sup> the office was closed due to Saturday and Sunday. Therefore, the institution was able to submit all the papers


only on 18.07.2016. In spite of this the office has rejected the application on the ground that the institution has not submitted the hard copies of application within 15 days from the date of submission of online application. The institution has spent crores of rupees on the building and construction of other things and the office has rejected the application for the simple reason that the institution has not submitted the application within 15 days. They have to suffer huge loss if their application is not considered for recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Bhupender Lamba, Mata Kitab Kaur Mahavidyalaya, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.10.2017 i.e the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, in a letter dt. 24.10.2017, stated that due to illness of the Chairman of Society they went to the Regional Office to submit hard copies of the application on 15.07.2016, which were not accepted on the ground that the counter was closed for the day. In the course of personal presentation, the appellant orally submitted that they reached the NRC office at about 06.00 p.m. on 15.07.2016.

**AND WHEREAS** The Committee noted that according to the provisions of Clause 7(2) (b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, failure to submit the print out of the applications made on line along with the land documents within 15 days of the submission of the on-line application attracts summary rejection of the application. Since the hard copies of the online application dt. 30.06.2016 were submitted only on 18.07.2016, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in rejecting the application and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Maa Murati Shikshak Prashikshan Sansthan, Balrampur Post Pathkhauli, Hafizpur by pass Road, Sadar, Uttar Pradesh – 276001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-333/E-32573/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Seth Motilal P.G. College, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan dated 29.04.2017 is against the Order No. NRC / NRC / NRCAPP201615410 /B.A.B.Ed./ B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2 dated 18.04.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that SCN was given on 09.02.2017 and reply received on 08.03.2017. The institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. The institution has not submitted the Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Authority to use the land for educational purpose. The institution has not submitted the Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. The institution has submitted the approved building plan signed by the competent Govt. authority, however, the details with regard to the name of the course, name of the institution, khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc., have not been indicated thereon. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. D.S. Ruhella, Principal, Seth Motilal P.G. College, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Seth Jutha Lal Education Society is running a P.G. College in the name & style "Seth Moti Lal P.G. College, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan. NAAC has given "A Grade" Accreditation to this college and U.G.C. has awarded certificate for college with Potential for excellence.

Govt. of Rajasthan has conferred as Model College in Rajasthan State. This college had applied for grant of recognition for B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. course. That NRC, NCTE has issued a Show Cause Notice on 09.02.2017 in which certain deficiencies were mentioned. The institution has submitted a reply with all the required documents to the NRC on 20.02.2017. NRC, NCTE has again issued a show cause notice to this institution on 13.04.2017 in which 21 days' time has been given for making reply of SCN. Before giving a reply of SCN dated 13.04.2017 by this institution, NRC, NCTE has rejected the application of this institution on 18.04.2017. This institution had been awarded patta of khasara Number 1789, 1790 and 1813 land measuring 8.20 hect. by Secretary Panch Panna, Executive Committee on 23.04.1947. Seth Moti Lal P.G. College is functioning from 1958. The Society is also running Seth Moti Lal Teachers Training College, Jhunjhunu with permission from NRC, NCTE. That the High Court of Delhi has given direction in the Writ Petition (C) No. 3707 of 2010 "we, thus, direct the Committee to again examine the matter in pursuance original show cause notice dated 09.07.2009 taking into consideration the response dated 30.07.2009 (not even examined) and 09.09.2009 in WP (C) No. 3708/2010 and 03.08.2009 in WP (C) No. 3707/2010 along with the relevant material produced as also the issue of ownership of the land as set out by the petitioner read with order dated 16.03.2010 in WP (C) No. 1627/2010. The writ petitioners are accordingly allowed leaving the parties to bear their own costs. As per order of District Collector, Jhunjhunu dated 21.03.2017 and Tehsildar, Jhunjhunu dated 27.03.2017, the said land has been transferred in the name of Seth Moti Lal College, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan. Copy of Jamabandi (Khatauni) is attached. That copy of land use certificate issued by Ayukt, Nagar Palika, Jhunjhunu is attached. Copy of approved building map submitted along with application file and with reply of SCN is attached.

**AND WHEREAS** the Appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 03.07.2017 made a written request seeking adjournment and grant of another opportunity to submit required documents. Appeal Committee decided to grant another (second) opportunity.


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. G.L. Sharma, Secretary, Seth Motilal P.G. College, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.10.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the course of presentation, the appellant, with their letter dt. 24.10.2017 submitted a number of documents.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant, with their letter dt. 24.10.2017, inter alia, enclosed copies of two letters dt. 20.04.2017 and 21.04.2017 sent to the NRC in reply to their show cause notice dt. 20.04.2017. However, these letters are not found in the file of the NRC. The Committee also noted that there is only one show cause notice dt. 09.02.2017 in the file and the NRC considered the reply of the appellant received on 08.03.2017 thereto before refusing recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant, with their appeal and during the course of personal presentation submitted copies of Jamabandi, land use certificate issued by Tahsildar dt. 30.06.2017, Non-Encumbrance Certificate dt. 20.04.2017 issued by Tahsildar, Jhunjhunu, building plan signed by the authorities of Nagar Parishad, Jhunjhunu and a building completion certificate, signed by a Govt. Engineer. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider these documents, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in appeal and during personal presentation, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider these documents, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in appeal and during personal presentation, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Seth Motilal P.G. College, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary/Appellant, Seth Motilal PG College, Jhunjhunu – 333001, Rajasthan.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.**



F.No.89-344/E-3275/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sova Rani College of Education, Malda, West Bengal dated 10.05.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/229.8.4/ERCAPP3155/B.Ed./2016/51357 dated 16/02/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 20.09.2016 on the following grounds:- (i) NOC is issued "for Registrar" which is not acceptable. The institution has not submitted the NOC with countersignature by the Registrar of University of Gourbanga. (ii) The change of Land Use Certificate (CLU) and Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) are only for 67 Dec. issued by BL & LRO. (iii) The building plan submitted is not approved by any Govt. Engineer. b. In response to SCN, the institution submitted reply vide letter dated 07.10.2016 without submitting the building plan. The ERC considered the reply of the institution and observed that the institution is still deficient on the following grounds:- (i) The institution has not submitted the building plan duly approved by Govt. Competent Authority. (ii) Building Completion Certificate duly signed by Govt. Competent Authority is not submitted. In view of the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP3155 of the institution regarding permission for B.Ed. Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** Sova Rani College of Education, Malda, West Bengal was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** the submission of the appeal is delayed by 24 days beyond the prescribed period of 60 days. The appellant submitted that the delay occurred due to the sudden ill-health of the President of the Society, who is associated with the work of the NCTE and keeps the entire documentation, and requested condonation of the




