

F.No.89-514/E-7808/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shree Venkateshwar B.Ed. College, Sihoda Near Bheraghat Railway Station, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh dated 13/06/2017 is against the Order No. WRCAPP201660037/7657/B.A.B.Ed./274th/{M.P.}/2017/186372 dated 31/05/2017 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "AND WHEREAS, the reply dt. 25.04.2017 of the institution was placed before WRC in its 274th meeting held on April 27, 2017. The Committee decided that "...Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on various grounds. The institution has clarified on the points raised in the Show Cause Notice. Since the institution has not complied with the directions of the WRC, Recognition is refused."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Gian Chand Ahirwar, Assistant Professor and Sh. Manish Kumar Singh, Assistant Manager, Shree Venkateshwar B.Ed. College, Sihoda Near Bheraghat Railway Station, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "I have despatched the Multipurpose Hall certificate signed by Gram Sarpanch as on 19/04/2017. After refusal first certificate we submitted by hand another Certificate of Multipurpose Hall signed by Government Engineer as on 01/05/2017. As on date we have Government Engineer Certificate of 2156 sq. ft. Multipurpose Hall."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that inspection of the appellant institution was conducted on 27.01.2017 and the Inspection Team reported that appellant institution is conducting a 2 year B.Ed. programme. Size of multipurpose hall as mentioned at page 20 of the report is 2165 Sq. feet. Appeal Committee further noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 16.03.2017 was issued to appellant institution on the following grounds:

"V.T.R. was perused and C.D. viewed. The C.D. shows a building which only has a ground floor with inadequate instructional and infrastructural facilities. The multipurpose hall appears small. E.T. and science lab are not adequately equipped."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution in its replies dated 19.04.2017 and 28.04.2017 had addressed the deficiencies by enclosing copy of recognition order for B.Ed. programme and a building completion certificate singed by Asstt. Engineer certifying that the area of multipurpose hall is 2156 Sq. feet. The impugned refusal order dated 31.05.2017 is contradictory in so far as at page 2 thereof it first states that 'the institution has clarified on the points raised in the SCN' and then subsequently says that 'since the institution has not complied with the directions of WRC, recognition is refused' Appeal Committee therefore. decided that WRC should issue a specific speaking order indicating the exact deficiency on which the recognition is being refused so as to enable the appellant to submit a written representation on that ground. While revisiting the matter, WRC should keep in view that the appellant institution has applied for 4 year integrated course of BA, B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed and it would have been more important for the Regional Committee to have verified whether the appellant institution is well equipped to fulfil the condition laid down in para 1.1 of Appendix 13 of the Norms & Standards for the 4 year integrated programme. With the above observation, the case is remanded back to WRC.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to WRC for revisiting the case keeping in view that appellant institution had enclosed copies of recognition order dated 14.5.2013 and a building completion certificate issued by Assistant Engineer, District Jabalpur (M.P) while revisiting the case, para 1.1 of Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards for the 4 year Integrated programme should also be kept in view.

-3-

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shree Venkateshwar B.Ed. College, Sihoda Near Bheraghat Railway Station, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated appre.

- 1. The Secretary, Shree Venkateshwar B.Ed. College, 256/1, 256/2, Sihoda Near Bheraghat Railway Station, Jabalpur 482002, Madhya Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal 462002.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-515/E-7836/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Prakash Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Mahmadpur, Dholpur, Rajasthan dated 13/06/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615477/B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. — 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 28/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. The institution has not submitted the Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Authority to use the land for Educational purpose. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. R.P. Arela, Chairman, Prakash Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Mahmadpur, Dholpur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Appellant had submitted relevant documents in response to the show cause notice which have been completely overlooked by NRC. A copy of certified registered land documents is being enclosed. The observation with regard to non-submission of land use certificate issued by competent authority is not justified and for ready reference of appellate body is enclosed. Appellant had made all the necessary documentary compliance and it also satisfies the norms and standards in respect of availability of infrastructure and facilities as required under NCTE Act, 1993 and Regulations, 2014. It is apparent that no deficiency exists with appellant-institution and its application deserves to be processed further and recognition be granted to it."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 28.04.2017 was issued by NRC on two grounds i.e.

- i) Non submission of certified copy of land documents and
- ii) Non submission of change of land use certificate.

AND WHEREAS appeal Committee noted that appellant in its online application dated 31.05.2016 has submitted details of land and building plan. The plot area of 3500 Sq. Meters is situated at survey no. 145/1 and a built-up area of 4530 Sq. Meters was proposed to be constructed. In the affidavit enclosed, the plot area is declared as 3050 Sq. Meters which does not match with the entries made in the application form.

AND WHEREAS the land documents i.e. sale deed, lease deed, land ownership certificate, building completion certificate enclosed with the application form, indicate more than one plot number on which the building is located and part of land is on lease. Appeal Committee is however concerned with the land located at survey no. 145/1, the area of which is declared to 3050 Sq. Meters. The appellant was able to show the original certified copy of land documents during the appeal hearing and was advised by the Committee to submit original certified copy of land documents to the office of NRC. So far as Land Use Certificate is concerned, appellant submitted three Land Use Certificates respectively issued by i) the Executive Officer, Municipal Corporation, Dholpur, ii) Counsellor, Municipal Corporation, Dholpur and iii) Tehsildar, Dholpur (Rajasthan). B.Ed. programme is already recognised to be conducted on the same plot which is located is the Municipal limits of Dholpur.

AND WHEREAS since the appellant institution has applied for 4 year integrated course of BA, B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed, it would have been more important for the Regional Committee to have verified whether the appellant institution is well equipped to fulfil the condition laid down in para 1.1 of Appendix 13 of the Norms & Standards for the 4 year integrated programme. Apparently the appellant institution does not have degree courses running in Arts and Science stream. The application may be processed further keeping in view the above observation. The case is remanded

back to NRC. The appellant is required to submit original certified copy of land documents to NRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC for further processing of the application keeping in view para 1.1. of appendix 13 of Norms & Standards. Appellant is required to submit to NRC original certified copy of the land documents within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Prakash Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Mahmadpur, Dholpur, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

- 1. The Manager, Prakash Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Mahmadpur, Ondela Road, Dholpur 328001, Rajasthan.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-516/E-7827/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Baijnath Memorial School of Teachers Training, Sadar, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 14/06/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14811/266th (Part-2) Meeting/2017/171462 dated 17/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "LOI was issued on 27.10.2016. the institution did not submit compliance of LOI. SCN was issued on 09.02.2017. The request of the institution dated 26.02.2017 to extend the time for compliance of LOI was considered and has not been acceded to."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Kashi Parsad Singh, Representative, Sri Baijnath Memorial School of Teachers Training, Sadar, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the society had after the LOI was issued completed necessary formalities and as such therefore requested the University to provide the necessary member for the appointment and then further approve it. Since the process was delayed, the institution requested the NRC to grant additional time. It is submitted that the VBSPU, Jaunpur only on 29.04.2017 approved the selection. In pursuance of the same, the institution vide diary no. 168146 on dated 30.04.2017 deposited the necessary approval along with other documents to the NRC, NCTE, Jaipur and as such was shocked to receive the impugned rejection order after the society had submitted the completed file in the month of May 2017. As such since the delay was neither any fault on the part of the institution or was any wilful default was done and the society had requested for extension of time and as such was denied without any just cause. It is submitted that before the society received the rejection order, it had already submitted the necessary formalities with the NRC, NCTE."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that Letter of Intent (LoI) was issued to appellant institution on 27.10.2016. The L.O.I. was required to be complied within 2 months. A Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 09.02.2017 was issued to appellant institution on grounds of non-submission of compliance to the LOI. The appellant vide its letter dated 26.02.2017 informed NRC that selection process of faculty is going on in consultation with the affiliating University and it needs extension of 45 days to submit final compliance of the LOI. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution was able to submit compliance to NRC on 30.04.2017 before which recognition was already refused by issue of the impugned order dated 17.04.2017.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee appreciated the fact that appellant institution had replied to Show Cause Notice on time and sought extension of time to submit compliance to the LOI. The Committee is aware that most of the times delay occurs in getting subject experts from affiliating universities and the efforts made by institutions do not bring desired results. Since, the appellant institution has been able to submit compliance to LOI, although a bit late, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC for considering the compliance report submitted by the appellant institution in the office of NRC on 30.4.2017.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Baijnath Memorial School of Teachers Training, Sadar, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

- 1. The Manager, Sri Baijnath Memorial School of Teachers Training, Asona, Sadar, Azamgarh 276001, Uttar Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-517/E-7831/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Baijnath Memorial School of Teachers Training, Sadar, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 14/06/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14807/266th (Part-2) Meeting/2017/170614 dated 17/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "LOI was issued on 02.11.2016. The institution did not submit compliance of LOI. SCN was issued on 17.02.2017. No reply of SCN has been received."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Kashi Parsad Singh, representative, Sri Baijnath Memorial School of Teachers Training, Sadar, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "after the LOI was issued, the institute proceeded to undertake the necessary exercise and as such moved appropriate application before the competent authority. The institution was not served with the Show Cause Notice, therefore the institution could not reply to the same. The competent authority vide its letter dated 18.04.2017 issued the necessary approval. The institute proceeded to the NRC to deposit the same, but were verbally informed that the file has been rejected by the impugned order herein. The delay is neither intentional or deliberate but was not in the hands of the institute since the matter was pending for consideration before the competent authority."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Letter of Intent (LOI) dated 02.11.2016 was issued to appellant institution. Due to non submission of the compliance report within the specified time limit of 2 months, a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 17.02.2017 was issued to appellant institution. Appellant, however, denies having received the SCN.

AND WHEREAS appellant, during the course of appeal presentation on 27.09.2017 informed the Committee that the names of one Principal and 15 faculty have since been approved on 18.04.2017 by affiliating body i.e. Pariksha Niyamak Adhikari, Allahabad. Appeal Committee, therefore, directs the appellant to submit a comprehensive compliance report to NRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders. NRC, Jaipur should consider compliance report for further processing of the case.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for further processing on the condition that appellant would submit full and final compliance of LOI to NRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Baijnath Memorial School of Teachers Training, Sadar, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

- 1. The Manager, Sri Baijnath Memorial School of Teachers Training, Asona, Azamgarh 276001, Uttar Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-518/E-7709/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Central College of Professional Studies, Arandia, Indore Dew, Indore, Madhya Pradesh dated 10/06/2017 is against the Order No. WRCAPP2615/223/276th/2017/186507 dated 05/06/2017 of the Western Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme of two year of 100 students (2 units of 50 students each) from the academic session 2018-19.

AND WHEREAS Sh. C.S. Sharma, Principal and Sh. J.C. Sharma, Sr. Faculty, Central College of Professional Studies, Arandia, Indore Dew, Indore, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the order of recognition "from session 2018-19" is unlawful, it must be "from session 2017-18" because:- In the WRC 267th meeting held on January 23-25, 2017 at the serial no. 55. Code APP2615 it is mentioned that "However, LOI for 2 units of the B.Ed. coursethe case can be considered "for the session 2017-18". It's compliance fulfilled by the college Ref. No. CCPS/2017/033 dated: 03.02.2017, even then WRC pleased to order recognition from the session 2018-19. All the formalities were complete, after satisfaction, WRC please to LOI under clause 7(13) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 F.No. WRC/APP2615/223/MP/2017/181932, 934, 935 dated: 10/03/2017. It's compliance submitted by letter dated: 17.04.2017 by which all thing fulfilled & we appointed 16 faculties members also. Even then WRC pleased to order recognition from the session 2018-19. When all the formalities were complete, in the WRC 275th meeting held on May 1-2, 2017 unnecessarily following 3 points raised for clarification. Where the College become a constituent college of Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam University, Indore. The land dcmt. which were submitted for Central College of Professional Studies is at Plot No. 2/1/2. Reference is made to the Letter of Anuvibhagiye Adhikari, Indore dt. 15.05.2015 wherein Plot No. 2/1/2 is shown against to 2 universities. And also what is the connection between these 3 institutions, and Show Cause

Notice be issued on the above grounds. Although simple clarification was given and WRC satisfied. While, all these three points were already clear before the inspection team at the time of inspection on 26 & 27.08.2016. as the letter of M.P. Govt. Gazette Notification dt. 03.06.2016 and NOC from Devi Ahilya Vishwavidhyalaya, Indore dt. 09.02.2016. Thus, it is clear, that, all the things were fulfilled even then WRC pleased in the 275th meeting recognition from session 2018-19 instead of session 2017-18. So, it is humble request to accept the appeal, and please to rectify the order F.No. WRC/APP2615/223/276th/2017/186507 dt. 05.06.2017 and give recognition from 2017-18 instead of 2018-19.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Letter of Intent (LOI) dated 10.03.2017 was issued to appellant institution. The appellant institution was required to submit:

- i) Faculty list as per Appendix 4
- ii) Approval of affiliating body
- iii) Affidavit from Management
- iv) Affidavit from each faculty
- v) FDRs
- vi) Details of Website as per para 3 (ii) of LOI

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution submitted a compliance report dated 17.04.2017 received in the office of WRC on 20.04.2017. It is further observed that WRC in its 275th Meeting held on May 1-2, 2017 raised three new queries for the appellant to reply and SCN dated 15.05.2017 was issued in this regard. The issue of SCN and the corresponding reply of SCN submitted on the basis of minutes of 275th meeting delayed the issue of recognition order which resulted in postponement of the academic year as cut of date for issue of recognition for academic year 2017-18 was 03.05.2017. Appellant aggrieved by the delay, has appealed and represented that SCN dated 15.05.2017 was on such grounds which were not necessary and should have been settled before deciding to issue a LOI.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee is also of the view that points raised in the SCN dated 15.05.2017 were such which should have been settled before issue of

LOI. The cut-off date for grant of recognition for academic year 2017-18, however, can not be extended and the grant of recognition for academic year 2018-19 by issue of impugned recognition order dated 05.06.2017 is to be accepted as fait accompli. WRC is advised to avoid reoccurrence of such cases and shall thoroughly scrutinise the cases before issue of LOI as issue of SCN after the stage of LOI is to be restricted to the terms and condition required to be fulfilled as laid down/ specified in the LOI.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee keeping in view that cut-off date for grant of recognition for academic year 2017-18 was 3.5.2017, decided to confirm the impugned recognition order dated 5.6.2017.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned recognition order dated 5.6.2017.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

^L(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

- 1. The Principal, Central College of Professional Studies, Arandia, Indore Dew, Indore 452016, Madhya Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education
- & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal 462002.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.





