

2



F.No.89-103/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/08/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Hazrat Nizamuddin Degree Collge, Baghrai, Patiyali, Etah, Uttar Pradesh dated 18/02/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14365/261st Meeting/2016/163832 dated 27/12/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the reply dated 03.11.2016 of the applicant institution as well as the order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 20.10.2016 were considered by the NRC. The applicant institution has not yet submitted the list of the faculty duly approved by the affiliating university till date. The Hon'ble High Court has not given any direction/order to the NRC to extend the date for the compliance of the LOI."

AND WHEREAS Hazrat Nizamuddin Degree Collge, Baghrai, Patiyali, Etah, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 03/05/2017 but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.


AND WHEREAS Sh. Satyendra Kumar, Accountant, Hazrat Nizamuddin Degree Collge, Baghrai, Patiyali, Etah, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, in the appeal, during presentation and in a letter dt. 21.08.2017 submitted that after getting the status of 7 (13) which was granted by the NRC on 02.05.2016, they applied on 10.05.2016 for getting subject expert to conduct interview for appointing B.Ed. faculty. As the affiliating University did not take any action on their request, they filed a Writ Petition No. 49545 of 2016 against the University. The Hon'ble High Court at Allahabad in their order dt. 20.10.2016 directed the University to consider the request of the petitioners. When the affiliating University did not take any decision despite the order of the Hon'ble High Court, the institution filed a Contempt Application No. 152 of 2017 against the University. In their letter dt. 21.08.2017, the appellant submitted that the Hon'ble High Court passed orders on the Contempt Application on 13.01.2017; the appellant issued a reminder to the Registrar of B.R. Ambedkar University, and the Registrar issued a letter on 16.03.2017 about subject experts. Thereafter the institution tried to conduct the interviews early but the subject expert did not give time as they were busy with the examinations of the University. Finally, interviews were conducted on 12.05.2017 and the subject experts report and other relevant documents were submitted by the institution for getting approval from the University. After issuing a reminder

to the Registrar on 16.08.2017 and informing him about the hearing of the appeal by NCTE on 21.08.2017, the Registrar issued the approval letter of the B.Ed. faculty on 20.08.2017. The appellant forwarded copies of the correspondence referred to in their letter dt. 21.08.2017 including a copy of the letter of the University dt. 20.08.2017 approving the faculty.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant, in reply to the show cause notice, informed the NRC in their letter dt. 3.11.2016 about the steps taken by them to get the faculty approved, which included filing of a writ petition against the affiliating university on which orders were passed by the Hon'ble High Court on 20.10.2016. The Committee also noted that the appellant in their letter dt. 21.8.2017 explained in detail the further steps taken to get the faculty finally approved on 20.8.2017. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the list of faculty approved and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the list of approved faculty and all the other documents required in the Letter of Intent to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the list of faculty approved and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the list of approved faculty and all the other documents required in the Letter of Intent to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Hazrat Nizamuddin Degree College, Plot No. 1294, 1305, Vill – Baghrai Post – Badhola, Tehsil – Patiyali, Etah, Uttar Pradesh – 207243 to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Hazrat Nizamuddin Degree College, Plot No. 1294, 1305, Vill – Baghrai Post – Badhola, Tehsil – Patiyali, Etah, Uttar Pradesh – 207243.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-134/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Saket College of Education, Sitapur, Datia, Madhya Pradesh dated 14/02/2017 is against the Order No. WRC/1PP3017/223/263rd /2016/176588 dated 05/12/2016 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that Show Cause Notice was issued on 31/08/2016, and reply was received on 04/10/2016. The institution has submitted all the required documents; however, the institution has not replied to the Show Cause point regarding the composite nature of the institution as required under Clause 2(b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Hence, Recognition is refused."


AND WHEREAS Saket College of Education, Sitapur, Datia, Madhya Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 03/05/2017 but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Rajesh Shrivastava, Representative, Saket College of Education, Sitapur, Datia, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.8.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant in the appeal, during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 19.8.2017 submitted that they have got affiliation from Raja Man Singh Tomar Music and Fine Arts University, Gwalior to run various courses under Saket Sangeet evam Kala Mahavidyalaya run by Prem Narayan Shiksha Prasar Evam Paryavaran Samiti, which is the same college i.e. Saket College of Education and now the society is same, land is same and building is also same and so Saket College of Education is now a composite institution. The appellant enclosed a copy of letter dt. 30.6.2017 issued by Raja Man Singh Tomar Music and Arts University, Gwalior granting affiliation to Saket Sangeet Evam Kala Mahavidyalaya, Datia for conducting B.A (Chitra Kala).

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that, despite the arguments advanced by the appellant, Saket College of Education and Saket Sangeet Evam Kala Mahavidyalaya cannot be treated as one and the same institution i.e. composite institution as defined in clause 2(b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and the two institutions have separate identities. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the WRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the WRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Saket College of Education, 598, 599, 608, Commercial, Sitapur, Datia, Madhya Pradesh – 475661**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-137/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Adeshwar Institute of Educational Technology, Khamargaon, Jagdalpur, Bastar, Chhattisgarh dated 13/02/2017 is against the Order No. WRC/APP2639/B.Ed./263rd/CG-2016/176881 dated 13/12/2016 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that an examination of the file shows that the Society has submitted a lease deed in the name of the Chairman of the Socieity. Private Lease deed is not permitted as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The society has not submitted notarized copy of CLU, and Building Completion Certificate issued by the competent authority. Further, the institution is not a composite one which is not permitted under Clause 2(b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. In spite of multiple opportunities given to the institution it has not removed the deficiencies. Hence, Recognition is refused."


AND WHEREAS Adeshwar Institute of Educational Technology, Khamargaon, Jagdalpur, Bastar, Chhattisgarh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 03/05/2017 but nobody from the institution appeared. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Lalit, Director and Ms. Jibi George, C.E.O, Adeshwar Institute of Educational Technology, Khamargaon, Jagdalpur, Bastar, Chhattisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, in the appeal and during personal presentation, submitted that they have submitted a registered lease deed in Registrar's office and a notarised copy thereof and a notarised copy of the certificate of land are enclosed. They had already submitted copies of the CLU and building completion certificate issued by the competent authority and notarised copies thereof are enclosed. The ground relating to composite institution was pointed out in the show cause notice dt. 13.02.2016 for which a clarification was given stating that the institution is running Four-year Bachelor of Nursing, which is an under graduate programme. Presently the institution is running two year postgraduate programme in nursing along with the undergraduate programme.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant submitted a 30 years lease deed for the land which indicates that a private individual has leased the land to the Chairman of Adeshwar Education Society. The Committee noted that according to the provisions of Clause 8(4)(i) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, the institution or society sponsoring the institution should be in possession of the required land, free from all encumbrances either on ownership basis or on lease from Government or Government institutions for a period of not less than 30 years. Since, the land is on private lease, it is not permissible under the provisions of the NCTE Regulations. Further, the building completion certificate submitted by the appellant has been issued by a private architect and not by any Govt. Engineer and it is not in the prescribed form. Further, Nursing course, which is a professional course, cannot be taken as an undergraduate/postgraduate programme of study in the field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences etc as mentioned in Clause 2(b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 for the purpose of becoming a 'Composite' institution. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the WRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the WRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Adeshwar Institute of Educational Technology, 393, 0, 393, Khamargaon, Jagdalpur, Bastar, Chhattisgarh – 4940012.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhattisgarh.



F.No.89-146/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/12/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mohan College of Teachers Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh dated 17/02/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-9088/261st Meeting/2016/163722 dated 27/12/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted the reply of show cause notice dated 17.10.2016 issued by the NRC, NCTE in stipulated time period."

AND WHEREAS Mohan College of Teachers Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 04/05/2017 but nobody appeared before Appeal Committee. It was submitted in the Appeal memoranda that "Appeal under Section 18 of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993 against decision taken by NRC in its 261st Meeting whereby NRC decided to reject applicant filed by appellant seeking recognition/permission to run B.Ed. (Addl. Intake) Course in exercise of powers contained in Section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act, 1993".

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided to grant another (second) opportunity to appellant to make personal presentation of the case before the Committee.

AND WHEREAS Dr. N. K. Sinha, Director and Sh. Subhash Chand, Treasurer, Mohan College of Teachers Education, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, in the appeal and during personal presentation, submitted that the show cause notice dt. 17.10.2016 mentioned in the refusal order dt. 27.12.2016 has not been issued to them. The appellant also submitted that they had submitted a reply along with supporting documents in the NRC office on 13.06.2016. The appellant enclosed a copy of their letter dt. 11.06.2016, which bears the receipt stamp of NRC dt. 13.06.2016.