unavoidable delay. The Committee decided to condone the delay and consider the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Mahabir Kumar Das, President, Sova Rani College of Education, Malda, West Bengal presented the appeal of the appellant institution on 25.10.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the appeal, the appellant submitted that their institution is situated in rural area and accordingly they approached the Pradhan for approval of the plan. After getting the signature of Gram Pradhan, they submitted the plan in the District Engineer's Office for the countersignature of the Superintending Engineer, Planning and Monitoring Circle. The appellant, in the course of presentation, submitted a building plan signed by the Superintending Engineer, Planning and Monitoring circle, Govt. of West Bengal and District Engineer, Malda Zila Parishad, Malda and a copy of the Building Completion Certificate signed by the Distt. Engineer, Malda Zila Parishad, Malda.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noting that the appellant has fulfilled the two requirements mentioned in the refusal order, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider the approved building plan and the Building Completion Certificate signed by the Govt. Engineer, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward these documents, submitted in appeal, to the ERC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to ERC with a direction to consider the approved building plan and the Building Completion Certificate signed by the Govt. Engineer, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward these documents, submitted in appeal, to the ERC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sova Rani College of Education, Malda, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary/Appellant, Sova Rani College of Education (B.Ed.), Gobindpur, Birampur, Bramhpur, West Bengal – 732207.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-348/E-3094/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Manikchak College of Education D.Ed. Village Jalalpur, Dallutola, Manikchak, West Bengal dated 06.05.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/233.8.3/ERCAPP4280/B.Ed./2016/51574 dated 18/03/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show cause notice was issued on 07.12.2016 on the following ground: (i) Inspection letter was issued on 13.02.2016. (ii) VT not conducted so far. (iii) The VT experts namely Dr. A.K. Dubey and Shri R.K. Rout have submitted representation dated 24.10.2016 stating that "The said college avoided the inspection expressing their unwillingness". b. In response to SCN, the Secretary submitted reply dated 26.12.2016 informing that due to illness of his father (who is the Chairperson of the trust), inspection was not conducted at that time and requested the VT experts to re-schedule the inspection after his cure and now the institution is ready for conducting inspection which is not accepted by the Committee. The ERC considered the representation of the institution and found as under:- (i) The VT letter was issued on 13.02.2016 and as per VT expert's letter dated 24.10.2016, the institution was not ready for inspection at that time. As per NCTE Regulations 2014, inspection cannot be conducted as per willingness of the institution. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP4280 of the institution regarding permission of B.Ed. Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** Manikchak College of Education D.Ed. Village Jalalpur, Dallutola, Manikchak, West Bengal was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Mosarraf Hossain, President, Manikchak College of Education D.Ed. Village Jalalpur, Dallutola, Manikchak, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 25.10.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, in the appeal and during personal presentation, submitted that the two VT experts deputed for inspection contacted them and they informed them that the father of the applicant, who discharges all the functions of the Trust and the institutions, was seriously ill and requested them to re-schedule and postpone the inspection for a short period and the Experts agreed. They contacted them again in April, 2016 to conduct inspection but they stated that they will conduct on a later date. As they did not conduct inspection despite repeated requests they wrote to the RD on 25.10.2016 for re-scheduling the inspection. In response to the show cause notice they narrated all these facts. The appellant requested to direct ERC to conduct an inspection of their institution.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that according to the ERC's letter dt. 13.02.2016, the inspection of the institution was to take place within 20 days from the date of issue of that letter. The two VT members informed the R.D., ERC in their letter dt. 26.03.2016 that they proceeded to Malda and informed the college authority on the appointed date but the Secretary was unable to get the inspection conducted on account of sudden illness of her father. The VT members enclosed a copy of the letter dt. 26.03.2016 given by the Secretary of the college requesting change of schedule of inspection on account of her father's sudden illness. The Committee also noted that the VT members, in a subsequent letter dt. 24.10.2016, inter alia, informed the R.D. ERC that this institution avoided inspection on grounds of illness of the father of the Secretary. The appellant with their reply dt. 26.12.2016 to the show cause notice enclosed a copy of their letter dt. 28.10.2016 (and not dated 25.10.2016 as mentioned in the appeal) addressed to the ERC in which they stated that when they requested the VT members to re-schedule the inspection they became busy with their other tight schedule. This letter is however not found in the file of the ERC.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, in view of the position stated above, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to conduct an inspection of the appellant institution, on payment of the prescribed fee.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to ERC with a direction to conduct an inspection of the appellant institution on payment of the prescribed fee.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Manikchak College of Education D.Ed. Village Jalalpur, Dallutola, Manikchak, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary/Appellant, Manikchak College of Education D.Ed. 381, 382, 382/653, 382/654, 382/65, Village Jalalpur, Dallutola, Manikchak, West Bengal – 732202.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-352/E-3380/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: - 13/12/17

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Anand Teacher Training College, Karana, Bansur, Rajasthan dated 03.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-11191/261<sup>st</sup> Meeting/2016/165404 dated 16/01/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The reply to show cause notice issued on 17.08.2016 was examined and found the following anomalies:- (1) The institution has not submitted the certified copy of the registered land documents duly certified by the Registrar/Sub-Registrar of the District in its reply. (2) The institution has not submitted the Notarized copy of change of Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Govt. Authority. (3) The institution has not submitted Non-Encumbrance Certificate signed by the Competent Govt. Authority. (4) The institution has not submitted the approved Building Plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, address of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Karan Singh, Director, Anand Teacher Training College, Karana, Bansur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017 and 26.10.2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the institution's registered land documents have already been submitted. The institution got land converted and its documents have already been submitted. Encumbrance is not upon the institution and its documents have already been submitted. The building plan is approved by Govt. Authority and the khasra No. Plot No. total land are mentioned therein. The appellant, with the appeal, enclosed a copy of certified land document, a copy of certificate issued by the

Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat and a copy of building plan showing Khasra number and details of land and built-up area etc.”

**AND WHEREAS** appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 26.10.2017 submitted copy of a building plan of Anand T.T. College approved by Jr. Engineer, P.W.D. Kairama, a Non Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) dated 10.07.2017 issued by Tehsildar, Bansar (Alwar) and certified copy of land documents. As regards change of Land Use Certificate, Committee observed that the conversion order dated 04.05.2012 pertains to only a part (2040 Sq. Meters) of the total land (10315 Sq. Meters).

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal committee considering that applicant society is already conducting degree classes in Anand Mahavidyalaya and has also submitted application seeking recognition for B.Ed programme (page 5 of application form) considered that area of diverted land for which CLU is submitted is inadequate. The applicant may however, be given another opportunity by NRC considering that applicant institution has been able to submit certified copy of land documents, building plan and NEC. The applicant is required to submit all the above documents in original in the office of NRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders. The applicant should also submit C.L.U of adequate land area to establish that diverted land is sufficient for conducting the existing and proposed programmes.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for reconsideration of the case. Appellant institution is required to submit to NRC Jaipur original certified copy of land documents, copy of approved building plan, Non Encumbrance Certificate and original notarised CLU within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Anand Teacher Training College, Karana, Bansur, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary/Appellant, Anand Teacher Training College, Karana, Kotputli Road, Bansur, Rajasthan – 301024.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-353/E-3399/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Dayawati Mahavidyalay, Mahawan, Sohawal, Faizabad, U.P. dated 08.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-11814/263<sup>rd</sup> Meeting/2016/168348 dated 18/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution was given show cause notice. The institution did not submit reply of SCN."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Agni D. Pandey, Representative, Dayawati Mahavidyalay, Mahawan, Sohawal, Faizabad, U.P. presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the institution did not submit reply of SCN because institution did not receive SCN dt. 28.06.2016. The institution refused to get it inspected by the visiting team because the father of appellant died on 28/01/2016. The building of College was complete at that time. The photograph of building and certificate by Gram Pradhan is enclosed for evidence".