F.No.89-519/E-7702/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Davanagere University, Tholahunase, Davangere, Karnataka dated 07/06/2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP2250/B.P.Ed/KA/2016-17/91888 dated 17/02/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "LOI for B.P.Ed. (1 unit) was issued on 29.11.2016. They have not cared to reply even by the extended dateline."

AND WHEREAS Dr. S.V. Halse, Registrar, Davanagere University, Tholahunase, Davangere, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that since it is a unit of Govt. of Karnataka, the conditions of NCTE were placed before the Syndicate and also Academic Council of the University to obtain concurrence of the said Authorities for creation of required Teaching / Non-Teaching posts for the B.P.Ed. Programme. The said authorities have accepted the conditions of NCTE stipulated in the LOI."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant University was issued a Letter of Intent (LOI) dated 29.11.2016 seeking compliance within a period of two months. The appellant University replied to LOI on 30.01.2017 simply seeking permission to start the programme on assurance that terms and condition laid down in the LOI will be brought to the notice of University Academic Council for implementation on priority basis.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant even on the date of appeal hearing i.e. 27.09.2017 was not able to provide list of faculty appointed after seeking due approvals. Appeal Committee, however, noted that impugned refusal order was issued without giving a formal opportunity to the appellant institution to

submit written representation on the proposed ground of refusal as required under proviso to article 14 3(b) of the NCTE Act. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to SRC Bangalore for issue of Show Cause Notice and considering the written representation if any submitted by the appellant.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for issue of SCN as required under the proviso of 14 (3) (b) of the NCTE Act.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Davanagere University, Tholahunase, Davangere, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

- 1. The Secretary/Appellant, Davanagere University, Tholahunase, Bada Road, Davangere 577002, Karnataka.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore 560 072.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.





F.No.89-520/E-7817/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

-9/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Siddhi Vinayak Education College, Tibbi, Rajasthan dated 10/06/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP 201616308/B.Ed./RJ/ 2017-18/2; dated 11.04.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "As per land documents submitted by the institution, the land is on private lease basis which is not acceptable as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The sale deed bearing Patthar No.-202/260 is not mentioned in online application. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Gauri Shankar, Director, Shri Siddhi Vinayak Education College, Tibbi, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "registered land documents of institution 2 the sale deed bearing patthar no. 202/260 is mentioned."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution in its online application dated 09.06.2016 seeking recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme mentioned the proposed address of institution as Survey no. 202/283 8 GGR and title as Govt. lease. The Land documents enclosed with the application were in the form of a lease agreement between Sh. Gauri Shankar and Shri Siddhi Vinayak Shikshan Sansthan through Sh. Jagdish, Secretary. The Building Completion Certificate (BCC) enclosed with the application form also mentioned the Type of ownership as "Lease".

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant alongwith its appeal Memoranda had submitted copies of following deeds of land consisting of different plots:

1. No. 202/260

0.886 Hec.

2. NIL (Registered on 03.01.2017 Different Plots residential

1869.14 Sq. Meters

3. Ward No. 9 (Residential Plot) - 5

585 Sq. Meters

4. Ward No. 9 - Registered on 09.06.2017

520.45 Sq. Meters

5. Ward No. 9 Registered on 09.06.2017

357.81 Sq. Meters

AND WHEREAS Clause 8(4) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014 prescribe that 'No institution shall be granted recognition under these regulations unless the institution is in possession of required land on the date of application. The land free from all encumbrances could be either on ownership basis or lease from government.'

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee having noted that plot at survey no. 202/283, Village Tibbi, Hanumangarh was on private lease, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 11.4.2017 issued by NRC, Jaipur.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 11.4.2017 issued by NRC, Jaipur.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Shri Siddhi Vinayak Education College, 8, GGR Tibbi Ward No. 22-23, Tibbi – 335526, Rajasthan.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-521/E-8020/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Ravindra Pal Singh Parmar (T.T.) College, Dhola Kua, Kaman, Rajasthan dated 09/06/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP20165539/Bachelor of Education[B.Ed.]/EJ/2017-18/2 dated 28.04.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ravindra Pal Singh Parmar, President, Ravindra Pal Singh Parmar (T.T.) College, Dhola Kua, Kaman, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation a certified copy of land documents was made available.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that NRC in its 265th meeting held on 27.02.2017 to 03.03.2017 decided to issue a Show Cause Notice on 5 points of deficiency. The appellant institution in its submission made to the Appeal Committee informed that actual SCN was received by the institution on 10.04.2017 and a reply to SCN was submitted to NRC on 18.04.2017. The impugned refusal order is only on one ground i.e. 'certified registered land documents not submitted.'

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant had submitted self attested copy of the land documents alongwith its reply to the SCN. However, a certified copy of the land documents was made available before Appeal Committee.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application. The appellant institution should submit original certified copy of sale deed in the office of NRC, Jaipur within 15 days of issue of appeal order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application. Appellant institution is required to submit to NRC, Jaipur original certified copy of sale deed within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Ravindra Pal Singh Parmar (T.T.) College, Dhola Kua, Kaman, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

- 1. The Manager, Ravindra Pal Singh Parmar (T.T.) College, Kaman Dhola Kua, Kaman 321022, Rajasthan.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-522/E-7992/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of District Institute of Education and Training, Ganeshpura, Palanpura, Gujarat dated 08/06/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP20165539/Bachelor of Education[B.Ed.]/EJ/2017-18/2 dated 02/01/2017 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "built-up area is only 1165.89 sq. mtrs. The institution has not submitted any document regarding adequate built-up area. Hence, Recognition is refused."

AND WHEREAS Sh. C.K. Desai, Sr. Lecturer and Sh. K.N. Solanki, Head Clerk, District Institute of Education and Training, Ganeshpura, Palanpura, Gujarat presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that we have submitted the building completion certificate in prescribed proforma signed by PWD Engineer as Built-up area is 2263.42 sq. mtrs. which fulfil, B.Ed. course norms.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that DIET. Palanpur submitted a Building Completion Certificate (BCC) signed by Executive Engr., R&B Div. Palanpur. The above BCC was received in the office of WRC Bhopal on 28.12.2016. Appeal Committee further noted that the decision to refuse recognition on ground of non submission of evidence of adequate built up area was taken in 265th Meeting of WRC held on 27th – 29th December, 2016. Possibility of WRC having not been able to consider the BCC submitted by DIET on 28.12.2016 therefore, is not ruled out.

AND WHEREAS as recognition for B.Ed. programme was refused only on ground of adequate built up area, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC for considering the letter sent by email on 18.03.2016 by DIET Palanpur (Hard copy received on 18.12.2016 – Diary No. 153033).

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for further processing of the application after considering the BCC submitted by DIET, Palanpur on 28.12.2016.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of District Institute of Education and Training, Ganeshpura, Palanpura, Gujarat to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

- 1. The Principal, District Institute of Education and Training (DIET), Palanpur, 1301/Ganeshpura, Palanpur 385001, Gujarat.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal 462002.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Gujarat, Ahmedabad.



F.No.89-523/E-7989/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Allama Igbal Teachers Training College, Balapur, Balagani, Bihar dated 11/06/2017 is against the Order No. ERC/237.7.5/APP2621 (Part-2)/D.EI.Ed./2017/52396 dated 18/04/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 16.12.2016 on the following grounds: (i) As per VT Report, during inspection the essential and relevant documents related to the D.El.Ed. programme were not provided / produced by the managing committee by asking the VT members and overall preparations of the institution for D.El.Ed. is very poor. The instructional and infrastructural facilities of the institution are not available as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. (ii) As seen in the CD, the building is under construction and not ready for running D.El.Ed. programme. b. In response, the institution has submitted a copy of CD vide letter dated 14.12.2016. As the building was under construction at the time VT but there is no provision for re-inspection of the institution. Therefore, the representation of the institution has not been considered by the Committee. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP2621 of the institution regarding recognition of D.El.Ed. Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Asif Hussain, Director, Allama Iqbal Teachers Training College, Balapur, Balaganj, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that all facilities are available in the institution for conduct D.El.Ed. programme according to new Regulation 2014.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 18.04.2017 was issued by ERC Bhubaneswar mainly based on some negative recommendation made by Visiting Team during inspection of the appellant institution on 13.08.2016. The appellant is already conducting B.Ed. programme since the year 2014. Appeal Committee noted that as per observation of V.T. team, the management of appellant institution did not cooperate with the Inspection Team. Documents sought by the V.T team were not provided on demand. The V.T. however, did not specify as to which documents were not submitted to them by the Management. The other adverse remarks made by the V.T. included: i) Lack of books and journals in Library ii) No provision for drinking water iii) Lack of furniture in the faculty room iv) No internet or website v) No stock register, cash book, no attendance register etc. Finally, it was stated that overall preparation of the institution was very poor. ERC on viewing the C.D. further found that building was under construction and not ready for running D.El.Ed. programme.

and whereas Appeal Committee while going through the documents enclosed with the V.T. report came across the Building Completion Certificate (BCC). The BCC is for a total built up area of 16802 Sq. feet area (5521+5521+5760 Sq. feet). As per above BCC, the built-up area is not sufficient for conducting B.Ed. (existing) and D.El.Ed. (proposed) courses. Apart from the deficiencies brought to the notice of appellant institution by issue of show cause notice and for which the appellant has not furnished any satisfactory reply, the another important deficiency is the non-cooperative attitude of the appellant institution. The Appellant institution in its appeal memoranda has failed to specify with evidence the action taken to rectify the deficiencies on account of lack of books, journals, drinking water and procuring adequate furniture items. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 18.4.2017.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 18.4.2017 issued by ERC, Bhubaneshwar.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

- 1. The Principal, Allama Iqbal Teachers Training College, Balapur, Panari Road, Balaganj 804403, Bihar.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar 751 012.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.





F.No.89-523(A)/E-7773/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Raafiah College of Education, Phatanpur, Tappa Havell, Saahaswan, Uttar Pradesh dated 14/06/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP 201615058/D.EI.Ed/UP- 2017-18/2 dated 17.04.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.EI.Ed. Course on the grounds that "as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014 followed by clarifications and guidelines received from NCTE (Hqrs.) from time to time, vertical expansion is not allowed. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Md. H. Rahaman, Chairman, Raafiah College of Education, Phatanpur, Tappa Havell, Saahaswan, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the building of the TEI has not expanded vertically. It is evident by the construction MAP of the TEI building, which is verified by the Competent Government Authority and local Gram Panchayat. TEI map has enclosed within the appeal application."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 20.01.2017 was issued to appellant institution on the ground that 'it is not a composite institution and hence vertical expansion in terms of additional seats is not permissible.' Obviously the appellant's case is for seeking additional intake to its already existing D.El.Ed course. Appellant during the course of appeal presentation submitted that apart from seeking additional intake in D.El.Ed. programme, appellant institution had also submitted application seeking recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme and the Appellate Authority had in its 13th Meeting considered its appeal in that case. Appellant Authority noted that the appeal filed by appellant in respect of

its B.Ed. programme was considered and a favourable decision was taken by the Appeal Committee. Appellant's application for B.Ed. programme is therefore, still pending for consideration of the NRC and as such the existing appeal also needs to be remanded back to NRC for taking a collective decision so far as composite nature of the institution is concerned.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case of appellant institution for seeking additional intake in D.El.Ed. programme to NRC, Jaipur. The present application should be considered keeping in view the appeal order no 89-234/E-1075/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017 dated 16.10.2017.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the application for D.El.Ed. (Addl. Intake) in conjunction with the application for B.Ed. programme remanded back by Appeal order no 89-234/E-1075/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017 dated 16.10.2017.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Raafiah College of Education, Phatanpur, Tappa Havell, Saahaswan, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

- 1. The Chairman, Raafiah College of Education, Phatanpur, Tappa Havell, PWD Road, Saahaswan 243638, Uttar Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





F.No.89-524/E-7443/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Vivekanand Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Manusagaon, Icchapur Indore Road, Shahpur, Madhya Pradesh dated 31/05/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/WRC/WRCAPP201660151/D.EI.Ed/SCN/MP/2017-18/LSG SI. No. dated 07.09.2016 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.EI.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the minority certificate."

