



F.No.89-811/2016 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

16/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shaheed Capt. D.K. Khola College of Education, Dineshpur, Gadarpur, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarkhand dated 10/12/2016 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-1658/256th (2) Meeting/2016/58293 dated 20/09/2016 and corrigendum No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-1658/corritgendum/2016/162292-95 dt. 21.11.2016 thereto of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "(i) the names and qualifications of the teachers at present in position (as mentioned in the list previously approved by the Vice-Chancellor, Kumaon University) have not been submitted. (ii) Evidence of payment of salary to teachers through account payee cheque or through other mechanism of salary payment from the date of the appointment till date has not been submitted. (iii) Evidence of appointment and payment of salary to the non-teaching staff has not been submitted. (iv) Evidence of purchase of 3000 relevant books with copy of their entries in the accession register of the library has not been submitted. (v) Evidence of having developed institution's website has not been submitted. (vi) Reply dt. 24.11.2014 submitted by the institution in response to the show cause notice issued 27.08.2014 is incomplete and misleading. Bank statement to the effect that salary is being paid through account payee cheque to the staff has not been submitted. (vii) Documentary evidence of the attendance of the HOD and seven lectures has not been submitted and (viii) No documentary evidence of the equipment of the resource centre has been submitted.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Satish Khola, Vice President and Shri. Naveen Kumar, Member, Shaheed Capt. D.K. Khola College of Education, Dineshpur, Gadarpur, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarkhand presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/03/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The list of qualified teachers along with their qualification as per NCTE Norms prescribed for B.Ed. course have already been submitted to your office for the session 2013-14. The list carries the approval of the Vice-Chancellor of the Kumaon

University. The payment of the salary of the faculty members whose names were approved by the Selection Committee and also by the Vice-Chancellor of the Kumaon University is being paid through Bank Account Payee's Cheque from the date of joining their duty in the institution. As a mark of proof copy of Cheque No. 144401 to 144409 are enclosed. Similarly, the pay of salary of the non-teaching staff is being paid through account payee cheque. As a mark of proof copy of cheque no. 144418 to 144422 are enclosed. Total No. 3410 books relevant for B.Ed. course were purchased. At the time of inspection conducted by the NCTE on dated 08/02/2012, the VT Members recorded their signature on the register of the Library. The appellant further submitted that after the NCTE Regulations, 2014 came into force and on the basis of an affidavit submitted by them, the NRC issued a revised recognition order on 23.05.2015. The appellant also submitted that while they responded to the show cause dt. 27.08.2014 on 24.11.2014 and revised recognition order has been issued on 23.05.2015, the NRC cannot make the show cause notice, issued two years back, the basis for withdrawal of recognition.

AND WHEREAS during the course of appeal hearing appellant was asked to submit evidence in the form of bank statement showing remittance in the name of faculty which was approved by the affiliating body and other staff. Appellant was also required to submit evidence of having purchased the books entered in the accession register of library. Appellant sought another opportunity to submit the required documentary evidence. Appeal Committee decided to grant another opportunity to the appellant to submit required evidence.

AND WHEREAS Shaheed Capt. D.K. Khola College of Education, Dineshpur, Gadarpur, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.05.2017, i.e. the second opportunity given to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Satish Khola, Vice-Chairman, Shaheed Capt. D.K. Khola College of Education, Dineshpur, Gadarpur, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.08.2017 i.e. the third and final opportunity granted to them. In the course of presentation, the appellant submitted (i) a copy each of the letters dt. 28.03.2016 and 31.03.2016 from the Registrar, Kumaun University, Nainital, approving the names of one Head of the Deptt. and fourteen other

faculty members for the B.Ed. course in the appellant institution. A statement containing the details of the staff has also been enclosed. The appellant also enclosed copies of the letter issued by the institution to State Bank of India, Dineshpur requesting the bank to credit various amounts to the accounts of the faculty of the institution. These letters cover the period from April 2016 to March 2017. The appellant also enclosed copies of other financial statements on which the name of SBI is embossed. The appellant also enclosed copies of bills for purchase of books during the period 2012-15.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the show cause notice dt. 27.8.2014 the main grounds of withdrawal relate to availability of qualified teaching staff, payment of salaries to them through banking channels, evidence of appointment and payment of salary to non-teaching staff, evidence for purchase of 3000 books supported by accession register of the library and evidence of the institution's website. The grounds of evidence for the attendance of the HoD and seven lecturers and documentary evidence of the equipment's of the resource centre included in the corrigendum dt. 21.11.2016 to the withdrawal order dt. 20.9.2016 are new and the appellant was not given an opportunity to make a representation against them.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, during their presentation has submitted documents (i) in support of their faculty, which has been approved by the university and (ii) payment of salaries to them through bank. The appellant has made a submission earlier that the visiting team signed in the accession register of the library. Taking these submissions and the fact that the appellant institution has been granted revised recognition under the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 on 23.3.2015 i.e. after the issue of the show cause notice on 27.8.2014 and receipt of a reply thereto on 24.11.2014, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the documents relating to the teaching faculty approved in March, 2016 and payment of salaries to them as submitted by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. For this purpose, the appellant is directed to submit to the NRC (i) the documents relating to teaching faculty and payment of salaries to them, copy of the accession register signed by the visiting team members, bills for purchase of books, information relating to non-teaching staff, evidence for attendance of teaching staff, documentary evidence for equipments in the resource centre and

evidence of the college's website to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal. In the meantime, the order of withdrawal may be kept in abeyance.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the documents relating to the teaching faculty approved in March, 2016 and payment of salaries to them as submitted by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. For this purpose, the appellant is directed to submit to the NRC (i) the documents relating to teaching faculty and payment of salaries to them, copy of the accession register signed by the visiting team members, bills for purchase of books, information relating to non-teaching staff, evidence for attendance of teaching staff, documentary evidence for equipments in the resource centre and evidence of the college's website to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal. In the meantime, the order of withdrawal may be kept in abeyance.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shaheed Capt. D.K. Khola College of Education, Dineshpur Distt. Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Vice-President, Shaheed Capt. D.K. Khola College of Education, Dineshpur Distt. Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand - 263160
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttarakhand.



F.No.89-834/2016 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Shakti Degree College, Kanpur, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 15/12/2016 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14981/258th Meeting/160902 dated 18/10/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution was given show cause notice dated 15/07/2016. The institution has not submitted faculty duly approved by the affiliating body, Joint FDRs of Rs. 5.00 lakhs for endowment fund and 7.00 lakhs for reserve fund and print out of website, hyperlink as such application of the institution is rejected."

AND WHEREAS no one from Shri Shakti Degree College, Kanpur, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh appeared on behalf of the appellant institution on 25/03/2017. In the appeal memoranda, it is stated that "Institution has submitted the reply including the dispute of appointment of Professor and Associate Professors and FDR's situation. Website print was attached and it is hyperlinked."

AND WHEREAS during 1st opportunity, the appellant could not appear before Appeal Committee for making personal presentation. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to grant another (2nd) opportunity to the appellant to appear before the Committee for making personal presentation.

AND WHEREAS Shri Shakti Degree College, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.05.2017 i.e. the second opportunity given to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.

AND WHEREAS Shri Shakti Degree College, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 23.08.2017 i.e. the third

and final opportunity granted to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee, therefore, decided to consider the appeal on the basis of the records.


AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the NRC issued the Letter of Intent (LOI) under clause 7(13) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 to the appellant institution on 01.03.2016. As no reply was received within the specified period of two months from the date of issue of the LOI, NRC issued a show cause notice on 05.07.2016. The institution replied on 06.04.2016 (this date does not appear to be correct) which was received in the NRC on 17.08.2016. NRC, noting that the institution has not submitted faculty duly approved by the affiliating University, joint FDRs for Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs for endowment and reserve fund respectively and print out of website/hyperlink, refused recognition on 18.10.2016.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, in their reply to the show cause notice submitted that they issued an advertisement for filling the post of one Head of the Deptt, two Professors, two Associate Professors and two Asst. Professors for the M.Ed. course but they came to know from Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur that the posts Professors and Associate Professors are not created in any College and therefore, the question of granting approval does not arise and also there are no orders of the Govt. of U.P. for creation of these posts. The appellant also submitted that no candidates applied in response to the advertisement. The appellant, with their reply, enclosed a copy of the letter dt. 25.02.2016 written by the Vice-Chancellor, D.D.U Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur to the Regional Director, NRC. In this letter, the Vice-Chancellor pointing out that according to the arrangements of the Govt of UP no posts of Professor/ Associate Professor are created in the colleges, requested for appropriate directions for conducting M.Ed. course. The appellant further submitted in their reply that in view of their inability to appoint the requisite Professors/Associate Professors, preparing FDRs does not serve any purpose.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the appellant institution could not appoint the teaching faculty as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014, though the circumstances were beyond their control, concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Appellant, Shri Shakti Degree College, Sankhahari Post Harbaspur Ghatampur, Kanpur, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh – 209206**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-838/2016 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of School of Education, Apex Professional University, Gumin Nagar, Pasighat, East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh dated 17/11/2016 is against the Order No. ERC/221.7.2/ERCAPP4269/B.Ed.2016/49340 dated 21.09.2016 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "a. SCN was issued on 18/05/2016 on the following grounds: (i) As per VT report and CD, building is under construction. (ii) The institution submitted single application which comes under the category of stand-alone institution. b. The University submitted another CD for completion of the construction work but as seen in the fresh CD, the building is not yet fully completed and not ready to conduct B.Ed. programme and total environment of the campus is not satisfactory. In view of the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing code No. ERCAPP4269 of the institution regarding recognition for B.Ed. programme is refused under Section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

AND WHEREAS no one from School of Education, Apex Professional University, Gumin Nagar, Pasighat, East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh appeared on behalf of the appellant institution on 25/03/2017. Appeal Committee noted that no one from the appellant institution appeared before the Committee on the first opportunity given to them for making a personal presentation. Committee, therefore, decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the appellant for making personal presentation of the case.

AND WHEREAS School of Education, Apex Professional University, Gumin Nagar, Pasighat, East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.05.2017 i.e. the second opportunity given to them, but

nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.

AND WHEREAS Sh. V.S. Moray, Registrar, School of Education, Apex Professional University, Gumin Nagar, Pasighat, East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.08.2017 i.e. the third and final opportunity granted to them. In the appeal Memoranda it is submitted that "School of Education, Apex Professional University, Gumin Nagar, Pasighat, District East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh – 791102 is fully completed and ready to conduct B.Ed. Programme. The Competent Authority of the Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, the Deputy Director (Urban Development and Housing), Pasighat Division, and Pasighat vide letter No. PSG/UDH/04 (Vol II) 2011-12/219-22 dated 24th June, 2016 has issued the Completion Certificate of the building."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant in response to the show cause notice, in their reply dt. 04.07.2016 stated that the School Education is a Department of the University along with other departments in the Arts and Science faculty and in the University set-up common facilities such as seminar halls, Accounts, HR, Central library and offices are made for all the Deptts. Since this University, which has been established with the objective of conducting various courses, which include social sciences, languages, life sciences etc. (as per the Act) and the University itself is the applicant for the proposed B.Ed. course, the applicant satisfies the requirements of a composite institution.


AND WHEREAS the Committee also noted that the appellant, at time of inspection on 18.04.2016 submitted a certificate from the Registrar I/C of the University, stating that the building of the School of Education will be completed by May 2016. The appellant, with their reply to the show cause notice, enclosed a building Completion Certificate issued on 24.06.2016 by the Deputy Director, U D & Housing, Pasighat Division, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, certifying that the project 'Construction of Shopping Complex at Gurmin Nagar, Pasighat,' is under HUDCO financed scheme and the building with 28 rooms has a total plinth area of 4556.57 Sq. mts. The Committee noted that the VT in their report stated that the building is under construction and some areas of infrastructure are ready for use like the principal's room, general class rooms and laboratories. The appellant has not

submitted a building completion certificate in the prescribed form issued by a Govt. Engineer.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, in view of the position stated above, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to conduct a re-inspection of the institution on payment of the prescribed fee and submission of a building completion certificate in the prescribed form giving all the requisite details and signed by a Govt. Engineer and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to ERC with a direction to conduct a re-inspection of the institution on payment of the prescribed fee and submission of a building completion certificate in the prescribed form giving all the requisite details and signed by a Govt. Engineer and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of School of Education, NA, Apex Professional University, NA, Gumin Nagar, Pasighat, East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Registrar, School of Education, NA, Apex Professional University, NA, Gumin Nagar, Pasighat, East Siang, Arunachal Pradesh – 791102**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, (NCTE), 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.



F.No.89-841/2016 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana dated 13/12/2016 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-HR-385/257th (Part-3) Meeting/2016/160418 dated 14/10/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution was given show cause notice dt. 06/07/2016. Reply of the institution is not acceptable as institution has not submitted list of approved faculty. List submitted by the institution is incomplete. Copy of Joint FDR of Rs. 7.00 lakhs as endowment fund is not submitted."

AND WHEREAS Shri. R.S. Wadhawan, Administrator, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 25/03/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "copy of the joint FDR of Rs. 7 lacs are submitted vide our letter No. GNK/2015-16/2176 dated 28/06/2016 received by NCTE, Jaipur office on 01/07/2016 vide dairy no. 147745. FDR number is 303731 dt. 29/06/2016 of Central Bank of India, City Centre Road, Yamuna Nagar. Form 'A' pertaining to this FDR required by show cause notice no. F.NRC/NCTE/HR-385/253/2016 signed by Branch Manager, Central Bank of India, GNKC Branch, Yamuna Nagar was also enclosed." During the course of appeal hearing, appellant requested for another opportunity to submit evidence in support of some oral submissions made by him. Appeal Committee decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to appellant.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Mandeep Singh, Principal, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 29.05.2017 i.e. the second opportunity given to them. In the course of presentation, the appellant gave a letter dt. 29.05.2017. In this letter, the appellant stating that the deficiency of one Associate Professor will be completed in 15 days, requested for another opportunity. The Committee acceded to the request of the appellant and decided to give another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.