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the Visiting Team, that went to inspect the appellant institution on 02.02.2016, reported that the management refused inspection. The NRC issued a show cause notice to the institution on 28.06.2016 to which no reply was received. The Committee noted that the appellant, in support of the refusal to get the institution inspected on account of the death of the father of the Manager on 28.01.2016, submitted a death certificate issued by Gram Pradhan. As the death certificate submitted was not from proper registering authority, the appellant requested for another opportunity to submit proper document. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity to submit a proper death certificate.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Agni Dev Pandey, Member Society, appeared before Appeal Committee on 26.10.2017 and submitted copy of Death Certificate of Late Sh. Surender Dev Pandey issued by Registrar Birth & Death Govt. of Uttar Pradesh. The date of death of Sh. Surender Dev Pandey is registered on 28.01.2016 and as such Appeal Committee is convinced that it would not have been possible for the appellant to facilitate inspection immediately thereafter. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for giving the appellant institution another chance to get inspected on payment of fee.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for giving the appellant institution another chance to get inspected on payment of fee.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dayawati Mahavidyalay, Mahawan, Sohawal, Faizabad, U.P. to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary/Appellant, Dayawati Mahavidyalay, Mahawan, Sohawal, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh – 224201.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-363/E-3785/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Chaudhary Sahab Shivcharan Degree College, Village - Norojpur Gurjar, PO - Agarwal Mandi, Dist. – Bagpat, Uttar Pradesh dated 15.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-12514/261th Meeting/2016/166235 dated 13/02/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution was given show cause notice on 21.09.2016. The institution submitted its reply in response to the show cause notice which was considered by the Committee and found that the institution has not submitted the proof of composite institution."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Subash Chand, Chairman and Sh. Manoj Kumar, Member, Chaudhary Sahab Shivcharan Degree College, Village - Norojpur Gurjar, PO - Agarwal Mandi, Dist. – Bagpat, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 26.10.2017. In the Appeal Memoranda as well as in the oral presentation it is submitted that "The order dated 13.02.2017 is not sustainable in the eye of law for the reason that the applicant institution has submitted reply of show cause notice with annexure well before the time on 20.10.2016. Despite submission of reply well in advance/within the stipulated time allocated by NRC office, it has rejected the application by quoting the reason "The institution has not submitted the proof of composite institution".

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 21.09.2016 was issued to appellant institution on the ground that 'the institution has not submitted evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014.' Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution submitted reply dated 19.10.2016 to SCN enclosing therewith a copy of online application made to CCS University, Meerut for seeking affiliation of B.A. (Economics, Education, English, Geography, Hindi, History, Sociology). Appeal Committee, therefore, is of the view that appellant institution is in the process of

achieving the status of composite institution and its application for D.El.Ed. programme should be processed. If the appellant institution is found fit for grant of final recognition for D.El.Ed. programme it should be subject to the condition that institution by that time has been able to commence the degree level course. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application for D.El.Ed. programme.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC Jaipur for further processing of the application. Grant of recognition for D.El.Ed. programme, if the institution is otherwise found eligible, should be subject to the institution submitting evidence of having commenced the degree course.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Chaudhary Sahab Shivcharan Degree College, Village - Norojpur Gurjar, PO - Agarwal Mandi, Dist. - Bagpat, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary/Appellant, Chaudhary Sahab Shivcharan Degree College, Plot No. 37 AB, 40/1, Village-Norojpur Gurjar, Post Office-Agarwal Mandi, Tatiri, Tehsil-Bagpat, Dist. - Bagpat, Uttar Pradesh - 250601.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-367/E-3902/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shridevi Group of Higher Studies, Vamanpura, Jaingara, Koraoli, Uttar Pradesh dated 16.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10210/260<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2016/164536 dated 04.01.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "Reply of show cause notice dt. 15.03.2016 submitted by the institution is not acceptable."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Brijesh Chahar, Manager, Shridevi Group of Higher Studies, Vamanpura, Jaingara, Koraoli, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 26.10.2017. In the Appeal Memoranda as well as in the personal presentation it is submitted that "the NRC has issued the Refusal Order without mentioning any date. It has been received by our institution on 14th March 2017. A certificate issued by the Postal Authorities on 05.04.2017 is attached certifying that the DAK has been delivered to the institution on 14th March 2017. Therefore, the appeal has been submitted within the stipulated time of 60 days. Appellant had submitted the comprehensive pointwise reply along with all the supporting documents to the NRC office through Courier on 14th March, 2016. The copy of the forwarding letter along with the slip of the Courier is enclosed. Appellant is shocked to know that despite of submission of reply well in advance and within the stipulated time allocated by NRC office it has rejected the application of your appellant by quoting the reason the institution has not replied to the Show Cause Notice. Appellant had very carefully and minutely gone through the NCTE Regulation 2014 in vogue since 27.11.2014 and the Norms and Standards for B.Ed. Course Appendix 4 annexed thereto. Your appellant had endeavoured best efforts to arrange all the requisite essential documents stipulated in the NCTE Regulations before making the online application. It is to mention that the size of Multipurpose hall in our institution is  $22 \times 98 = 2156$  sq. ft. and not 1900 sq. ft. as observed by the NRC. The same can also be verified through the Approved Building Plan submitted

to NRC along with the application. It is further to be noted that the Multipurpose Hall was physically verified by the Visiting Team deputed by the NRC in addition to the land documents. Additionally, the Building Completion Certificate issued after verifying that all the infrastructural facilities available as per the approved Building Plan is attached. After completion of the inspection the CD was seen by the Visiting Team Members before taking over. Even though in response to SCN another fresh unedited Videography in CD as well as Pen Drive was submitted to NRC."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that NRC in its 250<sup>th</sup> Meeting on 29.02.2016 decided to issue a Show Cause Notice (SCN) to the appellant institution on two grounds namely: size of multipurpose hall is 1900 Sq. feet and secondly C.D. was not working. The appellant institution in reply to the minutes of NRC meeting submitted an unedited C.D. and also submitted that multipurpose hall as per certificate of Panchayat is more than 2000 Sq. feet. NRC on finding the reply submitted as unacceptable issued impugned refusal order dated 04.01.2017.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal committee noted that the Visiting Team on page 21 of its inspection report has categorically corrected the size of multipurpose hall from 2197 Sq. feet to 1900 Sq. feet. It also appears that size of multipurpose hall has overwriting at page 28. Appeal Committee also noted that appellant institution had submitted two different NOCs issued by affiliating body with following reference numbers :


- i) NOC Reference No. BTC/NOC/6870-73/2015-16 dated 11 June, 2015
- ii) NOC Reference No. BTC/NOC/5492-98/2015-16 dated 25<sup>th</sup> September, 2015

The first NOC was submitted to NRC Jaipur in response to a SCN dated 17.11.2015 and copy of second NOC was submitted by appellant to the Visiting Team at the time of inspection. NRC Jaipur is therefore advised to get an official verification from the affiliating body as date of issue of NOC is crucial for deciding the eligibility of the appellant.