AND WHEREAS the Committee noted from the file of the NRC that after receipt of a reply on 28.10.2015 to the Letter of Intent issued on 6.3.2014 (as per the decision taken by the NRC in their 225th Meeting held from 25th February to 1st March, 2014) they issued a show cause notice dt. 2.12.2015 to the appellant asking him to submit proof that the institution is a composite institution accompanied by an affidavit within 30 days. While no reply to this letter

appears to have been received, the NRC in their 261st meeting held from 14th to 19th December, 2016 decided to refuse recognition on the ground that the institution did not submit a reply to the show cause notice dt. 17.10.2016 and issued the refusal order on 27.12.2016. The Committee noted that the file does not contain any show cause notice dt. 17.10.2016. The Committee also noted from the copy of the reply dt. 11.6.2016 sent by the appellant and which was received in NRC on 13.6.2016, that it was in response to the revised recognition order dt. 5.6.2015. In this letter the appellant made submission about composite nature of their institution.

AND WHEREAS the Committee in the above circumstances concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to re-issue their show cause notice dt. 17.10.2016 to the appellant and also seek their reply to the earlier letter dt. 2.12.2015 and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is also directed to send a copy of their letter dt. 11.6.2016 with its enclosures to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the order on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to re-issue their show cause notice dt. 17.10.2016 to the appellant and also seek their reply to the earlier letter dt. 2.12.2015 and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is also directed to send a copy of their letter dt. 11.6.2016 with its enclosures to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the order on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mohan College of Teachers Education, 298, Educational Purpose, 298, Baral, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh – 250251 to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Mohan College of Teachers Education, 298, Educational Purpose, 298, Baral, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh – 250251
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-250/E-1899/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/05/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of M.R.S. Shri Krishna Pranami Girls PG College, Bhadra, Nohar Road, Bhadra, Rajasthan dated 26.04.2017 is against the decision of the Northern Regional Committee taken in their 265th meeting held from 27th February to 3rd March, 2017 to refuse recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "1. No objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body for the proposed course is not submitted. 2. The institution has still not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the registering authority or civil authority concerned. 3. The Govt. lease is in the name of the President of the Society instead of the Society/Institution. 4. The building plan approved by the PWD does not contain the name of the proposed course, size of the MPH and total land area. 5. The institution has still not submitted the LUC (Land Use Certificate) issued by the Competent Authority to use the land for Educational purpose. 6. The institute has still not submitted the Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the competent authority indicating that land is free from all encumbrances. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused." The file does not contain a copy of the formal order issued refusing recognition.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that appellant filed a S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5613/2017 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jaipur Bench against the belated communication of the order of refusal on 20.04.2017 with a request for consideration of their case. The Hon'ble High Court, in their order dt. 24.04.2017, finding no case for exercising the extraordinary jurisdiction of the Court, directed the petitioner to avail the remedy of appeal against the impugned rejection of its application for recognition of its 4-year B.A.B. ED; B.Sc. B.S.Ed. integrated course. In view of the fact that the last date for grant of recognition to teacher training courses by NCTE had been fixed by the Apex Court as 02.05.2017, the Hon'ble Court, in their order directed the Appellate Authority that, in the event of an appeal being filed by the petitioner institution, the same may be decided by a reasoned and speaking order expeditiously. The appellant with his on-line appeal dt. 26.04.2017 has not enclosed a copy of the refusal order dt. 24.04.2017.

AND WHEREAS M.R.S. Shri Krishna Pranami Girls PG College, Bhadra, Nohar Road, Bhadra, Rajasthan was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 30/05/2017 but nobody appeared. In the appeal, it is submitted that "No objection certificate

of the affiliating body was submitted along with the reply to the show cause notice. The Government lease was granted in favour of the society and the president of the society only executed the lease deed in favour of the society. Certified copy of the registered land documents and building plan approved by the concerned authority containing the particulars of multipurpose hall was also submitted to the Regional Committee along with the reply of the Show Cause Notice. The land area required for establishment of the four-year integrated B.A. B.Ed. B.Sc. B.Ed. is less than 1 acre and as per the circular 06/10/2016 of the State Government less than 1 acre agriculture land is not required to be changed into institutional land. However, the institution has already submitted application with the concerned authority for change of land use and submitted the required fee also. The non-encumbrance certificate was submitted along with the reply to the show cause notice with the Regional Committee."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution preferred appeal against the impugned minutes but did not appear before Appeal Committee on 30.05.2017 as per notice given to him. As per extant appeal rules, upto three adjournments can be allowed to an appellant to appear before the Committee for making personal presentation of the case. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the appellant to appear before the Committee for making personal presentation.


AND WHEREAS Dr. Pawan Verma, Principal and Dr. Veer Singh, Vice Principal, M.R.S. Shri Krishna Pranami Girls PG College, Bhadra, Nohar Road, Bhadra, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the course of hearing the appellant submitted copies of the No Objection Certificates dt. 28.05.2016 issued by the Registrar, Maharaja Ganga Singh University, Bikaner, a copy of Non-encumbrance certificate dt. 21.03.2017 issued by the Deputy Registrar, Bhadra, a copy the Land Use Certificate dt. 11.04.2017 issued by Nagarpalika, Bhadra (Hanumangarh); and a copy of the building plan indicating the name of the course.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the appellant in their appeal letter dt. 26.4.2017 has given replies to the various points raised in the minutes of the NRC meeting held on 21.1.2017, wherein a decision was taken to issue a show cause notice and also enclosed copies of various documents mentioned in para 6 above. In these circumstance, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider once again the submissions of the appellant and the documents submitted by them and take further action as per the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to furnish the information contained in their letter dt.

26.4.2017 with all supporting documents to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider once again the submissions of the appellant and the documents submitted by them and take further action as per the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to furnish the information contained in their letter dt. 26.4.2017 with all supporting documents to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of M.R.S. Shri Krishna Pranami Girls PG College, Bhadra, Nohar Road, Bhadra, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, M.R.S. Shri Krishna Pranami Girls PG College, Bhadra, Nohar Road, Bhadra – 335501, Rajasthan
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

14



F.No.89-163/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Badri Prasad Mahavidyalaya, Arifpur, Mandanpur, Sagari, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 16/02/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14960/261st Meeting/2016/163620 dated 23/12/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "in the online application submitted by the institution, Khasra No. of the land has been mentioned as 196, whereas registered land documents submitted by the institution reveal Khasra No. as 108 and the CLU has been issued for Khasra No. 396."

AND WHEREAS Shri Vijayshankar Yadav, Manager and Rramashry, Clerk, Shri Badri Prasad Mahavidyalaya, Arifpur, Mandanpur, Sagari, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/05/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "All the documents as mentioned in the 258th meeting have been deposited in person on 15/11/2016. The ownership rights of khasra no. 196, 108gh, 108n have been mutated in the name of Shri Badari Prasad Mahavidyalaya. According to regulation 2014 land is sufficient. The documents demanded by NRC Jaipur have been submitted according to regulation 2014. All the documents submitted by me are genuine."


AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 04.05.2017 submitted a written request for grant of another opportunity to bring land related documents. Appeal rules permit upto three adjournments sought by appellant. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to grant another opportunity.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Vijay Shankar Yadav, Representative, Shri Badri Prasad Mahavidyalaya, Arifpur, Mandanpur, Sagari, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the course of personal presentation, the appellant submitted a letter dt. 21.08.2017 and enclosed copies of certain land related documents. These include a copy of the CLU dt. 22.01.2015 in respect of 0.269 hect in Khasra No. 196 in addition to another CLU in respect of 1.80 AR in Khasra No. 108 and mutation documents in respect of land in Khasra No. 196 and 108 in the name of Badri Prasad Mahavidyalaya.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the submission/clarifications furnished by the appellant are satisfactory, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the various documents submitted by the appellant and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC, all the land related documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the various documents submitted by the appellant and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC, all the land related documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shri Badri Prasad Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 196, 108GH, 108N, Village – Arifpur, P.O.-Mandanpur, Tehsil – Sagari, District – Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Shri Badri Prasad Mahavidyalaya, Plot No. 196, 108GH, 108N, Village – Arifpur, P.O.-Mandanpur, Tehsil – Sagari, District – Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh – 276124
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-168/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kalindi College of Education Baraut, Bagpat, Uttar Pradesh dated 20/02/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-4631/256th Meeting (Part-2)/2016/158679 dated 23/09/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed./M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Institution was given show cause notice vide letter dt. 22.09.2015 with direction to submit the reply within 30 days. The institution did not submit any reply of show cause notice."