AND WHEREAS Ms. Arti Thakur, Principal, Vivekanand Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Manusagaon, Icchapur Indore Road, Shahpur, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that at present, according to section 10 of NCMCI Act the institute has already deemed NOC of minority institution. A copy of Act and other documents are attached here with NOC certificate will be produced at the time of physical verification.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 07.09.2016 is on the ground that 'the institution has not submitted the Minority Certificate'. The necessity to seek the Minority Certificate from the appellant institution had emerged because in the state of Madhya Pradesh application for D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. programmes were not invited for the academic year 2017-18 and the above restriction was not applicable to the Minority Institutions established under article 30 of the constitution. The appellant institution has so far been not able to submit copy of certificate to prove that it is a minority institution. The appellant instead of furnishing evidence to prove that it is a minority institution is relying on Commissioner, Backward & Minority Welfare Govt. of M.P. letter dated 22.05.2016

copy of which has also not been furnished. The appellant institution has only provided a copy of its application dated 03.02.2016 addressed to Commissioner, Backward & Minority Welfare Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal seeking NOC under Article 10 of the Act for establishing Shiksha Mahavidyalaya. The applicant further contended that the competent authority under article 10 (3) has either to reject this application with a period of 90 days or it shall be deemed that competent authority has granted no objection certificate to the applicant.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee is of the view that onus lies on the applicant to submit such document to prove that applicant society is a duly registered minority institution. The deemed to minority cannot be taken for granted as in many other similar cases, Committee noted that competent authority had refused to grant minority status and even has withdrawn minority status of already existing Minority Institution and the applicants did not apprise of these facts to the Regional Committee. It therefore, would have been safe for the Regional Committee to ask the appellant to submit an indemnity bond/ affidavit undertaking that the application seeking minority status made to the concerned appropriate authority has neither been disposed of nor rejected. Regional Committee may also seek legal advice on the point of conferring minority status to an institution under article 10 of the National Commission for Minority Educational Institution Act, 2004 with or without a formal certificate.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC for seeking legal advice on the issue of grant of deemed NOC to an institution and possible formalities which an institution should fulfil to prove that NOC has not been refused and condition for grant of deemed status are fulfilled.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, document on record and oral argument advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for seeking legal opinion on the issue of deemed Minority status for an institution and revisit the case accordingly.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Vivekanand Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Manusagaon, Icchapur Indore Road, Shahpur, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

- 1. The Manager, Vivekanand Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Near Kalu Shah Baba Dargah, Manusagaon, Icchapur Indore Road, Shahpur 450445, Madhya Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal 462002.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-525/E-8506/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mahatma Gandhi Mahavidyalaya, Shrimadhopur, Shrimadhopur, Rajasthan dated 13/06/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14595/259th Meeting/2016/161824 dated 04.11.2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Deficiencies in the show cause notice dt. 16.08.2016 has not been removed by the institution in response to show cause notice. Reply dt. 12.09.2016 is not acceptable."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Mohan Singh Kherra, Secretary Mahatma Gandhi Mahavidyalaya, Shrimadhopur, Shrimadhopur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The land is in the name of Naval Shikshan Sansthan through Smt. Parvati Devi President. Land use is also converted in institutional educational purposes which is only given to institution. A certificate of land is also issued by Sub Registrar Srimadhopur to the effect that land measuring 10168 SQM is owned by the Naval Shikshan Sansthan. Certified copies of land documents are enclosed herewith for your ready reference. In the library of the institution total 5704 books are available at the time of inspection which is more than 3000 books as required by NCTE Regulation 2014. The copies of the Bills and copy of the library accession register verified by the VT members are enclosed herewith for your ready reference. It is humbly requested to you sir that the institution namely Mahatma Gandhi Mahavidhyalya was established in 2003. At present total 3 UG programme and 15 PG programme are conducted by the institution. The institution is affiliated to University of Rajasthan Jaipur. The institution was received permanent NOC from Govt of Rajasthan in 2008. It is a matter of joke that VT member not seen the sign board of the college. However here we submit the photograph of main gate and main building of the college in which clearly seen the name of the college. 4. The multipurpose hallof the college was complete in all respect on the date of inspection. The BCC and photograph of the hall is enclosed herewith for your ready reference.

5. The list of faculty has been duly approved by the competent authority of the affiliating body. All the faculty of the teacher education institutions are approved by the Dean Faculty of Education Pt. Deen Dayal Upadhyay Shekhawati University Sikar. Our list of faculty has also been approved by the Dean Faculty of Education Pt. Deen Dayal Upadhyay Shekhawati University Sikar. The Approved faculty is enclosed."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 29.06.2015 seeking recognition for B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. programme. The applicant institution was inspected on 29.04.2016 and the NRC Jaipur in its 252nd Meeting held from 19.04.2016 to 2nd May, 2016 decided to issue a Letter of Intent (LOI). The decision of NRC to issue LOI was taken by NRC inspite of the fact that V.T. had made certain adverse remarks relating to (i) inadequate number of books in the Library, (ii) ill-equipped and under construction multipurpose hall and (iii) recommendation not to grant recognition. Appeal Committee further noted that no formal LOI was issued to the appellant institution and the appellant noting the decision of NRC taken in its 252nd meeting to issue LOI submitted a compliance report dated 23.05.2016.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that after considering the compliance report submitted by the appellant vide its letter dated 23.05.2016. NRC issued a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 16.08.2016 on following grounds:

- a) Land is in individual name
- b) Number of books in Library in only 157
- c) No permanent sign board of institution
- d) Multipurpose hall is not complete as on the date of inspection
- e) List of faculty is not approved by affiliating body.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant in its reply dated 10.09.2016 submitted a pointwise reply. The impugned order dated 04.11.2016 is observed to be deficient in so for as it does not specify as to which are the rectifiable

deficiencies not rectified by the appellant. In case land of the institution is treated to be in individual name, the application should not have been processed at all. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for issue of a speaking order pointing out the specific deficiencies on which compliance submitted by appellant were not treated to be satisfactory. If the ownership of land title treated to be in the individual name of Ms. Parvati Devi and not Naval Shikshan Sansthan NRC should also fix responsibility for having decided to issue LoI.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for issue of a speaking order pointing out the deficiencies which still persist.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for issue of a speaking order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mahatma Gandhi Mahavidyalaya, Shrimadhopur, Shrimadhopur, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

- 1. The Secretary, Mahatma Gandhi Mahavidyalaya, Shrimadhopur, Behind Mahaveer Dal Ward No.3, Shrimadhopur 332715, Rajasthan.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-526/E-8500/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of The Nobles Teacher Training College, Chhagan Colony, Badla, Kherwara, Rajasthan dated 10/06/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615341/B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 11/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any proof / evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. As per land documents submitted by the institution, the land is on private lease basis which is not acceptable as per NCTE Regulations, 2014.? The institution has not submitted the approved Building Plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No. / Plot No., total land area, total builtup area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc. The institution has not submitted the Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Ramesh Chandra, Principal, The Nobles Teacher Training College, Chhagan Colony, Badla, Kherwara, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Evidence to prove that it is a composite institution is attached. Land Registration Document dated 20/06/2005 is attached. The Society has submitted the building plan signed by Astt.Eng. Body Kherwara Dist Udaipur Rajasthan the competent Govt. Authority indicating the name and course khasra no total land area total built up area and the measurements of the Multipurpose Hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc. The Society has

Body Kherwara Distt. Udaipur Rajasthan indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. The Society has Submitted Land use certificate issued by the Tahsildar and Sub.Registrar Govt. Authority Body Kherwara Distt. Udaipur, Rajasthan indicating to use the land for educational purpose."

as organisation as mentioned in the online application is 'The Nobles Teacher Training College.' The NOC of affiliating body is also in the name of the Nobles Teacher Training College, Kherwera Udaipur.'

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 11.04.2017 is on following grounds:

- i) It is not a composite institution
- ii) Private Lease of land is not acceptable
- iii) Approved building plan not submitted
- iv) Non Encumbrance Certificate issued by competent authority not submitted.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised for conducting B.Ed. programme since 2007 and as per contention of the appellant it has to be treated as a composite institution under clause 2(b) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014. But since the appellant institution has applied for 4 year Integrated course of B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed., it is more important whether the appellant institution is well equipped to fulfil the condition laid down in para 1.1 of Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards for the four year programme. Appellant is required to satisfy integrating general studies comprising science (B.Sc. B.Ed.) and social sciences or Humanities (B.A. B.Ed.) and professional studies comprising foundation of education, pedagogy of school subjects and maintain a balance between theory and practice. The appellant has not submitted any evidence to prove that it can submit evidence to integrate the general studies with professional studies as per requirement of the 4 year Integrated programme.

AND WHEREAS the appellant has submitted an affidavit on behalf of the lessor that lease deed of land may be treated as sale deed. Such an affidavit cannot be

accepted as a valid sale deed at par with the registered sale deed which is registered after payment of stamp duty of appropriate value. Moreover, the declaration is signed on a date much after the date of online application. Clause 8(4) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014 requires that the land should be in possession of the applicant institution on ownership basis as on the date of application. Appellant has submitted copy of the building plan approved by local authority. Non Encumbrance Certificate submitted by appellant is not acceptable as the appellant does not possess the land on ownership basis through a valid and acceptable registered sale deed.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee considering that appellant institution did not possess land on ownership basis as on the date of application decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 11.4.2017 issued by NRC, Jaipur.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 11.4.2017 issued by NRC, Jaipur.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, The Nobles Teacher Training College, Chhagan Colony, Badla, Kherwara – 313803, Rajasthan.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-527/E-8513/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 🗩

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Jai Baba Amarnath T.T. College, Kalwar Road, Bhainsawa, Phulera, Jaipur, Rajasthan dated 10/06/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-11776/267th (Part-1) Meeting/2017/171354 dated 17/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the faculty duly approved by the affiliating body."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Harphool Singh, Secretary, Jai Baba Amarnath T.T. College, Kalwar Road, Bhainsawa, Phulera, Jaipur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Delay in submission of faculty list approved by affiliating body was due to affiliating body. The institution has submitted the faculty list duly approved by the affiliating body. No action was taken on our application for faculty approved by University of Rajasthan. This fact was also notified to NRC, NCTE vide our letter dated 04.11.2016 at diary no. 154169. The list of faculty has been duly approved by the competent authority of the affiliating body on 01.05.2017. The faculty list approved by affiliating body immediately submitted to NRC on 02.05.2017 by hand at diary no. 168372. The approved faculty list is enclosed."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Letter of Intent (LOI) dated 05.09.2016 was issued to appellant institution seeking compliance within a period of two months. The appellant institution informed NRC vide its letter dated 04.11.2016 that list of faculty is under process of finalisation in constitution with the affiliating University. Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 21.02.2017 was issued to appellant institution for non submission of compliance report to the LOI. The appellant submitted reply dated 03.03.2017 to NRC intimating progress of action taken to seek approval of affiliating body and also enclosed copies of the correspondence made by it with the affiliating body. The impugned refusal order dated 17.04.2017 was issued

by NRC not acceding to the request made by the appellant for extension of time to submit final compliance.

AND WHEREAS during the course of appeal presentation, appellant informed the Committee that affiliating body has since approved the faculty on 01.05.2017 which in turn was forwarded to NRC on 02.05.2017 (received in NRC vide Diary No. 168372 dated 02.05.2017). Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for considering the compliance letters dated 03.03.2017 and 02.05.2017 submitted by the appellant to NRC. Appellant is required to submit a full and final compliance to NRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders. Since the appellant has applied for 4 year Integrated course of B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed., Regional Committee shall also verify whether the appellant institution is well equipped to fulfil condition laid down in para 1.1 of Appendix 13 of Norms & Standards for the 4 year programme.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for considering the compliance letters dated 03.03.2017 and 02.05.2017 submitted by the appellant institution. Appellant institution is required to submit copy of its full and final compliance to NRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders. Regional Committee shall also verify whether the appellant institution is well equipped to fulfil condition laid down in para 1.1 of Appendix 13 of Norms & Standards for the 4 year programme.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Jai Baba Amarnath T.T. College, Kalwar Road, Bhainsawa, Phulera, Jaipur, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Jai Baba Amarnath T.T. College, Master Ji Ke Dhani, Kalwar Road, Bhainsawa, Phulera, Jaipur – 303603, Rajasthan.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-529/E-8493/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/7

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of St. Charles College of Education, Thanakkankulam, Thiruavalluar Nagar, Thirunagar, Tamil Nadu dated 17/05/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/SRC/SRCAPP201630101/B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. — 4 Year Integrated/TN/2017-18/4; dated 10/02/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "SRC in its 329 Meeting held on 6 & 7, February, 2017 has observed the matter and decided as under: 1. The case is incomplete. 2. NOC of affiliating body is still not given. 3. There is no need, therefore, to go into other issues. 4. Reject the application. 5. Return FDRs, if any. 6. Close the file."

AND WHEREAS Sr. Amali Anubarasi, Secretary & Sh. Jai Parkash, Admin Officer, St. Charles College of Education, Thanakkankulam, Thiruavalluar Nagar, Thirunagar, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The completed application was sent. We are a minority body and hence a NOC is not required. Minority certificate was attached to the application form."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that NCTE Regulation, 2014 mention about two types of NOC i.e.

- i) Regulation 5(3) "Application shall be submitted online alongwith processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as 'No Objection Certificate' issued by concerned affiliating body.
- ii) Regulation 8(5) "The state government or the Union Territory Administration concerned shall furnish its recommendations to the concerned Regional Committee within 45 days from the date of issue of letter to the state govt.