**AND WHEREAS** appellant during the course of appeal hearing submitted that it has separate built up area for conducting B.Ed. and proposed D.El.Ed programme. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC Jaipur for getting conducted another inspection of the institution to verify i) The exact dimensions and size of the multipurpose hall with evidence ii) whether the institution has separate built up area for conducting existing B.Ed. programme (2 unit) and proposed D.El.Ed. programme. iii) Verify the genuineness of the date of issue of NOC issued by affiliating body.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral argument advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application after verifying the points mentioned in para 5 above and the institution being found eligible and fulfilling the norms and standards.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shridevi Group of Higher Studies, Vamanpura, Jaingara, Koraoli, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary/Appellant, Shridevi Group of Higher Studies, Vamanpura, Jaingara, 251/2, 72, Koraoli, Uttar Pradesh – 283122.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-369/E-3948/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Arya College of Education, Dabri, Bhadra, Rajasthan dated 14.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615229/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 15/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Name of Society in Society registration certificate and in online application does not match. Land bearing Khasra number 69 with land area 2530 sq. mts. is not sufficient to run the existing and the proposed courses. Another Land bearing the same Khasra number with land area 14290 sq. mts. is not in the favour of applicant society/institutions. LUC reveals tha only 1500 sq. mts. land is converted as non-agriculture. NEC dated 12.10.2015 issued by Patvari is not acceptable. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Satyavir Arya, Principal, Arya College of Education, Dabri, Bhadra, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2017. In the Appeal Memoranda, as well as in the oral submissions made before Appeal Committee, it was submitted that "that application is in the name of Institution or organization that is ARYA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION run by the registered society Satya Dev Arya Educational Society which is very much clear from the documents produced before the NRC, enclosure no. 1 and 2. There are two Land Use Certificates, first is in the name of institution of 1500 sq mt and other one is in the name of the registered society Satya Dev Arya Educational Society of 6500 sq mt."



**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 15.03.2017 is on following grounds:


- i) Name of society in society registration certificate
- ii) Land at Khasra no. 69 measures 2530 Sq. Meters – is not sufficient,
- iii) Change of Land Use Certificate is only for 1500 Sq. Meters
- iv) Non Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) dated 12.10.2015 issued by Patwari is not acceptable.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institute has mistakenly filled up the name of Institution in the column where name of organisation/society was required to be filled up and this had resulted in the confusion. Appellant had enclosed the society Registration Certificate with the hard copy of online application and the name of society is also mentioned in the affidavit, CLU and B.C.C. The mistake occurred inadvertently in filling up the online application is therefore, condoned. The appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 26.10.2017 submitted copy of Non Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) dated 06.07.2017 issued by UP Tehsildar and two Change of Land Use Certificates (CLUs) dated 10.02.2012 and 27.05.2017 for an area of 1500 Sq. Meters and 6500 Sq. Meters respectively. So far as certified copy of land documents in concerned, the appellant had submitted in reply to the SCN , two land deeds pertaining to Khasra number 69 and the land area put together is adequate. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application. Appellant institution is required to submit to NRC Jaipur copies of latest NEC and CLU within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case for further processing of the application. The appellant institution is required to submit to NRC copies of NEC and CLU within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

- 3 -

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Arya College of Education, Dabri, Bhadra, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

  
**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary/Appellant, Arya College of Education, Dabri, 25 KM Stone Hisar Road, Bhadra – 335503, Rajasthan.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.**



F.No.89-607/E-16957/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Yadukul Institute of Education, Yadhubandhu Nagar, Salon, Distt. Rae Bareli, Uttar Pradesh dated 01.08.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-3227/270<sup>th</sup> Meeting (Part-2)/2017/176681 dated 06/06/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The applicant institution has not submitted the reply of SCN within the stipulated period."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Vijay Kumar Yadav, Admin Officer, Yadukul Institute of Education, Yadhubandhu Nagar, Salon, Distt. Rae Bareli, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Yadhubandhu Veer Lorika Shiksha Samiti, Yadhubandhu Nagar, Post Bara, Rae Bareli, Uttar Pradesh has already sent the reply of SCN issued along with two supporting documents, namely the affiliation letter for the session 2016-17 and duly approved building plan (annexure-III). In the light of the facts explained along it may be appreciated that impugned order of the NRC in its Emergency Meeting cannot form any bona-fide and prima face ground for the closure of course file of Yadukul Institute of Education, Yadhubandhu Nagar, Bara, Rae Bareli, Uttar Pradesh, thus needs to be quashed."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 01.02.2017 was issued to appellant institution seeking written representation on the points of deficiency within 30 days. The impugned refusal order dated 06.06.2017 was made on the ground that appellant institution has not submitted reply to SCN. Appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 26.10.2017 submitted copy of reply sent to NRC by Speed Post on 20.02.2017. Acknowledgement receipt of Speed Post and copy of track report issued by India Post show evidence of delivery of item to Rajasthan Sectt. on 24.02.2017. Keeping in view the evidence submitted

by appellant in support of its claim to have submitted a reply to SCN. Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 06.06.2017. As copy of the reply dated 20.02.2017 is not found available on the regulatory file, appellant is required to submit a copy of it to NRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 06.06.2017. Appellant institution is required to submit a copy of its reply dated 20.02.2017 to NRC Jaipur within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders to facilitate further processing of the application.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby set aside the impugned refusal order dt. 06/06/2017.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Yadukul Institute of Education, Yadhubandhu Nagar, Nanuti to Mustafabad, Salon – 229129, Rae Bareli, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-609/E-16960/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Khatu Shyam College, Dehlal Near Didwana, Ramgarh Pachwara, Rajasthan dated 02.08.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615555/Bachelor of Education [B.Ed.]/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 06/06/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The applicant institution has not submitted the reply of SCN within the stipulated time. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected, and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDR,s if any, be returned to the institution."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Suresh Sharma, Director, Khatu Shyam College, Dehlal Near Didwana, Ramgarh Pachwara, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The applicant institution has submitted the reply of SCN within the stipulated time dated 05th April 2017 vide NCTE NRC Diary no. 165834. The SCN issued on dated 23<sup>rd</sup> March, 2017 and reply of SCN submitted on 5th April, 2017 was within 12 days."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was issued a Show Cause Notice dated 23.03.2017 seeking written representation within a period of 21 days. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution has submitted evidence of having sent a reply to NRC by hand on 05.04.2017. This reply was acknowledged by NRC vide Diary No. 165834 dated 05.04.2017 but is not found available on the regulatory file. The impugned refusal order dated 06.06.2017 issued by NRC on the ground that reply to SCN was not submitted by the appellant institution is not tenable. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 06.06.2017 with directions to NRC to consider the reply dated 05.04.2017 diarised at serial no. 165834. Appellant institution is also