AND WHEREAS Kalindi College of Education Baraut, Bagpat, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 04/05/2017 but nobody appeared. In the appeal memoranda it is submitted that "due to late approval of teaching faculty". As per extant appeal rules, an appellant is entitled to seek upto three adjournments. As the appellant did not appear before the Appeal Committee on 4.5.2017, Committee decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the appellant to make their personal presentation.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Y. S. Tomar, Treasurer, Kalindi College of Education Baraut, Bagpat, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the course of presentation, the appellant submitted a copy of their letter dt. 05.11.2015, sent to the NRC as a reply to their show cause notice dt. 22.09.2015. Their letter bears the receipt stamp of the NRC no. 147023 dt. 05.11.2015.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the NRC issued a Letter of Intent (LoI) to the appellant institution on 26.3.2015 with a direction to fulfil the requirements mentioned therein within two months of its issue. As no reply was received within the prescribed time, NRC issued a show cause notice on 22.9.2015, which was to be replied within 30 days of its issue. The NRC in their 256th meeting held from 22nd to 25th August, 2016 decided to refuse recognition on the ground that the institution was given show cause notice vide letter dt. 22.9.2015 with direction to submit the reply within 30 days and the institution did not submit any reply of show cause notice and issued the refusal order on 23.9.2016.


AND WHEREAS the Committee noted from the documents submitted by the appellant that he sent a reply to the show cause notice on 5.11.2015, which was received in the NRC, but the original of that reply is not found in the file. The Committee noted from

a copy of that reply that the appellant furnished replies to various points and requested that their application may not be processed and some time may be given to them and also stated that very soon staff will be appointed and information sent. The Committee further noted that after issue of the refusal order, the appellant sent an undated letter to the NRC, which was received on 18.11.2016 and placed in the file. With this letter the appellant enclosed various documents required as per the Letter of Intent including a letter dt. 11.11.2016 from Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut approving the faculty.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noting that the appellant sent a reply to the show cause notice and furnished the documents required in the Letter of Intent shortly after the refusal order, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the appellant's reply received on 18.11.2016 and take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward a copy of their letter dt. 5.11.2015 with all its enclosures to NRC within 15 days of receipt of order on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the appellant's reply received on 18.11.2016 and take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward a copy of their letter dt. 5.11.2015 with all its enclosures to NRC within 15 days of receipt of order on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Kalindi College of Education, Plot No. 820, Baraut, Bagpat, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Appellant, Kalindi College of Education, Plot No. 820, Baraut, Bagpat, Uttar Pradesh – 250611**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-170/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Jokhan Mahavidhalaya, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 28/02/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-2913/261st/Meeting/2016/164187 dated 30/12/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution submitted lists of faculty dt. 16.03.2015 claimed to have been approved by the affiliating university on the basis of which it was granted recognition by NCTE to run the B.Ed. course. The affiliating university i.e. Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur made a complaint vide its letter dated 28.07.2016 received in NRC office on 07.08.2016 against some institutions including the present one that the list of faculty claimed to have been approved by the affiliating university on 16.03.2015 has not been issued by the university and the university approved the list only on 01.04.2016. The institution has thus submitted a fake list of faculty for seeking grant of recognition. The list of faculty dt. 01.04.2016 submitted by the institution vide its letter received in NRC office on 28.10.2016 in response to SCN dt. 17.09.2016 cannot be accepted now since institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course by NRC on 09.04.2015 for which institution submitted the fake list of faculty."

AND WHEREAS Jokhan Mahavidhalaya Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of appellant institution on 04/05/2017 but nobody appeared. As per extant appeal rules, an appellant can seek upto three adjournments for making personal presentation of the case before Appeal Committee. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to grant another (second) opportunity to appellant institution to appear before the Appeal Committee for making oral and personal presentation of the case.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Kanhaya Singh Yadav, Member, Jokhan Mahavidhalaya Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the appeal Memoranda, it is submitted that "the recognition has been erroneously and wrongly withdrawn as the Registrar committed an error in informing that the recognition was obtained on fake faculty list and the Registrar corrected the error committed by their office. Hence withdrawal of recognition is unwarranted and recognition erroneously withdrawn."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the NRC issued the Letter of Intent (LoI) to the appellant institution on 22.1.2015. The institution submitted a reply dated Nil received in the NRC on 19.3.2015, enclosing a number of documents, which included copies of two letters dt. 16.3.2015 issued by the Registrar, Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur approving the names of one HOD and seven lecturers for B.Ed. course in the appellant institution. The NRC, after considering the matter in their 234th meeting held on 30-31 March and 1st April, 2015, issued recognition order on 9.4.2015 for an intake of 100 (two units) from the academic session 2015-16.


AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the Registrar, Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur wrote a letter dt. 28.7.2016 to the Regional Director, NRC enclosing a list of institutions which were granted recognition and mentioning the dates of issue of Letter of Intent, approval of the faculty by the University and order of recognition. In that letter the Registrar requested the NRC to verify the correctness of these documents and take necessary action. In respect of the appellant the dates of issue of LoI, approval of faculty and recognition order have been mentioned as 22.1.2015, 1.4.2016 and 9.4.2015 respectively. NRC, finding that while recognition order was issued on 9.4.2015 on the basis of the approval of the faculty by the university on 16.3.2015, as intimated by the appellant, the university in their letter dt. 28.7.2016 intimated that the date of their approval of faculty was 1.4.2016, in their 256th meeting held from 22nd to 25th August, 2016 decided to issue a show cause notice to the appellant. The show cause notice was issued on 7.9.2016. The appellant, in their reply dt. 28.10.2016 stated that as the earlier approved staff did not join, they had to select new faculty members and get them approved. The appellant with that letter enclosed a copy of the university's letter dt. 16.3.2015 approving the name of the HoD and a copy of another letter of the university dt. 1.4.2016 approving seven lecturers. The appellant enclosed an affidavit stating the circumstances leading to the cancellation of the earlier appointments and selection/approval of new faculty.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted from the file that the NRC did not seek verification of the authenticity of the university's earlier letters dt. 16.3.2015 and the letter dt. 1.4.2016 enclosed to the appellant's letter dt. 28.10.2016. As the appellant has given reasons for appointing new staff approved by the university, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to get all the approvals intimated by the appellant verified by the university and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. In the meantime, the order of withdrawal, shall be kept in abeyance.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the

Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to get all the approvals intimated by the appellant verified by the university and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. In the meantime, the order of withdrawal, shall be kept in abeyance.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Jokhan Mahavidhyalaya, Plot – 712, 751, Village – Ekara, PO-Gaura, Taluk-Saidpur City – Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Jokhan Mahavidhyalaya, Plot – 712, 751, Village – Ekara, PO-Gaura, Taluk-Saidpur City – Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh – 233307**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-173/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/05/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Patel Co-Education TT College New Gharsana, Ganganagar, Rajasthan dated 28/02/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-11052/261st Meeting/2016/165173 dated 11/01/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Reply dated 27.07.2016 submitted by the institution in response to show cause notice dated 16.08.2016 clearly proves that the institution is not offering under graduate or post graduate programme of studies in the field of Liberal Arts or Humanities or Social Science or Science or Mathematics for grant of recognition for four year integrated programme of B.A.B.Ed./ B.Sc.B.Ed. as required by clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 and clause 1.1 of Appendix-13 (Norms and Standards for B.A.B.Ed./ B.Sc.B.Ed.)."

AND WHEREAS Patel Co-Education TT College New Gharsana, Rajasthan was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 05/05/2017 but nobody appeared. As per extant appeal rules, upto three adjournments can be allowed to an appellant for making personal presentation of the appeal case. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to grant second (2nd) opportunity to the appellant for making personal presentation of the case.

AND WHEREAS Dr. N. Kumar, Representative, Patel Co-Education TT College New Gharsana, Ganganagar, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the appeal, during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 21.08.2017, the appellant submitted that (i) the NRC granted recognition for B.Ed. course vide order No. F.No./NRC/NCTE/RJ-1393/208/58868 Dt. 25.08.2008; (ii) the NRC had sought consent from various recognised institutions to come under Regulations, 2014. Accordingly, their institution had also submitted its willingness by means of affidavit and consequently revised recognition order dated F.NO./NRC/NCTE/RJ-1393/2015/96352 Dt. 20.04.2015 was issued to their institution; (iii) Clause 8 of NCTE Regulations, 2014 provides that New Teacher Education Institutions shall be located in composite institutions and the existing teacher education institutions shall continue to function as stand-alone institutions, and gradually move towards becoming composite institution; (iv) in order to comply with these provisions they applied for the course under consideration; (v) their application was duly considered by NRC in accordance with the NRC

