

4



F.No.89-559/E-10997/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Banuli and Ajiran Teachers Training College, Radhakrishna Pur, Sagar, West Bengal dated 03.07.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/232.8.6/ERCAPP2920/D.El.Ed/2016/53183 dated 02.05.2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "a) Show Cause Notice was issued on 08.12.2016 on the following grounds:- (i) Inspection letter was issued on 27.07.2016. (ii) Inspection not conducted so far. (iii) The institution vide letter dated 04.08.2016 requested to extend the time for inspection at least 1.5 years to complete the infrastructural works. (iv) The Committee has not accepted the requested to the institution. b) The Hon'ble High Court of Calcutta while disposing of W.P. No. 3525 (w) of 2015 dated 19.04.2016 have directed that "it is make clear that the NCTE would be at liberty to take such step against an educational institution in accordance with law, as deemed necessary. It is expected that the existing educational institution will made the representation to the NCTE within a fortnight from date. If such representation is not made within the time prescribed herein, the NCTE is at liberty to take such steps, in accordance with law, as is necessary against such educational institution". c) The Committee received the application and also reply of the institution dated 02.12.2016, requesting to extend 3 years more time for inspection of the institution which is not accepting by the Committee. d) The Committee considered the matter and found that the institution is still deficient on the grounds of show cause notice. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Cde No. ERCAPP2920 of the institution regarding permission of D.El.Ed. Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993".

AND WHEREAS Sh. Prabhakar Padhiary, Principal, Banuli and Ajiran Teachers Training College, Radhakrishna Pur, Sagar, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal

presentation it was submitted that "(1) The appellant society has already been granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. with an intake of 100. (2) They applied for D.El.Ed. course with an intake of 100 on 29.05.2015 to become a composite institution; (3) The ERC after verification of the documents constituted an inspection team for physical verification; (4) The appellant approached ERC to allow some more time for inspection as due to locational disadvantage and unavailability of resources, a small part of building was going on; (5) The appellant submitted a reply dt. 18.03.2016 to the show cause notice, which was not considered by the ERC; (6) After passing of one year 10 months and 22 days from the date of receipt of hard copies of the application, the ERC issued rejection order on 02.05.2017; (7) The appellant in their letters dt. 04.08.2016, 10.11.2016 and 02.12.2016 requested some time for inspection; and (8) The society has completed the requisite infrastructure as per NCTE Regulations and is in a position to satisfy the NCTE.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the ERC in their 215th meeting held on 26-27 May, 2016 decided to constitute a Visiting Team and issued a letter dt. 03.08.2016 to the appellant intimating that an inspection of their institution will be carried out between 25.07.2016 and 15.08.2016. The appellant, on the basis of a reported telephonic talk with the VT members, sent an undated letter to the ERC informing that their infrastructure and other requisites are not ready and it may take 1.5 years and they have not requested NCTE for inspection, which they are actually supposed to do. The appellant requested extension of time for inspection at least by 1.5 years and informed that when everything is ready, they will invite sending of the team. The appellant, also submitted a copy of their letter dt. 10.11.2016 addressed to the ERC stating that the inspection may be postponed till they request, after their buildings are complete. The ERC issued a show cause notice on 08.12.2016 informing that they do not accept the request for extension of time for at least 1.5 years. The appellant, on the basis of the proceedings of the ERC, sent a reply dt. 02.12.2016. In this reply the appellant stated that their institution is situated in an isolated Island, named Sagar Dwip surrounded by Bay of Bengal on three sides and a Distributary of River Hooghly in the fourth side, having no communication from outside except a pair of launches as per the timings of web and tide, totally alienated from the main stream. The appellant further stated that the construction work is in progress and they are trying their best to complete at the earliest. The appellant


requested extension of three years time, as suggested by the Hon'ble High Court, and the visiting team may not be sent for final inspection. The ERC, after considering the reply did not accede to the request and decided to refuse recognition.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the provisions of clause 7(7) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 inspection shall not be subject to the consent of the institution. Even otherwise the request for extension of time first by 1.5 years and later by three years which only indicates the un-preparedness of the appellant to conduct the programme applied for, is very unusual and therefore cannot be accepted. The Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court's order referred to by the appellant, is in the context of general compliance with the requirements of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 by the existing institutions and the Hon'ble High Court gave time of a fortnight (from 19.04.2016). It is not for grant of extension for inspection of the institutions, which have applied for different teacher training programmes.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, in view of the position stated above concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Banuli and Ajiran Teachers Training College, Radhakrishna Pur, Kakdwip Plot No. 2152, 2153, Lep Road, Sagar – 743373, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

5



F.No.89-560/E-11124/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER


WHEREAS the appeal of Bharti Vidyapeeth College, Rajaldesar front of Yuwa Bharti Stadium, Ratangarh, Rajasthan dated 01.07.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201616068/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 02/05/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. The institution has not submitted the Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. As per online application, the size of the plot no. 412 is 35666 sq. ft. which is not sufficient for existing and proposed course. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution.”

AND WHEREAS Sh. Sitaram Ojha, Principal and Sh. Nitesh Sharma, Director, Bharti Vidyapeeth College, Rajaldesar front of Yuwa Bharti Stadium, Ratangarh, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it was submitted that land documents certified by the concerned authority and receipt for taxes and the proper certificate are now attached. As per the land documents submitted at the time of reply to the show cause and now enclosed, they now have 6987.78 sq. yds, which is sufficient. The appellant enclosed a copy of certified patta dt. 10.07.2013 for 35666 Sq. ft, Non-Encumbrance certificate dt. 30.6.2017 issued by Nagar Palika, Rajaldwar for an area of 3962.78 Sq. yds and also a copy of the registered gift deed dt. 30.01.2017 by which an additional land measuring 2530 Sq. mts (One Bigha) has been acquired. In the course of personal presentation, the appellant orally submitted that they want only B.A.B.Ed. as they do not have B.Sc. course.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant acquired additional land of 2530 sq. mts. by gift on 30.01.2017, whereas they applied for grant of recognition on 31.05.2016. The Committee noted that according to the provisions of clause 8(4)(i) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the institution or the society sponsoring the institution should be in possession of the required land on the date of application, free from all encumbrance, either on ownership basis or on lease from Govt. or Govt. institutions, for a period of not less than 30 years. Since the appellant did not have the required land on the date of application as per the Regulations and acquired additional land later only, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected on this ground and the order of the NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Bharti Vidyapeeth College, Rajaldesar front of Yuwa Bharti Stadium, Ratangarh – 331802, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-562/E-10999/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Arul College of Education, Pali Ulundurpet Taluk Pali Main Road, Ulundurpet, Tamil Nadu dated 05.07.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP201630223/B.Sc.B.Ed/TN/2017-18/93196 dated 09/05/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "1. They were to give a faculty list of 6 new Assistant Professors. 2. The Faculty list now given by the contains the same 6 names which were found to be unacceptable. 3. In any case, this communication has been delivered on 03.05.2017, i.e., long after midnight of 2.5.17. It cannot, therefore, be accepted. 4. Reject the application. 5. Return the FDRs. 6. Close the file." The rejection is actually for the second unit of the B.Sc. B.Ed. course.

AND WHEREAS Sh. P. Alimuthu, Chairman, Arul College of Education, Pali Ulundurpet Taluk Pali Main Road, Ulundurpet, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that :-

1. They submitted two applications for two batches each of B.Sc. B.Ed. and B.A. B.Ed. respectively under code Nos. 30206 and 30223 for the year 2017-18.
2. After due process SRC granted recognition for one unit of B.Sc. B.Ed. in application with Code 30206 on 02.05.2017.
3. In the application with code 30223, after TNTEU refused to clear the faculty list for B.A.B.Ed., SRC cancelled the LOI issued for B.A. B.Ed. and issued LOI for one unit of B.Sc. B.Ed. i.e. the second basic unit on 02.05.2017.

4. The College, after obtaining faculty approval for 6 additional staff sent a e-mail to SRC on 02.05.2017 at 07:00 p.m. with a list of 16 faculty.
5. SRC, in their held on 02.05.2017, decided to issue a SCN on the grounds that the faculty list given is a total reproduction of the faculty list given in respect of the first unit; and as they have already given 1+9 faculty for the first unit, they should only give 2 Asst. Professors (for perspectives) and 4 Asst. Professors (for pedagogy) more.
6. The institution submitted a reply through e-mail on 02.05.2017 (7 p.m) with a list of 16 faculty members.
7. SRC issued a refusal order on 09.05.2017 observing that the faculty list contains the same 6 names which were not found to be acceptable and the communication has been delivered on 03.05.2017, long after midnight, which cannot be accepted.
8. Aggrieved by the order, the appellant filed a writ petition no. 12948 of 2017 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras. The Hon'ble High Court, in their order dt. 18.05.2017 directed the SRC to consider the application afresh and pass appropriate orders in the manner known to law, within a period of two weeks.
9. The institution gave a representation to SRC on 19.05.2017 praying recognition for the 2nd unit of B.Sc.B.Ed.
10. The SRC re-considered the matter on 8-9 June, 2017 and again rejected their case in their letter dt. 16.06.2017.
11. The institution has submitted a list of 16 faculty members including 6 new Asst. Professors previously. They submitted a list of one Principal and 9 Asst. Professors in case of the first unit and SRC asked them to replace two Asst. Professors in English and Tamil.

12. In respect of the list of faculty submitted for the first unit, SRC has not rejected the two Asst. Professors in English and Tamil, who were approved by TNTEU, but only suggested that they may be replaced (by Asst. Prof. in Phy. Sci and Asst. Prof. (Bio Science)).
13. While it is true that the faculty list of 16 submitted by e-mail on 02.05.2017 with a hard copy thereof contained the two Asst. Professors in English and Tamil, there were 4 additional Asst. professors also.
14. As regards the in-eligibility of Asst. Professors in Languages it is submitted that National Curriculum Framework (NCF) 2009 recognised the importance of language stream; para 4(1) (a) (iii) of the Norms and Standards for the 4-year Integrated programme states that the course shall be designed to enable the student teacher to develop communicative capabilities of the language in which they will teach (medium of instruction), the language courses will be taught by education faculty with specialisation in language pedagogy along with inputs from others with specialisation in language also; the faculty distribution for the integrated programme mentioned in the Norms and standards emphasises proficiency of teachers in language subjects; NCTE has not issued any specific instructions regarding subject specifications of faculty members for the B.Sc. B.Ed./ B.A., B.Ed. courses; SRC while allowing teachers for fine Arts and Performing Arts for B.Sc. B.Ed. course have themselves decided that for this course there is no need for faculty members in English and Tamil, which is a bad logic; and all colleges which have been granted recognition by the SRC for B.Sc. B.Ed. course for the academic years 2016-17 and 2017-18 have been allowed inclusion of two language pedagogy faculty members in their approved faculty list and their institution only has been singled out. The appellant specifically mentioned the cases of Gnanamani College of Integrated Education and Sathya Sai College of Education who were granted recognition for B.Sc. B.Ed. course by the SRC allowing inclusion of two language pedagogy teachers in their approved faculty list. The appellant, therefore, requested that the order of the SRC may be set aside and SRC directed to consider their application for grant of recognition positively.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appeal is not against the order of refusal of recognition for the second unit of the B.Sc. B.Ed. dt. 09.05.2017 only but also against the letter of the SRC dt. 16.06.2017 in response to the representation made by the appellant after the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras passed their order dt. 18.05.2017 for re-consideration of their application.

AND WHEREAS from the letter of the SRC dt. 16.06.2017, it is noted that their first objection is regarding the inclusion of the names of two Asst. Professors in English and Tamil in the list of additional 6 Asst. Professors required for the second unit of B.Sc. B.Ed, whose inclusion was objected at the time of granting recognition for the first unit of B.Sc. B.Ed. The SRC's second objection is that accepting the faculty received on the morning of 03.05.2017 would amount to violating the time limit prescribed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India for grant of recognition for the session 2017-18 i.e. 02.05.2017. The SRC also stated that even if all conditions are fulfilled, they are not empowered to reconsider the case for 2017-18 and advised the appellant to remove the infirmities in the faculty list and apply afresh whenever NCTE issues notification inviting applications.

AND WHEREAS from the procedural point of view, the Committee noted that according to the provisions of clause 7(13) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, after the issue of the Letter of Intent, the applicant has to submit to the Regional Committee, inter alia a list of faculty as approved by the affiliating body within a period of two months as the process of recruitment of teaching faculty with the approval of the affiliating body takes some time. The Committee noted that the SRC issued the Letter of Intent for the second unit of B.Sc. B.Ed. only on 02.05.2017 i.e. the last date prescribed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India for grant of formal recognition (under clause 7(16) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014). Notwithstanding this last minute issue of LOI, the appellant submitted the approved staff list on 03.05.2017. If the list cannot be considered for grant of recognition for 2017-18 session due to expiry of the last date, nothing prevents the SRC to take it up for consideration for the subsequent session as it is a case of valid pending application.

AND WHEREAS from the point of validity of inclusion of two Asst. Professors for English and Tamil duly approved by the affiliating University for the B.Sc. B.Ed.