In case the state government is not in favour of the recognition, it shall provide detailed reasons on grounds with necessary statistics."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee perusing the NCTE Regulation, 2014 is of the opinion that whereas seeking recommendations of the state government / Union Territory concerned is the responsibility of the NCTE, onus lies on the applicant to submit NOC of affiliating body alongwith application. The rights bestowed upon institutions established under Article 10 of the constitutive enable them to submit application even when applications from general category institutions are banned for particular teacher education programmes in a particular state or union territory. The relaxation granted to institutions established under Minority category do not exempt Minority Institutions from other regulatory provisions. Affiliating bodies while issuing NOC to institution are guided by their own norms, standards and resources to act as examining body. Onus, therefore, was on the appellant institution to have submitted NOC of affiliating body alongwith its application.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has not submitted NOC issued by affiliating body. The impugned refusal order dated 10.02.2017 therefore, deserves to be confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 10.02.2017.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, St. Charles College of Education, Thanakkankulam, Thiruavalluar Nagar, Thirunagar – 625006, Tamil Nadu.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-530/E-8492/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/7

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shree Shyam P.G. Mahavidyalaya, Gudha Gorji (Todi), Udaipurwati, Rajasthan dated 13/06/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615216/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 19/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the applicant institution has not submitted the reply of the SCN issued by the NRC on 27.01.2017 within the stipulated time. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Ram Sawroop, Secretary, Shree Shyam P.G. Mahavidyalaya, Gudha Gorji (Todi), Udaipurwati, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the institution has already submitted the relevant documents. The institution has submitted the approved building plan signed by Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of course, name of the institution, Khasra No/Plot No., Total land area, total built up area and the measurement of multipurpose hall and other infrastructural facilities. The institution has already submitted the land use certificate, i.e. the land is converted into institutional purpose and it is mentioned in the registry of the land. Non-encumbrance certificate issued by the competent authority is enclosed. The institution has already submitted the land use certificate, i.e. the land is converted into institutional purpose and it is mentioned in the registry of the land. The institution has already submitted the concerned documents with the file".

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 27.01.2017 was issued to appellant institution which was not replied to by the applicant institution. Appellant during the course of appeal presentation on

27.09.2017 denied having received any SCN. Appellant however, admitted that now he is seized of the grounds on which NOC dated 27.01.2017 was issued. The said SCN was issued due to non submission of following documents:

- (i) Submission of original certified registered land documents issued by Registering authorities.
- (ii) Approved building plan signed by competent authority indicating the name of course, institution, Khasra/Plot number, Land area built up area, measurement of multipurpose hall & class rooms etc.
- (iii) Land use certificate issued by competent authority.
- (iv) Latest Non Encumbrance Certificate issued by competent authority.
- (v) Proof of composite institution.

AND WHEREAS appellant institution is required to submit reply to SCN within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the reply to SCN dated 27.01.2017.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the reply to SCN dated 27.01.2017. While considering the reply to SCN, NRC should also keep in view para 1.1 of the Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards for the 4 year Integrated course i.e. B.A, B.Ed./B.Sc., B.Ed. Appellant is required to submit to NRC reply to SCN with 15 days of its re issue.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shree Shyam P.G. Mahavidyalaya, Gudha Gorji (Todi), Udaipurwati, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Shree Shyam P.G. Mahavidyalaya, Gudha Gorji (Todi), Udaipurwati – 333022, Rajasthan.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-531/E-8918/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/1-

ORDER

Ì

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Bhanwar Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Barigha, Rupwas, Rajasthan dated 18/06/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NRCAPP 201615521/B.Ed./RJ/2017-18; dated 27.04.2017 of the Northern Regionalommittee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted any proof / evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. The letter of affiliation submitted by the institution is in the name of different college. The institution has submitted the Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Authority to use the land for educational purpose for 2506 sq. mtrs. Land only which is not sufficient for the proposed course.? Some piece of land has been registered after making of online application which is not acceptable as per Regulations, 2014. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Narhari Sharma and Sh. Deen Dayal Sharma, Lecturers, Shri Bhanwar Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Barigha, Rupwas, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "college is already running BA (Shastri) course, affiliation letter is annexed. Total land available with college is 4364 sqr. Mtrs. However, Land Use is issued to us of 3557 sqr. mtrs. which is sufficient as per Regulations 2014. Land Registration process was completed before making online application. Certified copy of documents was not available with us. Now we are submitting the same."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant society had simultaneously submitted two separate applications seeking recognition for B.Ed

programme (2 Units) and B.Ed. (Shiksha Shastri – 2 Units). NRC has issued 2 separate refusal orders which have been appealed against. The impugned refusal orders are on identical grounds i.e.

- i) Non submission of evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014.
- ii) Land Use Certificate is only for 2506 Sq. Meters which is not sufficient.
- iii) A piece of land is registered after the online application.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that in both the applications, the applicant has tried to prove its composite status by enclosing a copy of affiliation order issued on 20.06.2016 by the affiliating University in favour of Bhanwar Shastri Sanskirt Mahavidyalaya, Barigha, Bharatpur. The name of appellant institution as per online application is 'Shri Bhanwar Shikshak Parshikshan Mahavidyalaya' in case of B.Ed. programme and Shri Bhanwar Sanskrit Shikshak Parshikshan Mahavidyalaya' in respect of B.Ed. (Shiksha Shastri) programme. Since all the programmes are conducted under the agis of same society and name of proposed programmes have Shri Bhanwar Shikshak Parshikshan Mahavidaya is common, there may not be any objection to treat it as a composite institution.

AND WHEREAS so far as the adequacy of land and converted use of land is concerned, Appeal Committee noted that applicant had enclosed the same land documents pertaining to survey no. 237 measuring 3506 Sq. Meters having built up area of 2506 Sq. Meters with both the applications. The Building Completion Certificate (BCC) enclosed with the application is for a built up area of 40000 Sq. feet and such the figures do not tally with the declaration made in the affidavit and the application form. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant submitted alongwith its appeal Memoranda another set of sale deed documents in respect of land located at Survey no. 236 transferred to Shri Bhanwar Shiksha Samiti on 27.03.2017. Since the online application was submitted on 31.05.2016 and it mentioned location of land at survey 237, land acquired subsequently and the change of land use certificates in respect of newly acquired land is not relevant for ascertaining adequacy of land and built up area. The built-up area of 2506 Sq. Meter as declared by the appellant is not adequate for running 2 units each of B.Ed. programme and B.Ed. (Shiksha Shastri)

programme as applied for by the appellant institution. The land acquired subsequent to making online application cannot be taken into account in terms of clause 8(4) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014.

AND WHEREAS having regard to the inadequacy of the converted land and built up area, Appeal Committee decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 27.04.2017.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 27.04.20107.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Shri Bhanwar Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Barigha, Saidpura Road, Rupwas 321301, Rajasthan.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-532/E-8915/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Bhanwar Sanskrit Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Barigha, Rupwas, Rajasthan dated 18/06/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRCAPP 201615524/B.Ed./RJ- 2017-18/2 dated 27.04.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted any proof / evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. The letter of affiliation submitted by the institution is in the name of different college. The institution has submitted the Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Authority to use the land for educational purpose for 2506 sq. mtrs. land only which is not sufficient for the proposed course. Some piece of land has been registered after making of online application which is not acceptable as per Regulations, 2014. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Narhari Sharma and Sh. Deen Dayal Sharma, Lecturers, Shri Bhanwar Sanskrit Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Barigha, Rupwas, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that college is already running BA (Shastri) course, affiliation letter is annexed herewith. Total land available with college is 4364 sqr. Mtrs. However, Land Use is issued to us of 3557 sqr. mtrs. which is sufficient as per Regulations 2014. Land Registration process was completed before making inline application certified copy of documents was not available with us. Now we are submitting the same."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant society had simultaneously submitted two separate applications seeking recognition for B.Ed

programme (2 Units) and B.Ed. (Shiksha Shastri – 2 Units). NRC has issued 2 separate refusal orders which have been appealed against. The impugned refusal orders are on identical grounds i.e.

- iv) Non-submission of evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014.
- v) Land Use Certificate is only for 2506 Sq. Meters which is not sufficient.
- vi) A piece of land is registered after the online application.

and whereas Appeal Committee noted that in both the applications, the applicant has tried to prove its composite status by enclosing a copy of affiliation order issued on 20.06.2016 by the affiliating University in favour of Bhanwar Shastri Sanskirt Mahavidyalaya, Barigha, Bharatpur. The name of appellant institution as per online application is 'Shri Bhanwar Shikshak Parshikshan Mahavidyalaya' in case of B.Ed. programme and Shri Bhanwar Sanskrit Shikshak Parshikshan Mahavidyalaya' in respect of B.Ed. (Shiksha Shastri) programme. Since all the programmes are conducted under the agis of same society and name of proposed programmes have Shri Bhanwar Shikshak Parshikshan Mahavidaya is common, there may not be any objection to treat it as a composite institution.

AND WHEREAS so far as the adequacy of land and converted use of land is concerned, Appeal Committee noted that applicant had enclosed the same land documents pertaining to survey no. 237 measuring 3506 Sq. Meters having built up area of 2506 Sq. Meters with both the applications. The Building Completion Certificate (BCC) enclosed with the application is for a built up area of 40000 Sq. feet and such the figures do not tally with the declaration made in the affidavit and the application form. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant submitted alongwith its appeal Memoranda another set of sale deed documents in respect of land located at Survey no. 236 transferred to Shri Bhanwar Shiksha Samiti on 27.03.2017. Since the online application was submitted on 31.05.2016 and it mentioned location of land at survey 237, land acquired subsequently and the change of land use certificates in respect of newly acquired land is not relevant for ascertaining adequacy of land and built up area. The built-up area of 2506 Sq. Meter as declared by the appellant is not adequate for running 2 units each of B.Ed. programme and B.Ed. (Shiksha Shastri)

programme as applied for by the appellant institution. The land acquired subsequent to making online application cannot be taken into account in terms of clause 8(4) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014.

AND WHEREAS having regard to the inadequacy of the converted land and built up area, Appeal Committee decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 27.04.2017.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 27.04.20107.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Shri Bhanwar Sanskrit Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidhyay, Barigha, Saidpura Road, Rupwas 321301, Rajasthan.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-535/E-8930/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Nath Baba Mahavidyalaya, Isari Salempur, Ballia – Nagra Road, Rasra, Uttar Pradesh dated 14/06/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14410/268th (Part-3) Meeting/2017/172091 dated 26/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the applicant institution has not submitted the list of faculty duly approved by the affiliating body."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Jitendra Singh, Office Supdt. and Sh. Pradeep Kumar Singh, Member, Sri Nath Baba Mahavidyalaya, Isari Salempur, Ballia - Nagra Road, Rasra, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Letter of Intent (LOI) under regulation 7 13 of NCTE regulation 2014 in 261st Meeting of NRC Accordingly Letter of Intent LOI was issued on 23/12/2016. In the reference of LOI the institution has been already advertised in two daily newspaper on dated 19.20 and 21 January 2017 about the approval of faculty members and submitted all the needed documents in the university for necessary action. But at that time Uttar Pradesh Government established a new University named Jan Nayak Chandrashekher University Ballia and all colleges of district Ballia are affiliated with it. But that time the University was not active. It took some time for activation. So delay occurred to Faculty approval. The website of the institution is already hyperlink with NCTE as per provisions of clause 7 14 of the NCTE Regulationes, 2014. The institution has created FDRs of rupees seven lakhs and rupees five lakhs in respect of Endowment fund and Reserve fund as per clause 10 of NCTE Regulation 2014. The FDRs are in the name of the institution and Regional Director NRC Jaipur. The photo copies of FDRs showing the details of the FDR Account No. Name of the Bank and address. Phone No. of the Bank, Branch Code,

Code of the issuing authority of the FDRs has already been submitted by the institution as per the provisions of the NCTE Regulations 2014".

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Letter of Intent dated 23.12.2016 was issued to appellant institution seeking compliance within a period of 60 days. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant submitted part compliance of the LOI on 21.02.2017 and informed that approval of faculty is under consideration of affiliating body and delay is being caused due to general code of conduct implemented on account of U.P. State Assembly Elections. Appellant institution repeated its request for grant of extension of time for submitting faculty approval.

AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 27.09.2017 informed the Committee that the affiliating University had finally approved the names of one principal and 15 faculty members to be appointed. List of faculty was furnished before the Committee. On being asked, appellant informed that appellant institution is an existing Degree College having courses in Arts stream. The appellant institution was not having course in Mathematics and Science stream. Para 1.2 of Appendix 3 relating to Norms and Standards for B.El.Ed. programmes prescribe that "B.El.Ed. programme shall be offered only in a constituent or affiliated college of a University offering under graduate studies in liberal arts, humanities, social sciences, commerce, mathematics and sciences."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee therefore, decided that NRC should consider the faculty details, which are required to be submitted by the appellant institution within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders in light of para 1.2 of Appendix 3. The matter is accordingly remanded back to NRC for making an appropriate decision. Appellant is required to submit to NRC a full and final compliance report on all the points mentioned in the L.O.I within in 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal

Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC consideration of the compliance.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Nath Baba Mahavidyalaya, Isari Salempur, Ballia – Nagra Road, Rasra, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Sri Nath Baba Mahavidyalaya, Isari Salempur, Ballia Nagra Road, Rasra 221709, Uttar Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-536/E-9236/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Pt. Brijkishore Pateria College of Education, Khanderao Ward, Deori, Madhya Pradesh dated 27/06/2017 is against the Order No. WRC/APP201660074/8811/B.A.B.Ed./M.P./275th/2017/185382 dated 05/05/2017 of the Western Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed. with an intake of 2 units.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Avneesh Mishra, Chairman, Pt. Brijkishore Pateria College of Education, Khanderao Ward, Deori, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institute submitted online application for recognition of B.A.B.Ed. and B.Sc.B.Ed. on 30/05/2016. Institute obtained NOCs from affiliating body MCBU Chhatarpur for both B.A. B.Ed. and B.Sc. B.Ed. on 26/05/2016 and submitted them along with hard copy. Institute also submitted affidavit, which clearly stated that, the application is for grant of recognition of B.A. B.Ed. and B.Sc. B.Ed. VT visited Institute on 01/04/2017 and at that time institute provided Essential Data Sheet to VT which clearly indicated that the inspection is for both the courses B.A. B.Ed. and B.Sc. B.Ed. VT also recommended B.A. B.Ed. and B.Sc. B.Ed. with one unit of intake in each. In 272nd meeting WRC decided that LOI will issued for two units of any one course either B.A. B.Ed. or B.Sc. B.Ed. The regional committee gave opportunity to Institute for choosing any one option out of them. So, we choose the option B.A. B.Ed. with 2 units of intake and inform to NCTE WRC on 11/04/2017. Here for choosing single option NCTE WRC did not provide any solid reason to us why we choose only one stream. In other cases such as in same 272th meeting minutes, at S.No.94 in case of APP201660210 Nobel College Chhatarpur, NCTE WRC give the reference of a letter that WRC received from Headquarter on 31/03/2016 which states that B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Integrated program is one program and is governed by appendix 13 of NCTE regulation 2014. The program cannot be segregated into two programs with two different nomenclatures and sanction of two units each. The last sentence of referred letter clearly stated that the program cannot be segregated into two but NCTE WRC segregated it into with two different nomenclatures i.e. B.A. B.Ed. and B.Sc. B.Ed. and with a definite line of separation WRC said to choose only one out of them. Here we draw your attention to another point that is, in referred appendix 13 of NCTE regulation 2014. The para3.1 provide power to affiliating university for distribution of students in different discipline or subject. This Para also stated that there shall be basic unit of 50 students but initially two units may be permitted. Here we can say that after the confusing interpretation of referred matter, WRC calls the option and provide LOI for two units of B.A. B.Ed. on the basis of choice of Institute on 20/04/2017. After reply of LOI by institute on 29/04/2017, WRC issued an order of formal recognition of B.A. B.Ed. with annual intake of 50 dated on 05/05/2017. At last we draw your attention towards some examples in which Institutions got recognition of both B.A. B.Ed. and B.Sc. B.Ed. after that the circulation of so called prohibition letter of NCTE Head quarter dated 31.03.16. Some cases are as follows A. Pandit Ram Shunder Shukla Shiksha avam Prashikshan Sansthan Pahadiya Distt. Rewa M.P. APP2825 got recognition B.Sc. B.Ed. along with B.A. B.Ed. in 269th meeting of WRC held on February 20 to 22,2017. Sandipani Academy Achhati, Dhamdha Distt. Durg Chhatisgarh got recognition of both B.A. B.Ed. and B.Sc. B.Ed. in 270th WRC meeting held on March 01 to 03, 2017 at S.No. 44 with APP8887. Apart from these cases some other institutes got LOI for both two streams like APP201660127 JPB College of Teacher Education Shankalkheda Vidisha. APP201660053 RBS Institute of Education Kacholi Distt. Neemach got LOI in 269th meeting held on Feb 20 to 22, 2017. Institute prays to provide recognition of one basic unit of B.Sc. B.Ed. alongwith one basic unit of B.A. B.Ed".

and whereas Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 30.5.2016 seeking recognition for B.A. B.Ed./ B.SC. B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats. The appellant had also submitted two NOCs issued by the affiliating University on 26.05.2016 one each of B.A. B.Ed. and B.Sc. B.Ed. programme. Inspection of appellant institution was conducted on 01.04.2017 and the Visiting Team in its overall recommendation affirmed the preparedness of institution to commence B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. programme with an intake of one unit each. Appeal Committee further noted that WRC in its 272nd meeting held on April 6-8, 2017 opted to seek clarification from the institution as to which course it

wants to run since both are not permitted. The WRC in its minutes also stated that LOI for two units will be issued after the institution exercises a clear option. Further only such institutions will be granted recognition for academic year 2017-18 which complete the formalities well before 02.05.2017 after issue of LOI. The appellant institution exercised its option on 11.04.2017 in favour of 2 units of B.A. B.Ed programme.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted from the extracts of the minutes of the 272nd meeting of the W.R.C. held from 06-08 April, 2017 relating to the application of Nobel College, Chhatarpur, a copy of which has been enclosed to the appeal, the WRC, following the clarification contained in the NCTE's letter dt. 31.03.2016, informed the appellant that they can be sanctioned either B.A. B.Ed. or B.Sc. B.Ed. course and not both. It appear that the same clarification given by the NCTE has been adopted in the appellant's case also.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted from a perusal of the NCTE's letter dt. 31.03.2016 that the view held is that the programme of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. for which Norms and Standards are contained in Appendix – 13 to the NCTE Regulations, 2014, cannot be segregated into two programmes with two different nomenclatures. The Committee, however, noted from the preamble (1.1) of the Norms and Standards for the Integrated Programme of B.Sc. B.Ed./B.A. B.Ed., the integration is at two levels i.e. B.Sc. B.Ed. comprising of sciences and B.A. B.Ed., comprising of social science and humanities, thereby giving a clear impression that these are two distinct courses and an applicant can opt for either of them or for both subject to fulfilment of the requirements. The Committee is aware that the Regional Committee are granting recognition for both B.A. B.Ed. and B.Sc. B.Ed. courses, with separate intakes. The appellant also has cited the cases in which some institutions were granted recognition for both the courses.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee is of the firm opinion that WRC had no powers whatsoever to seek option from the appellant institutions to choose either B.A. B.Ed. or B.Sc. B.Ed. Recognition for both the components of the programme upto one unit each can be considered by the Regional Committee subject to the condition laid down in para 1.1 of Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards. It is more important for the Regional Committee to have verified whether the appellant

institution is well equipped to fulfil the above condition of integrating general studies comprising science subjects for B.Sc. B.Ed. and social science or humanities B.A. B.Ed. so that a balance is maintained between theory and practice. Issue of Letter of Intent (LOI) dated 20.04.2017 for two units B.A. B.Ed. based on the option exercised by the appellant was a disputed decision of WRC, which cannot be justified as per extant Regulations.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution while furnishing compliance to the LOI, submitted a list containing the names of 16 faculty. None of these faculty members is qualified in Science or Math subject. Appeal Committee remands back the case to WRC to consider the request of the appellant institution for grant one unit of B.Sc. B.Ed. instead of B.A. B.Ed. programme subject to the condition that faculty is replaced with the approval of affiliating University and appellant institution fulfils the condition of Integrating general studies comprising science subjects for B.Sc. B.Ed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for considering the request of the appellant institution for grant of one unit of B.Sc. B.Ed. in lieu of B.A. B.Ed. programme subject to the condition that suitable faculty is appointed with the approval of affiliating university and the conditions laid down in para 1.1. of Appendix 13 are fulfilled.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Pt. Brijkishore Pateria College of Education, Khanderao Ward, Deori, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Pt. Brijkishore Pateria College of Education, Deori, Khanderao Ward, Deori – 470226, Madhya Pradesh.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawah, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-537/E-9205/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of J.M.J. College for Women (A), Tenali, Sarala Nagar, Morrispet, Tenali, Andhra Pradesh dated 16/06/2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP201630069/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed./AP/2017-18/93306 dated 18/05/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "1. The objection was about BP. 2. Another copy is now given incorporating the required Sy. Nos. 3. The document submitted is only a photocopy. It is not clear whether the Sy. Nos. have been unauthorizedly inserted or in a legitimate way. There are a number of over-writings without authentication. 4. We cannot accept such a document to resolve a basic issue relating to title. 5. Reject the application. 6. Return FDRs, if any. 7. Close the file."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Sr. Shiny K.P., Principal and Dr. Sr. Theresagade, Correspondent, J.M.J. College for Women (A), Tenali, Sarala Nagar, Morrispet, Tenali, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 27/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the existing building plan for the proposed 4 years integrated B.Sc. B.Ed. course of our J.M.J. College for Women, has been submitted to the Municipal Commissioner, Tenali Municipality, Tenali, Guntur Dt. A.P. for verification and requested them to include the particulars of survey No. s 52/2, 524A, 53/3B which are mentioned in the title deed, built-up area and other details in the building plan. The competent authority i.e. the Municipal Commissioner, Tenali, after verification issued a modified building plan dated 13.06.2017 duly indicating the survey Nos, Built-up area and proceedings Number as approved in B.A. No. 155/01/G1 dated 14.03.2001. In this regard, we request you to consider the modified Building Plan and allow our appeal for the further processing of our application for the proposed 4 years integrated B.Sc. B.Ed. course w.e.f. 2017. Our institution is a Missionary

Body serving the rural back ground girl students since 54 years which established in 1963 always aims to meet the needs of our students in our region."

and whereas Appeal Committee noted that applicant institution submitted online application dated 31.05.2016 seeking recognition for 4 year Integrated programme of B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. As per details of land filled in the application form, the land is owned by Jesus Mary Joseph Convert. The land measuring 54430.22 Sq. Meters is located at Survey numbers 52.2, 52.4 A and 53-B of Village & Town Tenali, Dist Guntur, A.P.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that after conducting inspection of the appellant institution on 07.02.2017, a Show Cause Notice dated 05.04.2017 was issued. The legibility of building plan submitted by applicant institution was one of the objection raised in the SCN. The building plan and building Completion Certificate submitted by the appellant institution in reply to SCN were also not found satisfactory as SRC observed that submitted documents were photocopies and survey numbers have been incorporated by overwritings and cannot be held as legitimate.

AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of Appeal presentation on 27.09.2017 submitted that appellant institution is a very old existing institution and original building plan was approved long back. The old building plan cannot be supposed to have entries of B.Sc. B.Ed. programme on the building plan. Getting these entries incorporated on the building plan by the Tenali Municipality has taken considerable time. Appellant submitted before Appeal Committee a blue print of building plan approved by commissioner, Tenali Municipality on 13.06.2017. The building plan is for 4-year Integrated programme at Survey no. 52-2, 52-4A, 53-3B. Appellant is required to submit blue print of the building plan to SRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for re-consideration of the case on submission of the blue print approved by Commissioner, Tenali Municipality on 13.06.2017.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal

Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for consideration of the building plan approved by Commissioner, Tenali Municipality on 13.06.2017. Appellant Institution is required to submit blue print of building plan to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of J.M.J. College for Women (A), Tenali, Sarala Nagar, Morrispet, Tenali, Andhra Pradesh/to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

- 1. The Principal, J.M.J. College for Women (A), Tenali, Sarala Nagar, Morrispet, Tenali 522202, Andhra Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore 560 072.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.





F.No.89-538/E-9396/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Gulpadiya T.T. College, Rasidpur, Village – Rasidpur, Mahwa, Rajasthan dated 18/06/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP 201615499/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed.-4 year Integrated/RJ/2017-2018/2; dated 27.04.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted the reply of the SCN issued by the NRC within the stipulated time. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Gulpadiya T.T. College, Rasidpur, Village – Rasidpur, Mahwa, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that reply to show cause notice of Meeting No. 266 part I of NRC held between 21st March to 24th March 2017 was as follows. 1. Affidavit submitted. 2. Certified copy of land registry submitted. 3. Blueprint map of building submitted. 4. Certified copy of NOC of Gulpadiya Mahavidyalaya submitted. 5. Affidavit submitted. 6. Copy of LUC order for Land conversion 2500 plus 2200 i.e. 4700 sq. mtr. submitted. Therefore, it is humbly requested to cancel the order of rejection and direct for sending Verification team for institution's inspection.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted from the file of the NRC that the appellant submitted a reply on 24.04.2017, in response to the decision of the NRC taken in their 266the meeting (Part-I) to issue show cause notice, along with a number of documents. This letter was received on 25.04.2017 and is available in the file. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the reply of the appellant dt. 24.04.2017 in the light of the provisions of para 1.1 of the Norms and Standards

for the 4 year Integrated programme and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to N.R.C. with a direction to consider the reply of the appellant dt. 24.04.2017 in the light of the provisions of para 1.1 of the Norms and Standards for the 4 year Integrated programme and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Gulpadiya T.T. College, Rasidpur, Village – Rasidpur, Mahwa, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Gulpadiya T.T. College, Rasidpur, Village Rasidpur, Mandawar Road, Mahwa 321613, Rajasthan.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-539/E-9488/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Dhali Institute of Teacher Education, Chaltaberia, Hasnabad, West Bengal dated 30/05/2017 is against the Order No. ER-210.5.54/ERCAPP3630/D.El.Ed./2016/45742 dated 27/04/2016 of the Eastern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course of one unit (intake 50). The appellant wants recognition for two units (100 intake).

AND WHEREAS the submission of the appeal has been delayed by ten months beyond the prescribed period of 60 days. The appellant submitted that they contacted their advocate and ultimately filed the appeal and hence there is some unintentional delay in filing this appeal. The appellant requested that the delay may be condoned. The Committee decided to condone the delay and consider the appeal.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Rahul Amin Dhali, Secretary, Dhali Institute of Teacher Education, Chaltaberia, Hasnabad, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a point-wise written explanation given at the time of hearing, it was submitted that they applied for D.El.Ed course with two units (100 students) as they have the required infrastructure and academic facilities for conducting the course with 100 students. After being satisfied with the availability of instructional and infrastructural facilities, the ERC asked them to submit FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs and also a list of faculty members duly approved by the affiliating body consisting of a Principal and for 15 Asst. Professors for various subjects required for an intake of 100. The appellant intimated their willingness for two units in an affidavit. In spite of fulfilling all requirements, ERC granted recognition for one basic unit (50 intake) only without assigning any reason or without showing any deficiency. They have been deprived of giving a reply to the show cause notice. The appellant further

submitted that on their approaching the ERC on more than one occasion, they were told that new institutions are allowed at the initial stage an intake of 50 and advised to apply for additional intake for next academic session. The appellant also submitted that many new institutions were allowed to run B.Ed./ D.El.Ed. courses for 2016-18 with an annual intake of 100 in these courses. The appellant also submitted that when they went again to ERC office, they orally came to know that the reason for giving recognition for an intake of 50 was insufficient building area as shown in the building map.