Act, 1993 and Regulations, 2014 and upon finding the documents etc. to be in order, inspection was caused by the visiting team; (vi) thereafter, NRC decided to issue letter of intent (LOI) as contained under Regulation 7(13) of the Regulation, 2014 prior to issuance of formal order of recognition; (vii) they submitted certain documents sought by NRC which comprised of copies of FDR of Endowment Fund and Reserve Fund in joint account of RD, NRC, NCTE and Society, downloaded copies of website of the institution with hyperlinks as per Regulations, 7(14), list of faculty duly approved by affiliating body etc; (viii) the case of their institution was reconsidered by NRC in its 254th meeting held from 28th to 30th June, 2016 wherein NRC took a decision to issue show cause notice to their institution mentioning that "the applicant institution has not submitted any proof/ evidence that it is offering under graduate or post graduate programme of studies in the field of Liberal Arts or Humanities or Social Science or Science or Mathematics for getting grant of recognition for 4 years integrated programme leading to B.Sc. B.Ed./ B.A. B.Ed. degree as has been mentioned in clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 and clause 1.1 of the Appendix 13 (Norms and Standards for B.Sc. B.Ed./ B.A. B.Ed. degree"; (ix) as per clause 8 of the 2014 Regulations, their institution which is an existing institution, shall gradually move towards becoming composite institution; (x) the Regulations have defined institutions offering more than one teacher education programme also as composite institutions and therefore, an institution offering two or three teacher education programmes need not take any further action in the matter, however, an institution shall have to seek recognition for one more programme if currently it is offering only one programme; (xi) Regulation 7(13) does not contain any stipulation with regard to provision of proof/evidence of composite institutions; (xii) the B.Ed. programme being run is also a degree programme and the faculty involved in teaching would be considered as extended faculty; (xiii) the SCN has been issued at a very belated stage and the principle of promissory estoppel would operate in their case as a decision to issue LOI has already been taken; and (xiv) the appellant has reliably learnt that other Regional Committees have considered and processed applications from institutions which were stand alone like the appellant without requirement of undergraduate programmes.

AND WHEREAS the appellant, in their letter dated 21.08.2017, has drawn attention to the Council's letter dated 10.2.2017 in which it has been stated that the 4 year integrated teacher education programme can also be given to a teacher education institution offering a single teacher education programme, provided the affiliating university agrees to regulate the BA/B.Sc. component of the integrated programme, as per university norms. The appellant also submitted that the Hon'ble High Court for Rajasthan, Bench at Jaipur in their order dt. 17.04.2017 in S.B. Civil Writ petition NO. 14247/2016 filed by Ambika Teacher Training College, Jodhpur, directed the NRC to dispose of the representation of the petitioner in the context of NCTE's letter dt. 10.02.2017. The appellant also enclosed a copy


of the Council's appellate order dt. 05.07.2016, wherein the NRC was directed to process the application of Guru Nanak Dev College of Education, Chandigarh for B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. course as that college was running other teacher training programmes.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the provisions of para 1.1 of the Norms and Standards for 4-year Integrated programme leading to B.Sc. B.Ed./ B.A. B.Ed. degree, contained in Appendix-13 to the NCTE Regulations, 2014, this programme aims at integrating general studies comprising science (B.Sc. B.Ed.) and social sciences or humanities (B.A., B.Ed.) and professional studies comprising foundations of education, pedagogy of school subjects and practicum related to the tasks and functions of a school teacher. In the light of these provisions, availability of B.A./ B.Sc. courses in an institution intending to offer the integrated B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. programmes, can only fulfil the objectives of the integrated programme. The Committee also noted that keeping this in view, the NCTE in their letter dt. 07.04.2016 addressed to the Regional Committees laid down that (i) the application for a 4-year integrated programme can be processed, if the applicant has simultaneously applied to the affiliating body for a graduate programme, and (ii) the affiliating body has undertaken to regulate the B.A./B.Sc. component of the integrated programme, in accordance with the curriculum and norms of the University. The latter condition has been re-iterated in the NCTE's letter dt. 10.02.2017.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, notwithstanding a different view taken in some cases earlier, is of well-considered opinion that the applications for introduction of integrated 4 year B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. should satisfy the requirements mentioned in para 5 above for fulfilling the objectives of the integrated programme, clearly laid down in the preamble of the Norms and Standards for this course. The B.Ed. programme being conducted by the appellant institution, can, under no, circumstances, be considered as an undergraduate programme of study in the field of liberal arts or humanities etc. mentioned in clause 2 (b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. In the above circumstances the Committee concluded that, as the appellant has not fulfilled the required conditions mentioned in para 5 above, the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Appellant, Patel Co Education T.T. College, New Gharsana, 64/47, Ownership, STR, Gharsana, Ganganagar, Rajasthan – 335711**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
4. **The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.**



F.No.89-174/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/05/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mascot College of Education, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh dated 28.02.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP-201615316/ dated 11/02/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "Certified registered land documents have not been submitted. Photocopy of the registered land documents are not acceptable as per the provision of the NCTE regulations, 2014. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/ permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3) (b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

AND WHEREAS Mascot College of Education, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 05/05/2017 but nobody appeared. As per extant appeal rules, upto three adjournments can be allowed to an appellant for making personal presentation of the appeal case. Appeal Committee decided to grant second opportunity to the appellant for making personal presentation of the case.


AND WHEREAS Sh. Nikhil Arora, Administrator and Dr. Jai Karan Mathur, H.O.D, Mascot College of Education, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.08.2017 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the appeal memoranda it is submitted that they have received a show cause notice from the concerned department showing the deficiency in the copy of the land documents. They have submitted the copy of land documents whereas certified copy of land documents was required due to which their file was rejected by the department. The appellant along with the appeal submitted certified copies of the registered land documents.

AND WHEREAS the Committee, noting that the appellant has got certified copies of the registered land documents which were required by the NRC, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to

consider the documents, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to submit the certified copies of the registered land documents to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the documents, to be submitted to them by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to submit the certified copies of the registered land documents to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mascot College of Education, 16th K.M. Milestone Pilibhit Road, Rithora, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Appellant, Mascot College of Education, 16th K.M. Milestone Pilibhit Road, Rithora, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh – 243122**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-175/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/05/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Government Sanskrit College, Patna, Bihar dated. 27/02/2017 is against the Order No. ERC/227.9.24/8852/B.Ed./ERCAPP201645065/2016/51358 dated 16/02/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 07.11.2016 on the following grounds: i) The applicant submitted demand draft of Rs. 150000/ as processing fee. As per the online NCTE portal, payment through online only is accepted. ii) the applicant mentioned in the online application that the type of management is Govt. aided. Proof of Govt. aided institution is not submitted. b. in response to SCN, the institution submitted its reply dated 23.11.2016 with a proof of Govt. aided institution. The ERC considered the reply of the institution and found that the institution is still deficient on the following grounds. i) the applicant submitted demand draft of Rs. 150000/- as processing Fee. As per the online NCTE portal, payment through online only is accepted In view the above the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing code no. ERCAPP 201645065 of the institution regarding recognition of B.Ed. programme is refused under section 14(3) (b) of NCTE Act, 1993."

AND WHEREAS Government Sanskrit College, Bihar was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 05/05/2017 but nobody appeared. As per extant appeal rules, upto three adjournment can be allowed to an appellant for making personal presentation of the case. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to grant second (2nd) opportunity to the appellant for making personal presentation of the case.


AND WHEREAS Dr. R.P. Chaudhur, Principal and Dr. Shivram Choudhary, Office Assistant, Government Sanskrit College, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 21.08.2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation, it is submitted that due to unavoidable technical reason, they submitted DD of Rs.

150000/- against online payment. As per instructions for filling up the online application 6(ii) payment is permissible through demand draft.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that according to the instructions for submitting on-line application issued by the NCTE, the payment gateway provides for three modes of payment only, viz. Credit Card, Debit Card and Net-banking. Therefore, payment by any other mode such as demand draft is not permitted. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Principal, Government Sanskrit College, Kajipur Road, No.4, Patna Sadar, Patna, Bihar – 800004**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, (NCTE), 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-176/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/05/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Mahatma Buddha Teachers Training College, Sitamarhi, Bihar dated 02/03/2017 is against the Order No. ER – 213.6(i).23/ERCAPP2486/D.El.Ed. (Addl. Course)/2016/46276 dated 02/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that “(i) The M.Ed. mark sheet of one faculty namely Sashi Ranjan is a down loaded copy without signature of the issuing authority wherein, it is clearly written “this cannot be treated as original mark sheet”. Hence the Committee could not ascertain the % of marks and the authenticity of M.Ed. Degree. (ii) The M.Ed. mark sheet of one faculty namely Mukesh Kumar is not legible. Hence the Committee could not ascertain the % marks and the authenticity of M.Ed. Degree. (iii) The mark sheet of M.A. in Education of one faculty namely Madhu Kumari is a provisional Mark Sheet without seal and signature of the issuing authority. (iv) Copies of educational certificates/marksheet of Dharmendra Kumar (Fine Art Teacher), Kumari Bharati (Music Teacher) and Reeta Gupta (Physical Education Teacher not submitted.”