-25-

Course, the appellant has not only furnished sufficient justification for their inclusion but also cited the instances of two institutions which were granted recognition for B.Sc. B.Ed. course by the SRC, accepting the inclusion of teaching faculty in languages.

AND WHEREAS in the above circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider all the submission of the appellant, regarding inclusion of two language faculty members in the total faculty required for two units of the B.Sc. B.Ed. course, in the light of the provisions of para 1.1 (preamble) of the Norms and Standards for the 4-year Integrated course and the fact that the appellant, at the time of application, was running B.Ed. course only and take further action regarding grant of recognition for the second unit of B.Sc. B.Ed. as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider all the submissions of the appellant regarding inclusion of two language faculty members in the total faculty required for two units of the B.Sc. B.Ed. course in the light of the provisions of para 1.1 (preamble) of the Norms and Standards for the 4-year Integrated course and the fact that the appellant, at the time of application, was running B.Ed. course only and take further action regarding grant of recognition for the second unit of B.Sc. B.Ed. as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Arul College of Education, Pali Ulundurpet Taluk Pali Main Road, Ulundurpet, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary/Appellant, Arul College of Education, Pali Ulundurpet Taluk Pali Main Road, Ulundurpet – 606104, Tamil Nadu.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-563/E-11200/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Maa Lalti Chandeshwar Jee Teacher Training College, Chapaur, Bihar dated 28.06.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/238.12.1 (Part-3)/APP3613/D.El.Ed./2017/52657 dated 30/04/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 16.12.2016 on the following grounds: (i) VT letter issued on 16.04.2016. (ii) The VT member through e-mail dated 07.10.2016 has informed that the institution has given consent over telephone to do the inspection after Diwali. (iii) The committee has not accepted the request of the institution for extension of time. (iv) As per NCTE Regulations, 2014, the inspection is not conducted as per willingness of the institution. b. In response, the institution submitted reply vide letter dated 03.01.2017 which is not accepted by the Committee. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP3613 of the institution regarding recognition of D.El.Ed. Programme is refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Vijay Kumar, Member, Maa Lalti Chandeshwar Jee Teacher Training College, Chapaur, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they applied for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed course on 26.6.2015; after completion of the building they were waiting for a communication from ERC for inspection; they were shocked to receive a show cause notice dt. 16.12.2016; they replied to the show cause notice on 03.01.2017 inter alia stating that the institution had no knowledge of any Visiting Team being constituted and did not receive any oral or written communication regarding inspection, they never sought any extension of time for inspection from the Visiting Team, and requested for an inspection at the earliest. As they did not receive any response to their letter dt. 03.01.2017 they sent


a reminder to the ERC on 08.04.2017, requesting constitution of a Visiting Team for inspection. The ERC without considering their reply / letter dt. 03.01.2017 and 08.04.2017, in a cursory manner, refused recognition on 30.04.2017. The appellant requested that the order of the ERC dt. 30.04.2017 may be set aside and the institution granted recognition.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the ERC, placing reliance on an E-mail received from a member of the Visiting Team, issued the show cause notice on 16.12.2016. The Committee noted that according to the letter dt. 16.04.2016 for inspection, the inspection was to take place on any day within 20 days from the date of this communication. The VT members chose to send the E-mail on 07.10.2016, nearly after five and half months.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting the submissions of the appellant and the contents of their two letters dt. 03.01.2017 and 08.04.2017, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to conduct an inspection of the institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to E.R.C. with a direction to conduct an inspection of the institution.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Maa Lalti Chandeshwar Jee Teacher Training College, Chapaur, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Maa Lalti Chandeshwar Jee Teacher Training College, Chapaur – 804453, Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-564/E-11327/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Maa Lalti Chandeshwar Jee Teacher Training College, Chapaur, Bihar dated 28.06.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/239.8.23/APP3612/B.Ed./2017/52917 dated 02/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 16.12.2016 on the following grounds: (i) VT letter issued on 16.04.2016. (ii) The VT member through e-mail dated 07.10.2016 has informed that the institution has given consent over telephone to do the inspection after Diwali. (iii) The committee has not accepted the request of the institution for extension of time. (iv) As per NCTE Regulations, 2014, the inspection is not conducted as per willingness of the institution. b. In response, the institution vide letter dated 08.04.2017 has requested for conducting inspection, which is not accepted by the Committee. c. As the D.El.Ed. application (Code No. ERCAPP3613) has been refused in 238th ERC Meeting, hence, the B.Ed. application comes under the category of standalone institution, which is not accepted as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP3612 of the institution regarding recognition of B.Ed. Programme is refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Vijay Kumar, Member, Maa Lalti Chandeshwar Jee Teacher Training College, Chapaur, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they applied for grant of recognition for B.Ed. course on 26.06.2015; after completion of the building they were waiting for a communication from ERC for inspection; they were shocked to receive a show cause notice dt. 16.12.2016; they replied to the show cause notice on 03.01.2017 inter alia stating that the institution had no knowledge of any Visiting Team being constituted and did not receive any oral or written communication regarding inspection, they


never sought any extension of time for inspection from the Visiting Team, and requested for an inspection at the earliest. As they did not receive any response to their letter dt. 03.01.2017 they sent a reminder to the ERC on 08.04.2017 requesting constitution of a Visiting Team for inspection. The ERC without considering their reply / letter dt. 03.01.2017 and 08.04.2017, in a cursory manner, refused recognition on 30.04.2017. The appellant requested that the order of the ERC dt. 30.04.2017 may be set aside and the institution granted recognition.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the ERC, placing reliance on an E-mail received from a member of the Visiting Team, issued the show cause notice on 16.12.2016. The Committee noted that according to the letter dt. 16.04.2016 for inspection, the inspection was to take place on any day within 20 days from the date of this communication. The VT members chose to send the E-mail on 07.10.2016, nearly after five and half months.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting the submissions of the appellant and the contents of their two letters dt. 03.01.2017 and 08.04.2017, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to conduct an inspection of the institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to E.R.C. with a direction to conduct an inspection of the institution.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Maa Lalti Chandeshwar Jee Teacher Training College, Chapaur, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Maa Lalti Chandeshwar Jee Teacher Training College, Patna Masaurhi Road, Chapaur – 804453, Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-565/E-11469/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Usha Hiranmaye Teachers Training Institute D.El.Ed. and B.Ed., Hukahara, Jalangi, West Bengal dated 29.06.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/239.8.16/ERCAPP2987/D.El.Ed./2017/52831 dated 02/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 03.04.2017 on the following grounds: (i) Inspection letter issued on 24.12.2016. (ii) Prof. G. Lokananda Reddy, VT expert vide e-mail dated 07.01.2017 reported that the Management of the institution is not ready for inspection. (iii) As per NCTE Regulation 2014, inspection of the institution shall not be conducted as per the consent of the institution. b. In response, the institution vide letter dated 15.04.2017 has mentioned that due to personal grounds, inspection could not be conducted and requested to conduct the inspection on another date, which is not accepted by the Committee. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP2987 of the institution regarding recognition of D.El.Ed. Programme is hereby refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Nripendra Nath Mondal, Secretary, Usha Hiranmaye Teachers Training Institute D.El.Ed. and B.Ed., Hukahara, Jalangi, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that after issue of the letter dt. 19.12.2016 for conducting inspection between 14.12.2016 and 02.01.2017, Professor G. Lokanandha Reddy, one of the member of the Visiting Team, informed via e-mail dt. 20.12.2016 that they have planned to visit their institution on 29th & 30th December 2016 for inspection. While they were ready for inspection on the proposed dates, the VT members did not visit the institution. Later, one of the

members of the VT contacted them in the first week of January, 2017 and informed that they are willing to conduct inspection on 16.01.2016 and 17.01.2016 (the correct dates should be 16.01.2017 and 17.01.2017). By that time one of their relatives got sick and they had to stay outside the State to attend to the ailing relative. They informed the member of the VT well in advance and requested them to fix another day for inspection. In response to the show cause notice dt. 31.03.2017, they submitted a reply dt. 15.04.2017 to the ERC tendering their sincere apology for not arranging the inspection on 16th and 17th January, 2017 and requesting another opportunity for conducting inspection. The ERC has not given them a reasonable opportunity and refused recognition. The appellant requested that their institution may be inspected.


AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the Letter dt. 19.12.2016, the inspection of the institution was to be conducted between 14.12.2016 and 02.01.2017. The appellant has not submitted a copy of any e-mail reported to have been sent to them by Prof. G. Lokanandha Reddy, a member of the VT, proposing their visit to the institution on 29th and 30th December, 2016. On the other hand, the file of the ERC contains a detailed letter sent by Prof. G. Lokanandha Reddy by e-mail on 07.01.2017 in which it is mentioned that both the VT members contacted the management of the institution on phone and informed about their proposed visit on 16th and 17th January, 2017, but the management phoned them and said that their institution is not ready for inspection. Prof. Reddy also informed that he had to cancel the air ticket and mentioned that the institution twice postponed the visit citing petty reasons. The appellant in their reply to the show cause notice, merely stated that during January 2017, the inspection could not take place as he was out of station for treatment of one of his relative and apologised for the same.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the provisions of clause 7(7) of the NCTE Regulations, 2017, inspection shall not be subject to the consent of the institution. The Committee, noting the detailed contents of Prof. G. Lokanandha Reddy's letter sent on 07.01.2017, which substantiates the unpreparedness of the appellant institution for the proposed inspection, concluded

that the ERC was justified in refusing recognition and the therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Usha Hiranmaye Teachers Training Institute D.El.Ed. and B.Ed., Hukahara, Jalangi – 742305, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-566/E-11468/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Usha Hiranmaye Teachers Training Institute D.El.Ed. and B.Ed., Hukahara, Jalangi, West Bengal dated 29.06.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/239.8.17/ERCAPP2992/B.Ed./2017/52832 dated 02/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 31.03.2017 on the following grounds: (i) Inspection letter was issued on 24.12.2016. (ii) Prof. G. Lokananda Reddy, VT expert vide e-mail dated 07.01.2017 reported that the Management of the institution is not ready for inspection. (iii) As per NCTE Regulation 2014, inspection of the institution shall not be conducted as per the consent of the institution. b. In response, the institution vide letter dated 15.04.2017 has mentioned that due to personal grounds, inspection could not be conducted and requested to conduct the inspection on another date, which is not accepted by the Committee. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP2992 of the institution regarding recognition of B.Ed. Programme is hereby refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Nripendra Nath Mondal, Secretary, Usha Hiranmaye Teachers Training Institute D.El.Ed. and B.Ed., Hukahara, Jalangi, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that after issue of the letter dt. 19.12.2016 for conducting inspection between 14.12.2016 and 02.01.2017, Professor G. Lokanandha Reddy, one of the member of the Visiting Team, informed via e-mail dt. 20.12.2016 that they have planned to visit their institution on 29th & 30th December 2016 for inspection. While they were ready for inspection on the proposed dates, the VT members did not visit the institution. Later one of the members of the VT contacted them in the first week of January, 2017 and informed

that they are willing to conduct inspection on 16.01.2016 and 17.01.2016 (the correct dates should be 16.01.2017 and 17.01.2017). By that time one of their relatives got sick and they had to stay outside the State to attend to the ailing relative. They informed the member of the VT well in advance and requested them to fix another day for inspection. In response to the show cause notice dt. 31.03.2017, they submitted a reply dt. 15.04.2017 to the ERC tendering their sincere apology for not arranging the inspection on 16th and 17th January, 2017 and requesting another opportunity for conducting inspection. The ERC has not given them a reasonable opportunity and refused recognition. The appellant requested that their institution may be inspected.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the Letter dt. 19.12.2016, the inspection of the institution was to be conducted between 14.12.2016 and 02.01.2017. The appellant has not submitted a copy of any e-mail reported to have been sent to them by Prof. G. Lokanandha Reddy, a member of the VT, proposing their visit to the institution on 29th and 30th December, 2016. On the other hand, the file of the ERC contains a detailed letter sent by Prof. G. Lokanandha Reddy by e-mail on 07.01.2017 in which it is mentioned that both the VT members contacted the management of the institution on phone and informed about their proposed visit on 16th and 17th January, 2017, but the management phoned them and said that their institution is not ready for inspection. Prof. Reddy also informed that he had to cancel the air ticket and mentioned that the institution twice postponed the visit citing petty reasons. The appellant in their reply to the show cause notice, merely stated that during January 2017, the inspection could not take place as he was out of station for treatment of one of his relative and apologised for the same.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the provisions of clause 7(7) of the NCTE Regulations, 2017, inspection shall not be subject to the consent of the institution. The Committee, noting the detailed contents of Prof. G. Lokanandha Reddy's letter sent on 07.01.2017, which substantiates the unpreparedness of the appellant institution for the proposed inspection, concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing recognition and the therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Usha Hiranmaye Teachers Training Institute D.El.Ed. and B.Ed., Hukahara, Jalangi – 742305, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-567/E-11489/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER


WHEREAS the appeal of Dakshin Dinajpur B.Ed. College, Fulbari, Gazole-Balurghat, Gangarampur, West Bengal dated 30.06.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/239.8.21 (Part-2)/ERCAPP201645170/D.El.Ed./2017/52898 dated 02/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 02.03.2017 on the following grounds: (i) NOC issued from the affiliating body is not submitted. (ii) As per building plan, the total built-up area mentioned is 3139 sq. mtrs, which is less than the required 4000 sq. mtrs. stipulated for existing B.Ed. (100 intake) + proposed D.El.Ed. (100 intake) as per Regulations, 2014. b. In response, the institution vide letter dated 17.04.2017 has requested to allow two months' time for submission of NOC from the affiliating body, which is not accepted by the Committee. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP201645170 of the institution regarding recognition of D.El.Ed. Programme is hereby refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Japan Kanji Majumder, Secretary, Dakshin Dinajpur B.Ed. College, Fulbari, Gazole-Balurghat, Gangarampur, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 20.10.2017, it was submitted that they requested to allow two months' time for submission of NOC from the affiliating body which is not accepted by the Committee. The total built-up area of the institution is 3139 Sq. Mts. They applied for D.El.Ed. for 50 intake which is sufficient for 50 intake of D.El.Ed. and existing 100 intake of B.Ed as per NCTE Regulation, 2014 but it is a matter of immense surprise why the Committee mentioned 100 intake of D.El.Ed.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that, as submitted by the appellant, they applied for one unit (50 intake) in D.El.Ed. course and not for 100 intake. In reply to the show cause notice also this has been mentioned. The Committee, however, noted that according to the provisions of clause 5 (3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, a No Objection Certificate (NOC) issued by the concerned affiliating body shall be submitted along with the hard copy of the on-line application. The appellant, who submitted the hard copy of their application on 31.05.2016, has not yet obtained the required NOC and thus does not fulfil this requirement of the Regulations. In the circumstances, the Committee concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Dakshin Dinajpur B.Ed. College, Fulbari, Gazole-Balurghat 512 NH, Gangarampur – 733140, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

13



F.No.89-568/E-11557/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Krishnasamy College of Education for Women, Manapattu Varkal Odai, Bahour Commune, Pondicherry dated 07.07.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP201630138/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed/PO/2017-18/93164. dated 08/05/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "1. No reply to the SCN has been received. 2. NOC of the affiliating body is not given. This is not a rectifiable deficiency. 3. Reject the application. 4. Return FDRs, if any. 5. Close the file."


AND WHEREAS Dr. M. Sireesha, Chief Executive Director, Krishnasamy College of Education for Women, Manapattu Varkal Odai, Bahour Commune, Pondicherry presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The building plan approved by Pondicherry Planning Authority in the year 2012 was slightly altered to provide the facilities as per the new regulation 2014. Under this circumstance they applied to Pondicherry Planning Authority with the revised plan as per the actual construction in the college campus, Block A to F. For the past four months, they were sincerely waiting for the revised approved plan, occupancy certificate and building completion certificate. They expected all the certificates well before the period given in the 21 days show cause notice dated 6.04.2017. Moreover, by that time they had received the NOC from the Pondicherry university for B.Sc. B.Ed. and B.A. B.Ed. Programme based on the Affiliation Inspection Team visit on 22.02.2017 by the College Developmental Council of the Pondicherry University. Although they received NOC from Pondicherry University, they could not send it to NCTE office immediately as they were expecting approved plan and completion certificate from PPA Pondicherry Government every day. After filing of the appeal on line they received a letter from Puducherry Planning Authority informing that their proposal

was agreed to in principle. They regretted for this lapse on their part and requested the Appellate Authority to kindly condone their action. Different types of regulations are adopted by the affiliating university for the issue of NOC. Hence NOC could not be enclosed along with application. As per NCTE Regulations 5.5 all completed applications have to be submitted before 31st May of the preceding year from the academic session for which recognition is sought for whereas as per the regulations of the Pondicherry University to which their institution is affiliated application for NOC is to be submitted only by 31st December of the preceding year from the academic session for which NOC is sought for.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant did not send any reply to the show cause notice and the Pondicherry University issued a No Objection Certificate only on 11/17.04.2017. According to the provisions of clause 5(3) of the NCTE Regulations 2014, a No Objection Certificate (NOC) issued by the concerned affiliating body shall be submitted along with the hard copy of the on-line application. Since the appellant, who has submitted the on-line application on 28.06.2016, has not fulfilled this requirement and obtained the NOC only on 11/17.4.2017, the Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Krishnasamy College of Education for Women, Manapattu Varkal Odai, Bahour Commune – 607402, Pondicherry.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Pondicherry.



F.No.89-569/E-11958/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of West Bengal Educational Institute (WBEI), Nimaipur, Simlapal, Bankura, West Bengal dated 08.07.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/239.12.8(Part-2)/ERCAPP2835/D.El.Ed./2017/52901 dated 02/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 12.04.2017 on the following grounds: (i) VT letter issued on 26.12.2016. (ii) An e-mail 23.01.2017 & 25.02.2017 received from both the VT experts namely Dr. Manoj Kumar and Dr. Pradeep Kumar Tiwari informed that the institution is not ready for inspection. (iii) As per NCTE Regulation 2014, inspection of the institution shall not be conducted as per the consent of the institution. b. In response, the institution vide letter dated 18.04.2017 has requested to conduct the inspection now, which is not accepted by the Committee. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP2835 of the institution regarding recognition of D.El.Ed. Programme is hereby refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."


AND WHEREAS Sh. Swadesh Dutta, Secretary, West Bengal Educational Institute (WBEI), Nimaipur, Simlapal, Bankura, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that no letter regarding VT was issued to them. None visited their college for inspection. On 17 January, 2017 an email was received by them which reads that the date of inspection to their college was between 26 Dec 2016 and 14 Jan 2017. They informed the matter to the Member Secretary NCTE and the Regional Director ERC NCTE immediately. They never requested for re-inspection as inspection of their college has not been conducted at all. In a letter dt. 23.10.2017, the appellant informed that their institution building was

completed on 10.03.2016 and other necessary infrastructure was ready before the time and hence there was no reason for delay from their side.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting the submissions of the appellant concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to conduct an inspection of the institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to ERC with a direction to conduct an inspection of the institution.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of West Bengal Educational Institute (WBEI), Nimaipur, Simlapal, Bankura, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, West Bengal Educational Institute (WBEI), Nimaipur, Simlapal, Bankura – 722151, West Bengal.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-570/E-11960/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of West Bengal Educational Institute (WBEI), Nimaipur, Simlapal, Bankura, West Bengal dated 08.07.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/239.12.7(Part-2)/ERCAPP2974/B.Ed./2017/53254 dated 02/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 18.04.2017 on the following grounds: (i) VT letter issued on 26.12.2016. (ii) An e-mail 23.01.2017 & 25.02.2017 received from both the VT experts namely Dr. Manoj Kumar and Dr. Pradeep Kumar Tiwari informed that the institution is not ready for inspection. (iii) As per NCTE Regulation 2014, inspection of the institution shall not be conducted as per the consent of the institution. b. In response, the institution vide letter dated 18.04.2017 has requested to conduct the inspection now, which is not accepted by the Committee. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP2974 of the institution regarding recognition of B.Ed. Programme is hereby refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."


AND WHEREAS Sh. Swadesh Dutta, Secretary, West Bengal Educational Institute (WBEI), Nimaipur, Simlapal, Bankura, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that no letter regarding VT was issued to them. None visited their college for inspection. On 17 January, 2017 an email was received by them which reads that the date of inspection to their college was between 26 Dec 2016 and 14 Jan 2017. They informed the matter to the Member Secretary NCTE and the Regional Director ERC NCTE immediately. They never requested for re-inspection as inspection of their college has not been conducted at all. In a letter dt. 23.10.2017, the appellant informed that their institution building was completed on

10.03.2016 and other necessary infrastructure was ready before the time and hence there was no reason for delay from their side.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting the submissions of the appellant concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to conduct an inspection of the institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to ERC with a direction to conduct an inspection of the institution.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of West Bengal Educational Institute (WBEI), Nimaipur, Simlapal, Bankura, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, West Bengal Educational Institute (WBEI), Nimaipur, Simlapal, Bankura – 722151, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-572/E-11952/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Sai Institute of Teacher Education, Laxman Garhi, Khair Road, Khair, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 07.07.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-2341/264th (Part-1) Meeting/2017/168624 dated 09/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "the Committee observed that the institution has not submitted the reply of the SCN dated 11.11.2016 issued by NRC. The Committee therefore, decided to withdraw the recognition of the institution for D.El.Ed. course under Section – 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993."

AND WHEREAS the submission of the appeal has been delayed by one month and 29 days beyond the prescribed time limit of 60 days. The appellant submitted that the delay was on account of their Secretary suffering from serious sickness. The Committee, considering the submission of the appellant, decided to condone the delay and take up the appeal.


AND WHEREAS Dr. Ankit Gupta, Director, Sri Sai Institute of Teacher Education, Laxman Garhi Khair Road, Khair, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they have received withdrawal order dated March 9, 2017 on March 14, 2017 and on the same date they had informed Regional Director, NRC, NCTE Jaipur that they have not received show cause Notice dated 11.11.2016 and requested to issue again this notice through their letter no. SITE/2017/03-01 dated 14.03.2017, but till now there is not any response from the NRC. The appellant enclosed a copy of their letter dt. 14.03.2017.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant's letter dt. 14.03.2017 requesting for a copy of the show notice, which they claim had not been received,

was sent to the NRC by speed post. However, this letter is not found in the file of the NRC. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to re-issue their show cause notice dt. 11.11.2016 to the appellant and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. In the meanwhile, the withdrawal order shall be kept in abeyance.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to re-issue their show cause notice dt. 11.11.2016 to the appellant and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. In the meanwhile, the withdrawal order shall be kept in abeyance.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Sai Institute of Teacher Education, Laxman Garhi, Khair Road, Khair, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Sri Sai Institute of Teacher Education, Laxman Garhi Khair Road, Khair, Aligarh – 202001, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-585/E-12217/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of ICFAI University, Kamalghat, Agartala Simna Road, Fatikcherra, Tripura dated 11.07.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/229.8.9/ERCAPP3636/M.Ed./2015/51197 dated 28/01/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 09.11.2016 on the following grounds: (i) The submitted building plan is not signed either by Govt. Engineer or University Engineer indicating total land and built-up area for the proposed programme. b. In response to SCN, the institution submitted reply vide letter dated 08.11.2016 (on the basis of proceedings uploaded in ERC website) along with building plan. The ERC considered the representation of the institution and found that the institution is still deficient on the following grounds: (i) The submitted building plan is not approved by Govt. Engineer. (ii) NAAC Accreditation / LOI from NAAC is not submitted. (iii) Land possession / Mutation Certificate issued from Land Revenue / concerned Govt. Department is not submitted. (iv) Land use certificate is not submitted. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP3636 of the institution regarding permission for M.Ed. Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993".

AND WHEREAS the submission of the appeal has been delayed by three months and 14 days beyond the prescribed period of 60 days. The appellant submitted that they tried to submit the appeal in time but due to site problems, they failed to submit and communicated to the NCTE office through e-mail and also speed post dt. 14.04.2017. The appellant enclosed a copy of their letter dt. 14.04.2017 explaining their inability to upload data due to technical problems in the NCTE website. The Committee considering the submission of the appellant decided to condone the delay and take up the appeal.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ranganath, Registrar and Sh. Biplab Haldar, Pro. Vice-Chancellor, ICFAI University, Kamalghat, Agartala Simna Road, Fatikcherra, Tripura presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation the appellant submitted a copy of the Building drawing, Master Plan and floor wise plan duly signed by Govt. Engineer, Panchayet Samiti and Building planner; a copy of the Mutation order issued by Deputy Collector & Magistrate, Mohanpur Revenue Circle, Govt. of Tripura; and a copy of Land utilization certificate issued by Officer Incharge, Revenue Department, Govt. of Tripura. Regarding NAAC accreditation, the appellant submitted that as per NCTE Norms in Appedix -5, point no. 2(ii), university Deptt. of Education is eligible to apply.


AND WHEREAS in the course of presentation, the appellant gave a letter dt. 23.10.2017, in which it is stated, that they have been working on the NAAC documentation for more than one year and in between NAAC format has changed and their site is opened from 1st to 17th November, 2017 for collecting fresh applications. The appellant also stated that they shall submit NAAC application by the first week of November, 2017 as per new format and submit proof regarding the same. The appellant, further stated that they shall submit building plan signed by competent Govt. Engineer. The appellant therefore requested for grant of additional time for submission of the documents related to NAAC and building plan.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, after the hearing held on 23.10.2017, sent a letter dt. 11.11.2017, enclosing building plans duly signed by a State Govt. Engineer and a copy of their on-line application AISHE-ID- U-494 for NAAC accreditation submitted on 11.11.2017 paying a registration fee of Rs. 29,500/- on 10.11.2017.