AND WHEREAS the appellant submitted that at the initial stage their Engineer prepared a building plan for an area of 3192 Sq. mts. and the same was approved by the competent authority. When they took up the physical measurements, they saw that there are some additions and alterations and thereafter they got a revised building plan of a built-up area of 4102.40 Sq. mts. This plan also has been approved by the competent authority and a building completion certificate obtained. The appellant admitted that submission of old building plan before and at the time of inspection inadvertently was a bona fide mistake on their part. The appellant therefore pleaded that they have a built-up area of 4102.40 Sq. mts which is sufficient for an intake of 100. In these circumstances, appellant requested for recognition for two units (100 intake).

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant in the affidavit enclosed to the on-line application dt. 26.06.2015 mentioned two units (100). The Visiting Team recommended two units. In the letter of Intent dt. 03.03.2016 the ERC asked the appellant to intimate, in an affidavit, the number of units-either one or two. The appellant in their affidavit dt. 05.04.2016 intimated their willingness for two basic units. The ERC, in their 20th meeting held from 7th to 9th April, 2016, after considering all documents submitted by the appellant, decided to grant recognition for D.El.Ed. programme with an intake of 50 (one basic unit) and issued the order on 27.04.2016. The file does not contain any reasons for giving recognition for one unit and not for two units.

AND WHEREAS in view of the position stated in the previous paras, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a

direction to issue a reasoned order to the appellant for grant of recognition for one unit only.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to E.R.C. with a direction to issue a reasoned order to the appellant for grant of recognition for one unit only.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dhali Institute of Teacher Education, Chaltaberia, Hasnabad, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

*Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairperson, Dhali Institute of Teacher Education, Chaltaberia, Nazat Road, Hasnabad 743456, West Bengal.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar 751 012.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-540/E-9491/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Dhali Institute of Teacher Education, Chaltaberia, Hasnabad, West Bengal dated 29/05/2017 is against the Order No. ER-210.5.55/ERCAPP3629/B.Ed./2016/45829 dated 01/05/2016 of the Eastern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course of one unit (Intake 50). The appellant wants recognition for two units.

AND WHEREAS the submission of the appeal has been delayed by 11 months beyond the prescribed period of 60 days. The appellant submitted that they contacted their advocate and ultimately filed the appeal and hence there is some unintentional delay in filing this appeal. The appellant requested that the delay may be condoned. The Committee decided to condone the delay and consider the appeal

AND WHEREAS Sh. Rahul Amin Dhali, Secretary, Dhali Institute of Teacher Education, Chaltaberia, Hasnabad, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a point-wise written explanation given at the time of hearing, it was submitted that they applied for B.Ed course with two units (100 students) as they have the required infrastructure and academic facilities for conducting the course with 100 students. After being satisfied with the availability of instructional and infrastructural facilities, the ERC asked them to submit FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs and also a list of faculty members duly approved by the affiliating body consisting of a Principal and for 15 Asst. Professors for various subjects required for an intake of 100. The appellant intimated their willingness for two units in an affidavit. In spite of fulfilling all requirements, ERC granted recognition for one basic unit (50 intake) only without assigning any reason or without showing any deficiency. They have been deprived of giving a reply to the show cause notice. The appellant further

submitted that on their approaching the ERC on more than one occasion, they were told that new institutions are allowed at the initial stage an intake of 50 and advised to apply for additional intake for next academic session. The appellant also submitted that many new institutions were allowed to run B.Ed./ D.El.Ed. courses for 2016-18 with an annual these intake of 100 courses. The appellant also submitted that when they went again to ERC office, they orally came to know that the reason for giving recognition for an intake of 50 was insufficient building area as shown in the building map.

AND WHEREAS the appellant submitted that at the initial stage their Engineer prepared a building plan for an area of 3192 Sq. mts and the same was approved by the competent authority. When they took up the physical measurements, they saw that there are some additions and alterations and thereafter they got a revised building plan of a built-up area of 4102.40 Sq. mts. This plan also has been approved by the competent authority and a building completion certificate obtained. The appellant admitted that submission of old building plan before and at the time of inspection inadvertently was a bona fide mistake on their part. The appellant therefore pleaded that they have a built-up area of 4102.40 Sq. mts which is sufficient for an intake of 100. In these circumstances, appellant requested for recognition for two units (100 intake).

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant in the affidavit enclosed to the on-line application dt. 26.06.2015 mentioned two units (100). The Visiting Team recommended two units. In the letter of Intent dt. 03.03.2016, the ERC asked the appellant to intimate, in an affidavit, the number of units-either one or two. The appellant in their affidavit dt. 05.04.2016 intimated their willingness for two basic units. The ERC, in their 20th meeting held from 7th to 9th April, 2016, after considering all documents submitted by the appellant, decided to grant recognition for B.Ed. programme with an intake of 50 (one basic unit) and issued the order on 27.04.2016. The file does not contain any reasons for giving recognition for one unit and not for two units.

AND WHEREAS in view of the position stated in the previous paras, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a

direction to issue a reasoned order to the appellant for grant of recognition for one unit only.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to E.R.C. with a direction to issue a reasoned order to the appellant for grant of recognition for one unit only.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dhali Institute of Teacher Education, Chaltaberia, Hasnabad, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairperson, Dhali Institute of Teacher Education, Chaltaberia, Nazat Road, Hasnabad 743456, West Bengal.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar 751 012.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

society on 28.11.2016. The Committee noted that according to the provisions of Clause 8(4)(i) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, on the date of application, the institution or the society sponsoring the institution should be in possession of the required land, free from all encumbrances either on ownership basis or on lease from Govt. or Govt. institutions for a period of not less than thirty years. Since the land on the date of application was in the name of an individual and not in the name of the society or institution, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed. Relaxation under clause 12 of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 is in respect of any class or category of institutions and not individual institution.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed. Relaxation under clause 12 of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 is in respect of any class or category of institution and not individual institutions.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Sundha Mata Institute for Higher Studies, Rajpura, Sundha Mata Road, Jaswantpura - 307515, Rajasthan. 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education

& Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan. 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan,

Jaipur.





F.No.89-543/E-9493/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mewar Girls College of Teachers Training, Chittorgarh, Gandhi Nagar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan dated 21/06/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10758/255th Meeting/2016/171763 dated 24/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for increase in intake in D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "institution has not submitted evidence / proof to show that the size of multipurpose hall has been increased. Building Completion Certificate has not been signed by the Competent Govt. Authority / Local Govt. Body."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Mahesh Kumar Tiwari, Principal, Mewar Girls College of Teachers Training, Chittorgarh, Gandhi Nagar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 27.09.2017 it was submitted that Mewar Girls College of Teachers Training, Chittorgarh was granted Recognition / Permission by NRC of NCTE for B.Ed./BSTC courses as per Norms and Standard/Regulation which were in existence in 2005. At that time, Multipurpose Hall was required to be of 1750 sq. ft. which our institute had. Subsequently NRC also granted recognition to their institute for conducting D.El.Ed. course for 50 intake. In so far as the present Norms and Standards as given in NCTE Recognition Norms and Procedures Regulation 2014 are concerned, our institute has taken step to comply the same and has increased the total area of Multipurpose Hall from 1750 sq. ft. to 2100 sq. ft. Thus they fulfil the requirement of Multipurpose Hall as per present Norms and Standards. A certificate and map issued by the Competent Authority is attached. So, far as the Building Completion Certificate is concerned we have submitted the said certificate duly approved by the Competent Authority along with hard copy of our application and also pursuant to the show course notice dated 3rd March 2016 issued by NRC. A copy of Building Plan Certificate dated 16.01.2012 and renewed plan dated 19.08.2016 are attached.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting the submission of the appellant and the documents submitted in appeal, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the reply to the show cause notice and the documents submitted in appeal and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days of the receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to N.R.C. with a direction to consider the reply to the show cause notice and the documents submitted in appeal and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days of the receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mewar Girls College of Teachers Training, Chittorgarh, Gandhi Nagar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

((Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Mewar Girls College of Teachers Training, Chittorgarh, Gandhi Nagar, Sector-5, Chittorgarh – 312001, Rajasthan.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-544/E-9499/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Adikavi Nannaya University, Velugubanda, Rajah Rajah Narendra Nagar, Rajanagaram, Andhra Pradesh dated 20/06/2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP201630097/M.P.Ed/AP/2017-18/93184 dated 09/05/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "1. the point in dispute is about equivalence of degrees. 2. What is required is a Certificate from a UGC set up inter-Univ. Equivalence Centre. The State Govt.'s Certificate is not enough. 3. Reject the application. 4. Return FDRs, if any. 5. Close the file."

AND WHEREAS Dr. K. Subba Rao, Principal, Adikavi Nannaya University, Velugubanda, Rajah Rajah Narendra Nagar, Rajanagaram, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that SRC in their 332nd meeting held on 28th Feb to 3rd March, granted LOI to M.P.Ed. course and copy of LOI was sent on 05.04.2017. In response to the LOI they appointed faculty members as per NCTE 2014 norms and approved copy of staff list was submitted to SRC NCTE Bangalore for grant of formal recognition on 29.04.2017. In their 338 meeting of SRC NCTE held on 1st to 3rd May, 2017 the concerned authorities issued a show cause notice for submission of equivalence of Master of Sports Coaching with M.P.Ed. in case of Associate Professor Dr. N.C. Mohan, as he possess Master of Sports Coaching Degree. In reply to the show cause notice they submitted equivalence certificate issued by Dean Academic Affairs, Adikavi Nannaya University along with rule position of 4.6.3. of G.O.Ms. No 38 of Higher Education Department, dated 23.06.2016. In their 338 meeting of SRC NCTE held on 1st to 3rd May 2017 the concerned authorities verified the documents submitted in reply to show cause notice and they are not satisfied with the documents produced by them as they have to submit an equivalence certificate from a UGC set up Inter

University Equivalency Centre and rejected the application. Regarding the candidature of Dr. N.C. Mohan, Associate Professor, it is submitted that he has completed his Master of Sports Coaching in the year 1994 from Panjab University. Patiala. Later on, he got admission into Ph.D. Physical Education Programme in Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anathapur based on his Master's Degree i.e. Master of Sports Coaching and he was awarded Doctorate in Physical Education in the year 2003. He rendered his services in the Government as Gr 1 weight lifting coach from 1979 to 1994, as DSDO from 1994 to 2003 and as deputy Director from 2003 to 2012. As per the clarification of Association of Indian Universities, New Delhi in its letter No. E.V.VII. 5. 2016.57 dated 13.04.2006 the Master of Sports Coaching Degree of Punjab University, Patiala is a recognized post-graduate degree in its own area of specialization and is accepted as such. Hence, they request to consider the candidature of Dr. N.C. Mohan as Associate Professor. They are herewith submitting the certificate related to equivalency of both the degrees as they are unable to submit that certificate at the time of reply to SCN to SRC NCTE. Bangalore due to short time as last date for Grant of Formal Recognition for the session 2017 to 18 is 02.05.2017. Further it is submitted that theirs is a Govt. Organization and they are provided with all the infrastructural, instructional and human resources to start M.P.Ed. course in their campus. In addition to the existing faculty appointed earlier, they have also appointed one more Associate Professor, viz. Dr. K. Varaprasad Rao with M.A., M.P.Ed., M.Phil., Ph.D. Physical Education as qualifications and he is retired in Government Degree College as Lecturer in Physical Education. They are submitting the documents related to appointment of Dr. K Varaprasad Rao as Associate Professor along with copies of certificates. Hence, it is requested to consider their appeal and issue formal recognition to M.P.Ed. Course of their University for the year 2017.18 as it is a State University established under the Act No. 28 of 2006 of Govt. of Andhra Pradesh to cater to the educational needs of East and West Godavari Districts of A.P.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, besides explaining the position about the qualifications/ experience of Dr. N.C. Mohan, has intimated that they have appointed another faculty, namely, Dr. K. Varaprasad Rao with qualifications in Physical Education as Associate Professor. In view of this position, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with

a direction to consider the appointment of another faculty, namely Dr. K. Varaprasad Rao and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in appeal to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to S.R.C. with a direction to be consider the appointment of another faculty, namely Dr. K. Varaprasad Rao and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in appeal to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Adikavi Nannaya University, Velugubanda, Rajah Rajah Narendra Nagar, Rajanagaram, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

- 1. The Registrar, Adikavi Nannaya University, Velugubanda, Rajah Rajah Narendra Nagar, Rajanagaram 533296, Andhra Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore 560 072.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.





F.No.89-491/E-9898/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Gayatri Devi Degree College, Pathara New Colony, Badgo to Gorakhpur, Sadar, Uttar Pradesh dated 27/06/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14461/264th (Part-1) Meeting/2017/168712 dated 09/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "building & Physical Resources of the institution are not as per Norms. The institution has misled the VT member and the NRC."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Swatantra Saurabh Mishra, Representative, Gayatri Devi Degree College, Pathara New Colony, Badgo to Gorakhpur, Sadar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that withdrawal of recognition on the basis of the letter issued by Secretary Pariksha Niyamak Pradhakari, Uttar Pradesh is totally on wrong grounds. The building and physical resources of the institution are as per norms of the NCTE and already a formal physical verification has been done by the learned VT members deputed by NCTE. As per the VT report, they have been issued letter of intent prior to grant of recognition. They got the recognition under clause 7 (16) of NCTE Regulations 2014 after completing all the norms and regulations from time to time as and when required by NCTE. A formal physical verification has already been done by the learned VT members deputed by NCTE. They are not misleading the NCTE by any means.

