

1



F.No.89-389/E-5405/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of R.S. Sharda Devi Education College, Nenaha Mahua Road, Hajipur, Bihar dated 03.04.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/234.6.9/APP2274 (Part-3)/B.Ed./2017/51656 dated 29/05/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "In case of D.El.Ed., the institution has submitted the same faculty list of 16 members out of which the names of 14 faculty find place in B.Ed. faculty list. The faculty list in respect of D.El.Ed. was not approved by Bihar School Examination Board. As the D.El.Ed. programme has been refused, therefore, B.Ed. programme comes under standalone category which is not permissible as per Regulatory, 2014. The Committee decided to refuse B.Ed. programme under Section 14 (3) (b) of NCTE Act, 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** Ms. Sujata Anand, Secretary, R.S. Sharda Devi Education College, Nenaha Mahua Road, Hajipur, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Approval once granted cannot be reviewed on the subsequent date with the same set of facts. We fulfil all the criteria and norms laid by NCTE. For intake of one unit, eight faculty is required. We have submitted list of 16 faculties for both the courses. It is composite institution, so the norms 2014 are followed. The approved faculty list of 16 + 1 by Aryabhat Knowledge University."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that ERC in its 234<sup>th</sup> Meeting held from 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2017 to 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2017 first decided to grant recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. with an intake of one unit vide Minutes recorded under item no. 56. Subsequently on noticing that faculty list submitted by appellant institution is not approved by Bihar School Examination Board (BSEB), ERC reviewed its decision in

the same meeting and decided to refuse recognition and accordingly impugned refusal order dated 16.03.2017 was issued.

**AND WHEREAS** appellant in its appeal memoranda has stated that ERC did not have jurisdiction to review its own decision after recognition has been granted. Appeal Committee observed that Regional Committee had not issued any formal recognition order after decision was taken to grant recognition in the 234<sup>th</sup> Meeting and Regional Committee has the powers to reconsider a decision which, it might feel, have been taken erroneously. The affiliating body in respect of D.El.Ed programme is Bihar School Examination Board and ERC found list of faculty submitted by appellant was not approved by that authority. The list of faculty was approved by Aryabhat Knowledge University, Patna. It contained names of certain faculty which were common in the D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. faculty list. The appellant vide its letter dated 31.07.2016 informed ERC that faculty recruitment list for D.El.Ed course is still pending with BSEB which is the affiliating body. Since the faculty list for D.El.Ed. programme was required to be approved by BSEB and it was not done so, ERC, has decided to refuse recognition for D.El.Ed. programme which resulted in B.Ed. programme to be a standalone programme not permissible under the extant regulations. Appeal Committee therefore, finds that ERC was within its powers to have reviewed a decision taken erroneously and by oversight of factual position.

**AND WHEREAS** appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 03.07.2017 submitted copy of the same list of faculty after getting it countersigned by the Bihar School Examination Board also. The plea of the appellant now is that since the list of 16 faculty is approved by both the affiliating bodies, recognition for one unit each of B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. be granted.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that qualification, percentage of marks/required for appointment of faculty and teaching experience for D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. programme are different and the norms and standards also for each programme are different. As such there cannot be a single list of faculty for both the courses. Appeal Committee also considers that since the appellant has a list of faculty approved by both the affiliating bodies, it will not be justified to confirm the refusal order on the ground of non-furnishing of the list of faculty approved by

affiliating body. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to set aside the impugned refusal order with direction to ERC to give appellant institution another opportunity to submit separate lists of faculty for B.Ed. programme as well as D.El.Ed. programme approved by respective affiliating bodies within a period of 30 days.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned refusal order with direction to ERC to give appellant institution another opportunity to submit separate list of faculty for B.Ed and D.El.Ed. programme approved by respective affiliating bodies within a period of 30 days and take further action as per Regulations, 2014.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, R.S. Sharda Devi Education College, Nenaha Mahua Road, Hajipur – 844124, Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.