AND WHEREAS Mahatma Buddha Teachers Training College, Sitamarhi, Bihar was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 05/05/2017 but nobody appeared. In the appeal memoranda it is submitted that “ERC did not point out the said deficiency and did not issue show cause notice in accordance with section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act and Regulation 7(17) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution had the original and attested copy of M.Ed. Degree of the faculty which could have been submitted to ERC, if the said deficiency was pointed out. ERC did not point out deficiency and did not issue show cause notice as per section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act and Regulation 7(17) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution had provisional mark sheet issued by the competent authority which could have been submitted to ERC, if the said deficiency was pointed out. ERC did not point out deficiency and did not issue show cause notice as per section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act and Regulation 7(17) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. Institution had submitted the

educational certificate / mark sheet of Dharmendra Kumar (Fine Art Teacher), Kumari Bharati (Music Teacher) and Reeta Gupta (Physical Education Teacher) to ERC. However, still if the ERC would have pointed out the said deficiency, the institution would have rectified the same by submitting necessary certificates. The committee was not justified to observe that it was not possible to grant additional unit. The committee ought to have followed the procedure of issuing show cause notice and if the institution would have submitted the necessary documents, the ERC should have granted permission for additional unit of 50 students for the academic session 2016-17 or 2017-18 as the case may be."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 20.02.2017 in W.P. Case No. 39545/2016 and no. 39550/2016 has directed the appellant to file appeal which was needed to be considered by Appellate Committee before 03.03.2017 i.e. the last date for granting approval. Appeal Committee noted that appellant's request and appeal papers were received in the office of NCTE on 03.03.2017 and as such there was no way the appeal could have been decided before 03.03.2017. The appellant was asked to appear before Appeal Committee on 05.05.2017 for making personal presentation of the case but appellant did not appear. Sh. Roop Kishore Mahto, Secretary of the appellant institution appeared before the Appeal Committee on 22.08.2017 and reiterated its submission made in the appeal Memoranda.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had applied for grant of 2 units of D.El.Ed. programme and after issue of Letter of Intent under clause 7(13) submitted list of faculty with a request to grant recognition for 2 units of D.El.Ed. programme. ERC on consideration of the list of faculty found that the list of faculty submitted by the appellant institution was lacking on ground that M.Ed. degree and M.A. marksheet of 3 members of faculty were not submitted.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that ERC issued recognition order dated 02.05.2016 granting recognition for one unit of D.El.Ed. programme as deficiencies noted in the faculty list could not have been removed by appellant before the cut off date i.e. 02.05.2017 for grant of recognition for the academic year 2017-18. The deficiencies were not intimated to the appellant institution before granting recognition for one unit of the D.El.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee

further noted that decision of ERC not to issue Show Cause Notice (SCN) before grant of formal recognition for one unit was taken in greater interest of the appellant institution as issue of SCN and rectification of deficiencies may have resulted in losing of enrolment of students for the session 2016-17.

AND WHEREAS appellant in its submission by Dhruv Kishor Mahto, Secretary of the institution before the Appeal Committee on 22.08.2017 has submitted copies of relevant degree and documents pertaining to faculty in whose case these documents were found to be deficient. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to ERC for grant of second unit of D.El.Ed programme for the next academic year.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral argument advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for considering the rectification of deficiencies reported by the appellant institution. The appellant institution should submit copies of relevant degrees, certificates and mark sheets to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mahatma Buddha Teachers Training College, Plot No. 1775, Village – Dhrub Nagar, PO-Kamaldah Panchmile, Tehsil-Bathnaha, Sitamarhi, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Mahatma Buddha Teachers Training College, Plot No. 1775, Village – Dhrub Nagar, PO-Kamaldah Panchmile, Tehsil-Bathnaha, Sitamarhi, Bihar – 843322**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, (NCTE), 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-186/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Shri Ram Teachers Training College, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 26.02.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10860/260th Meeting/2016/163335 dated 20/12/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution was given show cause notice vide letter dt. 20/09/2016 with direction to submit the reply within 30 days. The institution did not submit any reply of show cause notice till date."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Manoj Singh, Trustee, Shri Ram Teachers Training College, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 22.08.2017 and submitted proof that it is a composite institution with in time. Letter received in NCTE dated 20/10/2016."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 27.05.2015 seeking recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. programme. The appellant institution did not submit any information on page 2, 4 & 5 of the application form which relates to existing programmes or details of applications seeking recognition for programmes other than teacher education programmes. Clause 3(a) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 prescribes that 'recognition for commencement of new teacher education programmes will be offered in composite institution.' A composite institution is defined in clause 2(b) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 as under:

" 'Composite institution' means a duly recognised higher education institution offering under undergraduate or post graduate programmes of study in the field of liberal arts or humanity or social science or sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of teacher education programme."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that a Show Cause Notice dated 20.09.2016 was issued to applicant institution on the ground that it has not submitted any proof/evidence of being a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of the Regulations. Committee further noted that impugned refusal order dated 20.12.2016 is on the ground that no reply to SCN was submitted whereas appellant stated that reply to SCN was submitted on 20.10.2016 diarised at serial no. 153194 of NRC Jaipur.


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution vide its reply dated 20.10.2016 has first stated that an institution need not be composite as per NCTE Regulations and then subsequently stated that appellant society is having Sri Ram Law College under it agies. Appellant further stated that appellant society is also having a Degree College at Ghatampur, Kanpur Nagar.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee after noting the submission made by appellant noted that address of the Degree College is different from the address of proposed Teacher Training College and also the name of applicant society is different. Further, the law college cannot be termed as an institution which qualifies for making an institution composite under clause 2(b) of the Regulations.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee after going through the facts narrated in para 5 and 6 above decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 20/12/2016 issued by NRC issued by NRC, Jaipur.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Shri Ram Teachers Training College, 1449, 1435, 1434, 1433, 1432, 1431, Free Hold Ragistry, Nandana, Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh – 209206**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-187/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/11/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Krishna Janka Devi Mahavidyalaya, Uttar Pradesh dated 12.03.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-12707/260th Meeting/2016/16442-27 dated 04/01/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution was given show cause notice vide letter dated 16.11.2015 with direction to submit the reply within 30 days. The institution did not submit any reply of show cause notice till date."


AND WHEREAS Ms. Prem Kanti, Manager, Sri Krishna Janka Devi Mahavidyalaya, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.08.2017 and submitted that "Application for issuing the NOC for B.El.Ed. course is under process in the affiliating body i.e. Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 16.11.2015 was issued to appellant institution on ground of its failure to submit NOC of affiliating body. Appellant vide its appeal memoranda has stated that its application for grant of NOC is still under process of the affiliating body i.e. Chatrapati Sahu ji' Maharaj University, Kanpur. Clause 5(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 provides for submission of NOC issued by affiliating body alongwith scanned copy of the application. Clause 7(i) of the Regulation provides for summary rejection of all such applications which are not accompanied by requisite documents or are incomplete.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee after noting that appellant institution has not been able to submit NOC of Affiliating body, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 04/01/2017 issued by NRC.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Sri Krishna Janka Devi Mahavidyalaya, Naharpur, Rura, Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh – 209303**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-191/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS The appeal of S.S. Degree College, Uttar Pradesh dated 03.03.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10895/260th Meeting/2016/164415 dated 04/01/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution was given show cause notice vide letter dated 06.11.2015 with direction to submit the reply within 30 days. The institution did not submit any reply of show cause notice till date."


AND WHEREAS Sh. Rohit Kumar, Clerk, S.S. Degree College, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.08.2017 and submitted that "the institution is recognised for conducting Arts and Sciences Degree College since 2014. The affiliating body i.e. Chattrapati Shahu ji Maharaj University, Kanpur has imposed a ban on issue of fresh NOC and said that applicant institution does not require NOC as it is already conducting B.A./B.Sc. courses."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 06.11.2015 was issued to applicant institution on the ground that NOC of affiliating body was not submitted with the hard copy of application. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution did not submit reply to the SCN and on issue of the impugned refusal order has come up with a justification that NOC is not required. Clause 5(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 stipulates that NOC issued by affiliating body is to be submitted alongwith application. For the purpose of submission of NOC no differentiation is made between an existing or a new institution. Also the appellant has failed to submit reply to the SCN dated 06.11.15.