AND WHEREAS the appellant in their letter dt. 27.09.2017 (addressed to the NCTE, Jaipur, Rajasthan) and given during the course of presentation, submitted that an inspection panel constituted by the Examination Regulatory Authority (ERA) re-inspected their college on 08.04.2017 and submitted a report to the ERA which is in favour of their college and which was never sent to the NRC. The appellant further submitted that the ERA, which was directed by the Hon'ble High Court, in their order

dt. 24.05.2017 in CMWP No. 23043/17, to consider their application for affiliation of their college expeditiously, passed an order on 12.07.2017 stating that they will not grant affiliation because NCTE had already withdrawn recognition on 09.03.2017, without disclosing that they inspected the college on 08.04.2017 and were satisfied. The appellant enclosed a copy of the letter dt. 12.04.2017 from the Principal, DIET, Gorakhpur addressed to the Secretary, Examination Regulatory Authority enclosing a copy of the inspection report. The Hon'ble High Court in their order dt. 24.05.2017 did not direct the College to file any application before NRC but only directed the ERA to decide the application of the college for affiliation.

AND WHEREAS the appellant, in their letter dt. 27.09.2017 also submitted that the withdrawal order dt. 09.03.2017 was never communicated to the college by NRC, Jaipur or ERA, Allahabad. Hence, the college approached the Hon'ble High Court Allahabad and the Hon'ble High court in their order dt. 21.060.2017 directed that if the college files appeal within a week then the same shall be entrained and orders passed on merits within two months and accordingly the college filed the appeal on 27.06.2017. As nothing happened to the appeal, the appellant filed a CMWP No. 41730/17 on 03 09.2017 before the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad. The Hon'ble High Court, in their order dt. 08.09.2017, acceding to the prayer of the petitioner to withdraw the petition with liberty to file a fresh application before the NCTE for grant of recognition and noting the assurance of the Counsel for Respondent that if fresh application is filed by the petitioner the same shall be accorded consideration, expeditiously in accordance with law, on merits, disposed of the petition. The appellant filed the letter dt. 27.09.2017 as application as per the orders of the Hon'ble High Court.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the NRC received a copy of the letter dt. 12.07.2017 from the Secretary, Examination Regulatory Authority, Allahabad, U.P. in which it is <u>inter alia</u> mentioned that the appellant institution was inspected twice and the deficiencies still existed and as per the schedule fixed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India for grant of affiliation the last date was 30.05.2017, which is already over. Citing the directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad dt. 24.05.2017, the Examination Regulatory Authority decided that affiliation cannot be granted for the reasons stated in that letter. The Committee also noted that following

the receipt of the letter of the Examination Regulatory Authority dt. 12.07.2017, the NRC in their letter dt. 07.09.2017 addressed to the appellant informed that their withdrawal order dt. 09.03.2017 stands.

AND WHEREAS the Committee also noted that in view of the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad contained in their order dt. 08.09.2017 in Writ C. No. 41730 of 2017, the appellant was given liberty to file a fresh application before the NCTE for grant of recognition with this direction, no action is called for on the appeal, as it has become infructuous.

AND WHEREAS the statement of the appellant that their letter dt. 27.09.2017 is an application is not in accordance with the liberty granted by the Hon'ble High Court. For grant of recognition, fresh application in the prescribed format as per the NCTE Regulations 2014 and other instructions, can be filed only when the NCTE issues a public notice inviting applications for various teacher training courses.

AND WHEREAS in view of the position stated in the previous paras, the Committee concluded that the appeal has become infructuous and no action thereon in called for.

(Šanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Gayatri Devi Degree College, Pathara New Colony, Badgo to Gorakhpur, Sadar 273016, Uttar Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-492/E-10146/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Elite Public B.Ed. College, Chianki, Daltonganj Ranchi Road, Daltonganj, Jharkhand dated 29/06/2017 is against the Order No. ERC/239.8.30/ID No. 11311/D.El.Ed./2016/53172 dated 02/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "(i) NOC for the applied D.El.Ed. course issued on 26.06.2015. the institution is required to submit NOC for the academic session 2017-18 or duly countersigned by the concerned affiliating body. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing No. ERCAPP201646317 of the institution regarding recognition of D.El.Ed. Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Pradeep Kumar, Representative, Elite Public B.Ed. College, Chianki, Daltonganj Ranchi Road, Daltonganj, Jharkhand presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that NOC for the basic unit of D.El.Ed. has already been submitted as per the requirement for NOC. They have applied for issuing of the NOC from the state government which is under process. They have already got NOC from the government of Jharkhand for the additional unit and counter signature is under process Therefore as per NCTE Notification New Delhi 28th November 2014 in the part of clause 6 in case no reply is received from the State Government within aforesaid period the Regional Committee shall process and decide the case on merits and placing the application before the Regional Committee shall not be deferred on account of non receipt of comments or recommendation of the State Government. In view of above mentioned points they requested to grant them recognition for additional D.El.Ed. course.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant applied for one unit (50 students) of D.El.Ed. course on 30.06.2016 which is to be considered for the academic session 2017-18. According to the provisions of Clause 5 (3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, a No Objection Certificate (NOC) issued by the concerned affiliating body shall be submitted along with the hard copy of the on-line application. The appellant enclosed a copy of the No Objection Certificate dt. 26.06.2015 issued by the Directorate of Primary Education, Govt. of Jharkhand. The ERC issued a show cause notice on 18.03.2017 stating that the appellant is required to submit NOC for the academic session 2017-18 or duly countersigned by the concerned affiliating body. The NOC issued by the Govt. of Jharkhand does not mention any academic session, though it was issued on 26.06.2015.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant in the letter dt. 20.04.2017 informed the ERC that as they had already obtained a NOC, a further NOC is not required. The appellant also informed that as soon as duly countersigned NOC is received from the HRD, it shall be submitted immediately. The Committee also noted that the appellant in their letter no. EPCT has requested the Director of Primary Education, Ranchi for issue of a NOC for one more additional unit of D.El.Ed. course as decided by their Trustees. The appellant sent a reminder to the Director of Primary Education, Ranchi on 28.03.2017 requesting them to issue a NOC / duly countersigned NOC for the academic session 2017-18.

and whereas the Committee noted that while the appellant applied for one unit of D.El.Ed. course, he has now informed the affiliating body that they want one more additional unit of D.El.Ed. course. The NOC dt. 26.06.2015 neither mentioned the number of units nor the academic session. The affiliating body has not responded so far and in the meanwhile, the cut off date for grant of recognition for the academic session 2017-18 is over. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced

during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

*(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairman, Elite Public B.Ed. College, Chianki, Daltonganj Ranchi Road, Daltonganj 822101, Jharkhand.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar 751 012.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.





F.No.89-493/E-10142/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shyam College, Dehlal, Near Didwana, Ramgarh Pachwara. Rajasthan dated 30/06/2017 against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201616434/Bachelor of **Physical** Education [B.P.Ed.]/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 01/05/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted any proof / evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution has not submitted the approved building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, khasra No. / Plot No., total land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Suresh Chand Sharma, Director, Shyam College, Dehlal Near Didwana, Ramgarh Pachwara, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that their society is running Shyam College, which is approved by Govt. of Rajasthan and affiliated by University of Rajasthan and is also running D.El.Ed. course approved by NCTE. The State Govt. of Rajasthan has issued NOC for running B.A., B.Com. and B.Sc. The Society submitted on-line affiliation forms to the University of Rajasthan for the academic sessions 2016-17 and 2017-18 on 10.02.2016 and 28.12.2016 respectively along with affiliation fee o Rs. 1,95,800/-and Rs. 1,02,640/-. The University of Rajasthan has issued NOC for B.Ed. course. They have submitted to the NRC the approved building plan signed by the competent authority indicating all the requisite details with their letters dt.

05.04.2017 and 01.05.2017 <u>vide</u> Dy. Nos. 65835 and 168280. The appellant, with their appeal, enclosed all the documents in support of their submissions.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting the submission of the appellant and the supporting documents furnished by them, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the replies already furnished by the appellant and the further new documents to be submitted by the appellant to them, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in the appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the replies already furnished by the appellant and the further new documents to be submitted by the appellant to them, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in the appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shyam College, Dehlal, Near Didwana, Ramgarh Pachwara, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

1. The Director, Shyam College, Dehlal Near Didwana, Ramgarh Pachwara – 303511, Rajasthan.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.

4. The Secretary Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-497/E-10242/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of National College of Education, Ayyampudur (PO), Kolathur (Via), Mettur, Tamil Nadu dated 29/06/2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP201630058/B.Ed-Al/TN/2017-18/93170 dated 08/05/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for additional intake in B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the NOC given was for 2016-17. It will not be valid for 2017-18. The NOC was not valid even for 2016-17 because it was presented in Nov. 16 when it should have been presented on or before 15 July 2016.

AND WHEREAS Sh. J. Thangavelan, Secretary and Sh. S. Kadhar Hyder Khan, Member, National College of Education, Ayyampudur (PO), Kolathur (Via), Mettur, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they have applied for additional unit in B.Ed. course for the academic year 2017 18 on 30.05.2016 to SRC NCTE. After considering their application in the 327th SRC meeting Dt. 20.01.2017 they were asked to submit fresh NOC from TNTEU Chennai. They have applied for NOC to TN Govt. well in advance. Due to the unavoidable circumstances such as the demise of Hon'ble Chief Minister of TN, Non-functioning of the TN Govt. and the Non- availability of Vice Chancellor of TNTEU Chennai. The University has not issued the NOC in time. They approached TNTEU many times and finally they got the NOC on 27.01.2017 and immediately submitted the NOC on 30.01.2017 to SRC Bangalore. After that SRC in the 329th meeting held on 06 and 07.02.2017 accepted and considered their request and decided for composite inspection. SRC inspection team conducted inspection on 24.03.2017 and 25.03.2017 and the report was submitted to SRC on 25.03.2017. The inspection report was considered by the SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30 and 31 March 2017. After that the SRC again issued a show cause notice for the same point which was taken in the 329th meeting of SRC. Due to the circumstances mentioned above the university has not issued the NOC in time. There is no fault and or delay from their side. Except NOC, they have

submitted all the certificates and documents in time. They have created all the facilities and infrastructure as per the NCTE norms for the welfare of the students and invested crores of rupees for the development of the infrastructure. Hence, they requested to consider their application and grant the recognition for the additional intake in B.Ed. Course.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant applied for additional intake in B.Ed. course on 30.5.2016 and enclosed a copy of the NOC dt. 13.11.2015 issued by the affiliating university for B.Ed. programme specifically for the academic year 2016-17, whereas the application dt. 30.05.2016 was for the academic session 2017-18. The appellant got the NOC for the session 2017-18 only on 27.01.2017. According to the provisions of clause 5(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, a copy of the NOC issued by the concerned affiliating body shall be submitted along with the hard copy of the on-line application. Since, the appellant has not fulfilled this requirement, the Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

((Sánjày Awasthi) Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, National College of Education, Ayyampudur (PO), Kolathur (Via), Mettur – 636303, Tamil Nadu.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-498/E-10256/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Prabhwati Mahila College of Education, Shahpur, Sadar, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 04/07/2017 is against the decision of the NRC taken in their 255th meeting held from 2nd to 6th August, 2016 to refuse recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution was given show cause notice vide letter dt. 28.06.2016 with direction to submit the reply within 30 days. The institution did not submit any reply of show cause notice."

AND WHEREAS the appellant, aggrieved by the decision of the NRC filed a Writ Petition C. No. 17135 of 2017 before the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad. The Hon'ble High Court, in their order dt. 24.05.2017, dismissed the petition directing the petitioner to approach the appellate authority. The Hon'ble High Court also directed that if the appeal is filed by the petitioner within two weeks from today (i.e. 24.05.2017), the same may be considered without going into the question of delay, if any, in filing the same. The appellant filed the appeal on 04.07.2017.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Udainarayan Yadav, Manager, Prabhwati Mahila College of Education, Shahpur, Sadar, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they have sent a reply to the show cause notice dt. 28.06.2016 on 22.08.2016 by registered post. The appellant enclosed to the appeal copies of affiliation order dt. 30.09.2016 for graduate programme in arts, a copy of CLU dt. 14.07.2016 and a copy of NEC dt. 05.08.2015.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant's reply dt. 22.08.2016 to the show cause notice is available in the file of the NRC. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the appellant's reply dt. 22.08.2016 and the other documents

to be submitted by the appellant to them and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the order on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the appellant's reply dt. 22.08.2016 and the other documents to be submitted by the appellant to them and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the order on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Prabhwati Mahila College of Education, Shahpur, Sadar, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Prabhwati Mahila College of Education, Shahpur, Bharsar to Kashimabad Road, Sadar, Ghazipur 233226, Uttar Pradesh.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