2



F.No.89-225/E-101//2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of R.S. Sharda Devi Education College, Nenaha Mahua Road, Hajipur, Bihar dated 23.03.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/234.6.9/APP2273 (Part-3)/D.El.Ed./2017/51655 dated 16/03/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "(i) The faculty list approved by the affiliating body i.e. Bihar School Examination Board not submitted along with other documents as per letter of intent issued u/c 7(13). (ii) The same faculty list of B.Ed. programme submitted along with other relevant documents for consideration to the D.El.Ed. programme also which cannot be considered as per Rules. In case of D.El.Ed., the institution has again submitted the same faculty list of 16 Members out of which the names of 14 faculties find place in the B.Ed. faculty list of 16 Members. Therefore, it is not accepted by the committee. In case of B.Ed., as the D.El.Ed. programme has been refused, B.Ed. programme now come under the standalone category which is not permissible as per Regulation, 2014. The Committee decided that the above decision may be read as under: - The committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP2274 of the institution regarding recognition of B.Ed. Programme is hereby refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** Ms. Sujata Anand, Secretary, R.S. Sharda Devi Education College, Nenaha Mahua Road, Hajipur, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Approval once granted cannot be reviewed on the subsequent date with the same set of facts. We fulfil all the criteria and norms laid by NCTE. For intake of one unit, eight faculty is required. We have submitted list of 16 faculties for both the courses. It is composite institution, so the norms 2014 are followed. The approved faculty list of 16 + 1 by BSEB was submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that ERC in its 234<sup>th</sup> Meeting held from 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2017 to 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2017 first decided to grant recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. with an intake of one unit vide Minutes recorded under item no. 56. Subsequently on noticing that faculty list submitted by appellant institution is not approved by Bihar School Examination Board (BSEB), ERC reviewed its decision in the same meeting and decided to refuse recognition and accordingly impugned refusal order dated 16.03.2017 was issued.


**AND WHEREAS** appellant in its appeal memoranda has stated that ERC did not have jurisdiction to review its own decision after recognition has been granted. Appeal Committee observed that Regional Committee had not issued any formal recognition order after decision was taken to grant recognition in the 234<sup>th</sup> Meeting and Regional Committee has the powers to reconsider a decision which, it might feel, have been taken erroneously. The affiliating body in respect of D.El.Ed programme is Bihar School Examination Board and ERC found list of faculty submitted by appellant was not approved by that authority. The list of faculty was approved by Aryabhat Knowledge University, Patna. The appellant vide its letter dated 31.07.2017 informed ERC that faculty recruitment list for D.El.Ed course is still pending with BSEB which is the affiliating body. Appeal Committee therefore, finds that ERC was within its powers to have reviewed a decision taken erroneously by oversight of factual position.

**AND WHEREAS** appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 03.07.2017 submitted copy of the same list of faculty after getting it countersigned by the Bihar School Examination Board also. The plea of the appellant now is that since the list of 16 faculty is approved by both the affiliating bodies, recognition for one unit each of B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. be granted.

**AND WHEREAS** appeal Committee further noted that qualification, percentage of marks/required for appointment of faculty and teaching experience for D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. programme are different and the norms and standards also for each programme are different, there cannot be single list of faculty for both the courses. Appeal Committee also considers that since the appellant has a list of faculty approved by both the affiliating bodies, it will not be justified to confirm the refusal