AND WHEREAS in view of these developments, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider all the documents to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in appeal and those enclosed to their letter dt. 11.11.2017 to the ERC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider all the documents to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in appeal and those enclosed to their letter dt. 11.11.2017 to the ERC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of ICFAI University, Kamalghat, Agartala Simna Road, Fatikcherra, Tripura to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Registrar, ICFAI University, Kamalghat, Agartala Simna Road, Fatikcherra – 799210, Tripura.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tripura, Agartala.



F.No.89-576/E-12912/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sarvapalli Dr. Radhakrishan Vikas Sansthan, Bagidora, Rengniya, Rajasthan dated 30.06.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615225/B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc.B.Ed.-4 year Integrated/RJ/ 2017-18/2 dated 24.03.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Point 5 land conversion receipt."


AND WHEREAS the submission of the appeal has been delayed by one month and seven days beyond the prescribed period of 60 days. The appellant, in their letter dt. 23.10.2017, submitted that as no reply has been received from NRC after submission of their reply to the Show Cause Notice, there was delay in preferring the appeal. The Committee noting the submission of the appellant, decided to condone the delay and consider the appeal.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ashishvava, Member, Sarvapalli Dr. Radhakrishan Vikas Sansthan, Bagidora, Rengniya, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the course of personal presentation, the appellant submitted two revised land conversion orders dt. 12.07.2017 for an area of 1500 Sq. mts. and 3600 Sq. mts.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the refusal was on the ground of documents for land conversion. Since the appellant has submitted the land conversion orders dt. 12.07.2017, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the land conversion orders, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the land conversion orders submitted in appeal, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the land conversion orders, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the land conversion orders submitted in appeal, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sarvapalli Dr. Radhakrishan Vikas Sansthan, Bagidora, Rengniya, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary/Appellant, Sarvapalli Dr. Radhakrishan Vikas Sansthan, Bagidora, Rengniya – 327601, Rajasthan.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-577/E-13363/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of National College of Education, Gopidhanwat, Nunia Tola, Pokhraise, Bihar dated 20.07.2017 is against the Order No. ER-239.6.114(Part-4)/ID No.-9057/B.Ed. (Addl. Intake)/2017/52792 dated 02/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting B.Ed. course of one unit (50 intake). The appellant wants recognition for two units (100 intake).

AND WHEREAS Sh. Subodh Kumar, President, National College of Education, Gopidhanwat, Nunia Tola, Pokhraise, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "they applied for additional intake of two units. The accommodation was for two units. The faculty arrangements were complete and in order for two units. Even inspection has been done by Eastern Regional committee for two units. The recognition has been granted only for intake of additional one unit. The action of regional committee is against the principles of natural justice. No opportunity was provided before passing the orders of allowing only additional intake of one unit instead of two units as applied for. The appellant, in a letter dt. 23.10.2017 given in the course of personal presentation, submitted that in the proceedings of the 239th meeting of the ERC held from 28th April to 2nd May, 2017, the objection raised against their institution was that the faculty list comprises of one Mathematics teacher. The appellant submitted that there were two mathematics teachers in the list submitted to the ERC, namely, Shri Rajendar Kumar-II (at S.No. 3) and Shri Ram Gopal Singh (at S.No. 7). The appellant also enclosed a copy of the list.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that in the list of faculty submitted by the appellant in response to the Letter of intent, against the name of Shri Rajendra

Kumar, the subject of teaching was indicated as 'Perspectives in Education' while against the name of Shri Ram Gopal Singh, the subject of teaching was indicated as 'Math' Perhaps, this might have led the ERC to come to the conclusion that there was only one teacher for Maths.


AND WHEREAS the appellant, after issue of the recognition order for only one unit (50) of additional intake on 02.05.2016, sent a letter to the ERC, which was received on 19.05.2017. Along with this letter, the appellant sent a copy of the staff list which has been countersigned by the Registrar, BRA Bihar University in which against the name Shri Rajendra Kumar (at. S.No. 3), the subject of teaching was still shown as 'Perspectives in Education'. However, in a statement showing the names of teaching staff, subject wise, and signed by the Chairman of the College, Shri Rajendra Kumar is shown as having P.G. in Maths. In the statement of marks in the M.Sc. (Final), 2016, issued by Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur in respect of Shri Rajendra Kumar and enclosed to this letter, the subject is shown as 'Mathematics'. The appellant has enclosed all these documents to their letter dt. 23.10.2017, submitted in the appeal.

AND WHEREAS in view of the position explained above, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider the submission of the appellant about their having two lecturers in Maths, and take further action on the appellant's request for one more additional unit (50 intake) of B.Ed. as per the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to ERC with a direction to consider the submission of the appellant about their having two lecturers in Maths, and take further action on the appellant's request for one more additional unit (50 intake) of B.Ed. as per the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

- 3 -

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of National College of Education, Gopidhanwat, Nunia Tola, Pokhraira, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary/Appellant, National College of Education, Gopidhanwat, Nunia Tola, Pokhraira – 843106, Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-579/E-13765/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

ORDER

Date: 7/12/17

WHEREAS the appeal of Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teachers Education, Kavarampally Sivar, Narayanpet, Mahabubnagar, Telangana dated 02.05.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP14884/B.Sc.B.Ed/TS/2017-18/92346 dated 10/03/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, rejecting their application for grant of recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "1. In the 331st Meeting it was decided to issue FR for B.Sc. B.Ed. (2 units) w.e.f. 2017-18 after they submitted FDRs. 2. Subsequent scrutiny has revealed that they presented photocopies of FDRs that had already been committed to another course started earlier. 3. In the light of this misrepresentation, the earlier decision is reviewed and cancelled. Do not issue FR for B.Sc. B.Ed. (2 units). 4. Reject the application. 5. Close the file."

AND WHEREAS Sh. G. Panduranga Reddy, Secretary, Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teachers Education, Kavarampally Sivar, Narayanpet, Mahabubnagar, Telangana presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 23.10.2017 it was submitted that they have separate FDR's for new courses and existing B.Ed. courses. All the Four (4) sets of FDR's were shown and submitted to the competent authority and inspection team also. Once again, they are herewith presenting all the 4 sets of FDR's for perusal. In view of the said fact kindly consider the appeal and formal recognition may be sanctioned to proposed B.Sc. B.Ed. (4 Years). FDR Details:

- I. Presently applied B.Sc. B.Ed. (4 years) course. FDR no. 196149 SBH Ibrahimpatnam dated 01/10/2010 valued to Rs. 853890/-. FDR No. 196450 (this should be 196150) SBH Ibrahimpatnam dated 01/10/2010 valued to Rs. 512329/-.

II. 10.06.2016 applied B.A. B.Ed. (4 years) course. FDR No. 196151 SBH Ibrahimpatnam dated 01/10/2010 valued to Rs. 853890/-. FDR No. 196148 SBH Ibrahimpatnam dated 01/10/2010 valued to Rs. 512329.

III. Apart from this they have another 2 sets of FDR's of Andhra Bank Nampally branch details are given below:

FDR No. 735911 Andhra Bank Nampally dated 05.12.2016 valued to Rs. 1427774/-

FDR No. 735914 Andhra Bank Nampally dated 05.12.2016 valued to Rs. 854948/-.

FDR No. 735912 Andhra Bank Nampally dated 05.12.2016 valued to Rs. 1427724/-

FDR No. 735913 Andhra Bank Nampally dated 05.12.2016 valued to Rs. 854962/-.

IV. Existing B.Ed. (2 years) course joint FDR's.

FDR No. 575305 SBH Ibrahimpatnam dated 21.07.2009 valued to Rs. 1090208/-.


FDR No. 575306 SBH Ibrahimpatnam dated 21.07.2009 valued to Rs. 654124/-.

They have kept the FDR's in the name Society i.e. Vyjayanthi Educational Society for the prescribed amount. After getting the formal recognition order they will submit the joint FDR's for proposed new course."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant wrote a letter dt. 8.3.2017, after the SRC in their 332nd Meeting held on 28th Feb to 4th March, 2017, decided to reject the application, and another letter dt. 4.5.2017 after issue of the rejection order on 10.3.2017, explaining the position about the FDRs for various courses, which could not be considered by the SRC. Since, the only issue pending after the SRC decided to grant recognition is submission of FDRs, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the clarifications furnished by the appellant about the FDRs and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to consider the clarification furnished by the appellant about the FDRs and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teachers Education, Kavarampally Sivar, Narayanpet, Mahabubnagar, Telangana to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teachers Education, Kavarampally Sivar, Singaram X Road, Sarayanpet, Mahabubnagar – 509210, Telangana.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Telangana, Hyderabad.

24



F.No.89-580/E-13972/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Ambika Institute of Teachers Education, Nohari Khurdh Shivpur, Near Kattha Mill, Shivpur, Madhya Pradesh dated 21.07.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/WRC/WRCAPP201660182/Diploma in Elementary Education [D.El.Ed.]/MP/2017-18/{{LSG_SI_No}}; dated 02/06/2017 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 10.04.2017 for submitting Minority certificate. The institution has not submitted the same till date. Hence, Recognition is refused."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Lokesh Jain, Secretary, Ambika Institute of Teachers Education, Nohari Khurdh Shivpur, Near Kattha Mill, Shivpur, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the WRC in their 276th Meeting held on 30-31 May 2017 recorded that the institution has not submitted Minority Certificate till date, which means 30-31 May 2017, while they submitted order copy of Minority status certificate dated on 30th May at Bhopal Regional Office for which receipt is available. Though they submitted order copy of Minority Status certificate before due date, the Regional Director did not accept the order copy and wants original copy of certificate MSC. They are having Certificate of Minority Status original copy, which will be shown at the time of appeal.


AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, with their letter dt. 29.05.2017, enclosed a copy of the order of the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions dt. 25.05.2017 declaring the appellant a Minority Educational Institution and directing issue of a Minority Status Certificate accordingly. Subsequently, the appellant, with their letter dt. 23.08.2017, forwarded to the WRC,

a copy of the 'Certificate' dt. 05.06.2016 issued by the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions in their favour.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions passed an order on 25.5.2017, directing the issue of Minority Educational Institution status to the appellant and the formal certificate was also issued on 05.06.2016, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the WRC with a direction to consider the order and certificate issued by the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to WRC with a direction to consider the order and certificate issued by the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Ambika Institute of Teachers Education, Nohari Khurdh Shivpur, Near Kattha Mill, Shivpur, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Ambika Institute of Teachers Education, Nohari Khurdh Shivpur, 158, A.B. Road, Near Kattha Mill, Shivpur – 473551, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-581/E-13963/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Jay Bajrang Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Mumgrabadshahpur, Nai Bazar, Machhalishahar, Uttar Pradesh dated 16.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10039/269th (Part-8) Meeting/2017/176077 dated 24/05/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution was given show cause notice in compliance with the order of appellate authority on 04.04.2017. the reply of the institution received on 25.04.2017 was considered by the Committee & following observations were made:- The institution has submitted an approved list of faculty including one HOD and 10 Teachers (Pravaktas) only, issued by affiliating University. As per norms of NCTE (Regulations, 2014) for M.Ed. course (P.122), the requirement of faculty is as under:- Professor – 2. Associates Professors – 2. Assistant Professors – 6. The appointments are not in conformity with the requirement of NCTE Regulations, 2014. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Chanchal Misra, Manager and Sh. Sewa Ram Sharma, Advisor, Jay Bajrang Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Mumgrabadshahpur, Nai Bazar, Machhalishahar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that affiliating University has issued a corrigendum dated 05.03.2016 wherein the designation of faculty was corrected.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 24.05.2017 is on the ground that appointments of faculty is not in conformity with the


requirement of NCTE Regulation, 2014. Committee noted that earlier recognition for M.Ed. programme was refused by issue of a refusal order dated 29.08.2016 as NRC had raised doubt as how a 'Parvakta' be designated as Professor or Sah Professor. The appellant consequently got a corrigendum dated 05.03.2016 issued by the affiliating university wherein the faculty approved affiliating body was designated as Head of Department, Professor, Associate Professor and Asstt. Professor. The appellant had also submitted the list of faculty and related documents to NRC Jaipur on 25.04.2017. The present impugned order dated 24.05.2017 is therefore deficient so far it does not speak of which particular requirement of NCTE Regulation, 2014 is not confirming with. According to the Norms and Standards for M.Ed. programme the faculty requirement is as under :

Professor:	-	2		10
Associate Professor	-	2		
Asstt. Professor	-	6		

Since the appellant has submitted list of faculty approved by the affiliating University, the matter is remanded back to NRC for reconsideration. Appellant is required to submit to NRC copy of affiliating body University letter dated 28.03.2017 within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders. Above letter which is addressed to Regional Director, NRC, Jaipur explains the circumstances and reasons for issue of corrigendum dated 04.03.2016 and can also be taken as valid evidence of approval after following selection procedure.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for reconsideration of the list of faculty submitted by the appellant institution. Appellant institution is required to submit to NRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders, a copy of letter dated 28.03.2017 issued by affiliating University and addressed to Regional Direction, NRC, Jaipur.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Jay Bajrang Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Mumgrabadshahpur, Nai Bazar, Machhalishahar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Jay Bajrang Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Mumgrabadshahpur, Nai Bazar, Machhalishahar – 222204, Uttar Pradesh.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow. s