required to submit another copy of the reply dated 05.04.2017 alongwith enclosures to NRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 06.06.2017. Appellant institution is required to submit to NRC Jaipur a copy of its earlier reply dated 05.04.2017 within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby set aside the impugned refusal order dt. 06/06/2017.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Director, Khatu Shyam College, Dehlal Near Didwana, Ramgarh Pachwara – 303511, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-610/E-18044/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Kumar Shakur Khan Degree College, Sakra, Jalesar, Uttar Pradesh dated 31.07.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-201616243/Recognition/269<sup>th</sup> Meeting (Part-7)/2017/173493 dated 02.05.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The appeal is against NCTE Jaipur Recognition order Date 2 May 2017 in file no NRC APP201616243 in which Recognition is for one unit against applied for two unit and the LOI issued was also for two units."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Ikram, Manager, Kumar Shakur Khan Degree College, Sakra, Jalesar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "NCTE, Jaipur in its letter of intent LOI for grant of recognition permission for offering D.El.Ed. programme under section 7 (13) of the NCTE recognition norms and procedure Regulation 2014 for application no 10297 NRC APP201616243 has given approval for two units 100 students in its meeting no 265 as per norms for the two units the college has submitted the staff list of 16 candidates. NCTE Jaipur in its recognition order under clause 7(16) dated 2 may 2017 has approved intake of one unit only."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted online application dated 06.06.2016 seeking grant of recognition for 2 unit of D.El.Ed programme. Inspection of the appellant institution was conducted on 06.02.2017 and the Visiting Team also recommended grant of recognition for 2 units. Letter of Intent (LOI) dated 02.03.2017 issued to appellant institution was also for two units of D.El.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee further noted that the appellant institution has submitted compliance report dated 01.05.2017 enclosing therewith a list containing the names of one Principal and 15 faculty members approved by Parksha Niyamak Adhikari, Allahabad. Though there was no specific request made

by the appellant on 01.05.2017 for grant of recognition for 2 units, yet keeping in view the original application dated 06.06.201, inspection report dated 06.02.2017 and the faculty of 1+15, it is established that appellant was entitled to get two units of D.El.Ed. programme and the Regional Committee has also not mentioned any specific reason for sanctioning a reduced intake. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for suitably rectifying the impugned recognition order dated 02.05.2017.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for suitably rectifying the impugned recognition order dated 02.05.2017.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Kumar Shakur Khan Degree College, Sakra, Jalesar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Kumar Shakur Khan Degree College, Sakra Jalesar Road, Jalesar – 207122, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





F.No.89-611/E-18162/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Maa Kesar Devi Mahavidyalaya, Pakhar, Mandawar, Mahwa, Rajasthan dated 05.08.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201616031/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 06/06/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The applicant institution has not submitted the reply of SCN within the stipulated time. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected, and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any be returned to the institution.”

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Ajay Kumar Sharma, Principal, Maa Kesar Devi Mahavidyalaya, Pakhar, Mandawar, Mahwa, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “Email not Checked regularly by Secretary and checked only NCTE Minutes. Please give one more chance to rectify mistake. so that the money spent by the institution does not go waste.”


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 27.04.2017 was issued to appellant institution seeking written representation within 21 days. The deficiencies mentioned in the SCN related to non submission of certified copy of registered land documents and CLU for a relatively lesser area than what is required under the NCTE norms.

**AND WHEREAS** appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 26.10.2017 submitted certified copies of land registration documents and two C.L.U.s dated 23.09.2013 and 02.02.2017. Appeal Committee considering that the certified copies of land documents and C.L.U.s have now been made available, decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application. Appellant

institution is required to submit original certified copy of land documents and CLUs to NRC Jaipur within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC Jaipur for further processing of the application. Appellant institution is required to submit original certified copy of land documents and the Change of Land Use Certificates to NRC Jaipur within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Maa Kesar Devi Mahavidyalaya, Pakhar, Mandawar, Mahwa, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Maa Kesar Devi Mahavidyalaya, Pakhar, Mandawar, 02, Mahwa – 321609, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-613/E-18078/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Akal University, Talwandi Sabo, Raman, Punjab dated 27.07.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-11903/269<sup>th</sup> (Part-2) Meeting/2017/176019. dated 24/05/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the reply of the SCN issued by the NRC within the stipulated time."


**AND WHEREAS** Ms. Raino Bhatia, Asstt. Professor and Sh. Jag Mohan, Adm. Officer, Akal University, Talwandi Sabo, Raman, Punjab presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Appellant had submitted relevant documents in response to the show cause notice which have been completely overlooked by NRC making the order dated 24.05.2017. Appellant had made all the necessary documentary compliance and it also satisfies the norms and standards in respect of availability of infrastructure and facilities as required under NCTE Act, 1993 and Regulations, 2014. Appellant has made full compliance of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Further, the students will also be deprived of quality education. Because humble appellant craves indulgence of appellant body to prefer and rely upon relevant documents and pronouncements at the time of arguments of present appeal. Because other appropriate grounds and submission shall be made at the time of arguments / hearing."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 03.02.2017 was issued to appellant institution to submit evidence that it is offering under graduate or post graduate programmes of studies in the field of liberal arts & science. The impugned refusal order dated 24.05.2017 is on the ground that appellant institution has not submitted reply to SCN dated 03.02.2017. Appellant institution submitted evidence that reply to SCN was sent to NRC despatched by

speed post on 01.03.2017. The appellant in its reply dated 01.03.2017 has mentioned a number of undergraduate, post graduate level and Ph.D. programmes being conducted by the appellant institution. Appeal Committee therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the reply dated 01.03.2017 submitted by the appellant. As the above reply is not found placed on the regulatory file, Appellant is required to submit a copy thereof to NRC Jaipur, within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the reply dated 01.03.2017 is not found placed on the regulatory file, Appellant is required to submit a copy thereof to NRC Jaipur within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE,** the Council hereby remands back the case of Akal University, Talwandi Sabo, Raman, Punjab to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Akal University, Talwandi Sabo Raman, Punjab – 151302.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab, Chandigarh.



F.No.89-615/E-18699/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Pajhrapara Satellite Teachers Training Institute, Rajhrapara, Domkal, Jalangi, West Bengal dated 01.08.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/238.8.39(Part-3)/ID No. 8637/B.Ed./2016/53265 dated 02/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show cause notice was issued on 26.02.2017 on the following grounds: (i) The applicant has submitted Demand Draft of Rs. 25,000/- only towards processing fee as per the online NCTE portal, payment through online only is accepted. (ii) As per the print out copy of the online application it is observed that application Number is not available. (iii) The application is not appeared on the dashboard of the online NCTE portal due to online process cannot be carried out. b. In response, the institution submitted reply dated 20.03.2017 along with Demand Draft Rs. 1,50,000/- stating that there were some mistake to maintain new Rules & Regulations of NCTE. The Committee considered the matter and observed that at the time of application. The institution submitted Demand Draft of Rs. 25,000/- and later on submitted D.D. of Rs. 1,50,000/- which is not accepted by the Committee as per Regulation, 2014. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The committee is of the opinion that application bearing ID No. 8637 of the institution regarding recognition of D.El.Ed. Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act, 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Altafur Rahaman, President, Pajhrapara Satellite Teachers Training Institute,, Rajhrapara, Domkal, Jalangi, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The Applicant Trust setup Pajhrapara Satellite Teachers Training Institute under Pajhrapara Satellite Charitable Trust with the objective to build up an academic environment of higher education, especially for the underprivileged students in and around Murshidabad in West Bengal. The office bearer of the Applicant Trust, after a long effort in arranging funds