AND WHEREAS Dr. Mandeep Singh, Principal and Dr. R.S. Wadhawan, Administrator, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.08.2017 i.e. the third and final opportunity given to them. In the course of presentation, the appellant submitted a letter dt. 22.08.2017. With this letter, the

appellant, inter alia, submitted copies of two FDRs for Rs. 7 lakhs and Rs. 5 lakhs dt. 29.06.2016 taken jointly with the Regional Director, NRC, which were submitted earlier to the NRC. The appellant also enclosed copies of the letters dt. 29.02.2016, 26.05.2017 and 11.07.2017 of Kurukshetra University approving the teaching faculty recommended by the Selection Committee and the consolidated list of the academic faculty appointed, which indicates that apart from the principal, the appellant appointed two Associate Professors and six Asst. Professors.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, in view of the position stated above, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the documents relating to FDRs and the selection and approval of the faculty and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the relevant documents submitted in appeal, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the documents relating to FDRs and the selection and approval of the faculty and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the relevant documents submitted in appeal, to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Near Fountain Chowk, City Centre Road, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Gen. Secretary, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Near Fountain Chowk, City Centre Road, Yamuna Nagar, Haryana – 135001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.

(5)



F.No.89-843/2016 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Govt. D.I.E.T. Tekulapalli, Khammam, Telangana dated 23/12/2016 is against the Order No. NCTE/SRC/SRCAPP201630204/VTPI/TL/2017-2018/1 dated 21.10.2016 of the Southern Regional Committee, summarily rejecting their application for grant of recognition for conducting D.P.S.E. Course on the grounds that hard copy of the application of the institution was received on 11.08.2016 i.e. beyond the extended date of 15.07.2016.

AND WHEREAS Shri. K. Balamurali, Faculty Member, Govt. D.I.E.T. Tekulapalli, Khammam, Telangana presented the case of the appellant institution on 25/03/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that first they submitted to NCTE, New Delhi in time. Later they submitted to Regional Director, SRC, NCTE, Bangalore on 19.08.2016. As theirs is a Govt. institution of Telangana, their appeal may be considered.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted the submission made by appellant in a letter dt. 25.03.2017 given during personal presentation in which he informed that hard copy of application was despatched on 12.07.2016 at NCTE (HQ) address. The appellant in their letter dt. 25.03.2017 also requested another opportunity to make available documentary evidence i.e. track report of posting and receiving hard copy of application by NCTE/SRC. Appeal Committee decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant for showing original evidence of having sent the hard copy to NCTE/SRC on or before 15.07.2016.

AND WHEREAS Govt. D.I.E.T. Tekulapalli, Khammam, Telangana was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.05.2017 i.e. the second opportunity given to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.


AND WHEREAS Govt. D.I.E.T. Tekulapalli, Khammam, Telangana was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 23.08.2017 i.e. the third and final opportunity granted to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee, therefore, decided to consider the appeal on the basis of the records.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted from the file of the SRC that the appellant sent the hard copies of their on-line application dt. 30.06.2016 to the Chairperson, NCTE, New Delhi with their letter dt. 12.07.2016. The NCTE, who received this letter on 26.07.2017 forwarded the original hard copy, inadvertently sent to them, to the SRC with their letter dt. 02/05.08.2016. This letter was received in the SRC on 11.08.2016. The file of the SRC also contains the letter of Principal, DIET, Khammam dt. 12.07.2016 (but signed with the date 03.08.2016) with which hard copies of the application were sent. This letter was also received in the SRC on 11.08.2016. The appellant in their letter dt. 25.03.2017 admitted their mistake in wrongly posting the hard copy to the NCTE, New Delhi instead of posting to SRC, Bangalore.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant has not presented himself on 23.08.2017 with postal track record of dispatch and receipt of their letters dt. 12.07.2016 sent to the NCTE, New Delhi and S.R.C. Bangalore. In the absence of this information, it cannot be verified whether their letter dt. 12.07.2016 was submitted /despatched before the extended date of 15.07.2016. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in summarily rejecting the appellant's application and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Govt. DIET, Tekulapalli, Khammam, Andhra Pradesh – 507002
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore – 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.



F.No.89-844/2016 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Vaishnavi College of Elementary Education, Toopran, VPO – Narsapur, Medak District, Telangana dated 23/12/2016 is against the Order No. SRO/SRCAPP1665/D.El.Ed/TS/2016-17/89301 dated 25/10/2016 of the Southern Regional Committee withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the ground that the institution did not reply to the show cause notice dt. 13.05.2016 even after the stipulated period. In the show cause notice, it was pointed out that the institution submitted fake land document (Gift settlement deed 1600/2014 of SRO: Narasapur) with the inspection report.

AND WHEREAS Shri. Anil Kumar, Society Member, Vaishnavi College of Elementary College, VPO – Narsapur, Medak District, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 25/03/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “Our society Vice-President and building owner Smt. A. Rajamani taken loan of Rs. 45 Lakhs from APGVB Bank, Narsapur for construction of D.Ed. College (2 Floors in 17000 sq. ft.s area). That is the reason original building documents are in bank. That is the reason building owner submitted only fake land registration documents, we submitted documents to NCTE, SCERT Hyderabad. All other documents like FDRs, approved building plans, building completion certificate, fire NOC, Staff approval, sanitary Certificate.” During the course of appeal hearing, appellant made a request for grant of another opportunity as the person conversant with the matter could not attend appeal hearing because of some rituals arising out of the death of his father and he did not know any details. Appeal Committee decided to grant another (Second) opportunity.

AND WHEREAS Vaishnavi College of Elementary Education, Toopran, VPO – Narsapur, Medak District, Telangana was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.05.2017 i.e. the second opportunity given to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.


AND WHEREAS Sh. V. Pavan Kumar, Correspondent and Sh. A. Mahesh, Vice President, Vaishnavi College of Elementary Education, Toopran, VPO – Narsapur, Medak District, Telangana presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.08.2017 i.e. the third and final opportunity granted to them. In the course of personal presentation, the

appellant gave a letter dt. 23.08.2017. In this letter, the appellant submitted that they conducted the college for one year, their college building had a loan of Rs. 50,00,000 which they had cleared, they replied to the NCTE a number of times that land and building are their own. The appellant asked for one more chance. The appellant gave a copy of the land settlement deed dt. 06.04.2016 in favour of the institution.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant in their appeal memorandum has himself admitted that the building owner has submitted fake land registration documents. Since the appellant has not submitted any specific reply to the Show Cause Notice regarding submission of fake land document and has now admitted that fake land registration documents were submitted, the Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Appellant, Vaishnavi College of Elementary College, H.No. 1-4, Toopran, Medak, Andhra Pradesh – 502334**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore – 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

8



F.No.89-851/2016 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Janseva Samiti, Prithvipur, Tikamgarh, Madhya Pradesh dated 25/07/2016 is against the Order No. WRC/APP2081/222/255th/MP/2016/170379 dated 01.07.2016 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that (i) there is one land document but two owners are shown one is Sachiv of the Jan Seva Samiti and the other is Smt. Phoolwati. These are photocopies, there is a seal of Sub-Registrar's Office only on the land in which Smt. Phoolwati is the owner. Since private land ownership is not allowed, this document is not acceptable. (ii) originally notarised copies of CLU, building plan and building completion certificate have not been submitted. Non-encumbrance certificate is from the applicant society itself, who is not the competent authority. In spite of show cause notice applicant has not filed documents in accordance with clause 5, 6 and 8 of the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

AND WHEREAS no one from Janseva Samiti, Prithvipur, Tikamgarh, Madhya Pradesh appeared on behalf of the appellant institution on 25/03/2017. As the appellant could not appear before Committee on 25.03.2017, Appeal Committee decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant for making personal presentation of the case.


AND WHEREAS Janseva Samiti, Prithvipur, Tikamgarh, Madhya Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 29.05.2017 i.e. the second opportunity given to them, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.

AND WHEREAS Janseva Samiti, Prithvipur, Tikamgarh, Madhya Pradesh was asked to present the case of the application institution on 23.08.2017 i.e. the third and final opportunity granted to them, but nobody appeared. The Committee, therefore, decided to consider the appeal on the basis of the records.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, with their appeal, forwarded a number of land related documents with reference to the grounds mentioned in the refusal order. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the WRC with a direction to consider these documents, to be forwarded to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to submit all the documents submitted with their appeal, to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to WRC with a direction to consider these documents, to be forwarded to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to submit all the documents submitted with their appeal, to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Janseva Samiti, Janseva Bhavan Plot No. 100 Prithvipur, Tikamgarh, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Janseva Samiti, Janseva Bhavan Plot No. 100 Prithvipur, Tikamgarh, Madhya Pradesh – 472336
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, NCTE Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal – 462002
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

9



F.No.89-228/E-234/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of SDCS Teacher Training College, Manai, Koal, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh dated nil is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-15125/261st Meeting/2016/166045 dated 02/02/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "NRC considered the letter dated 03/09/2016 of the Secretary, Examination Regulatory Authority, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh and the reply of the applicant institution dated 18/10/2016. The institution has misled the VT members and the NRC."

AND WHEREAS since recognition has already been granted by the NRC in their order dt. 02.05.2016 and a show cause notice was issued thereafter, the order dt. 02.05.2016 should have stated that the recognition is withdrawn and not refused.

AND WHEREAS SDCS Teacher Training College, Manai, Koal, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 29/05/2017, but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Rahul Singh, Secretary and Sh. Omprakash Singh, President, SDCS Teacher Training College, Manai, Koal, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the appeal it was submitted that "Recognition for D.El.Ed. Course has been granted by NRC, NCTE, Jaipur to their institution vide Recognition Order No. NRC/NCTE/Recognition/D.El.Ed/2016/146821-7494 dated 02 May, 2016 after inspection of this institution. The institution has applied for grant of affiliation to Secretary, Examination Regulatory Authority, Allahabad, U.P. The Secretary, Examination Regulatory Authority, Allahabad, U.P. has appointed Principal, DIET, Aligarh U.P. for inspection of this college. Principal, DIET, Aligarh has visited the institution and submitted report to Secretary, Examination Regulatory Authority, Allahabad U.P. Secretary, Examination Regulatory Authority, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh has again sent a letter to this institution and copy forwarded to Principal, DIET, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh for re-inspection of the institution regarding shortcomings pointed out in previous report. But Principal DIET, Aligarh U.P. has not inspected the institution and Secretary, Examination Regulatory Authority, Allahabad

has presumed that this institution has no infrastructure and instructional facilities for D.El.Ed. course and sent a letter to NRC, NCTE for withdrawal of recognition on 03.09.2016. NRC, NCTE has decided to issue Show Cause Notice u/s 17 in its 257th Meeting (Part-3). This institution has submitted reply to NRC, NCTE on 18.10.2016. But NRC, NCTE has not considered the reply of the institution and rejected the recognition already granted to this institution. Following documents are annexed in this reference: - 1. Copy of inspection letter dated 23.02.2016. 2. Copy of recognition order dated 02.05.2016. 3. Letter of Secretary, Examination Regulatory Authority, Allahabad U.P. dated 11.06.2016. 4. Letter of Secretary, Examination Regulatory Authority, Allahabad. U.P. dated 03.09.2016. 5. Copy of reply of Show Cause Notice dated 18/10/2016. 6. Copy of rejection order dated 02/02/2017. This institution has neither misled the VT Members nor misled NRC, NCTE. This institution has all required infrastructural and instructional facilities for D.El.Ed. course in the college campus as per norms of NCTE Regulation 2014. NRC, NCTE has not trusted the report given by their own VT members. In this reference following documents are annexed: 1. Photographs taken at the time of inspection of this college by the VT members appointed by NRC, NCTE and 2. Video recording at the time of inspection of this college by the VT members appointed by NRC, NCTE.

AND WHEREAS the appellant, in the course of personal presentation submitted a letter dt. 23.08.2017. With this letter the appellant enclosed a copy of the report of the inspection of their institution conducted by the principal, DIET Aligarh on 15.04.2017 and sent to the Secretary Examination Regulatory Authority, U.P., Allahabad with a copy to Director, SCERT, U.P., Lucknow obtained by them under RTI. The appellant, drawing attention to the conclusion in their report that their institution is fit to be granted affiliation as they have fulfilled all the requirements, requested restoration of recognition.


AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant in the course of presentation, also gave a letter dt. 23.08.2017 requesting for another opportunity for presenting their case as he needed some more documents. The appellant subsequently sent a letter dt. 06.09.2017 enclosing an affidavit. In this affidavit the appellant stated that, if considered necessary, the report of the inspection can be obtained through e-mail. He also requested that recognition to their institution for 2016-17 may be continued.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the N.R.C. refused (withdrawn) recognition to the appellant institution following the letter dt. 03.09.2016 sent by the Secretary, Examination Regulatory Authority, Uttar Pradesh, Allahabad in which it was reported that the institution was deficient in infrastructural facilities. The Committee also noted that the Principal, DIET in their inspection report dt. 15.04.2017 has recommended

grant of affiliation. This report, which has been obtained by the appellant under RTI, has been sent by the Principal, DIET Aligarh to the Examination Regulatory Authority, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh on 24.04.2017 for necessary action. Before any further action is taken by the NRC in the matter, the decision of the Examination Regulatory Authority on the report should be available. This is very much relevant because the earlier letter of the Examination Regulatory Authority dt. 03.09.2016 was issued on the basis of some inspection of the appellant institution and the appellant has contended that no such inspection was conducted and the Regulatory Authority presumed existence of deficiencies. Since no further communication appears to have been received by the N.R.C. from the Examination Regulatory Authority, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the N.R.C with a direction to obtain the comments of the Examination Regulatory Authority on the report of the Principal, DIET Aligarh dated 15.04.2017 and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents obtained by him under the RTI Act to the N.R.C within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the N.R.C with a direction to obtain the comments of the Examination Regulatory Authority on the report of the Principal, DIET Aligarh dated 15.04.2017 and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward all the documents obtained by him under the RTI Act to the N.R.C within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of SDCS Teacher Training College, Plot No. 1158/18, Street No. Magri Road, Village – Manai, PO – Manai, Tehsil/Taluka – Koal, District – Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, SDCS Teacher Training College, Plot No. 1158/18, Street No. Magri Road, Village – Manai, PO – Manai, Tehsil/Taluka – Koal, District – Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh – 282121**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-234/E-1075/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Raafiah College of Education, Phatapur Tappa Havell PWD Road, Sahaswan, Uttar Pradesh dated 04.04.2017 is against the Order No. NRCAPP 201616377/B.Ed/UP/2017-18/2 dated 11.02.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "NRC in its 262nd meeting, part 6 at S.No. 28, decided to issue SCN. The reply of the institution received in the NRC office on 27/01/2017 was considered by the NRC and the NRC decided to reject the application as the institution did not submit the latest NEC as mentioned in the SCN. The NEC submitted has been issued on 05/01/2012 which does not establish that the land was free from all encumbrances at the time of the submission of the application. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. MD Habibur, Chairman, Raafiah College of Education, Phatapur Tappa Havell PWD Road, Sahaswan, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 30/05/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The institution has previously submitted the non-encumbrance certificate on 27/06/2016 and uploaded a Certified copy of the latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrance."


AND WHEREAS during the course of appeal presentation on 30.05.2017, appellant submitted written request seeking another opportunity to submit required documentary evidence in support of its submission made in the Appeal Memoranda. As per extant appeal rules, upto three adjournments can be allowed to an appellant. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to grant another opportunity to appellant to present the case before Appeal Committee.

AND WHEREAS Sh. MD Habibur, Chairman, Raafiah College of Education, Phatapur Tappa Havell PWD Road, Sahaswan, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the course of presentation, the appellant submitted a NEC dt. 05.06.2017.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the appellant submitted the latest NEC concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the N.R.C with a direction to consider the NEC and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to submit the latest NEC to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the N.R.C with a direction to consider the NEC and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to submit the latest NEC to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Raafiah College of Education, Phatapur Tappa Havell, PWD Road, Sahaswan, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, Raafiah College of Education, Phatapur Tappa Havell, PWD Road, Sahaswan, Uttar Pradesh – 243638**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

(11)



F.No.89-239/E-1093/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Maa Chandrika College, Devpur Tigalia, Chhatarpur, Bamhoriya, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh dated 30.03.2017 is against the Order No. WRCAPP3211/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed./266th/{M.P.}/2017/179247 dated 30/01/2017 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Clarification letter/show cause notice dt. 01/01/2016 was issued to the institution but reply has not been received till date. Hence, Recognition is refused."

AND WHEREAS Maa Chandira College, Devpur Tigalia, Chhatarpur, Bamhoriya, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 30/05/2017 but nobody appeared. As per extant appeal rules, upto three adjournments can be allowed to an appellant to make personal presentation before the Appeal Committee. As appellant has failed to appear on the first occasion, Appeal Committee decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the appellant to appear before the Committee for making a personal presentation of the case.


AND WHEREAS Sh. Shravan Awasthi, Secretary and Sh. Akhilesh Tiwari, Representative, Maa Chandira College, Devpur Tigalia, Chhatarpur, Bamhoriya, Chhatarpur, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution has not received the clarification letter/show cause of dated 01/01/2016 from Western Regional Committee of NCTE, Bhopal. Hence, compliance of Show Cause Notice has not been sent. We are surprised when we received refusal order directly from WRC NCTE, Bhopal."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted from the file of the WRC that the Show Cause Notice dt. 01.01.2016 was sent to the Secretary of the applicant society and

the Correspondent of the college at the addresses given in the online application. While the Notice sent to the Secretary of the society was not returned undelivered the Notice sent to the correspondent of the college was returned undelivered with the remarks by the postman that in the village Devpur, Tigaila there is no college. The Committee further noted that a copy of the Show Cause Notice was also uploaded on W.R.C website. In these circumstances, the plea of the applicant that they have not received the Show Cause Notice cannot be accepted. The Committee, therefore, concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing recognition, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the WRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the WRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Maa Chandrika College, Bamhoriya Devpur Tigaila, Chhatarpur – 471001, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, NCTE Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal – 462002
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-46/2015 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Riward Post Graduate College, Hanumangaj, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 08.06.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-2904/246th Meeting/2015/132488 dated 30/12/2015 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "NOC from concerned affiliating body required under clause 5(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 not submitted."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, aggrieved by the order of the NRC dt. 30.12.2015 filed a petition MISC. SINGLE No. 12523 of 2017 before the Hon'ble High Court, Lucknow Bench. The Hon'ble High Court in their order dt. 30.05.2015 dismissed the petition on the ground that the petitioner has got statutory remedy available to him to file an appeal under section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993. The appellant thereafter filed the present appeal.


AND WHEREAS Prof. H. R. Yadav, Director, Riward Post Graduate College (Institute of Wasteland Reclamation & Rural Development) Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 23.08.2017 it was submitted that the Examination Regulatory Authority, U.P., Allahabad has since issued the No Objection Certificate to the appellant institution for conducting D.El.Ed. course on 08.03.2016, a copy of which has been endorsed to the Regional Director NRC. The appellant enclosed a copy of the said NOC and requested for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed. course, which has been pending for more than five years.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant has applied for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed. course in 2012. The requirement of submission of a No Objection Certificate (NOC) from the affiliating body alongwith the application has been introduced for the first time in the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Therefore, this requirement should not be relevant in respect of applications submitted prior to the

notification of these Regulations. In any case the affiliating body has since issued a No Objection Certificate. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to take further action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to take further action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Riward Post Graduate College, (Institute of Wasteland Reclamation & Rural Development) Hanumanganj, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Director, Riward Post Graduate College, (Institute of Wasteland Reclamation & Rural Development) Hanumanganj, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh – 228001
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-587/E-10526/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mulayam Singh Mahavidyalaya, Kathara, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 25.06.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-9058/247th Meeting/2015/133305-08 dated 08/01/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Institution has not yet constructed building for the proposed course. Hence, application is rejected and recognition is refused."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant filed a Writ C. No. 12446 of 2017 before the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad. The Hon'ble High Court in their order dt. 24.03.2017 disposed of the petition with liberty to the petitioner to take a remedy of appeal against the order dt. 08.01.2016, as sought for by them. The appellant in the appeal submitted that since the matter has been remanded on the request of the appellant institution to prefer mandatory appeal as per Section 18 of the NCTE Act, there is no intentional or deliberate delay. The Committee, noting the submissions of the appellant, decided to consider the appeal.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Mohar Singh Yadav, Secretary, Mulayam Singh Mahavidyalaya, Kathara, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23.08.2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation the appellant submitted that their application was rejected on 08.01.2016 although major portion of the building for running B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. courses has been completed and report was submitted to the effect that some part of the building has not been fully furnished. Subsequently, the entire construction has been completed in February, 2016 and the appellant filed representation on 20.4.2016 to the Regional Committee and requested to make an inspection. The appellant personally approached the Regional Director, NRC some-time in the last week of July, 2016 for sending a Visiting Team. The appellant sent reminders on 20.04.2016 and 22.12.2016 but no decision has been taken. The appellant was waiting for the decision of the respondent and when no decision was taken they filed the Writ

Petition No. 12446 of 2017. The appellant, stating that they have invested crores of Rupees in the construction of the building and set up the entire infrastructure as per NCTE Regulations, requested that the NRC's decision to refuse recognition may be set aside.


AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant applied for B.Ed. course on 31.12.2012. The NRC issued a letter dt. 09.05.2015 proposing an inspection of the appellant's institution between 10.05.2015 to 20.05.2015. The NRC issued a show cause notice to the appellant on 10.09.2015 on the ground that the institution has refused for inspection on the proposed day. The appellant sent a reply to the show cause notice on 02.10.2015. In this letter, the appellant submitted that the building of the institution is incomplete according to norms due to labour problem and therefore, they refused inspection on the proposed day. The appellant also submitted that after completion of the building he will request appointment of Visiting Team for inspection. The Committee also noted that the NRC in their 245th meeting held on 24-27 November, 2015 considered the reply and decided to constitute a Visiting Team after receipt of Rs. 1.50 lakhs as inspection fee and accordingly sent a letter to the appellant on 18.12.2015. The appellant, in response to the decision taken in the 245th meeting, wrote a letter to the NRC dated nil and received in NRC on 08.12.2015. Inviting attention to their earlier reply in response to the show cause notice dt. 10.09.2015, in which he stated that after completion of the building he shall request for constitution of the Visiting Team, the appellant enquired whether recognition of B.Ed. course can be given without building of the institution. Thereafter, NRC, considering that the institution has not yet constructed the building for the proposed course refused recognition in their order dt. 08.01.2016.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the provisions of clause 7(7) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, inspection shall not be subject to the consent of the institution. The Committee noted that in the refusal order dt. 08.01.2016, it was mentioned that the institution, if not satisfied with the order, may prefer an appeal under section 18 of the NCTE Act within 60 days from the date of the order. The appellant, without preferring any appeal, has claimed that he has written letter to the NRC on 20.04.2016 and 22.12.2016 for sending a Visiting Team. None of these letters are in the file of the NRC. In any case after the issue of the refusal order, the matter does not rest with the Regional Committee.

AND WHEREAS in view of the position stated above, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Mulayam Singh Mahavidyalaya, Kathara, Kanpur Nagar – 209214, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-501/E-10334/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of M.S. Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Sakrapur, Kanpur, U.P. dated 25.06.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-9103/247th Meeting/2015/133309-12 dated 08/01/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "Institution has not yet constructed building for the proposed course. Hence, application is rejected and recognition is refused."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant filed a Writ C. No. 12445 of 2017 before the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad. The Hon'ble High Court in their order dt. 24.03.2017 disposed of the petition with liberty to the petitioner to take a remedy of appeal against the order dt. 08.01.2016, as sought for by them. The appellant thereafter submitted the present appeal.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Mohar Singh Yadav, Secretary, M.S. Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Sakrapur, Kanpur, U.P. presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation no submissions have been made against the order appealed against.


AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant applied for B.Ed. course on 31.12.2012. The NRC issued a letter dt. 09.05.2015 proposing an inspection of the appellant institution between 10.05.2015 to 25.05.2015. The NRC issued a show cause notice to the appellant institution on 10.09.2015 on the ground that the institution refused for inspection on the proposed day. The appellant sent a reply dated 'Nil' to the show cause notice, which was received in the NRC on 06.10.2015. In this letter, the appellant submitted that the building of the institution is incomplete according to the norms due to labour problem and therefore, they refused the inspection on the proposed day. The appellant also submitted that after completion of the building he will request appointment of Visiting Team for inspection. The Committee also noted that the NRC in the 245th meeting held on 24-27 November, 2015 considered the reply and decided to constitute a Visiting Team after receipt of Rs. 1.50 lakhs as inspection fee and accordingly sent a

letter to the appellant on 18.12.2015. The appellant, in response to the decision taken in the 245th meeting, wrote a letter to the NRC dated 'Nil' and received in the NRC on 10.12.2015. Inviting attention to their reply in response to the show cause notice dt. 10.09.2015, in which he stated that after completion of the building he shall request for constitution of the Visiting Team, the appellant enquired whether recognition of B.Ed. course can be given without building of the institution. Thereafter, NRC considering that the institution has not yet constructed the building for the proposed course refused recognition in their order dt. 08.01.2016.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the according to the provisions of clause 7 (7) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, inspection shall not be subject to the consent of the inspection. Since the institution did not get the inspection conducted on the proposed date and also the construction of their building was not complete, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, M.S. Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Sakrapur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 208011
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-502/E-10332/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of M.S. Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Sakrapur, Kanpur, U.P. dated 25.06.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-9092/247th Meeting/2015/133313-16 dated 08/01/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "Institution has not yet constructed building for the proposed course. Hence, application is rejected and recognition is refused."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant filed a Writ C. No. 12445 of 2015 before the Hon'ble High Court, Allahabad. The Hon'ble High Court in the order dated 24.03.2017 disposed of the petition with liberty to the petitioner to take a remedy of appeal against the order dt. 08.01.2016, as sought for by them. The appellant thereafter submitted the present appeal.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Mohar Singh Yadav, Secretary, M.S. Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Sakrapur, Kanpur, U.P. presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation no submission have been made against the order appealed against.


AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant applied for B.Ed. course on 31.12.2012. The NRC issued a letter dt. 09.05.2015 proposing an inspection of the appellant institution between 10.05.2015 to 25.05.2015. The NRC issued a show cause notice to the appellant institution on 10.09.2015 on the ground that the institution refused for inspection on the proposed day. The appellant sent a reply dated 02.10.2015 which was received in the NRC on 06.10.2015. In this letter, the appellant submitted that the building of the institution is incomplete according to the norms due to labour problem and therefore, they refused the inspection on the proposed day. The appellant also submitted that after completion of the building he will request appointment of Visiting Team for inspection. The Committee also noted that the NRC in the 245th meeting held on 24-27 November, 2015 considered the reply and decided to constitute a Visiting Team after receipt of Rs. 1.50 lakhs as inspection fee and accordingly sent a letter to

the appellant on 18.12.2015. The appellant, in response to the decision taken in the 245th meeting, wrote a letter to the NRC dated 30.11.2015 which was received in the NRC on 08.12.2015, inviting attention to their reply in response to the show cause notice dt. 10.09.2015, in which he stated that after completion of the building he shall request for constitution of the Visiting Team, the appellant enquired whether recognition of B.Ed. course can be given without building of the institution. Thereafter, NRC considering that the institution has not yet constructed the building for the proposed course refused recognition in their order dt. 08.01.2016.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the according to the provisions of clause 7 (7) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, inspection shall not be subject to the consent of the inspection. Since the institution did not get the inspection conducted on the proposed date and also the construction of their building was not complete, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, M.S. Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Sakrapur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh - 208011
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

(21)



F.No.89-508/E-7433/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of KRS Pannalal Sarawagi Mahavidyalay, Bhatpi Block Khargupur, Sadar, Gonda, Uttar Pradesh dated 10.06.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14712/255th Meeting/2016/156141 dated 22/08/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any proof / evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, aggrieved by the order of the NRC dt. 22.08.2016, filed a Writ Petition MISC. SINGLE NO. 11567 of 2017 before the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad, Lucknow Bench. The Hon'ble High Court in their order dt. 24.05.2017 dismissed the writ petition as the petitioner has got statutory remedy to file an appeal under section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 against the impugned order. The Hon'ble High Court also directed that if the petitioner files an appeal within a period of three weeks from today (i.e. 24.05.2017), the same shall be entertained and decided on merit. The appellant filed the present appeal on 10.06.2017.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Anil Mishra, Director, KRS Pannalal Sarawagi Mahavidyalay, Bhatpi Block Khargupur, Sadar, Gonda, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "First of all, the institute had applied for B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. both in a single institute to NCTE Jaipur on 29.06.2015 having application number NRCAPP14712 for D.El.Ed. course and NRCAPP14696 for B.Ed. course. The institution has been informed to submit the proof of a composite institution. Although the institute had submitted the NOC of the Dr. R.M.L. Awadh University for B.A., B.Sc. and B.Com. courses under the same society and same name in NCTE Jaipur. But in the 255th meeting of NRC Jaipur, we have been issued a rejection letter on the ground of not informing the NRC Jaipur about the proof of composite institution.


AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, in response to the show cause notice dt. 28.06.2016, sent a reply on 05.07.2016 enclosing a copy of the No

Objection Certificate dt. 24.02.2016 issued by Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya University, Faizabad for conducting arts and science courses at the degree level in the appellant's institution. This letter has been received in the N.R.C. on 11.07.2016. The appellant, in the course of personal presentation, submitted a copy of the letter dt. 21.06.2017 issued by Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya University, Faizabad constituting an inspection team for the purpose of granting affiliation for degree level courses in the appellant institution.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the appellant has provided evidence of their institution becoming a composite one, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward a copy of the university's letter dt. 21.06.2017 to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to take further action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward a copy of the university's letter dt. 21.06.2017 to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of KRS Pannalal Sarawagi Mahavidyalay, Bhatpi, Block Khargpur, Sadar, Gonda, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, KRS Pannalal Sarawagi Mahavidyalay, Bhatpi, Block Khargpur, Sadar, Gonda – 271209, Uttar Pradesh
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-534/E-8922/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of St. Meera T.T. College, Jhambutalab, Rajsmand, Rajasthan dated 15.06.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/RJ...../269th (Part-9) Meeting/2017/175603 dated 05/05/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "NRC issued a SCN dated 23.03.2016. NRC received the reply of the institution on 18.04.2017 which was considered by the Committee and the following observation were made in view the orders of Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan in CWP No. 5213, 5215....2017. *The institution has not submitted the online application as per the requirement of Clause 5(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014.*"

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, aggrieved by the order of the NRC, filed a S.B. Civil petition no. 8882/2017 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan, Bench at Jaipur. The Hon'ble High Court in their order dt. 02.06.2017, dismissed the petition granting liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal within 10 days from 02.06.2017. The Hon'ble High Court, in case of appeal, directed the appellate authority to consider and decide it on merit within two months from the date of its filing. The appellate authority is to consider all the issues raised by the petitioner. The petitioner accordingly filed the present appeal.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Lakhan Singh Choudhary, Secretary, St. Meera T.T. College, Jhambutalab, Rajsmand, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that (i) the institution is running B.Ed. course since 2006 and revised recognition order was issued by NRC, NCTE on 14.05.2015; (ii) this institution has applied for grant of recognition of BSTC course to NRC, NCTE from 2009-10, but due to ban imposed by State Govt., NRC, NCTE has returned the application of this institution; (iii) the institution had filed a writ petition No. 18461/2015 in the Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan, Jaipur. Hon'ble Court had ordered on 10.12.2015 that "hence, it is ordered that in case application complete in all respects along with requisite fee and documents is submitted by the petitioner within one month, the respondent shall take a

decision thereupon within 3 months by passing a detailed speaking order" ; (iv) the Hon'ble Court has directed the petitioner to submit complete application along with requisite fee and documents within one month to respondent; (v) at that time, the online portal of NCTE was not open and it was not possible for petitioner to submit online application within one month in compliance to the order of Hon'ble Court. So: the institution has submitted offline application, requisite fee of Rs. 1.50 Lacs and all required documents to NRC, NCTE on 21.12.2015; (vi) a no. of cases had been filed in Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Hon'ble Court had directed to NCTE & Regional Committee to process the applications of institutions submitted for grant of recognition; (vii) Hon'ble Supreme Court of India had given direction on 25.07.2016 in SLP(C)15403/2016 that the institution, namely, Vidya, Sudha Welfare Foundation Samiti, which has not yet submitted the application, is permitted to submit an application within four weeks hence; (viii) it is pertinent to mention here that in the month of July & August, the online portal for Recognition of NCTE is not open. For compliance of the order of Court, only submission of application through offline is possible; (ix) after being satisfied from the documents and procedure of application, NRC, NCTE had constituted visiting team to inspect the college for grant of recognition of BSTC course in its 251st Meeting held from 07-09, April, 2016; (x) the Deptt. of Elementary Education, Govt. of Rajasthan has issued a letter to Member Secretary, NCTE, New Delhi on 24.01.2017 in which recommendation for opening of BSTC (D.El.Ed.) course has been sent for 16 Districts in Rajasthan. In that letter, the name of District Rajsamand is also included where this institution is located; (xi) the inspection for grant of recognition of BSTC (D.El.Ed.) course was conducted on 25.04.2016 by the visiting team constituted by NRC, NCTE; (xii) after expiry of 9 months, NRC, NCTE has taken decision to issue show cause notice to this institution in its 264th Meeting (Part-5) held from 20-23 Feb., 2017 on following points:- As per Clause 5, Regulations, 2014 an institution desirous of running a Teacher Education Programme shall submit the application online electronically along with processing fees and relevant documents. Application shall be submitted along with No Objection Certificate issued by the concerned Affiliating Body. The institution has not submitted any proof/evidence of its being a composite institution as required under rule 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014; (xiii) Director, Elementary Education Rajasthan, Bikaner who is the affiliating body for BSTC (D.El.Ed.) course in State of Rajasthan has issued NOC to this college in reference to the letter of NRC, NCTE dated 23.03.2017 and State Govt.'s letters dated 12.08.2016 & 24.01.2017. (xiv) being aggrieved from the Show Cause Notice issued by NRC, NCTE, this institution has filed a writ petition in Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan. Hon'ble High Court has ordered the respondent NRC, NCTE to pass a final order on the petitioner application for grant

of recognition to BSTC course for the academic year 2017-18 on or before 20.04.2017 and copy of order was submitted to NRC, NCTE on 18.04.2017; (xv) the Show Cause Notice issued by NRC, NCTE on 23.03.2017 was received and the institution has submitted reply to NRC, NCTE on 19.04.2017 indicating all facts and submitted all required documents; (xvi) The matter of recognition for BSTC (D.El.Ed.) course of this institution was considered in 268th Meeting (Part-3) held from 19-21 April, 2017; (xvii) the institution has submitted detailed reply indicating all facts to NRC, NCTE on 24.04.2017; (xviii) the Director, Elementary Education Rajasthan, Bikaner has sent a letter to this institution in which they asked to submit letter of Intent issued by NRC, NCTE under clause 7(13) of NCTE Regulations 2014; (xix) the institution has again submitted a request letter to NRC, NCTE on 02.05.2017 for issuance of LOI under clause 7(13) of NCTE Regulations 2014 for BSTC (D.El.Ed.) course; (xx) despite all requests and Court orders, NRC, NCTE has refused the recognition for BSTC (D.El.Ed.) course to this institution vide letter No. NRC/NCTE/RJ/269th (Part-9) Meeting/2017/175603 dated 05/05/2017; (xxi) being aggrieved from the refusal order issued by NRC, NCTE this institution has filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan. Hon'ble High Court has directed to file an appeal to Appellate Authority, NCTE and decide it on merit within two months from the date of its filling; and (xxii) it is pertinent to mention here that when the NRC, NCTE has accepted the offline application, payment of requisite processing fee of Rs. 1.50 lacs, State Govt. & Affiliating Body has issued NOC for running of BSTC (D.El.Ed.) course and NRC, NCTE has conducted inspection for BSTC (D.El.Ed.) course of this institution, NRC, NCTE has no right to re-agitate the matter again. Thus, NRC, NCTE has rejected the application of this institution for grant of recognition for BSTC (D.El.Ed.) course on illegal, unlawful, unjustified and unconstitutional basis. So, it is prayed that the rejection order issued by NRC, NCTE be set aside and direction be issued to NRC, NCTE to process the application of this institution for grant of recognition for BSTC (D.El.Ed.) course."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the question of making a fresh application was raised first during the disposal of the appellant's S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 18461/2015 by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan, Bench at Jaipur. After hearing the submissions of the counsels for the petitioner and the respondent, the Hon'ble High Court in their order dt. 10.12.2015 ordered that in case application complete in all respects along with requisite fee and documents is submitted by the petitioner within one month, the respondent shall take a decision thereupon within three months by passing a detailed speaking order. The appellant thereafter filed a new application on 19.12.2015 off-line. The appellant has explained the reasons for their


inability to submit the application on-line as at that time the NCTE portal for submitting applications on-line was closed.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the NRC thereafter processed the application and also conducted an inspection of the institution. The Committee also noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan in their order dt. 17.4.2017 in writ petition No. 4265/2017 filed by the appellant, taking into account the submission of the counsel for the Respondent-NCTE directed the NRC to pass a final order on the petitioner's application for grant of recognition for the applied course for the academic session 2017-18 on or before 24.4.2017.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant could not have submitted the application on-line within the time frame allowed by the Hon'ble High Court on 10.12.2015 i.e one month, which is a virtual impossibility due to closure of NCTE Portal. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the ground of non-submission of application on-line can not be held against the appellant at this stage and therefore, the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC for taking further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC for taking further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of St. Meera T.T. College, Jhambutalab, NH-8, Jagan Marble Road, Rajsmand, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, St. Meera T.T. College, Jhambutalab, NH-8, Jagan Marble Road, Rajsmand - 313301, Rajasthan
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-550/E-10692/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Lord Krishna Institute for Education Attaullapur (Baheri – Gurjar), Gagalheri – Bhagwanpur Road, Saharanpur Sadar, Uttar Pradesh dated 30.06.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/UP-1218 & UP-2671/267th (Part-2) Meeting/2017/171556 dated 19/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted reply of show cause notice dated 05.12.2016 issued under Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993. NRC decided to withdraw the recognition for B.Ed. course under Section 17 of the NCTE Act. 1993 from the end of the academic session next following the date of order of withdrawal.”

AND WHEREAS Sh. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Director and Sh. Hirday, Representative, Lord Krishna Institute for Education Attaullapur (Baheri – Gurjar), Gagalheri – Bhagwanpur Road, Saharanpur Sadar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “1. NCTE and NRC are not justified in issuing the impugned withdrawal order particularly when contrary to the mandate of section 13 of the NCTE Act 1993, NCTE neither forwarded the inspection report to us nor communicated its views in regard to the result of such inspection so as to ascertain our opinion. Thus, in the absence of the compliance of the aforesaid mandatory provisions the impugned withdrawal order is unsustainable illegal and liable to be quashed. Further the impugned order of withdrawal issued by the NRC is arbitrary illegal and unsustainable as the NCTE itself has violated the mandatory requirements provided in section 13 of the NCTE Act and unless the mandatory procedure of section 13 was completed it was not open to NCTE to forward the Visiting Team Report to the Northern Regional Committee vide NCTE letter dated 20.10.2016. 2. Being shocked by the receipt of the withdrawal order dated 19.04.2017, We immediately inquired about the matter. It is submitted that no show cause notice dated 05.12.2016 as mentioned in the withdrawal order dated 19.04.2017 was ever received by us. After visit of the NRC office and repeated

request we got the Speed Post Number i.e. ER392990616IN by which the show cause notice dated 05.12.2016 was dispatched by NRC to us. Thereafter we approached the Post Office Saharanpur and the Post Master Saharanpur Head Post Office vide his email dated 17.05.2017 informed that the article no. ER392990616IN has been sent to Ghaziabad dated on 16.12.2016 with remark missent. Thereafter the Post Master HSG I Saharanpur HPO 247001 issued the Non-Delivery Certificate of Speed Post Letter dated 20.05.2017 certifying as under "It is certify that the Speed Post Article No. ER392990616IN has not been delivered to the addressee and returned to sender on dated 16.12.2016." In the above facts and circumstances, it is apparent that NRC has issued the impugned withdrawal order without even serving the show cause notice to us. A copy of email dated 17.05.2017 of Post Master Saharanpur Head Post Office and Certificate dated 20.05.2017 are attached. It is submitted that our institution has all the physical and academic infrastructure and other instructional facilities in accordance with the norms and standards laid down by the NCTE and thus there is no deficiency in our institution. If we would have been informed about the result of the inspection and if we would have been served with the show cause notice we could have explained the entire matter to the satisfaction of the NRC / NCTE."