F.No.89-449/E-10329/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Santosh Kumar Mahavidyalaya, Kasimpur, Sandila, Kasimpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 23/06/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/Recognition/NRCAPP-10049/269th (Part-5) Meeting/D.El.Ed./2017 dated 02/05/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course of one unit (50 intake). The appellant wants recognition for two units.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Manager, Santosh Kumar Mahavidyalaya, Kasimpur, Sandila, Kasimpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they had applied for grant of recognition for 2 units of D.El.Ed. Course on 17.05.2015. After being satisfied with the documents, inspection report and videography, NRC, NCTE had issued letter of Intent under clause 7 (13) of NCTE Regulations 2014 for D.El.Ed. course to this institution vide letter No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP- 10049/263th Meeting/2017/167809 dated 27.02.2017. The institution has submitted reply of the L.O.I. for grant of recognition for 2 units of D.El.Ed. course along with all required documents to NRC, NCTE on 08.03.2017. The matter of recognition for D.El.Ed. course of this institution was considered in 266th Meeting (Part-4) of NRC, NCTE held from 21-24 March, 2017 vide item No. 2 and the Committee decided that recognition be granted to the institution for D.El.Ed. course for two units (100 students) under clause 7(16) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 from the academic session 2017-2018. Copy of minutes of NRC, NCTE is annexed. Despite deciding to issue recognition order for 2 units of D.El.Ed. course, NRC, NCTE has issued recognition letter for 1 unit of D.El.Ed. course to this institution without any reason vide order No. NRC/NCTE/Recognition/NRCAPP-10049/269th (Part-5) Meeting/D.El.Ed./2017 dated 02.05.2017. No reason has been communicated to this institution by the NRC, NCTE in this regard. Copy of recognition order is annexed. This institution has submitted representation for correction in recognition order along

with required document to NRC, NCTE on 15.05.2017. Copy of representation letter is annexed. The institution has again submitted a representation letter to NRC, NCTE for correction in recognition order on 08.06.2017. Copy of representation letter is annexed. The institution has submitted approval letter from affiliating body and list of staff appointed for D.El.Ed. course for 2 units to NRC, NCTE along with reply of U.O.I. Copy of approval letter and list of staff appointed for D.El.Ed. course is annexed. The institution had been granted recognition for B.Ed. course (2 units) by the NRC, NCTE vide letter No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-7750, 7169, 8068, 8265, 8902, 9631, 7396, 8053, 9510, 8306, 8062, 8449, 7238, 9663, 8990 & 7563/23 8th Meeting Part-VI/109484-99 dated 31.05.2015 from 2015-2016. At the time of recognition for B.Ed. course this institution had submitted list of 8 Teaching Staff approved from CSJM University, Kanpur to NRC, NCTE. Copy of recognition order is annexed. CSJM University, Kanpur has granted affiliation to this college for 1 unit of B.Ed. course vide order No. CSJMVV/aff./1442/2016 dated 09.05.2016 from the session 2016-17. Copy of affiliation order is annexed. In the recognition order for B.Ed. course issued by the NRC, NCTE, it is clearly stated that the institution shall comply with various teacher norms and standards prescribed in the NCTE Regulations, as amended from time to time. The institution shall fulfil the revised norms relating to infrastructure, instructional facilities, enhanced amount of Endowment Fund and Reserve Fund, Number and qualifications of Teaching Staff, Curriculum and implementation strategies in view of the change in duration/intake of programme (s) offered in the institution within the time limit allowed by NCTE. NRC, NCTE had issued a Public Notice on 02.06.2016 in which all the TEI's who are running 2 or more units in B.Ed./B.P.Ed. course are directed to submit list of approved staff, BCC, Building Plan and Joint FDR of 5.00 Lakh, 7.00 Lakh and original Form 'A' issued by Bank to NRC, NCTE on or before 13.06.2016. Copy of Public Notice is annexed. Because CSJM University, Kanpur had granted affiliation to this college for 1 unit of B.Ed. course, and the college is running one unit only, this institution had not appointed additional staff for second unit of B.Ed. course and had not submitted compliance report to NRC, NCTE. The institution is running only one unit of B.Ed. course and applied for recognition D.El.Ed. course (2) units). The built-up area available for Teacher Education Programme in the campus is 3606.62 Sqm. Copy of approved building map and Building Completion Certificate are submitted to NRC, NCTE along with inspection report and representation letter. Copy of Building Map and Building Completion Certificate are annexed. That from

above facts and documents, it is clear that this institution is running one unit of B.Ed. course and applied for recognition of 2 units of D.El.Ed. course. Built up area available for Teacher Education Programmes in the campus is 3606.62 Sqm. which is more than 3500 sq. mts. This institution has appointed 1 H.O.D. and 15 Lecturers for 2 units of D.El.Ed. course. Affiliating Body had issued approval letter for 1 H.O.D. and 15 Lecturers which was submitted to NRC, NCTE along with reply of L.O.I. NRC, NCTE had taken decision in its 266th Meeting (Part-4) held from 21-24 March, 2017 that recognition for 2 units of D.El.Ed. course be granted to this college from 2017-2018. The recognition letter issued to this college for 1 unit of D.El.Ed. course is totally illegal, unlawful, unjustified, unconstitutional and against the principles of natural justice. So, it is prayed that directions be issued to NRC, NCTE to issue revised recognition order for 2 units of D.El.Ed. course from the session 2017-2018.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the applicant, in the affidavit enclosed to their on-line application dt. 17.05.2015 indicated that they are seeking recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two units with an intake of 100. The Visiting Team, in the report, while noting that the application is for two units, recommended in general terms without mentioning the number of units. The NRC in their Letter of Intent dt. 27.02.2017 did not indicate the number of units or intake. The NRC in their 266th meeting held from 21st to 24th March, 2017, after considering the documents submitted by the appellant decided to grant recognition for two units of D.El.Ed. course. Subsequently, the NRC in their 269ht meeting held from 26th April to 2nd Mary, 2017 decided to grant recognition for one unit of D.El.Ed. (50 students) and issued the recognition order accordingly on 02.05.2017. The Committee noted that after the issue of the recognition order for one unit, the appellant made a couple of representations to the NRC for issuing recognition for two units. In those representations, the appellant inter-alia mentioned that they are conducting one unit of B.Ed. only against the sanction/recognition for two units and they have a built-up area of 3606.62 Sq. mts. The NRC has not responded to those representations.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that, while the NRC has not communicated any reasons for granting recognition for one unit of D.El.Ed. instead of two units as decided in their earlier 266th meeting, in the notes it is recorded that the

built-up area of 3606.62 Sq. mts. is not sufficient for the existing two units of B.Ed. and the proposed two units of D.El.Ed. The appellant has produced evidence to the effect that Chhatrapati Shahuji Maharaj University, Kanpur has granted affiliation for one unit (50 students) of B.Ed. only and submitted that they are thus running only one unit of B.Ed. course. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the request of the appellant for two units of D.El.Ed and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in the appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the order on appeal. The appellant is directed to request the NRC to formally withdraw recognition for the second unit of the B.Ed. course. The NRC should take further action regarding grant of recognition of the second unit of D.El.Ed. course thereafter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the request of the appellant for two units of D.El.Ed and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents submitted in the appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the order on appeal. The appellant is directed to request the NRC to formally withdraw recognition for the second unit of the B.Ed. course. The NRC should take further action regarding grant of recognition of the second unit of D.El.Ed. course thereafter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Santosh Kumar Mahavidyalaya, Kasimpur, Sandila, Kasimpur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasth))
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Santosh Kumar Mahavidyalaya, Kasimpur, Sandila Bangarmau Road, Sandila, Kasimpur – 241201, Uttar Pradesh.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





F.No.89-500/E-10280/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Global B.Ed. College, Barodiya, Bagidora, Rajasthan dated 04/07/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615132/B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. - 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2015-2016/2; dated 29/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution was given show cause notice in meeting 265th dated on 27th February 3rd March, 2017 and reply received on 16.03.2017. The following observations were made. Name of the institution mentioned in online application and documents does not match. The institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or Civil Authority concerned. Recognition order of existing B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course not submitted. The institution has applied for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. (Additional Unit) but as per orders of Government of Rajasthan, Department of Higher Education dated 23.02.2016, increase in seats in existing Teacher Training Programme is not permissible. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Siddhant, Treasurer, Global B.Ed. College, Barodiya, Bagidora, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in the documents submitted during hearing it was submitted that inadvertently the name of the institution has been mentioned in the on-line application as Global College of Education instead of Darshan Shiksha Sansthan, Barodia. The appellant has given an affidavit. The appellant submitted a photocopy of the certified land document and showed the original thereof. The appellant submitted that their application is in fact for B.A.B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. as a new course and not an additional unit as they are not presently running that course at all.

AND WHEREAS the committee, noting the submissions of the appellant and also the documents submitted by him in appeal, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the documents to be submitted to them by the appellant in the light of the provisions of para 1.1 of Norms and Standards for the 4 year Integrated programme and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the documents to be submitted to them by the appellant in the light of the provisions of para 1.1 of Norms and Standards for the 4 year Integrated programme and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Global B.Ed. College, Barodiya, Bagidora, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Global B.Ed. College, Barodiya, NH-13, Bagidora – 327604, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education

& Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.

4. The Secretary Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.





F.No.89-548/E-10599/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Choudhary A R College, Sardar Shahar, Rajasthan dated 26/06/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615519/B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. — 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 27/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted the reply of the SCN issued by the NRC within the stipulated time. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Hanumana Ram Potaliya, Lecturer, Choudhary A R College, Sardar Shahar, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they have sent the reply of SCN which may not have reached the concerned office on time due to fault to postal people.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the file of the NRC does not contain the reply to the show cause notice stated to have been sent by the appellant. The appellant has also not produced a copy of their stated reply. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi) (Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Choudhary A R College, W. No. 19, Sardar Shahar 331403, Rajasthan.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-551/E-11859/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Muthukkaruppan Memorial College of Education, Sillangulam, M.K.N. Nagar, Ottapidaram, Tamil Nadu dated 30/06/2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP201630143/B.Ed/TN/2017-18/93168 dated 08/05/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that in confirmation of the decisions taken in the telephonic communication last night, the Faculty list is not approved. We cannot Act on this. 3. Reject the application. 4. Return the FDRs. 5. Close the file."

AND WHEREAS Prof. R.T. Kannan, Asstt. Professor and Sh. E. Chellappa, Admn. Officer, Muthukkaruppan Memorial College of Education, Sillangulam, M.K.N. Nagar, Ottapidaram, Tamilnadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 28.09.2017, it was submitted that LOI was received by this Institution on 29.04.2017 after noon; LOI is required for getting staff approval and the same was received by them only on 29.04.2017. 30.04.2017 was Sunday and 01.05.2017 was Labour Day and both were holidays for the Govt. of Tamil Nadu. They could apply for staff approval only on 02.05.2017 (being the Next working day after 29.04.2017) and received the staff approval on 04.05.2017 only. Stating that they have submitted staff approval, affidavits from individual faculty members and FDRs to the SRC, the appellant requested that the process for grant of recognition may be re-opened.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant has submitted the letter of the Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, Chennai dt. 04.05.2017 approving the faculty of the appellant's college for B.Ed. course, the list of faculty and other documents required in the Letter of Intent to the SRC, which were received on 12.05.2017. Since, the appellant has explained the reasons for the delayed approval of the faculty by the affiliating university, the Committee concluded that the matter

deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted to them and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted to them and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Muthukkaruppan Memorial College of Education, Sillangulam, M.K.N. Nagar, Ottapidaram, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sănjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Muthukkaruppan Memorial College of Education, Sillangulam, M.K.N. Nagar, Ottapidaram – 628718, Tamil Nadu.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.

4. The Secretary Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-552/E-10715/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Banshi College of Education, Mohammadpur, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 22/03/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-13021/262nd (Part-8) Meeting/2017/16879 dated 01/02/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "show cause notice was issued to the institution to submit the list of faculty approved by the affiliating University on 03.11.2016. The institution vide its letter dated 17.12.2016 submitted the list of only six Assistant Professors approved by the affiliating University. The list of faculty for two Professors and two Associate Professors has not been approved by affiliating body as required under NCTE Norms for the proposed course."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Shiva Mohan Shukla, Principal, Banshi College of Education, Mohammadpur, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 27.09.2017, it is submitted that the appellant forwarded the papers relating to selection of two professors and two Associate professors to the affiliating university one 06.09.2016 for their approval. As the approval was not forthcoming, the appellant sent three reminders to the university on 09.12.2016, 24.12.2016 and 10.01.2017. The appellant submitted copies of these reminders. The appellant also submitted that according to the provisions of UP State University Act, 1973 (As amended), if the University does not convey their disapproval within one month of the receipt of the proposal for approval, they shall be deemed to have approved the proposal. Since the approval has not been received from the University, the appellant got automatic approval and appointed the two professors and two Associate Professors. The appellant enclosed the relevant extract from the said Act and also stated that in their third reminder dt. 10.01.2017 they have informed the University about these appointment and the circumstances leading thereto.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the provisions of Clause 7(13) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, after the issue of the Letter of Intent, the institution, inter-alia shall submit the list of faculty, as approved by the affiliating body, to the Regional Committee. Since, the appellant has not submitted approval of the affiliating body to the selection of two Professors and two Associate Professors, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and cosnsidering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(**Sanjay Awasthi**) Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Banshi College of Education, Mohammadpur, Bithoor Road, Kanpur Nagar – 2092017, Uttar Pradesh.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-555/E-11170/2017 Appeal/18th Meeting-2017 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

29/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Global Education Institute, Dedwa Khurd, Sanchore, Rajasthan dated 21/06/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-13033/264th, Meeting/2017/168862 dated 10/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "khasra No. has not been mentioned in the building plan. The institution has not submitted any proof / evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Surender Sahu, Secretary, Global Education Institute, Dedwa Khurd, Sanchore, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/09/2017. The appellant during personal presentation submitted a building plan in which the Khasra number is mentioned and copies of the communications from the Govt. of Rajasthan and Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur granting No Objection Certificate for conducting graduate courses in the appellant institution and affiliation respectively.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting the documents submitted by the appellant in response to the two grounds mentioned in the refusal order, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the documents to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the documents submitted in the appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to

NRC with a direction to consider the documents to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the documents submitted in the appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Global Education Institute, Dedwa Khurd, Sanchore, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi) Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Global Education Institute, Dedwa Khurd, NH-15, Sanchore 343041, Rajasthan.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur 302005, Rajasthan.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Lucknow.