and other necessary infrastructural needs, of the Trust, resolved in its general meeting held on 15th May 2015 at Murshidabad office, of starting a B.Ed programme ID No. 8637 for the academic session 2017 to 2018 along with the existing D.El.Ed programme ERCAPP1648 as a composite institute as per the NCTE Regulation 2014. The Applicant Trust after considering the NCTE Public Notice/s and NCTE Regulation 2014 / NCTE Act 1993 did not find any restriction or any bar or clear direction in ONLINE FEE PAYMENT for fresh application. Moreover, in general aspects demand draft can be taken as an alternate payment option to avoid any technical difficulties. The Applicant Trust despite its several attempts has failed to make Online Payment via NCTE portal, due to some technical hitches, and decided to pay the amount by Demand Draft as an alternate remedy of payment. The Applicant Trust, paid Rs. 25,000 towards processing fee by Demand Draft No. 818758, dated 31/05/2016 issued by United Bank of India, and later on paid the application fee of amount Rs. 1, 50,000 by Demand Draft No. 929019 dated 17/03/2017 for B.Ed. Programme ID No. 8637, in favour of Member Secretary NCTE, payable at Bhubaneswar issued from United Bank of India, Ghoshpara Branch, House No.10 Ghoshpara Gram Panchayet, Post Office Muradpur Arji, Via Jalangi, District Murshidabad, West Bengal 742305, which had already been reflected in the refusal order. The Applicant Trust has received its No Objection Certificate NOC from the University WBUTTEPA, West Bengal, vide Letter No. WBUTTEPA/RO/346/16, dated 24/05/2016, which is one of the basic criteria of B.Ed. application. The Applicant Trust, before submitting its online application was in possession of 105 decimal of Land and 3091.265 Sq.Mts. of Build-up Area, which satisfied all the norms for composite institution B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. as per NCTE Regulation 2014. The Application for B.Ed. ID No. 8637 was complete in all respect as per NCTE Regulation 2014, where as in all the affidavits and undertakings it was clearly mentioned that the proposed B.Ed. application was submitted in compliance with the NCTE Regulation 2014, to become a composite institute, in the name of Pajhrapara Satellite Teachers Training Institute under Pajhrapara Satellite Charitable Trust. The Applicant Trust, in its reply dated 15/02/2017, 06/03/2017 and 20/03/2017 against Show Cause Notice dated 26/02/2017 vide proceedings of the 223rd meeting on 14th to 15th Oct 2016 by ERCNCTE Bhubaneswar, submitted all the necessary documents along with a written submission about the existing code of D.El.Ed. Programme ERCAPP1648. The Applicant Trust, in good intention and willingness

3


to become a composite institute filed the online application for B.Ed. programme ID No. 8637 along with existing D.El.Ed. Programme ERCAPP1648 in compliance with the NCTE Regulation 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 02.05.2017 is on the ground that appellant institution had failed to submit requisite amount of application fee through permissible mode alongwith application. The applicant alongwith hard copy of the online application dated 31.05.2016 had submitted only Rs. 25000/- whereas the entire application fee of Rs. 1,50,000 (one lakh and fifty thousand) was required to be remitted through online payment process. Clause 7(2)(a) of NCTE Regulation, 2014 provides for summary rejection of such applications where applicants fail to furnish application fee.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that application fee of Rs. 1,50,000/- remitted by the appellant through Demand Draft after issue of Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 26.02.2017 is not acceptable as per extant rules. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned order dated 02.05.2017 issued by ERC Bhubaneswar rejecting the recognition.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned order dated 02.05.2017.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Pajhrapara Satellite Teacher Training Institute, Rajhrapara, Domkal, Jalangi – 742305, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-616/E-18854/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Pacific College of Teachers Education, Debari, Girwa, Rajasthan dated 31.07.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP 201616032/ Master of Education (M.Ed)-B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed.-4 year/Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2 dated 17.04.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed./B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or Civil Authority concerned. The institution has not submitted the Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. The institution has submitted the approved Building Plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority, however, the details with regard to the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No. / Plot No., total land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc., have not been indicated thereon. In its reply of SCN institution has stated that the total land area earmarked for the existing and proposed course is 22148.08 mtrs. The institutions is running B.P.Ed. and M.P.Ed. Programmes alongwith other courses. The required land area for B.P.Ed. & M.P.Ed. courses as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 is 40000 sq. mtrs. (8.0 acre). Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Suresh Chandra Jat, Office Supdt., Pacific College of Teachers Education, Debari, Girwa, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution had applied online for grant of recognition for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course (2 units) and M.Ed. course (1 units) on 31.05.2016 and the hard copy of the online application along with required documents was submitted to



NRC, NCTE on 14.06.2016. NRC, NCTE had issued a show cause notice vide letter No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201616032/ Master of Education [M.Ed.] B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed.-4 year Integrated/SCN/RJ/2017-18/1 dated 01.04.2017 in which certain deficiencies had been mentioned. Institution submitted the reply of SCN along with all required documents to NRC, NCTE on 22.03.2017 on the basis of minutes of 264th Meetings (part-I) held from 20.02.2017 to 23.02.2017. NRC, NCTE has not considered the reply of SCN submitted by this institution on 22.03.2017. The application of this institution was rejected on 17.04.2017. While 21 days' time had been given to this institution for making reply of SCN. In the rejection order, it is stated that NRC in its meeting has considered the reply to the SCN dated 17.04.2017 and has decided that deficiencies still persisted as under. It is pertinent to mention here that SCN was issued to this institution on 01.04.2017 and reply was submitted to NRC, NCTE on 22.03.2017 on the basis of minutes of 264th Meetings (part-I) of NRC, NCTE. Copy of rejection order was issued to this institution on 31.05.2017. Copies of land documents certified by Sub-Registrar, Udaipur-II are annexed. Copy of Non-Encumbrance certificate is annexed. Copy of approved building map on which all information's required as per regulations 2014, are mentioned, is annexed. This University is running Teacher Education and Physical Education Programme in Separate land and building. 22148 Sqm. Land area is earmarked for Teacher Education Programme. 8195.02 Sqm. Built up area available for Teacher Education programme. 42398.11 Sqm. Land area is earmarked for Physical Education Programme. 6932 Sqm Built up area is available for Physical Education Programme. Certified copy of land documents earmarked for Physical Education Programme and Photograph of Teacher Education Building & Physical Education Building are annexed. The detail of land area for particular course had been mentioned in online application form for Teacher Education Programme and Physical Education Programme. NRC, NCTE had rejected the application of this institution for grant of recognition for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. and M.Ed. course on wrong, unjustified, unlawful, arbitrary and unconstitutional basis."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution which is a private university established under the statue of State Govt. of Rajasthan applied online seeking recognition for one basic unit of M.Ed. programme and 2 units of 4 year Integrated programme of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. The appellant institution