F.No.89-582/E-14172/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Bhartiya T.T. College, MH Road, Ladnun, Rajasthan dated 12.07.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-201615484/Recognition/269th Meeting (Part-9)/2017/174400 dated 02/05/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc.Ed. course for one unit on the grounds that "Application was made for grant of recognition for two units."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Rajesh Sharma, Secretary, Bhartiya T.T. College, MH Road, Ladnun, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that this institution had applied for grant of recognition for 2 units of B.A B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course NRC, NCTE had issued letter of Intent Under Clause 7 (13) of NCTE Regulations 2014 for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course for 2 units (100 seats) to this institution vide letter No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP-201615484/ B.A.B.Ed./ B.Sc.B.Ed. 4 Year Integrated/SCN/RJ/2017-2018/2 dated 30.04.2017. This institution submitted reply of the L.O.I. (both online and offline) for grant of recognition for 2 units of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course along with all required documents to NRC, NCTE on 02.05.2017. Despite issuing LOI for 2 units of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course, NRC, NCTE has issued recognition letter for 1 unit of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course to this institution without giving any reason vide order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-01615484/Recognition/269th Meeting/ (Part-9)/2017/174400 dated 02.05.2017. This institution has submitted representation for correction in Recognition order along with required document to NRC, NCTE on 04.05.2017. This institution again submitted a representation letter to NRC, for correction in recognition order of 02.05.2017. This institution has submitted approval letter from affiliating body for an approved list of staff appointed for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course for 2 unit to NRC, NCTE along with reply of L.O.I. That this institution has 4028 Sqm. Built up area for running of above

course, copy of building completion certificate is annexed and marked as annexure 12. That from above fact and documents, it is clear that NRC, NCTE had issue L.O.I. for 2 units of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course. This institution has appointed 1 H.O.D. and 15 Lecturers for 2 units of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed course. Affiliating Recognition letter issued to this college for 1 unit of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course is unjustified, and against the Principles of Natural Justice. So, it is prayed that directions be issued to NRC, NCTE to issue revise recognition order for 2 units of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course from the session 2017-2018."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application seeking recognition for grant of recognition for 2 units of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. programme. Inspection of the appellant institution was conducted on 28.03.2017 with a proposed intake of 2 units of B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. in view. Appeal Committee further noted that a Letter of Intent dated 30.04.2017 was issued to appellant institution wherein it was mentioned that grant of recognition under clause 7(16) of NCTE Regulation will be subject to approval of faculty by the affiliating body and compliance to some other formalities required to be completed before grant of formal recognition. The appellant institution submitted a compliance letter dated 02.05.2017 to NRC, wherein a list containing the names and details of one Principal and 15 faculty members approved by the affiliating University were furnished. Appellant on noting the decision taken by NRC in its 269th meeting held from 26.04.2017 to 02.05.2017 to grant recognition for only one unit, made a written representation to NRC on 03.05.2017 and 26.05.2017. It is observed that in between a recognition order dated 02.05.2017 for one unit was issued by NRC Jaipur.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that NRC has not supported its decision to grant recognition for only one unit and not two as was originally envisaged and the appellant is therefore, justified in its representation seeking recognition for two units.

AND WHEREAS since the appellant institution has applied for 4 year Integrated course of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed., it would have been more important for the Regional Committee to have verified whether the appellant institution is well equipped to fulfil the condition laid down in para 1.1 of Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards for

the 4 year programme. If the appellant institution can satisfy integrating general studies comprising sciences or Humanities (B.A., B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed) and professional studies comprising foundation of education, pedagogy of school subjects and maintain a balance between theory and practice, the case of appellant should be considered for grant of recognition for two units of the programme. With the above observation, the case is remanded back to NRC.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for grant of recognition for 2 units of B.A., B.Ed./ B.Sc., B.Ed. programme provided the appellant institution is able to submit evidence in support of its adherence to norms given in para 1.1. of the norms and standards (Appendix 13).

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Bhartiya T.T. College, MH Road, Ladnun, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Bhartiya T.T. College, Ladnun, MH Road, Ladnun – 341306, Rajasthan.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-583/E-14091/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Gajendranath College of Education, Tutranga Temathani to Magrampur, Madan Mohan Chak, West Bengal dated 17.07.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/232.8.7/ERCAPP3877/B.Ed./2017/52292 dated 13/04/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "(a) Show Cause Notice was issued on 12.11.2016 on the following grounds: (i) The applicant has applied for two programmes simultaneously for D.El.Ed. (ERCAPP3896) & B.Ed. (ERCAPP3877). (ii) Inspection letter to the institution was issued on 06.02.2016. (iii) Inspection not conducted so far. (iv) The VT member informed vide letter dated 04.04.2016 that after contact to the member of the management of the institution through co-member Md. Azharullah, Principal, Gautam Budhha College, Hazaribagh and he gets the reply that the building of the institution is under construction and it will likely to be completed within 3 months. (v) As per report of the VT member, the building of the institution is under construction. (b) In reply to SCN, the institution vide its reply dated 27.11.2016 requested for conducting inspection after March, 2017 which is not accepted by the Committee. The Committee considered the representation and found that the institution is still deficient on the grounds of show cause notice. In view the above, the committee decided as under: The committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP3877 of the institution regarding recognition of B.Ed. Programme is refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."


AND WHEREAS Sh. A.k. Mondal, Member, Gajendranath College of Education, Tutranga Temathani to Magrampur, Madan Mohan Chak, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Now we are ready for inspection of both courses and willing to give reinspection fees. The building is completed as per NCTE Norms. All documents will be submitted at the hearing period."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 29.06.2015 seeking recognition for B.Ed. programme. Appellant institution was informed by ERC Bhubaneswar by a letter dated 06.02.2016 that inspection of the institution to assess its preparedness for commencing the programme will be conducted within 20 days. The Visiting Team Members informed ERC Bhubaneswar that appellant institution did not want inspection and intimated that building is under construction. On being issued a Show Cause Notice (SCN), the appellant institution informed ERC to send V.T. for inspection after March, 2017. Appeal Committee noted that as per clause 7(7) of NCTE Regulation, 2014 'Inspection shall not be subject to the consent of Institution' and as per clause 8(7) of the Regulation the building of the institution shall be complete in the form of a permanent structure and applicant should produce original Building Completion Certificate (BCC) to the Visiting Team. On being asked by the Appeal Committee, appellant could not show evidence of having a BCC even as on the date of Appeal.

AND WHEREAS Having considered that appellant did not facilitate inspection, himself admitted that building was under construction at the time of proposed inspection, and did not show any evidence of having obtained the Building Completion Certificate issued by competent government authority, Appeal Committee decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 13.04.2017 issued by ERC Bhubaneswar.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 13.04.2017 issued by ERC Bhubaneswar.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Gajendranath College of Education, Tutranga Temathani to Magrampur, Madan Mohan Chak – 721166, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-584/E-14437/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Binod Bihari Mahato Memorial Teachers Training College, Sahubahiyar, Topchanchi, Jharkhand dated 17.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/ERC/ERCAPP201645066/Diploma in Elementary Education [D.El.Ed.]/JH/2017-18/4; dated 03/04/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "Show Cause Notice was issued on 07.02.2017 on the following ground:- (i) No Objection Certificate for the applied D.El.Ed. course issued by the affiliating body on 29.06.2015. NOC for the academic session 2017-18 is not considered the earlier submitted NOC, which is not accepted by the Committee. In view the above, the committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing code No. ERCAPP201645066 of the institution regarding recognition for D.El.Ed. Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993"

AND WHEREAS Sh. Mathura Parsad Mahto, Chairman, Binod Bihari Mahato Memorial Teachers Training College, Sahubahiyar, Topchanchi, Jharkhand presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that Counter sign NOC obtained from HRD Jharkhand with session mentioned thereon."


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 27.05.2016 seeking recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. programme. The appellant institution alongwith its application submitted a NOC dated 29.06.2015 issued by Directorate of Primary Education, Govt. of Jharkhand. There was no academic session mentioned in the NOC. Appeal Committee is also of the view that application seeking recognition for teacher education programme seldom attain maturity enabling recognition order to be issued in the academic year for which applications are invited. As a result, if academic year is mentioned in the NOC and

Regional Committees start adhering to it, there would be many procedural problems. Cases have come to the notice of Appeal Committee where delay caused by affiliating bodies in issue of NOC had resulted in refusal of applications. It shall, therefore, be prudent on part of Regional Committees to treat the NOCs issued by affiliating bodies valid for at least two academic years from the date of issue.

AND WHEREAS In the instant case NOC dated 29.06.2015 did not mention academic year for which it was issued and the appellant institution during the course of appeal presentation submitted a clarificatory letter dated 15.05.2017 issued by the Directorate of Primary Education, Government of Jharkhand revalidating its earlier NOC dated 29.06.2015. Appeal Committee therefore, decided to set aside the impugned order dated 03.04.2017 and remand back the case to ERC for further processing of the application.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 03.04.2017 and remand back the case to ERC Bhubaneswar for further processing of the application.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Binod Bihari Mahato Memorial Teachers Training College, Sahubahiyar, Topchanchi, Jharkhand to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Binod Bihari Mahato Memorial Teachers Training College, Sahubahiyar, NH2, Topchanchi – 828404, Jharkhand.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.



F.No.89-588/E-18384/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Gurupad Primary Teacher Training College, Rankakalan, Rankaraj, Jharkhand dated 28.07.2017 is against the Order No. ER-239.6.273 (Part-5)/ID No.- 11080/52820 DPSE & D.El.Ed. (Addl. Intake)/2017 dated 02/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting D.P.S.E/D.El.Ed. course with an intake of 50 seats in each programme. Appeal made by appellant is for grant of 100 seats in DPSE programme.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Anand Kumar Yadav, Head of Department, Gurupad Primary Teacher Training College, Rankakalan, Rankaraj, Jharkhand presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "application was made for 100 seats of DPSE programme and 50 seats of D.El.Ed. programme."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had submitted online application dated 29.06.2016 seeking recognition for conducting two programmes as follows:

- | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| 1. Diploma in Pre School Education | | |
| (DPSE) | 2 Unit (basic) | 100 seats |
| 2. Diploma in Elementary Education | | |
| (D.El.Ed) | 1 Unit (Additional) | 50 seats |

The appellant institution was inspected on 25.04.2017 for the proposed intake and V.T. recommended grant of intake as applied for by the appellant institution.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee observed that ERC in its 239th Meeting held from 28.04.2017 to 02.05.2017 decided to issue LOI. In the minutes of ERC meeting the intake for which LOI was approved to be issued was mentioned. Appeal committee further noted that no formal LOI was issued by the ERC. Appellant

institution noting the minutes of 239th Committee submitted a compliance report dated 01.05.2017, thereby submitting two separate lists of faculty approved by the affiliating body. It is further observed that ERC in its same 239th meeting decided to grant recognition for one unit each of D.El.Ed. and D.P.S.C programme. The Regional Committee did neither take into account that appellant had applied for 2 units of DPSE programme nor did mention any reason as to why recognition is being given for only one unit.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to ERC for amending the impugned recognition order dated 02.05.2017 or otherwise issue a Show Cause Notice (SCN) to the appellant institution thereby providing it a reasonable opportunity to submit written representation against the decision of ERC to grant only one unit of DPSC programme. On the face of facts available on record this Committee does not find any reason as to why recognition for two units of DPSC could not be given. Case is remanded back to ERC for issue of a speaking order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for either granting recognition for two units of DPSC programme or issuing a speaking order providing an opportunity to the appellant institution to make a written representation.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Gurupad Primary Teacher Training College, Rankakalan, Rankaraj, Jharkhand to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Gurupad Primary Teacher Training College, Rankakalan, Village Road, Rankaraj – 822125, Jharkhand.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.



F.No.89-592/E-15254/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Guru Ram Dass B.Ed. College, Street/Road – D.A.V. College, Village – Chak Roomwala, PO – Jalalabad, Distt. – Firozpur, Punjab dated 25.07.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-13349/251st Meeting/2016/64565 dated 05/01/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that “In reply to SCN dated 22.02.2016 the applicant institution has not submitted any proof / evidence that it is offering under graduate or post graduate programme of studies in the field of Liberal Arts or Humanities or Social Science or Science or Mathematics for getting grant of recognition of 4 year integrated programme leading to B.Sc. B.Ed. / B.A. B.Ed. degree as has been mentioned in Clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 and clause 1.1 of the Appendix 13 Norms & Standards for B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. degree).”

AND WHEREAS Sh. Jasnik Singh, Chairman, Guru Ram Dass B.Ed. College, Street/Road – D.A.V. College, Village – Chak Roomwala, PO – Jalalabad, Distt. – Firozpur, Punjab presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “Reason for delay is ignorance on the part of College as college was not aware about the provision of appeal in the office of NCTE and I apologize for the same.”

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was inspected on 27.01.2016 and the Visiting Team in its report confirmed that B.Ed. and M.Ed. programmes are already being conducted by the appellant institution. Committee, therefore, has no doubt that appellant institution meets the requirement

of 'Composite Institution' as prescribed in Clause 2 (b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014.