AND WHEREAS Appeal committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 04/01/2017 issued by NRC.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, S.S. Degree College, Jatuli Hariyawan Hardoi, Village – Jatuli Post – Hariyawan, Hardoi, Uttar Pradesh – 241001**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-192/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/08/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Vidya College of Education, P. Kumaralingapuram, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu dated 04.03.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/NRCAPP201630071/B.Ed-AI/TN/2017-18/ dated 28/02/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. – AI course on the grounds that “1. They have fulfilled all requirements no doubt. 2. but, the fact remains that it will not be in accordance with Regulations to go beyond 2 units of B.Ed. 3. It will be unfair to them to say ‘No’ now. But, it will be ‘illegal to say ‘yes’ to them. While expressing regret for the avoidable inconvenience caused to them by our earlier order in another case, we have no option, but to reject his application. 4. Return FDR’s, if any. 5. Close the file. 6. Issue the Rejection order.”

AND WHEREAS Sh. K. Angayan Kumaran, CEO and Dr. P. Raja, Principal, Sri Vidya College of Education, P. Kumaralingapuram, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.08.2017. Appellant submitted that “All conditions have been fulfilled for additional intake of 1 unit B.Ed. It is not published in the public notice issued in March 2016. If it is so, our application for Additional 1 unit B.Ed. must not have been entertained by NCTE Southern Region, Bangalore, and inspection must not have been taken to issue rejection order. It is fair to say “Yes” and even legal to say “yes” since another institution in Tamil Nadu was granted permission to issue LOI for B.Ed. Additional 1 unit in the 329th Meeting of SRC held on 6th and 7th February 2017. Original FDRs are with us. Kindly don’t close the file and consider it favourably Because all relevant infrastructure has been created. Kindly issue the LOI for Additional 1 unit of B.Ed.”


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 31.05.2016 seeking recognition for an additional intake of one unit of its existing B.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee further noted that the appellant institution was inspected on 11th -12th February, 2017 and the Visiting Team after noting that institution is already recognised for conducting B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats, recommended grant of an additional unit. The appellant institution was refused recognition for additional unit of B.Ed. on the ground that according to New Regulations, the maximum intake permissible is only two units and the appellant institution is already having B.Ed. (2 units).

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee in this context noted that para 3.1 of Appendix 4 relating to Norms and Standards for B.Ed. programme mentions that there shall be a basic unit of 50 students, with a maximum of two units. Para 6.1 of the norms further laydown that 'For an annual intake beyond two hundred and upto three hundred, it shall possess land of 3500 Sq. Meters. Appeal Committee also noted that appellant institution is an established institution already conducting B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. programme since 2007 and M.Ed. programme since 2016. Such composite institutions are allowed to expand by adding to the built up area as prescribed under para 6.1 of the Norms and Standards for B.Ed. programme (Appendix 4). Increase in intake beyond 2 units is not permissible in such institutions which are not composite.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee is also of the considered view that had it been the intention of SRC not to allow additional intake beyond two units in composite institutions the application should not have been processed and inspection also should not have been conducted. Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 28/02/2017 with a direction to further process the application. It is also advised that refusal/rejection orders issued by SRC should be appropriately worded so as to avoid internal expressions while decision making by the Committee.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned rejection order dated 28/02/2017 with a direction to further process the application.

NOW THEREFORE, after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned rejection order dated 28/02/2017 with a direction to further process the application.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Managing Trustee, Sri Vidya College of Education, P. Kumaralingapuram, Sivakasi Main Road, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu – 626005
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore – 560 072
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bangalore.



F.No.89-196/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of B.U. College of Education, Sangrur, Punjab dated 28.02.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-13246/251st Meeting/2016/165017 dated 11/01/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the reply of show cause notice dt. 01/03/2016."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Luqman Asad, Member Secretary and Sh. Abdu Rashid, President, B U College of Education, Sangrur, Punjab presented the case of appellant institution on 22.08.2017 and submitted that "the decision of NRC, NCTE is not correct because this institution, B U College of Education has already submitted reply of 1st show cause notice vide this office letter no. NCTE-BUCE/18/B.A.B.Ed. dated 27/02/2016 (NRC, NCTE receipt no. 133166 dt. 29/02/2016 refers.) and reply of 2nd show cause notice vide this office letter no. NCTE-BUCE/26/B.A.B.Ed. dated 20-22/04/2016 (NRC, NCTE receipt no. 139533 dt. 26/04/2016 refers.) It is again submitted that BU College of Education is an existing teacher education institution duly recognized by NRC, NCTE vide NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-832/200th Meeting/2012/24173 dt. 28/06/2012 and conducting D.El.Ed. programme since the year 2012. It is further submitted that, B U College of Education has also mentioned in the online form applied for grant of permission to conduct B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. course. You are therefore, requested to set aside the incorrect decision of NRC, NCTE and grant to this institution B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. course. Needless to mention, this institution has already created all the infrastructure meeting NCTE Norms to conduct B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. course."


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted the impugned refusal order dated 11.01.2017 was issued by NRC Jaipur on the ground that the institution has not submitted reply to Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 01.03.2016. The SCN dated 01.03.2016 was on the ground that institution has not submitted evidence that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of Regulations, 2014. Prior to the issue of SCN the appellant institution was inspected on 21.01.2016. Page 3 of the Inspection Report

which gives information about other courses being conducted at the site of inspection is missing from the inspection report. The appellant has, however, submitted required information about the existing programmes being conducted by the appellant society. As regards submitting a reply to SCN dated 01.03.2016, appellant submitted a copy of its reply to SCN which is shown received in the office of NRC and diarised at Diary No. 133533 dated 26.04.2016. The above said letter is not available on the regulatory file of NRC.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for considering the reply dt. 22/04/2016 (received in the office of NRC on 26/04/2016). Appellant is required to re-submit a copy of its reply dated 22/04/2016 to NRC alongwith enclosure within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal affidavit documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case NRC for considering the reply dated 22/04/2016 which was received in the office of NRC on 26/04/2016. Appellant is required to resubmit a copy of its letter dated 22/04/2016 alongwith the enclosure to NRC Jaipur within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of B.U. College of Education, Nabha Road, Upoki (Uppal Kheri), Maler Kotla, Sangrur, Punjab to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, B.U. College of Education, Nabha Road, Upoki (Uppal Kheri), Maler Kotla, Sangrur, Punjab – 148023
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab, Haryana.



F.No.89-197/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Rajputana T.T. College, Kotputli, Jaipur, Rajasthan dated 06.03.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-15591/261st Meeting/2016/164946 dated 10/01/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Applicant institution's name is Rajputana Teachers Training College, whereas the NOC issued by the affiliating body is for Rajputana PG College. The institution has not given clarification to the show cause notice on this point. The application columns remain blank regarding existing Teacher Education programme/other programmes. The institution is not running any programme at present (NCTE Regulations, 2014 under clause 2(b) and has not enclosed the affiliation copy of those programmes. Hence, does not fulfil the requirement of composite institution. The building plan does not bear plot no. and land record address corresponding to other documents. Why two land documents were enclosed with two different ownerships. The discrepancies exist in the land ownership, plot no. 619's ownership is in the name of an individual and not the institution. The building completion certificate indicates possession of plot no. 619. This is against the requirement as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The reply given by the institution is not clear."

AND WHEREAS Sh. M.S. Choudhary, President, Rajputana T.T. College, Kotputli, Jaipur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.08.2017 and submitted that "Both institutions are same and NOC and affiliation order is attached with it Secretary name Land is extra."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 10.01.2017 was issued by NRC on following grounds :

- (i) Applicant institution name is Rajputana Teacher Training College whereas NOC is issued by affiliating body in the name of the 'Rajputana P.G. College.
- (ii) Related columns in the application form are blank.
- (iii) Institution is not running any programme and is not composite.

(iv) Building Plan does not bear plot number.

(v) Land documents are enclosed with two different ownerships.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that Rajputana Shiksha Anushtthan Sansthan, Kotputli is the applicant society which made online application dated 30.06.2015 seeking recognition for B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. programme. The NOC issued by University of Rajasthan, Jaipur and submitted by appellant institution on 18.03.2016 after issue of Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 11.12.2015 was in the name of Rajputana P.G. College and was issued by affiliating body after the cut-off date for receipt of applications. The date of issue of NOC being late the NOC was not acceptable and NRC should not have processed the application further and conducted inspection.


AND WHEREAS application was processed further by NRC and an inspection of the institution was also conducted on 30.05.2016. The inspection report reveals that the applicant society is already conducting graduation and post-graduation level courses in the same campus which is confirmed by orders issued by University of Rajasthan, Jaipur. The appellant during the course of appeal presentation submitted a building plan which bears plot number/khasra number as filed up in the application form. It is duly supported by Building Completion Certificate (BCC) issued by Asstt. Engineer, Panchayat Samiti Kothputli.