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had filed Writ Petition No. 5311/2017 and No. 22509/2017 in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi wherein it was decided by issue of an order dated 19.06.2017 that 'In case petitioner files an appeal, it shall be decided in the next meeting of Appeal Committee. Till the decision is taken by Council, the operation of withdrawal order dated 19.04.2017 shall remain stayed."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 19.04.2017 is on the ground that appellant institution did not submit reply to Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 05.12.2016. Appellant in its appeal Memoranda has categorically denied having received the SCN dated 05.12.2016 and in support of its submission submitted a Non Delivery Certificate dated 20.05.2017 issued by Post Master HSG-I Saharanpur. The certificate says that Speed Post Article No. ER 392990616IN was returned to sender on 16.12.2016. Appeal Committee noted that the above certificate does not mention the reason for non delivery of the speed post article.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noting that operation of the impugned withdrawal order is stayed by an order of Hon'ble High Court, decided that matter be remanded back to NRC for re issue of the SCN dated 05.12.2016 by speed post. The contents of SCN may also be conveyed to appellant institution online.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents on records and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to N.R.C for reissue of the S.C.N. dated 5.12.2016. The contents of S.C.N. may also be conveyed to appellant institution online.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Lord Krishna Institute for Education (Managed by Dwarikadheesh Society for Social Welfare and Educational Research and Development), Attaullapur (Baheri – Gurjar), Gagalheri – Bhagwanpur Road, Saharanpur Sadar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Lord Krishna Institute for Education (Managed by Dwarikadheesh Society for Social Welfare and Educational Research and Development), Attaullapur (Baheri – Gurjar), Gagalheri – Bhagwanpur Road, Saharanpur Sadar – 247669, Uttar Pradesh
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-217/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Masha Allah Minority Pragathi B.Sc. B.Ed. College, Bhagyanagar Colony, Udayagiri, Andhra Pradesh dated 25.03.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP 201630161/B.Sc.B.Ed. BA.B.Ed./AP-2017-18/92813 dated 13.04.2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "1. In this case, the VT inspection report has been received. It was not necessary to cause inspection in this case at all since no NOC (of the affiliating body) has been given. The case should have been rejected ab-initio as not maintainable. 2. express regret for the inconvenience caused to all by the unnecessary VT inspection. 3. Reject the application. 4. Return FDRs, if any. 5. The D.El.Ed. case, which is dependent on this course for 'composite – support' should also be rejected for the 'stand-alone' reason. 6. Return FDRs, if any. 7. Close the file."


AND WHEREAS Sh. Shaik Jamal Valli, President, Masha Allah Minority Pragathi B.Sc. B.Ed. College, Bhagyanagar Colony, Udayagiri, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "When, I submitted the hard copy of application into SRC -NCTE, Bangalore, the NOC File was under process in Vikrama Simhapuri University, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh Reasons for late for issue NOC: 1) Due to the Bifurcation of our state A.P. 2) Integrated college of education is newly started course in our state A.P. 3) lack of knowledge of clerk of the university typed in NOC as 2016-17 academic year 4) I make a call to SRC -NCTE, I found it was not correct after, I took another NOC from university. It was submitted at the time of NCTE-VT Members Inspection time. Respected Member Secretary Sir, it is the brief explanation. I put all documents to our mail. Pls. consider to them. I explain all problems in request letter."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 30.06.2016 seeking recognition for conducting BA

B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution submitted NOC dated 24.08.2016 issued by Vikrama Simhapuri University, Nellore to SRC on 14.12.2016. In spite of the NOC at a belated stage by the affiliating body, the SRC decided to conduct inspection of the appellant institution. SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28th February to 3rd March, 2017 noted the discrepancy related to non submission of NOC issued by affiliating body on time and decided to refuse recognition. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was not given any opportunity to make a written representation against the proposed ground of rejection/ refusal, the appellant on noting the decision of SRC made available online submitted a written representation on 16.03.2017. Appellant has attributed the delay in getting N.O.C. to the bifurcation of the state of Andhra Pradesh and change of jurisdiction among various universities. Clause 5(3) read with Clause 7(1) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 provide for rejection of all such applications which are incomplete on account of requisite documents not being attached with the application. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned rejection order dated 13.04.2017 issued by S.R.C., Bangalore.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 13.04.2017 issued by S.R.C., Bangalore.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The President, Masha Allah Minority Pragathi B.Sc. B.Ed. College, Bhagyanagar Colony, Udayagiri, Andhra Pradesh – 524226
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore – 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.



F.No.89-329/E-2480/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of D.A.V. College, Amritsar, Punjab dated 06.04.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP 201615191/D.P.Ed./B.A.B.Ed./ BSc. B.Ed.-4 year Integrated/PB/2017-18/2; dated 03.03.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.P.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any proof/evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. The institution has not submitted the approved Building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total/land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc. The institution has not submitted the Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Authority to use the land for educational purpose. The institution has not submitted the Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Prof. Kapil Goyal and Dr. Rupinder Kaur, Representatives, D.A.V. College, Amritsar, Punjab presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We have submitted all the documents twice. So, to support our claim, we request you to kindly re-consider our application. Once again, we are uploading all the documents as desired by you. You are once again requested to go through these documents for your approval at the earliest and oblige. If at all any discrepancy is still there, we promise you to remove the same with the stipulated time period set by you."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that reasons mentioned in the impugned rejection order are non submission of following documents /evidence:

- (i) Evidence to prove that it is a composite institution


- (ii) Certified copy of registered land documents
- (iii) Approved building plan indicating plot no, Khasra number, built up area and Measurement of Multipurpose hall
- (iv) Land Use Certificate
- (v) Non Encumbrance Certificate (NEC).

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 23.01.2017 was issued to the appellant institution seeking written representation within 21 days on the deficiencies and proposed grounds of rejection. The appellant replied to the SCN by its letter dated 16.02.2017 but did not submit evidence of its being a composite institution. The appellant also did not submit certified copy of land documents and instead submitted copy of a search report dated 06.06.2016 issued by an advocate. Non encumbrance certificate, building plan and building completion certificate, submitted by the appellant institution were also not found to be issued by the competent authorities.

AND WHEREAS para 7 (1) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 lay down that 'In case an application is not complete, or requisite documents are not attached with the application, the application shall be treated: incomplete and rejected.' Appeal Committee noting that appellant institution could not submit required documents even in response to the S.C.N. dated 23.01.2017, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 03.03.2017.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 03.03.2017.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, DAV College, Katra Sher Singh, Amritsar – 143006, Punjab
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab, Chandigarh.



F.No.89-316/E-2568/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of DNC Manivannan College of Physical Education, Elagiri, Nallampalli, Tamil Nadu dated 30.05.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP201630046/B.P.Ed/TN/2017-18/92805 dated 12/04/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. course on the grounds that "The BP is not approved by competent authority. NOC is not given within the prescribed time-limit. We have no authority to relax the time-limit. Reject the application. Return FDRs, if any."

AND WHEREAS Dr. S. Govinda Rajan, Principal and Sh. K. Balasubramaniam, Vice Principal, DNC Manivannan College of Physical Education, Elagiri, Nallampalli, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The Building plan is approved by competent authority and the same was given to the visiting team members at the time of Inspection. However, we submit herewith the building plan approved by competent authority for your kind attention. For NOC, as per the direction Government of Tamil Nadu, the visiting team constituted by Tamil Nadu Physical Education and Sports University already inspected our college on 18.02.2017. The functionaries of three Chief Ministers within three months in Tamil Nadu had slowed down all the process and still the NOC to DNC Manivannan College of Physical Education is under process. NOC will be submitted at the time when we are invited to render our grievances at the NCTE Head Quarters in New Delhi."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 12.04.2017 was issued by SRC Bangalore on following grounds :


- (i) Building Plan is not approved by competent authorities.
- (ii) NOC issued by affiliating body was not submitted.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant submitted copy of building plan approved by Town and Country Planning, Dharmapuri Region. However, the appellant has failed to submit NOC issued by the affiliating body on the pretext of political uncertainty prevailing in the State of Tamil Nadu. Clause 5(3) read with clause 7(1) of NCTE Regulation, 2014 provides for rejection of application which are not accompanied with requisite documents such as NOC.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 12.04.2017 issued by S.R.C., Bangalore.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal/rejection order dated 12.04.2017 issued by S.R.C., Bangalore.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Chairman, D.N.C. Manivannan College of Physical Education, Elagiri Village Road, Nallampalli – 636807, Tamil Nadu**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore – 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-392/E-4836/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of J.N.N. Teacher Training College, Kannigaipair, Uttukottai, Tamil Nadu dated 10.04.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/SRC/SRCAPP201630086/Bachelor of Education[B.Ed.]/TN/2017-18/4; dated 14/02/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "SRC in its 330th meeting held on 12th & 13th February, 2017 and decided as under: 1. They have repeated the request for change of course. 2. We have to repeat the legal position that SRC does not have the authority to approve such a change of course. 3. Reject the application. 4. Close the file."

AND WHEREAS Sh. S. Jayachandran, Chairman and Ravi Shankar, Admn. Officer, J.N.N. Teacher Training College, Kannigaipair, Uttukottai, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We now rescind our request for change of course and appeal earnestly to the NCTE to kindly grant us approval for B.Ed. (Bachelor of Education-Regular-2 Years) programme from academic year 2017-2018, for which we had originally applied for."


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 31.05.2016 seeking recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme. The appellant informed SRC by its letter dated 04.07.2016 that course applied for was wrongly mentioned as B.Ed. whereas application is for integrated B.Ed. programme i.e. B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. Appeal Committee further noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 20.01.2017 was issued to appellant institution informing that (i) change of programme applied for is not allowed (ii) NOC issued by affiliating body was not submitted. Appellant institution in its reply dated 10.02.2017 submitted copy of NOC dated 31.01.2017 issued by Tamil Nadu Teacher Education University for B.Ed. programme.

AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 24.08.2017 requested that if it is not possible to grant recognition for Integrated programme, the institution should be granted recognition for B.Ed. programme as applied for. Appeal Committee took note that appellant institution has failed to submit NOC issued by affiliating body alongwith its application. The NOC submitted by the appellant institution was issued by affiliating body much after the closing date for submission of applications for the academic session 2017-18. Clause 5(3) read with clause 7(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 provides for rejection of all such applications which are not accompanied by the requisite documents.

AND WHEREAS the Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 14.2.2017 issued by SRC, Bangalore.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal/rejection order dated 14.04.2017 issued by S.R.C., Bangalore.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, J.N.N. Teacher Training College, Kannigaipair, 90, Ushaa Garden, Uttukottai – 601102, Tamil Nadu.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore – 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-395/E-4833/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Gurukul Teacher Institute, Village - Kekri, Ajmer, Rajasthan dated 20.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10120/267th (Part-2) Meeting/2017 dated 17/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Video CD reveals that there are 12 classrooms in the institution; however, the classrooms for B.A. and B.Sc. course are not mentioned. The library room and laboratories are not complete and facilities therein are not sufficient. The construction of toilets is incomplete. The institution has not submitted any proof / evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. Affiliation granted for B.A./B.Sc. programme by the University is in the name of Gurukul College whereas the applicant institution is Gurukul Teacher Institute."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Jagdish Mewra, Chairman, Gurukul Teacher Institute, Village - Kekri, Ajmer, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institute is running 2-year B.Ed. course. Recognition for 2-year B.Ed. course was granted by NRC, NCTE vide order No. NRC/NCTE/RJ1080/2015/92624-630 dated 09/04/2015. That in compliance to the provisions of clause 8(1) of NCTE regulations 2014, this institute had applied for grant of recognition of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on 20/05/2015 and the hard copy of application was submitted to NRC, NCTE on 22/05/2015. That NRC, NCTE has issued a Show Cause Notice vide letter No. NRC/ NCTE/NRCAPP-10120/ 128317 dated 04.11.2015 in which only one deficiency was pointed out. That this institute has submitted reply of SCN to NRC, NCTE on 09.12.2015. That after submission on N.O.C. from affiliating body, NRC, NCTE has constituted Visiting Team for inspection of this college vide item no. 69 of 252nd (Part-I) Meeting held from 19th April to 02 May, 2016. That the inspection report of this college was considered by NRC, NCTE in its 263rd (Part-I) Meeting held from 06 to 11 February, 2017 and it was decided to issue Show Cause Notice

for making reply regarding deficiency pointed out in Show Cause Notice. That this institution has submitted a detail reply of SCN along with required documents in respect to the fulfilment of deficiencies pointed out in the Show Cause Notice and it was requested to NRC, NCTE to grant recognition for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Instead of granting recognition to this institute for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course, NRC, NCTE has rejected the application of this institute on some other grounds which were not mentioned in Show Cause Notice vide letter No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10120/267th (Part-2) Meeting/2017 dated 17.04.2017. That NRC, NCTE has not issued any notice to this institute regarding reason mentioned in rejection order. This institute has submitted all relevant documents to NRC, NCTE on 21.02.2017 regarding fulfilment of deficiencies mentioned in Show Cause Notice. Thus, rejection of our application on different grounds which are not mentioned in Show Cause Notice is totally wrong, 20 class rooms are available in the college building. Library and laboratories are complete and facilities available in library & labs are as per NCTE Norms. That construction of toilets are complete. In this regards photographs of toilets are annexed. That the college building is complete. All infrastructural & instructional facilities are as per norms of NCTE Regulations 2014. That this institute is running B.Ed. (2 Years) course and B.A. Course in the same campus. Recognition letter and Affiliation has been already submitted to NRC, NCTE. NCTE H.Q. has already sent clarification letter to all Regional Committee regarding composite Institution in which it is stated that a Institute running B.Ed. course only is eligible for granting recognition for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course. Copy of Recognition letter for B.Ed. course, Affiliation letter for B.A. course and NCTE clarification letter are annexed. It is already decided by the appellate committee that the institution proposed to be established by the same management society or trust in the same premises irrespective of little modification in the name shall be treated to be a composite institution."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that NRC Jaipur had issued two Show Cause Notices (SCN) to the appellant institution. First SCN dated 04.11.2015 was on the ground of 'failure to submit NOC of the affiliating body with hard copy of the application'. Second SCN dated 03.03.2017 was on following four grounds i.e.