order on the ground of non furnishing of the list of faculty approved by affiliating body. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to set aside the impugned refusal order with direction to ERC to give appellant institution another opportunity to submit separate list of faculty for D.El.Ed. programme as well as for B.Ed. programme approved by respective affiliating bodies within a period of 30 days.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned refusal order with direction to ERC to give appellant institution another opportunity to submit separate list of faculty for D.El.Ed. as well as B.Ed. programme approved by respective affiliating bodies within a period of 30 days and take further action as per Regulations, 2014.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, R.S. Sharda Devi Education College, Nenaha Mahua Road, Hajipur – 844124, Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-313(A)/E-2213/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Raipur Rabindra Vivek D.El.Ed. Institute, Sagar, Burdwan Chandrahathi Road, Burdwan, West Bengal dated 19/04/2017 is against the Order No. ERC/232.8.5/ERCAPP3291/D.El.Ed./2016/51418 dated 20/02/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 19.12.2016 on the following grounds: - (i) Inspection letter to the institution as well as VT experts was issued on 09.04.2016. (ii) The institution vide letter dated 23.06.2016 requested to fix the new date of the inspection. (iii) An e-mail has been received on 29.08.2016 from Dr. U.N. Singh informing that the institution is not ready for inspection. (iv) As per NCTE Regulation 2014, inspection of the institution shall not be conducted as per the consent of the institution. (v) The Committee has not accepted the request of the institution. b. No reply received in response to SCN dated 19.12.2016. a copy of the resolution regarding change of Secretary of the institution was only received. The Committee considered the matter and found that the institution is still deficient on the grounds of show cause notice. In view of the above, the committee decided as under: - The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP3291 of the institution regarding recognition of D.El.Ed. Programme is refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Vijay Kumar Sahu, Member and Kishore Kumar Bit, Secretary, Raipur Rabindra Vivek D.El.Ed. Institute, Sagar, Burdwan Chandrahathi Road, Burdwan, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "After going through uploaded contents of the 226<sup>th</sup> ERC, NCTE meeting we responded on 08/12/2016. After receiving the hard copy of the show cause notice dated 19/12/2016 we again responded on 09/01/2017 and 31/01/2017. All the documents were acknowledged by the officer of NCTE, Bhubaneswar putting seal and signature. In reply of para 2 of the show cause notice dated 19/12/2016 it is

stated that the institution never received any letter from NCTE regarding inspection. The Secretary of the trust received a telephone call from Dr. U.N. Singh regarding inspection. Trust earlier to receiving the call decided to start its academic session from 2017-18 instead of 2016-17. The institution prayed for fixing a new date of inspection on the basis of its decision to defer to start its academic session. Email from Dr. U.N. Singh was never forwarded to us as such we are not aware about the content of said Email. We again state that the institution was ready for inspection much before allotment for VT. Our institution has accepted the decision of the Committee not to accept the request of the institution and we tender our unconditional apology. No reason or explanation was given by the ERC, NCTE for coming in to prima facie opinion that the institution does not fulfil the statutory requirements of the NCTE Act 1993. The institution is ready complying all the statutory provisions rules and regulations of NCTE Act since 2015 and we requested ERC, NCTE to send their representative to inspect our institution and we informed NCTE through placing various officials documents and photographs stated that our institution is ready for inspection and further course of action for necessary approval."

**AND WHEREAS** from the minutes of 226<sup>th</sup> Meeting of ERC held on 29-30 Nov, 2016 it is observed that appellant institution vide its letter dated 23.06.2016 had requested for fixing another date of inspection. The above letter is available on the B.Ed. file of the Institution. The ERC did not accept the request of appellant for fixing a new date of inspection and issued a Show Cause Notice dated 19.12.2016. The appellant submitted its reply to Show Cause Notice vide letter dated 09.01.2017 and 31.01.2017. It is also observed that Dr. U.N. Singh one of the VT members vide email dated 29.8.2016 had sent a copy of letter dated 22.4.2016 which was also received in ERC on 30.9.2016 informing ERC that the College Management did not agree to get inspection conducted on their intriguing excuse of non completion of building.

**AND WHEREAS** the Appeal Committee noted from the regulatory file and documents submitted at the time of hearing that the appellant vide letter dated 9.1.2017 informed ERC that they did not receive any official communication regarding inspection. They only came to know when the VT informed over telephone about conducting inspection. The VT never visited the institution. The appellant also informed that the building was ready at the time of inspection for which they had




submitted a building completion certificated dated 15.4.2015 and photographs for the same.