AND WHEREAS keeping in view the facts stated in para 4 & 5 above, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for re-examination of the case afresh keeping in view that:

- (i) NOC submitted by appellant institution was time barred.
- (ii) Institution is stated to be composite by the Visiting Team.
- (iii) Appellant has submitted the building plan and BCC approved by competent authority and the documents bear plot identification no.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for revisiting the case in light of point (i), (ii) & (iii) of para 6.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Rajputana T.T. College, Shyam Nagar, Dabla Road, Kotputli, Jaipur, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Rajputana T.T. College, Shyam Nagar, Dabla Road, Kotputli, Jaipur, Rajasthan – 303108**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-204/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER


WHEREAS the appeal of Sneha B.Ed. College, Markapur, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh dated 08/03/2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP2459/B.Ed/AP/2016-17/91309 dated 24/01/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "they have not replied to the LOI issued on February 2016 for B.Ed. (2 units). We cannot wait indefinitely. Reject the application. Return FDRs, if any. Close the file."

AND WHEREAS Sh. G.V. Subrahmaniam, Joint Secretary, Sneha B.Ed. College, Markapur, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22/08/2017 and stated that "the appellant institution could not follow up its case with the affiliating body due to ill health of the correspondent and delay occurred in giving reply to the LOI. Also no Show Cause Notice was received by the institution before issue of rejection order".

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that proviso to section 14/15 (3) (b) of the NCTE Act provides for giving a reasonable opportunity to the institution for making a written representation before passing order of refusal. SRC in this case has not issued a Show Cause Notice to the appellant institution before issuing impugned refusal order dated 24/01/2017. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to SRC for following the procedure prescribed under the NCTE Act before issuing necessary order under section 14/15 of the NCTE Act.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for issue of Show Cause Notice (SCN) to the appellant institution in compliance with proviso to Section 14/15 (3) (o) of the NCTE Act.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sneha B.Ed. College, 147/2, Sai Balaji Educational Society, Gorugunthalapadu, Markapur, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Sneha B.Ed. College, 147/2, Sai Balaji Educational Society, Gorugunthalapadu, Markapur, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh – 523332**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore – 560 072
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.



F.No.89-208/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Ujas College of Education, Sangner, Jaipur, Rajasthan dated 06/03/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10772/258th Meeting/2016/173444-50 dated 02/05/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "the institution was given show cause notice vide letter dt. 15.12.2015 with direction to submit the reply within 30 days. The institution did not submit any reply of show cause notice till date."

AND WHEREAS Sh. P. K. Gupta, Director and Ms. Lata Sharma, P.A, Ujas College of Education, Sangner, Jaipur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 22/08/2017 and submitted that "Reply to Show Cause Notice was submitted on 18/01/2016. Further the NOC from University of Rajasthan was submitted to NRC on 18/04/2016".


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 27/05/2015 seeking recognition for 4 year Integrated B.A B.Ed/B.Sc B.Ed programme. Appellant did not submit alongwith its application NOC of the affiliating body for which a Show Cause Notice (SCN) was also issued on 15/12/2015. The appellant submitted reply dated 18/04/2016 to the SCN and submitted with it copy of NOC dated 16/04/2016 issued by University of Rajasthan, Jaipur. The impugned refusal order dated 02/05/2017 for the reason that 'the institution did not submit any reply of Show Cause Notice till date' is not justified and tenable on the ground that institution did not submit reply to SCN.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, however, took note of the provision contained in clause 5(3) of the NCTE Regulation, 2014 which stipulates that online application shall be submitted alongwith processing fee and scanned copies of documents such as NOC issued by concerned affiliating body. Appeal Committee noted that the NOC was submitted by the appellant with its reply to SCN is dated

16/04/2016 whereas the online application is dated 27/05/2015. The NOC issued by affiliating body on 16/04/2016 cannot be treated as acceptable document as application was submitted in May, 2015 and last date for submitting hard copy of application was 15.7.2015. Appeal Committee therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 02/05/2017 issued by NRC.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, Affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 02/05/2017 issued by NRC.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Ujas College of Education, Vill.-Ganwar Brahman Post Dadiya Vatika Teh-Sanganer, Jaipur, Rajasthan – 303905**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-220/2017 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/05/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Pt. Tripurari Mishra Adarsh Mahavidalaya, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 26.02.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-8509/261st Meeting/2016/163795 dated 27/12/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the reply of show cause notice dated 08.09.2016 issued by the NRC, NCTE in stipulated time period."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Prashant Mishra, Office Clerk and Sh. Shubham Mishra, Clerk, Pt. Tripurari Mishra Adarsh Mahavidalaya, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.08.2017. In the appeal Memoranda, it is stated that "Due to non issue of recognition order, the faculty members could not join and therefore their documents were not available in the institution."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that a Letter of Intent dated 06.06.2015 was issued to appellant institution seeking compliance within a period of two months subsequently a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 14.08.2015 was issued on ground of non submission of reply to LOI and further requiring the appellant institution of furnish NOC from affiliating body and an affidavit regarding composite nature of the institution. After considering the reply dated 15.09.2015 submitted by the appellant institution, NRC issued the first refusal order dated 09.10.2015 on the ground of non submission of (i) list of faculty and (ii) NOC of affiliating body. The above refusal order dated 09.10.2015 was appealed against and Appellant Body issued appeal order dated 23.03.2016 remanding back the case to NRC for considering the compliance report to be submitted by appellant institution within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders. Appeal orders were based on the appellant's statement that i) it had submitted timely reply to SCN and ii) has received approval of affiliating body.

AND WHEREAS the impugned refusal order issued by NRC on 27.12.2016 is on the ground that 'Institution has not submitted the reply to Show Cause Notice dated 08.09.2016 issued by NRC in a stipulated time period.' Appeal Committee does not find on the relevant regulatory file any communication from the appellant institution which can be termed to be


in compliance of the earlier Appeal order dated 23.03.2016 wherein appellant was asked to submit a compliance report within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee observed that appellant alongwith its Appeal Memoranda has made available a list of 15 faculty and one HOD approved by Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur on 12.02.2016 but it is also a fact that no compliance was reported to NRC Jaipur. Appellant's plea that faculty could not join as recognition order was not issued by NRC is not sustainable as submission of list of faculty approved by affiliating body alongwith necessary particulars of the faculty is a pre-requisite to issue of formal recognition.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee is convinced that reasons given by appellant institution for non-submission of a) reply to show cause notice dated 8.9.2016 and of compliance report in accordance with earlier appeal order dated 23.3.2016, are not justifiable and tenable. The impugned refusal order dated 27.12.2016 is therefore, confirmed.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on records and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 27.12.2016 as reply to show cause notice dated 8.9.2016 and compliance as required under 1st Appeal order dated 23.3.2016 were not submitted by appellant institution.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Pt. Tripurari Mishra Adarsh Mahavidhyalaya, Rohuwa Mustafabad, Garha Azamgarh, Vill-Kharaila PO-Saraipaltoo, Dist. Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh – 276302**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-819/2016 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Bharathiyar College of Education, Attur, Salem, Tamil Nadu dated 10/12/2016 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE /SRCAPP3490/B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed/TN/2016-17/79065 dated 22/12/2015 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the ground of "Non-submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with application."


AND WHEREAS Sh. K. Ramaswamy, Secretary and Sh. S. Kumar, Admin Officer, Bharathiyar College of Education, Attur, Salem, Tamil Nadu was asked to presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.08.2016 and submitted that "since there was a confusion to get NOC either from Periyar University or Tamil Nadu Teacher Education University, we waited for clarification. The NOC was finally issued by TTEU on 05.01.2016."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that submission of NOC issued by affiliating body alongwith application is a mandatory requirement under clause 5(3) read with clause 7(1) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. As the appellant institution has failed to submit NOC issued by affiliating body even in response to the SCN dated 21.10.2015, the issue of impugned refusal order dated 22.12.2015 is justified. Moreover, appellant has preferred appeal at a much belated stage i.e. after 10 months of delay and has also not stated any reason for the delay.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee keeping in view the circumstances narrated in para 3 above, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 22.12.2015.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on records and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 22.12.2015.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Bharathiyar College of Education, 49/3, 4A, Own, Deviyakurichi, Attur, Salem, Tamil Nadu – 636112**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore – 560 072
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-774/2016 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
 Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Barnala Road, Sirsa, Haryana dated 25/11/2016 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10163/257st (Part-3)/2016/159128 dated 27/09/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "there is no evidence that the list of the teachers submitted by the applicant institution has been approved by the Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor of the University and the same has not been signed by the Registrar of the University, It has been sent to the NRC by the Dean, Faculty of Education. Faculty appointed by the University is not as per Regulations, 2014."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Kuldip Kumar, Assistant Registrar and Prof. Monika, Professor, Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Barnala Road, Sirsa, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/03/2017 and 22.08.2017 in the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the University was already having sufficient faculty for D.P.Ed. course at the time of filing the reply to show cause notice. However, the separate lists of faculty members were attached. Now, the consolidated list of faculty members in the Department of Physical Education is attached which is approved by the Vice-Chancellor and signed by the Registrar of the University. The appointment of Physiotherapist has been made and he has joined."