- i) Construction of building was not completed
- ii) Name of institution for B.A. course is Gurukul College where as applicant's name is Gurukul Teacher Training Institution

- iii) Institution was required to submit un-edited C.D.
- iv) Name of the Institution does not match mentioned in demarcated map.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution while submitting reply dated 12.12.2015 to the first SCN did neither submit NOC nor gave a clear cut reply to the reason for not submitting NOC. So, NRC should have rejected the application then and there. The appellant submitted copy of NOC issued by Maharishi Dayanand Saraswati University, Ajmer on 05.03.2016 which was much after the last date for submission of hard copy.

AND WHEREAS as regards the reasons mentioned in the second SCN dated 03.03.2017, Appeal Committee observed that appellant institution has submitted a reply on 21.02.2017 by taking note of the decision of NRC (263rd Meeting 06.02.2017 to 11.02.2017). The appellant institution submitted a C.D., some still photographs, Building Completion Certificate and copy of affiliation order for conducting B.A. course with an intake of 50 seats in Arts & Humanities discipline. The institution is not having science stream and appellant informed Appeal Committee orally that it has applied for B.A. B.Ed. programme only.

AND WHEREAS since most of the deficiencies pointed out in the SCN and impugned refusal order were based on viewing C.D. and the photographs, Appeal Committee also viewed the evidences and noted that whereas the building plan and B.C.C. is for a three storey building having a constructed area of 1569, 1493 and 1458 sq. meters on each floor, the photographs and C.D. show that building consists of only ground and first floor. On second floor, there is only a Mounty. The B.C.C. therefore, does not reconcile with the visuals. With a depleted strength of only 80 intake in B.A. The appellant institution may not be able to integrate the general studies with professional studies as is envisaged in para 1.1. of Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards for 4 year Integrated programme i.e. B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed.

AND WHEREAS keeping in view the facts of the case, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for revisiting the matter specifically noting that –

- i) NOC submitted by appellant institution was late and not acceptable.

- ii) Videography and photographs of the building do not match with Building Completion Certificate.
- iii) Appellant society do not have a science stream general degree course to support integration for B.Sc. B.Ed. component.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the N.R.C. for revisiting the case and taking appropriate necessary action.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Gurukul Teacher Institute, Plot No. 1588, Street No. Beawar, Village – Kekri, PO – Kekri, Tehsil/Taluka – Kekri, Town/City-Kekri, District – Ajmer, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Gurukul Teacher Institute, Plot No. 1588, Street No. Beawar, Village – Kekri, PO – Kekri, Tehsil/Taluka – Kekri, Town/City-Kekri, District – Ajmer, Rajasthan – 305404
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-397/E-4813/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Vagad Teacher Training College, Badgi, Badgi Bus Stand, Galiyakot, Rajasthan dated 16.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615245/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 28/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The name mentioned on the top of building plan is different than the name given in application. NOC for B.A. course is not in the name of Vagad T.T. College. Hence, the institution is not a composite. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Dinesh Kumar Patidar, Society Member, Vagad Teacher Training College, Badgi, Badgi Bus Stand, Galiyakot, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "institution is conducting B.A. and B.Sc. course since 2012 and 2016 respectively".


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that Harsh Shiksha and Seva Sansthan submitted online application dated 29.05.2016 seeking recognition for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. programme in the name of institution i.e. Vagad Teacher Training College, Badgi'. The institution was proposed to be located at Survey no. 3740 with a plot area of 8081 Sq. Meters. The appellant enclosed with its application an affiliation letter dated 26.05.2016 affiliating Vagad Mahavidyalaya for conducting B.A. and B.Sc. course and affiliating university had also issued NOC dated 20.05.2016 in the name of Vagad College, Badgi. The issue of NOC was in consonance of the policy of state government to sanction 4 year integrated course only in the existing colleges. Order No. 31 (1) Edn-4/2015 dated 21.12.2015 issued by Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Rajasthan in respect of the appellant institution contains the name of applicant society at the top and khasra numbers

3740, 3746, 2818, 1738, 2127 are also the same as mentioned in the application form. Since NOC for the applied for 4 year programme is to given only in the existing colleges as per policy of the state government, Vagad College and Vagad Teacher Training College situated at same khasra number under the management of same society are to be treated as composite under clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations.

AND WHEREAS the Appeal Committee, therefore decided to remand back the case to NRC, Jaipur for further processing of the application. It shall, however, be ensured by the NRC that appellant institution conducts the 4 years integrated BA. B.Ed/B.Sc. B.Ed. programme in Vagad Mahavidyalaya so that the objective of the programme as defined in para 1.1. of Appendix 13 of the Norms & Standards for BA B.Ed/B.Sc. B.Ed. course can be achieved.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the N.R.C. for further processing of the application.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Vagad Teacher Training College, Badgi, Badgi Bus Stand, Galiyakot, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Vagad Teacher Training College, Badgi, Badgi Bus Stand, Galiyakot – 314035, Rajasthan**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-396/E-4822/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Colonel Jagannath Singh Mahila Mahavidyalaya Shiksha Parshikshan Sansthan, Peethapur, Akbarpur, Bheeti, Uttar Pradesh dated 24.04.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-12384/260th Meeting/2016/165972 dated 02/02/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "In response to the show cause notice dt. 15.09.2016, the institution has not submitted the following documents:- Notarized copy of CLU. Proof/evidence of composite institution."


AND WHEREAS Sh. Rajiv Kumar Singh, Manager, Colonel Jagannath Singh Mahila Mahavidyalaya Shiksha Parshikshan Sansthan, Peethapur, Akbarpur, Bheeti, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "It is submitted that the institution on the basis of the minutes of the meeting of the NRC submitted its response dated 12.09.2016 received in the office of the NRC on 16.09.2016, wherein the institution responded that the institution has failed to produce the notarized copy of the CLU because the land area on which the institution is situated is within the process of the Consolidation (Chakbandi). Institution also submitted before the NRC the correspondence dated 01.10.2014 and 25.02.2015 issued by the DM and Correspondence dated 23.02.2015 issued by the SDM, Bheeti, Ambedkarnagar and other official letters dated 04.02.2015 and 16.02.2015 and inspection reports, wherein it was clearly stated that the Land Revenue Record shows that the institution exists since 2009 on the said land. The correspondences also prove that Society/institution is running B.A Course and had applied for the B.Sc. and M.A course. The letters also reveal that the lands are under consolidation and therefore Section 143 i.e. CLU certificate cannot be issued. It also reveals that the land is free from all encumbrances and there are no land disputes. It is relevant to state that the institution also submitted the new affiliation letter of the University for the B. Sc. course which was granted after the due verification by the State Government considering the issue of non-availability of the notarized CLU."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 02.02.2017 is issued by NRC Jaipur on the ground that appellant institution in response to Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 15.09.2016 has not submitted notarised CLU and the evidence to prove that it is a composite institution. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution had submitted reply dated 12.09.2016 by noting the decision of NRC to issue SCN. The appellant institution had enclosed with its reply copy of NOC issued by Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Awadh University, Faizabad affiliating the appellant society / institution for conducting B.A. (History, Political Sciences, Maths, Botany) / B.Sc. course with Home Science, Physics and Chemistry subjects. The appellant also enclosed with its reply a certificate dated 20.04.2015 issued by Tehsildar certifying that the demarcated land is under 'Chakbandi'. From the application made by applicant society, it appears that society has applied for B.Ed. programme also. The claim of appellant institution in respect of composite institution may be got verified at the time of inspection.

AND WHEREAS the Appeal Committee, taking note of the facts that appellant institution is an existing Degree College and non submission of CLU is due to ongoing 'Chakbandi' exercise decided to remand back the case to NRC, Jaipur for further processing of the application.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the N.R.C. for further processing of the application.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Colonel Jagannath Singh Mahila Mahavidyalaya Shiksha Parshikshan Sansthan, Peethapur, District – Akbarpur, Bheeti Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Colonel Jagannath Singh Mahila Mahavidyalaya Shiksha Parshikshan Sansthan, Peethapur, District – Akbarpur, Bheeti – 224151, Uttar Pradesh
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-398/E-4812/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Prakash Punj Teachers Training College, Bijalipur, Ghoshi, Bihar dated 18.05.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/236.7.3/APP3271/B.Ed./2017/52233 dated 12/04/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was decided in 234th meeting on the following grounds:- (i) As per building plan, the total built-up area is 4054.45 sq. mts. which is less than the required 5000 sq. mts. stipulated for existing B.Ed. (two units) + proposed B.Ed. – Addl. Intake (two units) + proposed D.El.Ed. (two units) as per Regulations, 2014. (ii) The institution is required to intimate the ERC about intake (unit) capacity which it desires according to its available built-up area for both the courses or course in consonance with requirement laid down in the NCTE Regulations, 2014. b. In response, the institution submitted reply vide letter dated 06.03.2017 stating that they opt to run the D.El.Ed. course with an intake of two units rather than B.Ed. c. The Committee has accepted the request of the institution to process the application for D.El.Ed. In view of the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP3271 of the institution regarding recognition for B.Ed. (Additional Intake) Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Abhiram Singh, Secretary, Prakash Punj Teachers Training College, Bijalipur, Ghoshi, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "1. At present, the Institution possess a total built up area as 5269.39 sq. mts., which is more than the requisite built up area for running the required courses. Building Completion Certificate and the Building Plan duly signed by the authorised govt. officials are enclosed. 2. The institution had applied for 2 units of each B.Ed. Addl. Intake and D.El.Ed. with the requisite FDs for each. 3. After


considering their application, in the proceedings of 202 Meeting of ERC NCTE held on 18 to 23 January, 2016, the Committee examined their documents and noticed that the required built up area for the aforesaid courses is less than that of which must be 3500 sq.mts. at least. They extended their building and completed the built-up area equal to 4054.45 sq. mts., physically verified by the VT. Building Plan and Building Completion Certificates were also produced. In the proceedings of 234th Meeting of ERC NCTE held on 27 Feb. to 3 March, 2017, while considering and examining the application after inspection, they were issued the show cause notice again on having the less built up area. Now the requisite area has been increased to 5000 sq. mts. They were also asked to opt for the course and they opted the D.El.Ed.100 seats. Had they been asked in the beginning for the minimum requirement of 5000 sq. mts., they would have already fulfilled the need. As soon as it came to their notice, they put every effort and now they have the total built up area equal to 5269.39 sq. m. well completed and well furnished".

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the only ground for refusal is the option of the appellant conveyed in their letter dt. 6.3.2017, that with the then existing built up area of 4054.45 Sq.mtrs, they would prefer D.El.Ed. course of two units, if both D.El.Ed. and additional B.Ed. are not possible. The Committee noted that the appellant in their letter dt. 6.3.2017 also submitted that the construction of the third block is almost over adding which the built-up area exceeds 5000 Sq.mtrs. The appellant, with the appeal enclosed a building completion certificate dt. 17.5.2017 issued by Junior Engineer, Ghosi Block, Jehanabad indicating the total built-up area s 56,719.27 Sq.ft. and a building plan.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the appellant has a total built up area exceeding 5000 sq.mtrs. and fulfilled the statement made in their earlier letter dt. 6.3.2015, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider the documents related to the construction of building to be submitted by the appellant to them and take further action after conducting re-inspection of the institution on payment of the prescribed fee by the appellant, as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the ERC, the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the E.R.C. with a direction to consider the documents related to the construction of building to be submitted by the appellant to them and take further action after conducting re-inspection of the institution on payment of the prescribed fee by the appellant, as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the ERC, the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Prakash Punj Teachers Training College, Bijalipur, Ghoshi, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Prakash Punj Teachers Training College, Bijalipur, Ghoshi – 804432, Bihar**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, (NCTE), 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-400/E-4811/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Maharshi Parshu Ram PG College, Danta Dantaramgarh, Rajasthan dated 09.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201616105/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 11/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that “the institution has only 2500 sq. mtr. converted land as per submitted LUC which is not sufficient for the proposed and existing courses. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution.”