already stands recognition for 2 units each of B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. programmes apart from 100 seats of B.P.Ed and 40 seats of M.P.Ed programmes. The appellant University is also conducting MBA, BDS, B.Tech, B.Pharm, B.Com, BBM, B.Sc, BCA, MCA etc. This is narrated to appreciate the magnanimity and scale on which the appellant institution is functioning.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 01.04.2017 was issued to appellant institution seeking written representation within a period of 21 days. The very first deficiency pointed out in the SCN indicates that NRC has admitted that parent organisation of the appellant institution is a private University. The application/affidavit of the appellant institution was signed and authenticated by the Registrar of the University and details of all the programmes being conducted by the University are given alongwith the date of commencement of these programmes. As such there shall not be any question to the composite status of the institution. The land measuring 238400 Sq. feet was allotted for education purpose by the officer on Special Duty, City Development Corp., Udaipur, Rajasthan and NRC has already given recognition for conducting B.Ed., D.El.Ed, M.P.Ed and B.P.Ed. programmes on the said land. The appellant during the course of appeal presentation also submitted certified copies of land documents, building plan approved by the competent authority and Non Encumbrance Certificate issued by Tehsildar. Appeal Committee is of the view that it would have been more appropriate for the Regional Committee to have got conducted a composite Inspection to assess the requirement of land and built up area with earmarked space for each and every teacher education programme before arriving at a logical conclusion. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for reconsideration of the case. Appellant institution is also required to submit to NRC (i) original certified copies of all land documents, (ii) building plan with infrastructural details where the programmes are proposed to be conducted vis-à-vis location of existing programme (iii) Non Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Tehsildar with date indicated clearly on it.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for reconsideration of the

case keeping in view that appellant is a private University already conducting B.Ed., D.El.Ed. , M.P.Ed. and B.P.Ed. programmes alongwith other under graduate and post graduate courses. Appellant institution is required to submit to NRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders, (i) certified copies of land documents, (ii) building plan with infrastructural details approved by competent authorities and (iii) Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Tehsildar with date.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Pacific College of Teachers Education, Debari, Girwa, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Registrar, Pacific College of Teachers Education, Debari, Airport Road, Girwa – 313001, Rajasthan.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.**



F.No.89-617/E-18857/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Pacific College of Physical Education, Debari, Girwa, Rajasthan dated 31.07.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201616437/Diploma in Physical Education [D.P.Ed.]/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 17/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.P.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. The institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. The institution has submitted the approved Building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority, however, the details with regard to the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No. / Plot No., total land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc., have not been indicated thereon. The State Govt. of Rajasthan has banned the opening of new D.P.Ed. programme in the State. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Suresh Jat, Office Supdt., Pacific College of Physical Education, Debari, Girwa, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "That this institution had applied online for grant of recognition for D.P.Ed. on 29.06.2016 and the hard copy of the online application along with required documents was submitted to NRC, NCTE on 08.07.2016. That NRC, NCTE issued a show cause notice vide letter No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201616437/Diploma in Physical Education [D.P.Ed.]/SCN/RJ/2017-18/1 dated 03.03.2017 in which certain deficiencies were mentioned. That this institution had submitted the reply of SCN along with all required documents to NRC, NCTE on 22.03.2017. NRC, NCTE had


not considered the reply of SCN submitted by this institution on 22.03.2017 and rejected the application of this institution vide letter dated 31.05.2017. That Copy of Non-Encumbrance Certificate is annexed. That copies of land documents certified by Sub-Registrar, Udaipur-II are annexed. Copy of approved building map on which all information required as per Regulations 2014, are mentioned, is annexed and marked as annexure 10. That this institution is Private University established for imparting various education programme / course by an Act, Passed by Rajasthan Legislative assembly and notified through Gazette Notification on 29.04.2010 permission for running D.P.Ed. course is already granted by Govt. of Rajasthan in schedule II of the Act, So, the ban imposed for D.P.Ed. course for Private Colleges is not applicable to this University. copy of Act of this University is annexed. So, it is prayed that the rejection order issued by NRC, NCTE be set aside and directions be issued to NRC, NCTE for further processing of the application of this institution for grant of recognition for D.P.Ed. course."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 29.06.2016 seeking recognition for conducting D.P.Ed. programme. The name of the parent organisation as mentioned in the online application is Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University and the application and connected documents are signed by Registrar of the University. The appellant institution is already recognised by NCTE for conducting B.P.Ed. and M.P.Ed. programmes details of which are entered in the application performa. Appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 26.10.2017 submitted certified copy of land documents, a building plan approved by Secretary (Nagar Vikas Pargyas, Udaipur containing infrastructural details and copy of Non Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) issued by Tehsildar. As regards rejection on the ground that the state Govt. of Rajasthan has banned the opening of new D.P.Ed. programme in the state, NRC has not placed on record any such direction given by Sate Government and this condition was also not mentioned in the SCN dated 03.03.2017 thus denying the appellant institution an opportunity to submit a written representation. Appeal Committee also noted that NCTE in its Public Notice dated 9<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 did not mention the D.P.Ed. programme in the list of programmes for which applications were not be accepted in the state of Rajasthan.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for reconsidering the case keeping in view that it would be more appropriate to get a composite inspection of the institution conducted to assess the land and built up area requirements and ensure that there is earmarked space for each and every existing and proposed programme. Appellant institution is required to submit to NRC original certified copy of land documents, building plan containing all infrastructural details and latest NEC issued by the competent authority within 15 days of the issue of Appeal Order.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for reconsidering the case keeping in view that it would be more appropriate to get a composite inspection of the institution conducted to assess the land and built up area requirements and ensure that there is earmarked space for each and every existing and proposed programme. Appellant institution is required to submit to NRC original certified copy of land documents, building plan containing all infrastructural details and latest NEC issued by the competent authority within 15 days of the issue of Appeal Order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Pacific College of Physical Education, Debari, Girwa, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Registrar, Pacific College of Physical Education, Debari, Airport Road, Girwa – 313001, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-619/E-19412/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/11/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Jai Shri Dayal T.T. College, Neem Ka Thana, Kairwali, Rajasthan dated 11.08.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14723/261<sup>st</sup> Meeting/2016/164926 dated 10/01/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The reply to SCN dt. 15.09.2016 reveals that there are two separate institutions viz. Jai Shree Dayal T.T. College and Jai Shree Dayal Mahavidyalay. Jai Shree Dayal Teachers Training College has B.Ed. programme and has applied for B.A. B.Sc. B.Ed. integrated programme. Jai Shree Dayal T.T. College does not fulfil the requirement of composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Partap Singh, Secretary and Sh. Jai Chand, Accountant, Jai Shri Dayal T.T. College, Neem Ka Thana, Kairwali, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Jai Shree Dayal T T College and Jai Shree Dayal Mahavidhalay are in same society and same premises. So many institute recognized in that situation in past NRC meetings. So many standalone institutes were recognized in past NRC meetings and NCTE HQ also passed order earlier for standalone institute as proposed to be composite institute."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 29.06.2015 seeking recognition for conducting 4 year Integrated B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. programme. A Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 04.12.2015 was issued to appellant institution on grounds of non submission of the NOC issued by affiliating body. Appellant institution in reply to the SCN submitted copy of a NOC issued by affiliating University on 02.05.2016. Obviously the NOC issued by affiliating University after the cut of date for receipt of application was over,