AND WHEREAS keeping in view that appellant institution had applied seeking recognition for B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. programme, the norms and standards as prescribed in para 1.1. of Appendix 13 of the Regulations are also required to be complied by the appellant institution. For satisfying the condition of integration of general studies comprising science (B.Sc., B.Ed.) and social sciences or Humanities (B.A., B.Ed.) and professional studies comprising foundation of education, pedagogy of school subjects and maintain a balance between theory and practice, the applicant must have degree level classes being conducted in the institution.

AND WHEREAS the appellant institution could not submit to the Northern Regional Committee any documentary evidence in compliance of para 1.1. of Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards even inspite of a Show Cause Notice (SCN) and appellant's reply thereto dated 28.03.2016 and 23.04.2016. Appellant institution verbally stated during the appeal hearing on 24.10.2017 that the appellant institution has now in September, 2017 applied to the affiliating body proposing to start of a Degree College.

AND WHEREAS the submission made by appellant during the appeal hearing on 24.10.2017 does not add merit to the case as impugned refusal order dated 05.01.2017 was made by NRC after giving appellant a reasonable opportunity to submit written representation and the appellant had adequate time to have rectified the deficiency. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 05.01.2017.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 05.01.2017 issued by NRC Jaipur.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Guru Ram Dass B.Ed. College, Plot No.30, Khatoni No. 36, 34, Street/Road – D.A.V. College, Village – Chak Roomwala, PO – Jalalabad, Distt. – Firozpur – 152024, Punjab.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab, Chandigarh.**



F.No.89-594/E-15473/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Manickam College of Education, Sivapuram Village, MRM Nagar, Thirumayam Taluka, Tamil Nadu dated 31.07.2017 is against the Order of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Application ID number not generated."

AND WHEREAS Sh. M.A. Murrugappan, Chairman, Sri Manickam College of Education, Sivapuram Village, MRM Nagar, Thirumayam Taluka, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We had submitted payment on stipulated time period. Reached NCTE office on 1st July, 2016."


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that as per procedure of submitting online application, once an applicant completes the filling up of online application form an application I.D. is generated. At 2nd stage, once application I.D. is generated, the applicant is required to remit application processing fee online using the I.D. number already generated. After the applicant has successfully remitted the processing fee by entering necessary details, an application number is generated which is different from the application I.D. number and thereafter the application appears on the dash board of NCTE. In the instant case the payment of processing fee is being shown transacted on 01.07.2016 whereas last date for submitting online application was 30.06.2017. Due to delay in payment of online processing fee the application could not be registered and there is no application number generated.

AND WHEREAS as submission of online applications is fully computerised and the system automatically does not accept the entries made after midnight of the

closing date, there is nothing SRC could have done except to summarily reject the application. The impugned rejection order is confirmed by Appeal Committee.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the rejection order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Sri Manickam College of Education, Sivapuram Village, MRM Nagar, Thirumayam Taluka – 622422, Tamil Nadu.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-595/E-15477/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Simpra College of Education, Sengipatti, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu dated 30.07.2017 is against the Order of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Delay payment submitted."


AND WHEREAS Sh. R.J. Antony Raj, Chairman, Simpra College of Education, Sengipatti, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We have submitted payment on stipulated time period. Reached NCTE office on 1st July, 2016."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that as per procedure for submitting online application, once an applicant completes the filling up of online application form, an I.D. number is generated. At second stage, once application I.D. is available, the applicant is required to remit application processing fee online using the I.D. number already generated. After the applicant has remitted the application fee online successfully, an application number is generated which is different from the earlier I.D. number. For submission of online application for academic year 2017-18 the last date for receipt of online applications was 30.06.2016.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that in the instant case, there has been a marginal delay in remitting the processing fee as date of submission of online application is reflected as 01.07.2016. Online payment details also reflect the transaction date as Friday July 1, 00:11:9 IST 2016. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the decision of SRC to reject the application.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the decision of SRC Bangalore to reject the application.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairman, Simpra College of Education, Sengipatti, 218/6, Sengipatti, Thanjavur – 603402, Tamil Nadu.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-600/E-16205/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Bhupal Nobles University, Udaipur, Girwa, Rajasthan dated 23.06.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201716727/Master of Education [M.Ed.] – Diploma in Physical Education [D.P.Ed.] – Bachelor of Education [B.Ed.] – Part Time – Bachelor of Education & Master of Education [B.Ed. M.Ed.] – 3 Year Integrated-B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/{LSG_SI_No}}; dated 01/06/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted the reply of the SCN issued by the NRC within the stipulated time. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution.”


AND WHEREAS Sh. Bhupinder Singh Chouhan, Dean, Faculty of Education, Bhupal Nobles University, Udaipur, Girwa, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “This is a case of wrong calculation of period prescribed for submission of reply to Show Cause Notice. There is an established practice of 30 days period to submit reply of Show Cause Notice issued by NRC-NCTE Jaipur. The Show Cause Notice issued to our college was on 29.03.2017, (Copy enclosed) whereas the rejection order makes a mention that the Show Cause Notice was issued on 16.02.2017 (Copy enclosed). We submitted the reply but before that the NRC decided to reject our application and issued order on 27.04.2017. Since they could not wait till 29.04.2017 rather rejected the case. Bhupal Nobles' University is an established premier institute in the city of Udaipur, which is imparting education in several streams and known for its repute in the entire Rajasthan. The deficiencies as pointed out vide Show Cause Notice do not exist at all, but there also seems to be misunderstanding. We are attaching copy of the reply

submitted by us to NRC-NCTE Jaipur enclosing all the supporting documents as were required to substantiate the Show Cause Notice. The appeal may please be considered on sympathetic ground."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 27.04.2017 was issued by NRC Jaipur on the ground that appellant institution had not submitted reply to Show Cause Notice (SCN) within stipulated time. Appeal Committee, in this regard, further noted that a SCN was issued to appellant institution on 29.03.2017 and not 16.02.2017 as mentioned in the impugned order. The appellant institution had submitted a reply dated 25.04.2017 to SCN which is found received in the office of NRC on 29.04.2017 (Diary Number 167998). Appeal Committee is of the opinion that reply of appellant should have been considered by NRC to decide the case on merits. Committee therefore, decided to reverse the impugned refusal order dated 27.04.2017 issued by NRC.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to reverse the impugned refusal order dated 27.04.2017. The reply 25-28 April, 2017 submitted by the appellant should be considered and decision taken on merits of the case.

Now, therefore, the Committee decided to reverse the impugned order.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Registrar, Bhupal Nobles University, Udaipur, Old Station Road, Girwa – 313001, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-601/E-16308/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mangalore University, Kanaje, Mangalore dated 27.02.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/SRC/SRCAPP201630057/Bachelor of Physical Education [B.P.Ed.]/KA/2017-18/4; dated 27/02/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. course on the grounds that "SRC in its 329 Meeting held on 6 & 7 February, 2017 and the Committee has observed the matter and decided as under: 1. Their reply is incomplete. 2. BCC is not given. 3. BP does not give details. 4. In any case, this self-financing course is hit by the Ban Order."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Gerald S Disouza, Chairman, Mangalore University, Kanaje, Mangalore presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We have given the details required of us like building completion certificate and Building plan. Any other discrepancies regarding the same were not made aware of through any kind of communication or dialogue. At the time of applying it was made to understand through the notification that the ban did not apply for government institutes. Mangalore University has been in existence for 36 years and has been NAAC accredited with A grade, of which Department of PG Studies and Research in Physical Education is an integral part. We have given the details required of us vide letter dated 29.12.2016 like building completion certificate and Building plan. Kindly consider our application once again and please give us an opportunity to process our application through physical verification before passing the judgement of rejection."


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant University is already recognised for conducting B.Ed. and M.Ed. programmes since 2016 and M.P.Ed. programme since 2003. The application for B.P.Ed. made by applicant university in the year 2016 has mainly been rejected by SRC for the reason that this self financing

course is hit by ban. Appeal Committee further noted that the Public Notice dated 09.03.2016 inviting applications for academic year 2017-18 did not differentiate courses on financial basis. The applicant i.e. Mangalore University was established by an Act of Karnataka Govt. with headquarters at Konaje and territorial jurisdiction over the area comprising the districts of Dakshin Kannada and Kodaguru. Going by the status of University, the ban imposed, does not apply to Mangalore University which was established by the State Govt. and as per statement of the appellant is also funded by the state government and the U.G.C. So far as submission of the building completion certificate is concerned, the applicant having submitted the building plan is at liberty to submit or should submit to the Visiting Team the original documents on this account. As the applicant University is seeking recognition of the programme as a part of composite institution, it has to satisfy that the institution has adequate land and built up area for all programmes put together and which be verified by the V.T. at the time of inspection.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 27.02.2017 and remand back the case to SRC for processing the application.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing. Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned refused order dated 27.02.2017 and remand back the case to SRC for further processing of the application.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mangalore University, Kanaje, Mangalore to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Registrar, Mangalore University, Kanaje, Mangalore – 574199.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Mangalore.



F.No.89-602/E-16206/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Banjrang Singh Mahavidyalaya, Mau, Gauriganj, Uttar Pradesh dated 27/07/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/Recog./D.El.Ed./2016/146821 dated 02/05/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course with an intake of 2 units and a subsequent corrigendum no. NRC/NCTE-Corrigendum/2016/150089 dated 18.06.2016 reducing the intake to one unit."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Sanjay Singh, Principal, Shri Banjrang Singh Mahavidyalaya, Mau, Gauriganj, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The institution Shri Bajrang Singh Mahavidyalay Mau, Gauriganj, Amethi, Plot No. 920 Village – Mau, Tehsil – Gauriganj, District – Sultanpur (Uttar Pradesh) – 227409, applied on line for two years D.El.Ed. course for two units and submitted hard copy on 13.07.2015. The application of the institution was considered by NRC in the 250th meeting in part-5 on 24.02.2016 and letter of intent was issued under clause 7(13) of the NCTE Regulation 2014 prior to grant of formal recognition. The institution processed for teachers selection and their approval before the competent authority for two units. The teachers (15+1) were selected and approval of teachers was granted vide order 29.02.2016 by the authority concerned for two units. The papers were again submitted on 01.03.2016 in the NRC. The NRC granted recognition in the meeting of 1st March 2016 in its 250th meeting in part-11 for one unit. The institution was informed about the decision of NRC vide letter 3rd March, 2016. The institution moved an application on 15.03.2016 requesting therein for granting recognition for two units for which the institution had applied. The application of the institution was considered by the NRC in its 252nd meeting, part-13 and corrigendum was issued and the institution was permitted for running two units vide letter dated 02.05.2016 for the session 2016-17. The NRC issued another letter on


18.06.2016 informing the institution that recognition has been granted for one unit. The NRC informed that two units may be read one unit. The institution moved an application on 18.11.2016 and 07.12.2016 to the NRC requesting therein to grant recognition for two units elaborately enunciating that the institution fulfils the requirements of two units and teachers and non-teaching staff had been employed. But the said application of the institution remained unattended and no action was taken thereon. The institution again moved an application on 15.06.2017 emphasizing that if the intake of 100 seats is not permitted the institution will approach to the appellate authority. But no action has been taken till date. The institution fulfils the entire requirement for intake of 100 seats (two units) and visiting team was quite satisfied with the implements and building and there is no deficiency on the part of the institution."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 29.06.2015 seeking recognition for 2 units of D.El.Ed. programme. Committee further noted that inspection of the institution was conducted on 31.01.2016 with a proposed intake of 2 units of D.El.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee noted that Letter of Intent N.R.C. in its 250th Meeting (Part – 5) held on 24.02.2016 decided to issue Letter of Intent to appellant institution without any mention of the approved intake. A combined L.O.I. for 66 institutions was thereafter issued by N.R.C. on 25.02.2016 and this L.O.I. also did not indicate the intake sanctioned. Appeal Committee noted that N.R.C. in its 250th Meeting (Part 11) decided to grant recognition for D.El.Ed. programme for one unit (50 seats). In the order dated 03.03.2016 the recognition was also granted for only one unit corresponding to the decision taken in the N.R.C. meeting. Subsequently, N.R.C. in its 253rd Meeting held between 30.05.2016 to 03.06.2016 again decided that a corrigendum be issued granting recognition for one unit instead of two. It is therefore, not understood that how in between the recognition order dated 03.03.2016 and corrigendum dated 18.06.2016 a revised recognition order dated 02.05.2016 was issued to the appellant institution without any valid decision of the N.R.C. being placed on the regulatory file.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has applied for grant of recognition for two units of D.El.Ed. programme and was inspected for

two units. Appellant in reply to L.O.I. submitted list of faculty containing the names of one Principal/H.O.D. and 15 faculty members. N.R.C. in its 250th & 253rd meeting did not assess and assign any reason for grant of recognition for only one unit. Also there is no reason given for issuing recognition order dated 02/05/2016 wherein intake was mentioned as 2 units. The case therefore, needs to be thoroughly reconsidered by N.R.C. in light of the representations dated 30.04.2016 and 07.12.2016 submitted by the appellant. The impugned corrigendum dated 18.06.2016 as well as recognition order dated 02.05.2016 (Serial no. 692) are set aside. N.R.C. is required to reconsider the submissions made by the appellant through its various representations dated 15.03.2016, 30.04.2016 and 07.12.2016 and issue a fresh speaking order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned corrigendum dated 18.06.2016 as well as entry at Serial No. 692 of the recognition order dated 02.05.2016. N.R.C. is required to consider the submissions made by appellant institution through its various representations dated 15.03.2016, 30.04.2016 and 07.12.2016 and issue a fresh speaking order.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Shri Banjrang Singh Mahavidyalaya, Mau Gauriganj Jamon Road, Gauriganj – 227409, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

43



F.No.89-603/E-16637/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sant Nekiram Teachers Training College, Sitsar, Salasar Road, Ratangarh, Rajasthan dated 25/07/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201616260/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 06/06/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that “Reply of the SCN submitted by the institution dated 12.05.2017 has the following deficiencies:- (i) Khasra No. of land mentioned in submitted documents and online application does not match. (ii) Khasra No. mentioned in the building plan does not match with the Khasra No. given in online application. (iii) Khasra No. mentioned in NEC and LUC does not match with that of the online application. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution.”