AND WHEREAS Sh. Pooran Mal Saini, Clerk, Maharshi Parshu Ram PG College, Danta Dantaramgarh, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “Change of Law Use Certificate has now been obtained for another piece of land and thus total area of converted land is 5000 Sq. Meters”

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 11.04.2017 issued by NRC Jaipur is on the ground that ‘2500 Sq. Meter converted land as per submitted LUC is not sufficient for proposed and existing courses.’ Appeal Committee noted that prior to the issue of impugned refusal order a Show Course Notice dated 27.02.2017 was issued to appellant institution wherein the ground of refusal was not mentioned for seeking a written representation from the appellant. As such refusal order is made on a fresh ground and is not tenable. Appeal Committee further noted that after noting the decision taken by NRC to reject the application, the appellant vide its letter dated 11.04.2017 had submitted to NRC copies of 2 letters/ orders issued by SDM Dantaramgast (Sikar) conveying approval for change of land use on 28.05.2015 and 28.03.2017. The two CLUs are for 2500 Sq. Meters each. (Total 5000 Sq. Meters). The appellant institution is already conducting degree level and P.G. level course.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 11.4.2017 and remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the N.R.C. for further processing of the application.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Maharshi Parshu Ram PG College, Danta Dantaramgarh, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Maharshi Parshu Ram PG College, Danta Dantaramgarh – 332702, Rajasthan**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-402/E-4827/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Gorakh Singh College, Maharajganj, Bihar dated 17.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/ERC/ERCAPP201646048/Diploma in Elementary Education [D.El.Ed.]/BI/2017-18/5; dated 13/04/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "(i) Date of online application submitted on 31.05.2016. (ii) Hard copy of online application submitted on 30.07.2016 i.e. after 15th July, 2016 stipulated by NCTE Hqs. In view of the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing No. ERCAPP201646048 of the institution regarding recognition of Additional Intake in D.El.Ed. Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."


AND WHEREAS Sh. Manas Mohan Pandey, Representative, Gorakh Singh College, Maharajganj, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was requested that delayed submission of appeal be condoned and the application be processed."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 31.05.2016 seeking recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee further noted that hard copy of the application alongwith supporting documents was submitted by appellant institution on 30.07.2016 by speed post and the envelope containing the application and supporting documents was received in the office of ERC Bhubaneswar on 06.08.2016. Clause 7(2) (b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 that "failure to submit print out of application alongwith the land documents within 15 days of the submission of online application would result in summary rejection of the

application". Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 13.04.2017 issued by ERC Bhubaneswar.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, document on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 13.04.2017 issued by ERC Bhubaneswar.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Gorakh Singh College, Maharajganj – 841238, Bihar**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, (NCTE), 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-403/E-4803/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Maa Gayatri Arya Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Jalesar, Uttar Pradesh dated 12.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-11505/266th (Part-2) Meeting/2017/170202 dated 03/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the approved building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class room etc. The institution has not submitted the Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Authority to use the land for educational purpose. The institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Vipin Gupta, Secretary, Maa Gayatri Arya Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Jalesar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "I have submitted at time of inspection the approved building plan signed by the competent govt. authority i.e. authorized Engineer of building. Notarized copy of change of land under clause 143 of UP land Act issued by the competent authority SDM Jalesar has also submitted and now again submitting A certificate for educational purpose land has also submitted i.e. ground floor has allotted for D.El.Ed. course Registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority i.e. Tahsildar, Jalesar dated 26.12.2016 has also submitted."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 29.05.2015 seeking recognition for additional intake of D.El.Ed. programme. The basic intake of 50 seats was granted to the institution in

the year 2010 and the institution is also conducting B.A. course affiliated to Bhim Rao Ambedkar University, Agra since 2003.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that impugned refusal order dated 03.04.2017 is on three grounds namely:

- i. Institution has not submitted approved building plan with necessary details.
- ii. Institution has not submitted Change of Land Use Certificate (CLU) issued by competent authority.
- iii. Institution has not submitted certified copy of registered land documents.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted NRC had already conducted inspection of the appellant institution on 05.02.2016 and the V.T. has verified the conduct of existing courses from the said land and building. The appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 24.08.2017 submitted certified copy of land documents in support of attested copy of land documents which have already been submitted alongwith application. The appellant alongwith its appeal Memoranda also submitted copy of building plan approved by Nagar Palika Parishad, Jalesar. As regards Change of Land Use Certificate, Appeal Committee noted that a certificate dated 02.02.2016 issued by Nagar Palika Parishad, Jalesar which states that college building is located inside the boundary of Nagar Palika and the two storey building of the college is as per National Building Code – 2005 submitted to Inspection Team.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee having noted, that the deficiencies leading to refusal of recognition did not virtually exist and institution is already conducting BA Course and D.El.Ed. course, decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application. The appellant institution is required to submit another copy of the certified land document, approved building plan and land use certificate to NRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the N.R.C. for further processing of the application. The appellant institutions is required to submit a copy each of the (i) certified land documents, (ii)

— 3 —

approved building plan and (iii) certificate issued by Nagar Palika Parishad, Jalesar to NRC, Jaipur within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Maa Gayatri Arya Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Jalesar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Maa Gayatri Arya Kanya Mahavidyalaya, Jalesar – 207302, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-404/E-4810/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mangalmay Institute of Management & Technology, Sadar, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 12.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615033/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/UP/2017-18/2; dated 15/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "NOC of affiliating body not submitted. As per land documents submitted by the institution, the land is on private lease basis which is not acceptable as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Prasanjeet Kumar, Principal and Abhijeet, Registrar, Mangalmay Institute of Management & Technology, Sadar, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution applied for issue of NOC to the affiliating body well before making application for B.A. B.Ed. programme. The affiliating body issued NOC for the academic year 2016-17. The above NOC has now been made applicable for year 2017-18. The land of the institution is on private lease from Greater Noida Development Authority for 90 years."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 02.05.2016 seeking recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed. programme at Knowledge Park-II, Greater Noida, U.P. The appellant alongwith its application submitted copy of NOC dated 10.11.2015 issued by Charan Singh University, Meerut. The NOC was for academic session 2016-17. NRC issued a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 19.01.2017 pointing out that NOC is for academic session 2016-17 whereas application is made for the year 2017-18. On getting the deficiency through SCN, the appellant applied to the affiliating body and got the NOC reissued for the academic year 2017-18. Appeal Committee is of the view that submission of NOC issued by affiliating body is the requirement imposed under clause 5(3) of the NCTE


Regulations, 2014. The time gap usually occurs between the issue of NOC for a particular academic year and grant of recognition by the regional committee. In the instant case although the appellant has been able to get a revised NOC for the academic year 2017-18, grant of formal recognition cannot be for the year 2017-18, cut off date being over. The affiliating body therefore, should either refrain from mentioning the academic year in the NOC or attentively should issue NOC valid for at least 3 forthcoming academic years so that an institution is able to use it logically. The fact that appellant institution had submitted a NOC alongwith its application and has also got the academic year revised on being pointed out shall go in favour of the appellant institution.

AND WHEREAS as regards the second ground of rejection pertaining to land on being private lease, Appellant submitted that Greater Noida Development Authority is body established by U.P. Govt. and the same land has been accepted by NCTE for B.Ed. and BTC course approval. Appeal Committee agrees with the submission made by appellant and holds that lease allotted by Greater Noida Development Authority is at par with the lease given by other Government Agencies.

AND WHEREAS having gone through the facts of the case, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the N.R.C. for further processing of the application.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mangalmay Institute of Management & Technology, Sadar Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Mangalmay Institute of Management & Technology, Sadar Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh – 201310
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

40



F.No.89-407/E-4976/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Sarvodaya Institute of Professional Studies, MaharajNeemuch Singoli Road, Jawad, Madhya Pradesh dated 17.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/WRC/WRCAPP 201660083/B.A./B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed./ 4 year integrated/SCN/MP/2017-18 (LSG Sl. No.) dated 17.06.2016 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution is a standalone institution. The institution has not been able to submit an affiliation letter for running any other course for the year 2016-17. Hence, Recognition is refused."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Pushpender Singh, Director, Shri Sarvodaya Institute of Professional Studies, MaharajNeemuch Singoli Road, Jawad, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The institute has applied for B.Sc. B.Ed. Course and B.A. B.Ed. Course for session 2017.18. The institute has affiliation of General course like B.A. Music, B.A. Singing and B.A. Fine arts from MP Govt. University Raja Man Singh Tomar Music and Art University, Gwalior. So it is not standalone institute. Hence, you are requested to consider our institute as a composite institute as per NCTE Regulation."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that date of impugned refusal order is wrongly mentioned as 17.06.2016. the decision to refuse recognition to appellant institution was taken in 273rd meeting of WRC held on 18-19 April, 2017. Before issue of the impugned order, a Show Cause Notice (SCN) was issued to appellant institution on 10.03.2017. So date of impugned refusal order cannot be 17.06.2016. Appellant submitted reply to SCN on 07.04.2017. Date of meeting of NRC wherein it was decided to refuse recognition may therefore, be taken as the date of impugned refusal order.

AND WHEREAS the impugned refusal order is on the ground that appellant institution is a standalone institution and has not been able to submit affiliation letter for running any other course. It is however, understood that appellant institution has made another separate application seeking recognition for B.A. B.Ed. programme also which has been refused on the same ground.


AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of Appeal presentation on 24.08.2016 submitted copies of correspondence dated 06.04.2017 and 27.04.2017 wherein Raja Man Singh Tomar Music and Arts University, Gwalior had asked the appellant institute to deposit affiliation fee and subsequently on fulfilling condition had granted temporary affiliation on 27.04.2017 for B.A. (Fine Arts, Singing, Music).

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that the definition of 'Composite Institution' means *a recognised higher education Institution offering undergraduate or post graduate programmes of study in the field of liberal arts or humanities or social science or sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of teacher education programme.* The appellant institution by means of its own statement was not composite at the time of making application for B.Sc. B.Ed. Moreover, para 1.1 of Appendix 13 relating to Norms and Standards for 4 year Integrated programme leading to B.Sc. B.Ed./ B.A. B.Ed. degree stipulates that this programme aims at integrating general studies comprising science (B.Sc. B.Ed.) and social sciences or humanities (B.A. B.Ed.) and professional studies comprising foundations of education, pedagogy of school subjects and practicum related to the tasks and functions of a school teacher. Coherence and integration among the components of programmes being offered to make an institution composite are therefore, important and have to be ensured before granting recognition for a 4 year integrated programme leading to B.Sc. B.Ed./ B.A. B.Ed. degree.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee after noting that neither appellant institution was a composite institution at the time of making application for BA B.Ed. nor the affiliation granted for Fine Arts, Singing and Music by Raja Man Singh Tomar Music & Arts University, Gwalior would serve the purpose of integrating the general school subjects, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order issued by WRC, Bhopal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on records and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order issued by WRC, Bhopal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Sarvodaya Institute of Professional Studies, Sarwania Maharaj Neemuch Singoli Road, Jawad – 458220, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, NCTE Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal – 462002
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-408/E-4929/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Sarvodaya Institute of Professional Studies, MaharajNeemuch Singoli Road, Jawad, Madhya Pradesh dated 17.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/WRC/WRCAPP 201660067/B.A./B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed./ 4 year integrated/SCN/MP/2017-18 (LSG Sl. No.) dated 17.06.2016 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution is a standalone institution. The institution has not been able to submit an affiliation letter for running any other course for the year 2016-17. Hence, Recognition is refused."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Pushpender Singh, Director, Shri Sarvodaya Institute of Professional Studies, MaharajNeemuch Singoli Road, Jawad, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The institute has applied for B.Sc. B.Ed. Course and B.A. B.Ed. Course for session 2017.18. The institute has affiliation of General course like B.A. Music, B.A. Singing and B.A. Fine arts from MP Govt. University Raja Man Singh Tomar Music and Art University, Gwalior. So it is not standalone institute. Hence, you are requested to consider our institute as a composite institute as per NCTE Regulation."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that date of impugned refusal order is wrongly mentioned as 17.06.2016. the decision to refuse recognition to appellant institution was taken in 273rd meeting of WRC held on 18-19 April, 2017. Before issue of the impugned order, a Show Cause Notice (SCN) was issued to appellant institution on 10.03.2017. So date of impugned refusal order cannot be 17.06.2016. Appellant submitted reply to SCN on 07.04.2017. Date of meeting of NRC wherein it was decided to refuse recognition may therefore, be taken as the date of impugned refusal order.

AND WHEREAS the impugned refusal order is on the ground that appellant institution is a standalone institution and has not been able to submit affiliation letter for running any other course. It is however, understood that appellant institution has made another separate application seeking recognition for B.A. B.Ed. programme also which has been refused on the same ground.


AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of Appeal presentation on 24.08.2016 submitted copies of correspondence dated 06.04.2017 and 27.04.2017 wherein Raja Man Singh Tomar Music and Arts University, Gwalior had asked the appellant institute to deposit affiliation fee and subsequently on fulfilling condition had granted temporary affiliation on 27.04.2017 for B.A. (fine Arts, Singing, Music).