AND WHEREAS in the course of presentation, the appellant gave a letter dated 23.03.2017 requesting an opportunity to re-submit all the relevant documents relating both to B.P.Ed. and D.P.Ed. courses. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

AND WHEREAS Prof. Monika and Prof. Ravinder Pal, Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Barnala Road, Sirsa, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 22.08.2017 and made an oral request that "separate list of faculty for D.P.Ed. and B.P.Ed. as approved by Registrar of affiliating body shall be made available shortly."


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that list of faculty for D.P.Ed. programme approved by the authority and signed by Registrar, Ch. Devi Lal University, Sirsa was made available to Appeal Committee on 23.08.2017 during its 15th Meeting. Appeal Committee

noted that appellant University has selected one Head of Department (shared with B.P.Ed.), 6 Asstt. Professors (on contractual basis), one Librarian (regular) one physio therapist, 3 full time sports experts, one Dietician and ICT Instructor.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that appellant University through its Registrar has also certified that the faculty and other staff selected for appointment is duly approved by Hon'ble Vice Chancellor. According to para 5.3 of Appendix 6 relating to Norms and Standards for D.P.Ed. course, the terms & conditions for service of teaching and non teaching staff shall be as per policy of state government / affiliating body. Since appellant itself is a University established by the state government. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the list of faculty and staff submitted by the appellant. Appellant University is required to submit copy of the list of faculty approved by Vice Chancellor to NRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit documents are record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the revised list of faculty approved by Registrar of the appellant University. Appellant University is required to submit copy of the list of faculty approved by Vice Chancellor to NRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Barnala Road, Sirsa, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Registrar, Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Barnala Road, Sirsa, Haryana – 125055**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Rajasthan.

U3



F.No.89-778/2016 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Barnala Road, Sirsa, Haryana dated 25/11/2016 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10172/257th (Part-3) Meeting/2016/159131 dated 27/09/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "there is no evidence that the list of the teachers submitted by the applicant institution has been approved by the Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor of the University and the same has not been signed by the Registrar of the University. It has been sent to the NRC by the Dean, Faculty of Education. Moreover, in one list (signed by the Chairman, Deptt. Of Physical Education, CDLU, Sirsa) Dr. Monika Verma has been designated as "Professor" whereas in another list she has been designated as "Associate Professor". Same is the case with respect of Prof. Ravinder Pal Ahlawat."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Kuldip Kumar, Assistant Registrar and Prof. Monika Verma, Dean, Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Barnala Road, Sirsa, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 23/03/2017 and 22.08.2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the University was already having the sufficient faculty for B.P.Ed. course at the time of filing the reply to show cause notice. However, the separate lists of faculty members were attached. Now, the consolidated list of faculty members in the Department of Physical Education is attached, which is approved by the Vice-Chancellor and signed by the Registrar of the University. Dr. Monika Verma and Ravinder Pal Ahlawat, both were Associate Professor."


AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee considered the list of faculty submitted by appellant. The faculty list is approved by the Vice Chancellor and is signed by Registrar, Ch. Devi Lal University, Sirsa. Appeal Committee observed that Prof. Ravinder Pal has been designated as Principal and also shown in list of 2 Associate

Professors. This would mean that still there is a vacancy at the Level of Associate Professor.

AND WHEREAS since the appellant is a Department of State University, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC Jaipur for revisiting the eligibility of appellant institution for conducting B.P.Ed. programme. The appellant is required to resubmit to NRC Jaipur a consolidated list containing the names and particulars of faculty and Principal duly approved by Vice Chancellor and authenticated by Registrar of the University within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

AND WHEREAS after personal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for reconsidering the eligibility of appellant institution for conducting B.P.Ed. programme. The appellant is required to resubmit to NRC Jaipur a consolidated list containing the names and particulars of faculty and Principal duly approved by Vice Chancellor and authenticated by Registrar of the University within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Barnala Road, Sirsa, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.


(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Registrar, Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Barnala Road, Sirsa, Haryana – 125055
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Rajasthan.

44



F.No.89-807/2016 Appeal/14th Meeting-2017

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 16/10/17

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Maulana Azad Institute of Humanities Science and Technology, Mahmudabad, Sitapur, Lucknow dated 05/12/2016 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/UP-2020/257th (Part-2) Meeting/2016/159633 dated 06/10/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the applicant institution has never been granted unconditional recognition to start M.Ed. course. All reasonable opportunities were provided by the NRC to the institution to submit the list of the faculty duly approved by the affiliating University. The applicant institution did not submit the approved list despite getting several opportunities. In compliance of the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 10/09/2013, NRC was duty bound to process this application as per the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. As per clause 4.1 of Appendix 5 of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 the basic unit size for the M.Ed. programme shall be 50. As per clause 6.1 of appendix 5 of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 for an intake of 50 students the faculty should be Professor – two, Associate Professor – two, Assistant Professor – six. NCTE Regulations, 2014 do not permit the NRC to reduce the number of students in a basic unit of M.Ed. course i.e. from 50 to 35. Moreover, there is no provision in the NCTE Regulations, 2014 which empowers the NRC to allow an institution to admit 35 students and reduce the number of faculty members accordingly from 10 to 6-7. This is beyond the power of the NRC as per the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution is free to admit students less than 30 but the Regulations do not permit the NRC to allow proportionate reduction in the faculty. The number of faculty members will have to be the same i.e. 10 (two Professors, two Associate Professors and six Assistant Professors). The applicant institution has till date not submitted the list of the faculty members, in the requisite number, duly approved by the competent authority of the affiliating University. On the basis of the above observations NRC had decided not to grant recognition to the applicant institution for M.Ed. course."

AND WHEREAS no one from Maulana Azad Institute of Humanities Science and Technology, Mahmudabad, Sitapur, Lucknow appeared on behalf of the appellant institution on 24/03/2017 and 29.05.2017. In the appeal memoranda, it was submitted that "the advertisement for appointment was published and eligible candidates had submitted their details, but due to change of Regulations, all the process for granting recognition was withheld as per direction of Hon'ble Apex Court and Regulations, 2014 came into being in November, 2014 and by the time the eligible candidates were appointed they were not interested as selection could not be finalized. The FDR No. 721039 amounting to Rs. 500000 dated 16/12/2015 and FDR No. 721040 dated 16/12/2015 amounting to Rs. 700000 of UCO Bank Mahmudabad as per Regulations 2014 were sent through speed-post-dated 22/12/2015 along with original form 'A' vide letter dated 22/12/2015. The proof of composite institution which was issued by the affiliating University has also been submitted through letter dated 22/12/2015". Sh. Atique Ahmed representative of the institution made an oral presentation of the case on 22.08.2017. Appeal Committee asked the representation to submit copy of detailed biodata of faculty appointed by institution in respect of M.Ed. programme which he submitted on the next day.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has submitted approval letters issued by affiliating body in different years for appointment of faculty for M.Ed. programme as under:

- i) Dr. Prem Chand Yadav, Lecturer M.Ed., Approved on 29.12.2009.
- ii) Dr. Sayedda Wasim Fatima, Lecturer M.Ed., Approved on 29.12.2009.
- iii) Dr. Ram Nishore Singh, Lecturer M.Ed., Approved on 29.12.2009.
- iv) Dr. Savita Mishra, Reader, Approved on 26.04.2011
- v) Dr. Dunga Ram Bhatnagar, Reader, Approved on 11.02.2014
- vi) Dr. Jay Kumar Chouhan, Professor, Approved on 19.09.2014.
- vii) Narender Singh, Asstt. Professor, Approved on 29.10.2015.
- viii) Ms. Pooja Katiyar, Asstt. Professor, Approved on 29.10.2015
- ix) Sh. Om Parkash, Asstt. Professor, Approved on 05.08.2017
- x) Sh. Shiv Veer Singh, Asstt. Professor, Approved on 05.08.2017.

AND WHEREAS approval of faculty spread over a span of 8 years may be due to the reason that application made by appellant institution dates back to year 2006

and issue of LOI somewhere in the year 2009 when unit size of M.Ed. programme was 35 students. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for considering the fresh list of faculty approved by the affiliating University. Appellant institution is also required to submit a consolidated list of faculty alongwith copies of approval letters received from Chhatrapati Shahuji Maharaj University, Kanpur from time to time to NRC, Jaipur within a period of 15 days from the date of issue of appeal orders.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the consolidated list of faculty to be submitted by the appellant institution within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Maulana Azad Institute of Humanities Science and Technology, Mohalla – Sangat Qila Mahmudabad, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Maulana Azad Institute of Humanities Science and Technology, Mohalla – Sangat Qila Mahmudabad, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh – 261203**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.