AND WHEREAS Dr. Dayal Singh, Member Managing Committee and Sh. Banwari Lal, Representative, Sant Nekiram Teachers Training College, Sitsar, Salasar Road, Ratangarh, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “This institution applied on line for grant of recognition for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on 07.06.2016 and hard copy of application was submitted to NRC, NCTE on 09.06.2016. That NRC, NCTE had issued a Show Cause Notice on 27.04.2017 in which certain deficiencies were mentioned. That this institution submitted reply of Show Cause Notice to NRC, NCTE on 12.05.2017 along with all required documents. NRC, NCTE has not considered the reply of Show Cause Notice submitted by this institution and rejected the application of this institution vide letter no. NCTE/NRCNRCAPP201616260/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed.- 4 Years Integrated/RJ/20172018/ 2 date 06.06.2017. That Khasra No. mentioned in the land documents

are old Khasra No. After purchase of land in the name of society, Khasra No. has been changed by the Revenue Department, Govt. of Rajasthan and new Khasra No. has been allotted for the land owned by this society. In this regard a certificate issued by Tehsildar regarding new Khasra No. allotted for the land owned by this society has been submitted to NRC, NCTE along with reply of Show Cause Notice. Institution has submitted copy of Jamabandi issued by Revenue Department, Govt. of Rajasthan for the land owned by this society to NRC, NCTE along with reply of Show Cause Notice in which new Khasra No. has been clearly mentioned. In the online application submitted by this institution on 07.06.2016, new Khasra No. allotted for the land owned by this society by the Revenue Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, has been mentioned. That in the affidavit submitted by this institution along with online application to NCTE, new Khasra No. has been mentioned. That copy of approved building map on which all required information as per NCTE Regulations 2014 has been mentioned was submitted to NRC, NCTE along with hard copy of application and reply of Show Cause Notice. New Khasra No. has been mentioned on building plan. That Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by Tehsildar was submitted to NRC, NCTE along with reply of Show Cause Notice. New Khasra No. has been mentioned in NEC by the Tehsildar. That land owned by this society is converted in two part. Old Khasra No. is mentioned in Land conversion certificate issued by the S.D.M., Ratangarh Distt. Churu (Raj.) on 12.03.2007. New Khasra No. is mentioned in Land conversion certificate issued by the S.D.M., Ratangarh Distt. Churu (Raj.) on 28.08.2015. That an affidavit executed by the Secretary of the society regarding new Khasra No. allotted by Revenue Department, Govt. of Rajasthan has been submitted to NRC, NCTE along with reply of Show Cause Notice. That NRC, NCTE has not considered the reply and documents submitted by this institution and rejected the application of this institute for grant of recognition of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course. It is prayed that the rejection order issued by NRC, NCTE be set aside and directions be issued to NRC, NCTE for further process of application of this institute for grant of recognition of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 07/06/2016 seeking recognition for grant of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. programme. In the online application appellant institution mentioned the details of land with khasra numbers as 444, 459 and 460. The impugned refusal

order dated 06/06/2017 is on the ground that these khasra numbers do not match with that on land documents, building plan, Non Encumbrance Certificate and Change of Land Use Certificate.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that applicant alongwith hardcopy of the application has submitted copies of three land documents as follows:

- (i) Khasra no. 445/440 registered on 26.02.2016
(Area 1 Bigha 10 Biswa)
- (ii) Khasra no. 385/257 registered on 17.01.2007
(Area 1 Bigha 5 Biswa)
- (iii) Khasra no. 385/257 registered on 02.12.2010
(Area 1 Bigha)


Appeal Committee further noted that Building Plan submitted by applicant alongwith hard copy of the applications did not have any khasra number or date of approval. The Building Completion Certificate also did not have any date of issue but mentioned location of building at khasra numbers 444/440, 459/441, 460/441, Sitsar Panchayat, Ratangarh. The two C.L.U.s for 1500 sq. meters 2500 sq. meters are in respect of khasra numbers 441/385/257 and 444/440. Appeal Committee further noted from the submission made by appellant that due to Jamabandi of land records old khasra numbers were changed and new Khasra numbers were allotted which were mentioned in the application form. The applicant in reply to the Show Cause Notice submitted copy of Non Encumbrance Certificate dated 10.05.2017 issued by Tehsildar and Building Plan bearing Khasra Numbers 444/440, 445/440, 459/441, 460/441. The only point which remains to be verified is whether the land registered on 17.01.2007 and 02.12.2010 bearing khasra numbers 385/257 have been renumbered as the new khasra numbers mentioned in the application form. The applicant may be asked to submit documentary evidence issued by Revenue authorities to prove that land registered in favour of the society/institution is renumbered as 444, 459 and 460.

AND WHEREAS since the applicant institution has applied for 4 year Integrated course of B.A. B.Ed. /B.Sc. B.Ed., it would have been more important for the Regional Committee to have verified whether the applicant institution is well equipped to fulfil

the condition laid down in para 1.1 of Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards for the 4 year programme. With the above observations, the case is remanded back to N.R.C. Appellant institution is required to submit to N.R.C. Jaipur documentary evidence to prove that khasra numbers mentioned in the land registry papers have been renumbered as 444, 459 and 460 after Jamabandi procedures.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to N.R.C. for ensuring compliance of para 1.1, of Appendix 13 and appellant to submit documentary evidence to prove that khasra numbers mentioned in the land documents are the same as mentioned in the application form.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sant Nekiram Teachers Training College, Sitsar, Salasar Road, Ratangarh, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Sant Nekiram Teachers Training College, Sitsar, Salasar Road, Ratangarh – 331506, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-604/E-16641/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Amani Group of Institutions, Amroha Khas Bahar Chhungi, Bijnor Road, Amroha, Uttar Pradesh dated 01.08.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-13117/270th (Part-2) Meeting/2017/176645 dated 06/06/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The applicant institution has not submitted the reply of SCN within the stipulated period."

AND WHEREAS Sh. S.K. Chaturvedi, Registrar, Amani Group of Institutions, Amroha Khas Bahar Chhungi, Bijnor Road, Amroha, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The institution is composite institution. MJP Rohilkhand University Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh granted the affiliation to the institute for B.Sc. Bio and Math group and BBA in 2015. Affiliation copy attached. The certified registered land documents issued by SDM Amroha is attached. The institution had not received any show cause notice in this matter however we have got Non-Encumbrance Certificate signed by the Tehsildar, Amroha, Uttar Pradesh. A copy of certificate is enclosed herewith."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 06.06.2017 issued by NRC Jaipur is on the ground that applicant institution has not submitted reply of Show Cause Notice (SCN) within stipulated time. Committee further noted that a SCN dated 29.03.2017 was issued to appellant institution on three points of deficiency i.e.

- i) No evidence to prove that it is a composite institution
- ii) Certified copy of registered land documents not submitted
- iii) Non Encumbrance Certificate issue by competent government authority not submitted.

AND WHEREAS appellant submitted that the institution did not get any SCN so there was no possibility of any reply. As regards points of deficiency mentioned in the SCN dated 29.03.2017, Committee noted that appellant trust i.e. Aley Ahmed Educational Trust through its managing trustee Sh. Adil Parvej had leased the land to applicant Institute through Smt. Rana Parvej, Secretary. Clause 8(4)(i) of the NCTE Regulation prescribe that required land should be in possession of the applicant institution or the society/trust sponsoring the institution on ownership basis. Further as per clause 8(5) of the Regulations certified copy of land ownership issued by Registering Authority is required to be submitted alongwith hard copy of the online application. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has failed to submit certified copy of land documents issued by land registering authority.

AND WHEREAS appellant alongwith its appeal Memoranda submitted copy of Non Encumbrance Certificate issue by Tehsildar in January, 2013 in respect of different plot numbers which include Khasra No. 218 which has been mentioned in the online application form. The fact remains that NEC should belong to latest year or at least to which the application pertain.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has furnished copy of affiliation letter dated 30.06.2015 issued by Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University, Bareilly affiliating the appellant institution for B.Sc. (Bio & Math Group) and Commerce course. The institution thus qualifies to be a composite institution which can be further verified at the time of Inspection.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for reconsideration. Appellant institution is required to submit to NRC original certified copy of land documents issued by registering authority and a latest Non Encumbrance Certificate within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for reconsideration. The appellant institution is required to submit to NRC originally certified copy of land documents registered on 14.05.2008 and

latest Non Encumbrance Certificate in respect of Gata No. 218 to NRC Jaipur within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Amani Group of Institutions, Amroha Khas Bahar Chhungi, Bijnor Road, Amroha, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairman, Amani Group of Institutions, Amroha Khas Bahar Chhungi, Bijnor Road, Amroha – 244221, Uttar Pradesh.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.**

45



F.No.89-605/E-16952/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Deena Nath Krishi Mahavidyalaya, Shiv Nagar, Etah, U.P. dated 28.07.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14739/270th (Part-2) Meeting/2017/176698 dated 06/06/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The applicant institution has not submitted the reply of SCN within the stipulated period."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Shivnandan, Secretary, Shri Deena Nath Krishi Mahavidyalaya, Shiv Nagar, Etah, U.P. presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Applicant did not get any Show Cause Notice. The Institution is having a built up area of 5560 Sq. Meters."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that Inspection of the appellant institution was conducted on 11.02.2016 for proposed one unit of the D.El.Ed. programme. As per V.T. report, the institution is having built up area of 3160 Sq. Meters. Appeal Committee further noted that at the time of submitting online application, the applicant had declared by furnishing an affidavit that built up area available is 2150 Sq. meters and the building plan was also for a built up area of 2150 Sq. Meters. The applicant had also declared that a B.Ed. programme with 2 units of intake is being run in the institution since 2015.


AND WHEREAS appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted a Building Completion Certificate (BCC) issued by Avar Abhiyana, RES, Shitalpur, Eta. As per above BCC, the built up area consists of 1660 Sq. Meters on ground floor and 16000 Sq. feet on first floor. The photographs submitted with affidavit dated 24.02.2016 however, clearly show that structure of the building consists of only the ground floor and there is no first floor.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee observed that Letter of intent (LOI) dated 01.03.2016 was issued to appellant institution without mentioning any intake of the

D.El.Ed programme. However, grant of formal recognition was delayed due to appellant's not complying with all the formalities laid down in the LOI. In between a complaint dated 01.12.2016 was received wherein it was alleged that built up area available with the institution is only 500 Sq. yards. Appeal Committee is of the view that if allegations levelled against the appellant institution are found substantiated by NRC it should also have resulted in some penal action against the V.T. Members who have verified and reported the built up area to be 3160 Sq. Meters. The case needs a probe by NRC particularly the C.D. recorded during inspection should be matched with the design of structure in building plan. The Building Completion Certificate should be got verified by the issuing authority and if necessary by causing another inspection. In case applicant has submitted a wrong declaration/ certificate, he should be proceeded against keeping in view para 8(3) of the Regulations. If the averments made by appellant are found true and verified to be correct, NRC should further process the application for grant of recognition. NRC is duty bound to have cross checked the role of V.T. Members in assessing infrastructure of the appellant institution before taking a final decision in this case. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for doing the needful.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for doing necessary verification work and deciding accordingly.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri Deena Nath Krishi Mahavidyalaya, Shiv Nagar, Etah, U.P. to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Shri Deena Nath Krishi Mahavidyalaya, Shiv Nagar, Alignaj Road, Etah – 207001, Uttar Pradesh.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-606/E-16942/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

7/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Ram Teachers Training College, Vill.-Sugda, Street/Road – Ranchi, Taluka/Mandal – Nagri, Town/City-Sugda, Dist. – Ranchi, Jharkhand dated 26.07.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/241.5.26 (Part 2)/ID No. 10863/D.El.Ed (Addl. Intake) 2016/53598 dated 20.06.2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. (Addl.) course on the grounds that “(i) Total land area in the name of institution is 80 decimal (3236 sq. mts.) which is less than required land area for additional intake in D.El.Ed. course. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The committee is of the opinion that application bearing No. ERCAPP201646204 of the institution regarding recognition of additional intake in D.El.Ed. Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993.”