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that the definition of 'Composite Institution' means *a recognised higher education Institution offering undergraduate or post graduate programmes of study in the field of liberal arts or humanities or social science or sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of teacher education programme.* The appellant institution by means of its own statement was not composite at the time of making application for B.Sc. B.Ed. Moreover, para 1.1 of Appendix 13 relating to Norms and Standards for 4 year Integrated programme leading to B.Sc. B.Ed./ B.A. B.Ed. degree stipulates that this programme aims at integrating general studies comprising science (B.Sc. B.Ed.) and social sciences or humanities (B.A. B.Ed.) and professional studies comprising foundations of education, pedagogy of school subjects and practicum related to the tasks and functions of a school teacher. Coherence and integration among the components of programmes being offered to make an institution composite are therefore, important and have to be ensured before granting recognition for a 4 year integrated programme leading to B.Sc. B.Ed./ B.A. B.Ed. degree.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee after noting that neither appellant institution was a composite institution at the time of making application for BA B.Ed. nor the affiliation granted for Fine Arts, Singing and Music by Raja Man Singh Tomar Music & Arts University, Gwalior would serve the purpose of integrating the general school subjects, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order issued by WRC, Bhopal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on records and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order issued by WRC, Bhopal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Sarvodaya Institute of Professional Studies, Sarwania Maharaj Neemuch Singoli Road, Jawad – 458220, Madhya Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, NCTE Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal – 462002
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-409/E-4928/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of DAV Degree College, Budhana, Kandla & Baraut Link, Budhana, Uttar Pradesh dated 18.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-2624/203rd Meeting/2012/32430-431 dated 08/10/2012 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The deficiencies as observed in the application were communicated to the institution stating that "you are advised to remove/make good of the above deficiencies within a period of 60 days failing which your application will be treated as rejected and closed. It may be noted by the institution that it is the sole responsibility of the institution to submit all the essential documents to ensure proper compliance of all the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules and Regulations". The institution did not submit the reply of the deficiency letter."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Sunita Choudhary, Director, DAV Degree College, Budhana, Kandla & Baraut Link, Budhana, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The institution has already given reply to deficiency letter on 13.08.2012. The delay in filing the appeal is that we got the rejection order dated 08.10.2012 in April, 2017.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution before preferring appeal under section 18 of NCTE Act had approached the Hon'ble High Court of Allahabad. The Hon'ble High Court had issued order in W.P. Case no. 20410/2017 directing the petitioner to avail statutory alternative remedy of appeal under section 18.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that when appeal is considered, the long and inordinate delay of more than 4 years is condoned and reason given by the appellant that it did not get the impugned refusal order dated 08.10.2012 is also accepted.

AND WHEREAS after going through the regulatory file, Appeal Committee noted that a deficiency letter dated 26.03.2012 was issued to appellant institution on following grounds:

- i) Certified copy of land documents not submitted.
- ii) Building Plan approved by Gram Pardhan does not bear Khasra/ Plot number and proposed built up area.
- iii) Notarised change of Land Use Certificate not submitted.
- iv) Date of registration of land not mentioned in affidavit.
- v) Name of authorised applicant does not appear in the byelaws of society.


AND WHEREAS appellant institution in its letter dated 20.04.2017 addressed to NRC Jaipur enclosed copies of its to earlier letter dated 01.10.2011 enclosing hard copy of application and letter dated 13.08.2012 submitting reply to the deficiency letter dated 26.03.2012. Original of letter dated 26.03.2012 which consisted of reply to deficiency letter is not found available on the regulatory file. In any case reply to deficiency letter was not submitted by the appellant institution within the stipulated period of 60 days. Appeal Committee further noted non submission of copy or certified copy of land documents alongwith application was a major deficiency which should have resulted in summary rejection of the application.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee assuming that appellant institution was not aware of the impugned rejection order dated 08.10.2012 till April, 2017, finds it difficult to believe that no verifiable effort between August, 2012 to April 2017 to know the status of its pending application was made by the appellant. The appellant in its oral submission on 24.08.2017 submitted that institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. programme with an intake of 2 units from academic session 2016-17. It clarifies that appellant was in touch with the office of NRC, Jaipur in between and could have very well enquired the status of its D.El.Ed. application.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee considering all aspects of the case including the delay in filing appeal and non submission of certified copy of land documents alongwith hard copy of the application, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 08.10.2012.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 08.10.2012.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, DAV Degree College, Budhana, Kandla and Baraut Link, Budhana – 251309, Uttar Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-545/E-5018/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Rajib Gandhi Memorial Primary Teachers Training Institute, Vill.-Bhawanipur, PO - Chitrasenpur, Tehsil/Taluka-Uluberia, Town/City-Udaynarayanpur, Distt. Howrah, West Bengal dated 23.04.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/229.8.5/ERCAPP 4062/B.Ed./2016/51198 dated 28.01.2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "(i) Inspection letter was issued on 16.06.2016. (ii) Dr. Purbi Baishya, VT Member, ERC, NCTE vide e-mail dated 01/07/2016 informed that she had contacted to the representative of the management of this institution for inspection but they informed that building of the institution is under construction and not ready for inspection. (iii) The institution vide letter dated 20.10.2016 requested to conduct the inspection after 31st March 2017, hence the management is not ready for inspection. (iv) (v) As per NCTE Regulations, 2014, the inspection is not conducted as per willingness of the institution. In view the above, the committee decided as under: The committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP4062 of the institution regarding permission for B.Ed. programme is refused under section 15(3) (b) of NCTE Act 1993."

AND WHEREAS Sh. S.R. Karar, Secretary and Sh. D.K. Bhumia, Member, Rajib Gandhi Memorial Primary Teachers Training Institute, Vill.-Bhawanipur, PO - Chitrasenpur, Tehsil/Taluka-Uluberia, Town/City-Udaynarayanpur, Distt. Howrah, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "At the time of Inspection dated 16.06.2016 we were not ready because partial portion of the building was under construction. Now we are ready for re-inspection."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was informed by ERC vide its letter dated 16.06.2016 that inspection of the institution is proposed to be conducted within 20 days of the communication. A Visiting Team consisting of Dr. Tripura Jha and Dr. Purabi Baishya was asked to conduct inspection within 20 days. Dr.


Purabi Baishya informed ERC on 01.07.2016 that Rajiv Gandhi Memorial Primary Teacher Training Institution is not ready for inspection on account of some construction work going on in the institution. The appellant institution also had informed ERC on 27.06.2016 that the institution was not ready for inspection due to some unavoidable circumstances. The appellant institution was issued Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 30.09.2016 seeking written representation for proposed refusal on grounds of not getting the institution inspected. The appellant institution informed ERC vide its letter dated 20.01.2016 that institution may be inspected after 31.03.2017.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution asked for too long a time for getting ready for inspection whereas as per clause 7(7) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, inspection shall not be subject to the consent of the Institution and inspection shall be caused on any day after ten days from the date of communication by the Regional Committee. Applicant institution is required to submit Building Completion Certificate issued by Competent Civil authority to the Visiting Team as a proof of its preparedness.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 28.01.2017 issued by ERC Bhubaneswar.

AND WHEREAS after personal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 28.01.2017 issued by ERC Bhubaneswar.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Rajib Gandhi Memorial Primary Teachers Training Institute, Plot No. 402, 403, Vill.-Bhawanipur, PO-Chitrasenpur, Tehsil/Taluka-Uluberia, Town/City-Udaynarayanpur, Distt. Howrah, West Bengal – 711412
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, (NCTE), 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Bhubaneswar.



F.No.89-410/E-5152/2017 Appeal/15th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Sai College of Physical Education, Vinjamur Taluk & City, Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh dated 06.05.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP2534/M.P.Ed. (Addl.)/AP/2016-17/92728 dated 06.04.2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.P.Ed. course on the grounds that "Applied for two unit."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Raj Mohan Reddy, Secretary Correspondent, Sri Sai College of Physical Education, Vinjamur Taluk & City, Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/08/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The Management of Sri Sai Educational Society have submitted a request letter for starting M.P.Ed.-A1 Course under section 14 of the NCTE Act, 1993 and 2014 norms to the Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore. At the time of submission of application, we have submitted all the essential documents. After due process of the M.P.Ed.-A1 (2nd Unit) by the NCTE a letter was issued by the SRC Bangalore on 06.04.2017 as under. M.P.Ed. Online Application Processing Fee Rs. 1,50,000/- per basic unit M.P.Ed. Letter of intent for 2016-17 per one unit Fixed deposits Rs.12,00,000/- towards corpus fund Rs.5,00,000/- Reserve Fund Rs.7,00,000/- for existing M.P.Ed. Course. M.P.Ed., Course Formal Recognition Order for the Academic Year 2016-17 per one unit Govt., of A.P. issue G.O. for M.P.Ed. per one unit — 2016-17 Vikrama Simhapuri University issued affiliation (per one unit) for the 2016-17 Existing B.P.Ed. Course, letter of intent, formal reorganization order, Government Order, Affiliation Orders. Fixed Deposit Rs. 12,00,000/- towards corpus fund Rs.5,00,000/- Reserve Fund Rs.7,00,000/- for existing B.P.Ed. Course. SRC NCTE issue File No.SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP2534/M.P.Ed. A1/AP/2016- 17/92728, dt: 06.04.2017 decisions of SRC in its 332nd SRC Meeting held on 26.02.2017. Constitute Inspection Committee Letter through VT Member Dashboard dt. 03.08.2016 Processing fee for 2nd unit Rs.1,50,000/-, dt: 22.08.2016, Syndicate Bank, B.V.Nagar, NLR. Letter of Intent for grant of 2nd Unit Reorganization for offering M.P.Ed. — AI Programme under 7(13)

of the NCTE Regulation — 2014 \Staff A pprovals List for existing M.P.Ed. Course per the year 2017-18 issued by Registrar, Vikrama Simhapuri University, dt : 05.01.2017 Staff Approvals List for M.P.Ed.-A1 per 2nd Unit per the year 2017-18 issued by Registrar, Vikrama Simhapuri University, dt : 15.02.2017 Reply to LOI per 2nd Unit acknowledge date: 21.02.2017 LOI M.P.Ed.-A1 per 2nd Unit F.SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP 2534/M.P.Ed.-A1/AP/201617/91545, dt: 02.02.2017 331 meeting of SRC 22nd February, 2017 consideration of 2016-17 applications LOI reply Sl.No. 32 issued formal reorganization order through online Explanation letter 22.03.2017 Rejection Letter SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP2534/M.P.Ed.-A1/AP/2016-17/92728, dt: 06.04.2017 NCTE, SRC sanctioned 2nd unit with request some other colleges list in A.P."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 28.05.2015 seeking recognition for conducting M.P.Ed. programme. The applicant did not declare the intake applied for in the affidavit enclosed with the online application. Para 3.1 of Appendix 8 mentions that 'There shall be a basic unit of 40 (forty) students for each year. In the absence of any intake being mentioned in the affidavit it is always presumed that application is being made for one unit only.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that inspection of the applicant institution was made on 23.02.2016 with a proposed intake of 40 seats of M.P.Ed. programme. After inspection, a Letter of Intent (LOI) dated 26.02.2016 was issued to applicant institution. The above LOI wrongly mentioned that it was for Addl. Intake of 40 students whereas LOI should have been issued for a basic unit of 40 seats of M.P.Ed. The applicant submitted a compliance report dated 02.03.2016 to SRC Bangalore and thereafter a formal recognition order dated 25.04.2016 for M.P.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 40 seats was issued.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that applicant institution addressed a letter dated 29.04.2016 to SRC intimating that they have constructed a new building up grading the plinth area to 5300 Sq. Meters and the institution may be given additional unit of M.P.Ed as was mentioned in the LOI dated 26.02.2016 the applicant also volunteered to surrender one unit of its existing B.P.Ed. programme for the purpose.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee is of the view that with the issue of formal recognition order dated 25.04.2016 for M.P.Ed. programme, the application dated 28.05.2015 was disposed of and SRC had no right or powers whatsoever to extend the scope of that application for consideration of granting additional unit. But SRC opted to get the institution re-inspected after collecting inspection fee which was paid by the appellant institution on 29.08.2016. 2nd Inspection of the Institution was already conducted on 22.08.2016. It is worthwhile to mention that last date for receipt of fresh applications for various teacher education programmes for the academic session 2017-18 was 30.06.2016. 2nd Letter of Intent was issued to appellant institution on 02.02.2017 wherein it was mentioned that 'Issue of LOI for one unit of 40 students prior to grant of recognition under clause 7(16) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 M.P.Ed. Addl. programme of 2 year duration.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted compliance report dated 21.02.2017 to SRC considered the compliance submitted by appellant institution and decided in its 332nd Meeting to reject the request for grant of additional intake on the ground that photocopies of the FDRs submitted by the institution were the same as furnished by the institution in their B.Ed. case.

AND WHEREAS the impugned letter dated 06.04.2017 in its opening para mentions that request for additional intake in M.P.Ed. was without any application. Clause 5(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 prescribes that an institution eligible under regulation 4, desirous of running a teacher education programme may apply to concerned Regional Committee for recognition in the prescribed application form alongwith processing fee and requisite documents. Appeal Committee is of the view that once an application culminates into grant of recognition, it ceases to be an application for grant of additional unit and the applicant should have applied afresh as and when applications are invited by the NCTE.

AND WHEREAS keeping in view that the request for grant of additional unit of M.P.Ed programme made by appellant was not valid as per NCTE Regulation, 2014, Appeal Committee decided to confirm the decision, which was not in the form of a formal rejection order, conveyed to Sri Sai College of Physical Education, Nellore.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing Appeal Committee concluded to confirm.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Sri Sai College of Physical Education, Plot/Khasara No. 604/15, 604/16, Street No. Vinjamur Taluk & City, Nellore District – 524228, Andhra Pradesh**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore – 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.