- 2 -

was not acceptable and NRC should not have proceeded further by getting inspection of the institution conducted.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that impugned refusal order dated 10.01.2017 is on the ground that the appellant institution is a stand alone institution and with only B.Ed. programme being conducted does not fulfil the requirement of composite institution as per clause 2(b) of the NCTE Regulation 2014. Appeal Committee also noted that appellant in its reply to the SCN dated 15.09.2016 had informed NRC that 'Jai Shri Dayal Mahavidyalaya' being managed and run by the parent society is conducting under graduate classes with History, Hindi, English, Literature, Pol. Science, Geography, Sanskrit etc. in the same campus and thus fulfils the condition of 'Composite' institution. The temporary affiliation letter submitted by the appellant is dated July, 2009 and appellant could not submit before the Appeal Committee any evidence of having continued the undergraduate programme in either Science or Arts. Appellant in its written submission dated 26.10.2017 made before Appeal Committee admitted that Shri Dayal T.T. College is functional at present and Shri Dayal Mahavidyalaya is closed due to inadequate number of students. There is also no B.Sc. college being run by appellant institution.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee, thus concluded that appellant institution does not fulfil the requirement laid down in para 1.1. of Appendix 13 relating to Norms and Standards for the 4 year Integrated programme of B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. NRC thus instead of ensuring application of para 1.1 of Appendix 13 relating to integration of liberal studies with profession studies had wrongly quoted clause 2 (b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 in the impugned refusal order. The appellant institution is already having B.Ed. programme and is composite so far as clause 2 (b) of the NCTE Regulations 2014 is concerned. Since, the appellant institution has applied for 4 year Integrated course of B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed., it would have been more appropriate for the Regional Committee to have verified whether the appellant institution is well equipped to fulfil the condition laid down in para 1.1 of Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards for the 4 year programme.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee also noted that appellant had filed a Writ Petition no. 5409/2017 in the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan Bench




at Jaipur and Hon'ble High Court in its order dated 18.07.2017 had ordered that appeal filed by appellant be disposed of within four weeks.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee having considered all aspects of the case and orders of Hon'ble High Court decided to remand back the case to NRC for revisiting the case afresh keeping in view (a) Belated submission of NOC by appellant institution (b) Clause 2 (b) of NCTE Regulation is fulfilled (c) Para 1.1 of Appendix 13 of the Norms & Standards for 4 year Integrated programme for B.A., B.Ed./ B.Sc., B.Ed. is to made applicable. After revisiting the case NRC is required to issue appropriate speaking orders within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced to remand back the case to NRC for issue of appropriate speaking order keeping in view the points mentioned in para 7 above.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Jai Shri Dayal T.T. College, Neem Ka Thana, Kairwali, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Jai Shri Dayal T.T. College, Kairwali, Kotra Road, Neem Ka Thana, Kairwali – 332713, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-620/E-19296/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Madhav Mahavidyalay, Shankarpur, Malihabad, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh dated 13.08.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/Recognition/ D.El.Ed/2016/146821-7694 dated 02.05.2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, granting recognition for one unit (50 seats) of D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "Application was made for 2 units (100 seats.)"

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. V.D. Mishra, Chairman, Madhav Mahavidyalay, Shankarpur, Malihabad, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution has taken NOC for two units D.El.Ed. Programme. Institutional, infrastructural, teacher Approval facilities is for two units. We have given several reminders to NRC Jaipur to consider are case for two units but till date no updates have been given."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had submitted online application dated 23.06.2015 seeking recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. programme and applied for intake as per affidavit submitted was 2 units (100 seats). Committee further noted that inspection of the appellant institution was conducted on 30.04.2016 with a proposed intake of 2 units and V.T. recommended grant of recognition for 2 units.


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that NRC in its 252<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held from 19<sup>th</sup> April to 2<sup>nd</sup> May, 2016 had taken all the three decisions to (i) conduct inspection, (ii) issue LOI and (iii) issue final recognition for D.El.Ed. programme. It is surprising to find out that Inspection report dated 30.04.2016 was received in the office of NRC on 02.05.2016 and NRC based on the findings and recommendations of the Inspection Team decided to issue LOI on 02.05.2016. No formal Letter of Intent was issued under clause 7(13) and the appellant obtained the list of faculty approved

by Pariksha Niyamak Adhikari Allahabad on 02.05.2016 itself. NRC in its 252<sup>nd</sup> Meeting then decided to grant recognition for one unit without stating any reason for not granting two units as applied for by the appellant. The quick succession of events i.e. receipt of Inspection report, decision to grant LOI, approval of faculty, submission of compliance report and decision to grant recognition and then issue of formal recognition order on the same very date itself looks very shocking and surprising and beyond human competence.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution made several written representations to NRC for granting recognition for 2 units as applied for originally but did not prefer any appeal under section 18 of the NCTE Act within the prescribed time limit of 60 days as was suggested in para 9 of the impugned order dated 02.05.2016. The instant appeal is delayed by more than one year. Moreover, the impugned order was for granting recognition for one unit of D.El.Ed. programme for the session 2016-17. Appellant having deferred the filing of appeal for so long is now not entitled to grant of recognition for the 2<sup>nd</sup> unit during the session 2017-18. Appeal Committee in all fairness of the case therefore, decided to confirm the impugned recognition order dated 02.05.2016 (entry at serial no. 534).

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned recognition order dated 02.05.2016 (entry at serial no. 534).

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The President, Madhav Mahavidyalay, Shankarpur, 45, Malihabad, Lucknow – 227111, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-621/E-19433/2017 Appeal/20<sup>th</sup> Mtg. dt. 25 – 26/10/2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of A.B.R.L. Mahavidhyalay, Chandahar & Sadahara, Khalilabad & Dhanghata, Githani, Uttar Pradesh dated 12.08.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10604/270<sup>th</sup> (Part-2) Meeting/2017/176801 dated 07/06/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The applicant institution has not submitted the reply of the SCN within the stipulated time."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Manoj Kumar, Member, Managing Society for, A.B.R.L. Mahavidhyalay, Chandahar & Sadahara, Khalilabad & Dhanghata, Githani, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The rejection order has been passed in a cursory manner. The appellant has not received any show cause notice dated 17.02.2017. Because the order dated 07.06.2017 has been passed without affording any opportunity of hearing. The appellant institution has neither received any show cause notice nor he has not given any opportunity of hearing before passing the order dt. 07.06.2017. Because the rejection order is a clear infraction of Article 14 of the Constitution of India as Principle of Natural Justice are an inherent feature of Article 14 which guarantees equality."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that inspection of the appellant institution was conducted on 06.02.2016. The copy of minutes of 250<sup>th</sup> meeting of NRC placed on file indicate that it was decided to issue Letter of Intent (LOI) in part 2 of the meeting held on 21.02.2016. In the 262<sup>nd</sup> meeting of NRC held from 16 to 24 January, 2017, it was decided to issue Show Cause Notice (SCN) for not submitting compliance of LOI. SCN dated 17.02.2017 was issued to appellant institution seeking written representation within 30 days. Impugned refusal order dated 07.06.2017 is on the ground that appellant institution did not submit reply to SCN within stipulated period of 30 days.

**AND WHEREAS** appellant Committee noted the submission made by appellant is with regard to non receipt of the SCN. Appellant further submitted that list of faculty has been approved by affiliating body and the appellant is now prepared to submit full and final compliance to the LOI. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the compliance report which the appellant institution should submit to NRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC Jaipur for considering the full and final compliance of LOI. The appellant institution is required to submit to NRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order a full and final compliance report in response to the LOI dated 22.02.2016.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of A.B.R.L. Mahavidhyalay, Chandahar & Sadahara, Khalilabad & Dhanghata, Githani, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, A.B.R.L. Mahavidhyalay, Githini, Chandahar & Sadahara, Khalilabad & Dhanghata, Githani – 272164, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.