AND WHEREAS Sh. Vikash Sinha, representative, Sri Ram Teachers Training College, Vill.-Sugda, Street/Road – Ranchi, Taluka/Mandal – Nagri, Town/City-Sugda, Dist. – Ranchi, Jharkhand presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “That the appellant is a charitable trust registered under the provisions of the Indian Trust Act had in terms of provisions of the National Council of Teacher Education Act, 1993 applied for grant of recognition of Diploma in Elementary Educational D.El.Ed. as well as B.Ed. courses in Sri Ram Teacher Training College located at Ranchi. The said training college had vide order dt. 02.05.2016 got recognition/permission for conducting B.Ed. courses of two years duration with an intake 50 one basic unit from the academic session 2016 2017 and also for conducting D.El.Ed. courses for two years duration with an intake 50 one basic unit from the academic session 2016 2017. Accordingly the same was clarified by the appellant and the documents demanded were duly supplied which was duly considered by ERC its 238th meeting held on 18th 20th April, 2017 and after due consideration decided to constitute verification team after which verification was

conducted on 20th/21st May, 2017. It is submitted that the order of refusal is contrary to the provisions of Section 15. The provisions mandate that the Regional Committee on receipt of an application from an institute under sub section 1 and after obtaining from the recognised institution such other particulars as may be considered necessary, the power of granting permission or refusing permission can be passed. Further the order of refusing permission under sub Clause B can be passed only after providing a reasonable opportunity to the institution concerned for making a written representation. In the present case after submission of the application under section 15 of the Act the only clarification sought for was in relation to certain documents such as building completion certificate, fire safety certificate and mutation/record of right in the name of Institution not submitted which the appellant accordingly duly provided and fulfilled the demanded requirement. In the said notice the issue of less land than required land area for additional intake in D.El.Ed. was never raised. Thus, it was only after being satisfied under section 15 (3) a of the NCERT Act that the land was sufficient enough, clarification regarding other documents were sought for which was duly provided. The Gazette notification providing for norms and standards for Bachelor of Education Programme leading to Bachelor of Education B.Ed. Degree deals with the intake, eligibility of admission procedure and also the qualifications and facilities required. Clause 6 of the said regulation provides the facilities which are required for grant of new courses of training. As per clause 6.1 the institution amongst other for an annual intake beyond 200 and upto 300, it shall possess land of 3500 sq.mt. Sub Clause 2 provides the requirement of built up area for running other teacher education programme in combination with B.Ed. Programme which in case of the appellant where the courses of D.El.Ed. plus B.Ed. is being conducted, the requirement is 3000 sq.mt. of built up area, 3000 sq.mt. of land area. The note therein provides that the additional intake of one unit of B.Ed. will require additional built up area of 500 Sq.mt. Thus it is for this reason in the earlier notice the issue of land area was never raised as the land possessed by the appellant is sufficient in terms of Clause 6.1 of the Regulation. It is submitted that even assuming but not accepting that for additional intake of unit the minimum requirement of land area being 3500 sq.mt. and not 3000 sq.mt., if the same was earlier pointed out in the notice, the appellant would have fulfilled the same as it was in a position to acquire additional remaining land to reach area of 3500 sq.mt. In fact, at present also the


appellant can get the area extended from 3234.2 sq.mt. to 3500 sq.mt. It is submitted that the order of rejection dt. 20.06.2017 is unsustainable and fit to be set aside."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 20.06.2017 issued by ERC Bhubaneswar is on the ground that "total land area in the name of institution is 80 decimal (3236 Sq. Meters) which is less than required land area for additional intake in D.El.Ed course."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was inspected on 20.05.2017 and V.T. had reported that appellant institution was already recognised for conducting one unit each of B.Ed and D.El.Ed. programme. This fact was declared by the applicant while submitting online application. The availability of land of 3234.20 Sq. Meters was also declared by appellant at the time of making applications. Committee further noted that inadequacy of land for seeking additional unit of D.El.Ed programme was not intimated to the appellant institution while issuing Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 18.03.2017 and inspection was got conducted. Regional Committee after deciding to refuse recognition did not give appellant a fair chance to make written representation on the proposed ground of refusal. The impugned refusal order dated 20.06.2017 is therefore, in violation of proviso to section 15(3) (b) of the NCTE Act and is therefore, set aside.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 20.06.2017 being violative of proviso to section 15(3) (b).

Now, therefore, the Committee decided to set aside the impugned refusal order.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Sri Ram Teachers Training College, Vill.-Sugda, Street/Road – Ranchi, Gumla Road, Taluka/Mandal – Nagri, Town/City-Sugda, Dist. – Ranchi, Jharkhand – 835303.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.



F.No.89-63/2017 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 20-11-2017

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sainath Institutions, Village – Murawal, PO – Ghughara Vaya Katni, Rithi, Katni, Madhya Pradesh dated 31/03/2017 is against the Order No. WRC/APP3219/222/263rd/{M.P.}/2016/176322 dated 30/11/2016 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that “AND WHEREAS, reply received from the institution and the aforesaid court order were placed in the 263rd WRC Meeting held on November 25-27, 2016 and the Committee observed that “...After the issue of Show Cause Notice, a clarification letter was issued on 15/06/2016 and the reply was received. The clarification was regarding change of land ownership and diversion. The institution had not submitted any proof from the University regarding running of BBA and BCA courses. The institution vide its reply has stated that it is not running BBA and BCA courses. Since, the institution is a standalone institution which is not permitted under Clause 2(b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the Recognition is refused.”

AND WHEREAS Sh. Deepak Mathur, Joint Secretary, Sainath Institutions, Village – Murawal, PO – Ghughara Vaya Katni, Rithi, Katni, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. The appellant apprised the Appeal Committee of the order dated 29.08.2017 in W.P. Case No.9784/2017 and requested for reconsideration of the case.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 30.11.2016 was appealed against by the appellant. Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting held on 04.05.2017 decided that since the two applications made by appellant seeking recognition for D.El.Ed. programme and B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. programme belonged to two different years, the applicant cannot be considered a composite institution. Clause 3(a) of NCTE Regulation, 2014 prescribe that

- 2 -

'recognition of commencement of new teacher education programme shall be offered in composite institution. Clause 2(b) of the Regulation, 2014 give the definition of composite institution. NCTE further issued clarification to all the Regional Committee offices that if an applicant applies for two or more than two teacher education programmes simultaneously, the applications may be processed further subject to the condition that applicant has to get recognition for a minimum of two teacher education programmes simultaneously. The impugned order dated 30.11.2016 was confirmed by Appeal Committee because the applicant had made application for D.El.Ed. programme in the year 2015 and for B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.ed. in the year 2016. The resultant Appeal Order dated 21.06.2017 has been set aside by the Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh vide order dated 29.08.2017 in Writ Petition Case No.9784 of 2017. Relevant extracts from the above judgement of the Hon'ble High Court are reproduced below :

"We have heard the learned counsel for the parties at length. In view of the subsequent development that has taken place before this Court namely, the clarification and undertaking given by the petitioner before this Court to the effect that he does not wish to press the application filed by it for granting recognition to run B.Sc.B.Ed. course, it would be appropriate that the authorities be directed to consider the petitioner's application seeking recognition of the B.A-B.Ed. course and the D.El.Ed. course together in accordance with the Regulations.

We accordingly direct that the matter be reconsidered by the Appellate Authority of the respondent NCTE keeping in mind this subsequent event, however, with a clarification that on account of lapse of time this application shall be considered only for the academic session 2018-19.

In view of the above the impugned order dated 21.6.2017 passed by the Appellate Authority is hereby set aside and the matter is remitted and remanded back to the

Appellate Authority for reconsidering the application filed by the petitioner for the D.El.Ed. course and B.A-B.Ed. course after taking into consideration the undertaking made by the petitioner before this Court regarding withdrawing of the application seeking recognition for the B.Sc-B.Ed. course only in case the petitioner submits such a written undertaking before the Appellate authority within a week from today.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner does so, the Appellant Authority shall consider and decide the appeal of the petitioner afresh taking into consideration the pending application of the petitioner for the B.A-B.Ed. course and the D.El.Ed. course. However, as this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case or made any comments upon the entitlement of the petitioner, the appellate authority would be at liberty to examine the matter taking all facts and facets into consideration in the light of the subsequent events and take a decision thereon strictly in accordance with law as period of time so as to enable the petitioner to commence the course from the academic session 2018-19 in case it is found entitled to do so."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee after reconsideration of the case in light of the above judgement made by Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh decided to remand back the case to WRC, Bhopal for processing the application for D.El.Ed. programme made by applicant institution subject to the condition that final recognition for the programme should be issued for a minimum of two teacher education programmes simultaneously on appellant institution being found eligible for both the programmes.


AND WHEREAS In compliance with the orders dated 27.8.2017 issued by the Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh in W.P. no. 9784/2017, Appeal Committee

- 4 -

concluded to remand back the case to WRC for processing the application made by appellant institution seeking recognition for D.El.Ed. Programme.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to WRC for processing the application made by appellant institution seeking recognition for D.El.Ed. Programme.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sainath Institutions, Village – Murawal, PO – Ghughara Vaya Katni, Rithi, Katni, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Sainath Institutions, Plot/Khasra No. 258, 264, Village – Murawal, PO- Ghughara Vaya Katni, Rithi, Katni, Madhya Pradesh – 483501.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

48



F.No.89-798/2016 Appeal/19th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 20-11-2017

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Global Genius College, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh dated 5/12/2016 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-15632 dated 09/09/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "Corrigendum orders issued reducing seats from 100 to 50 vide order no. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-15632/Corrigendum/2016/157739 dated 09/09/2016."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Om Prakash, Secretary, Global Genius College, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/10/2017. The appellant apprised Appeal Committee of the order dated 5/9/2017 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan in W.P. Case No.8235/2017. The Hon'ble High Court, in the above case, has quashed the earlier appeal order dated 18/4/2017 upholding the impugned order 9/9/2016 through which NRC Jaipur had reduced the sanctioned intake of 2 units (100 seats) to one unit (50 seats) in the D.El.Ed. programme. Hon'ble High Court has remanded. The matter to Appellate Committee for passing an order afresh which should be reasoned.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee on reverification of the available documents on record found that there was an error committed which might be due to mix up of appeal files. Documentary evidence available on regulatory file support the averment made by appellant that application seeking recognition for D.El.Ed. programme was for 2 units (100 seats) and the inspection conducted on 09.02.2016 was also keeping in view the applied for intake as 2 units. The Letter of Intent (LOI) dated 3/3/2016 mentioned that an institution can be given maximum two units for D.El.Ed./B.Ed. programme.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution submitted compliance letter dated 4/4/2016. List containing the name of one Head of Department and 15 faculty members approved by Pariksha Niyamak Adhikari, Allahabad on 1-4-2016 alongwith an affidavit seeking recognition for 100 seats and other relevant documents was submitted to NRC, Jaipur. Appellant on noticing the decision taken by NRC in its 252nd Meeting held on 19th April to 2nd May, 2016 to grant recognition for only one unit made a written representation recognition for two units of D.El.Ed. programme. NRC, Jaipur in a combined recognition order dated 2/5/2016 issued orders granting recognition for 2 units (100 seats) for D.El.Ed. programme to the appellant institutions. Name of Appellant's institution appears at serial No. 826 of the said order.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that NRC in its 253rd Meeting held on 30th May to 3rd June, 2016 reconsidered the matter and decided that recognition be granted to the institution for one unit (50 seats). Appellant made a written representation dated 1/5/2016 against the decision of NRC. NRC, however, without assigning any reason issued a corrigendum dated 9/9/2016 reducing the sanctioned intake from 100 seats to 50 seats.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, having regard to fact that appellant institution is already conducting B.Ed. programme with an intake of 50 seats (one unit) and had apprised for grant of recognition for 2 units of D.El.Ed. programme, considered that existing land of 4000 Sq. Meters and built up area of 3670 Sq. Meters as verified by the Visiting Team is adequate for grant of 2 units of D.El.Ed. programme as was applied by the appellant institution.

AND WHEREAS the Appellant also had the required number of faculty for grant of recognition for 2 units of D.El.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee, therefore, does not find reason for NRC to have issued the impugned corrigendum dated 9.9.2016 reducing the already sanctioned intake and nor there has been any reason given for the purpose in the minutes of 253rd meeting of NRC. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to set aside the impugned corrigendum dated 9.9.2016 to restore the original intake of 100 seats as mentioned in the recognition order dated 2.5.2016 (serial no. 826).

AND WHEREAS on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and order dated 5.9.2017 made by Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan Branch at Jaipur in writ petition no. 8235/2017, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned corrigendum dated 9.9.2-16 to restore the original intake of 100 seats as mentioned in the recognition order dated 2.5.2016 in respect of serial no. 826.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Global Genius College, 1336, Residential, 552, Sonkh, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh – 281123.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.