**AND WHEREAS** the Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution had submitted reply to Show Cause Notice vide its letter dated 09.01.2017 and 31.01.2017. With regard of second ground of refusal, it appears that the VT perhaps did not visit the appellant institution during the inspection period. They should have visited the institution and verified the physical location of the building at least.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee observed that the impugned refusal order on the ground that no reply was received in response to SCN dated 19.12.2016 is not tenable and also there is a contradiction between the statement submitted by VT and appellant institution regarding non-completion of building. The VT has not submitted proforma given for conduct of inspection. The Appeal Committee, in the above circumstances, decided to remand back the case to ERC for conducting inspection of the institution on payment basis . The appellant is required to deposit inspection fee to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral argument advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for conducting inspection of the institution on payment basis. The appellant is required to deposit inspection fee to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Raipur Rabindra Vivek D.El.Ed. Institute, Sapar, Burdwan Chandrahati Road, Burdwan, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Raipur Rabindra Vivek D.El.Ed. Institute, Sapar, Burdwan Chandrahati Road, Burdwan, West Bengal – 713102.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-313(B)/E-2213/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date:

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Raipur Rabindra Vivek D.El.Ed. Institute, Sapar, Burdwan Chandrahathi Road, Burdwan, West Bengal dated 26/04/2017 is against the Order No. ERC/234.8.6/ERCAPP3289/B.Ed./2017/52420 dated 19/04/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was issued on 19.12.2016 on the following grounds: (i) Inspection letter to the institution as well as VT experts was issued on 09.04.2016. (ii) The institution vide letter dated 23.06.2016 requested to fix the new date of the inspection. (iii) An e-mail has been received on 29.08.2016 from Dr. U.N. Singh informing that the institution is not ready for inspection. (iv) As per NCTE Regulation 2014, inspection of the institution shall not be conducted as per the consent of the institution. (v) The Committee has not accepted the request of the institution. b. The composite file D.El.Ed. course (ERCAPP3291) has already been refused in 232<sup>nd</sup> ERC Meeting. Therefore, the B.Ed. Programme is comes under the category of standalone institution. c. As per NCTE Regulation 2014, standalone institution is not permissible for granting recognition. In view the above, the committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP3289 of the institution regarding recognition of B.Ed. Programme is hereby refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Vijay Kumar Sahu, Member and Raipur Rabindra Vivek D.El.Ed. Institute, Sapar, Burdwan Chandrahathi Road, Burdwan, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "After going through uploaded contents of the 226<sup>th</sup> ERC, NCTE meeting we responded on 08/12/2016. After receiving the hard copy of the show cause notice dated 19/12/2016 we again responded on 09/01/2017 and 31/01/2017. All the documents were acknowledged by the officer of NCTE, Bhubaneswar putting seal and signature. In reply of para 2 of

the show cause notice dated 19/12/2016 it is stated that the institution never received any letter from NCTE regarding inspection. The Secretary of the trust received a telephone call from Dr. U.N. Singh regarding inspection. Trust earlier to receiving the call decided to start its academic session from 2017-18 instead of 2016-17. The institution prayed for fixing a new date of inspection on the basis of its decision to defer to start its academic session. Email from Dr. U.N. Singh was never forwarded to us as such we are not aware about the content of said Email. We again state that the institution was ready for inspection much before allotment for VT. Our institution has accepted the decision of the Committee not to accept the request of the institution and we tender our unconditional apology. No reason or explanation was given by the ERC, NCTE for coming in to prima facie opinion that the institution does not fulfil the statutory requirements of the NCTE Act 1993. The institution is ready complying all the statutory provisions rules and regulations of NCTE Act since 2015 and we requested ERC, NCTE to send their representative to inspect our institution and we informed NCTE through placing various officials documents and photographs stated that our institution is ready for inspection and further course of action for necessary approval."

**AND WHEREAS** from the minutes of 226<sup>th</sup> Meeting of ERC held on 29-30 Nov, 2016 it is observed that appellant institution vide its letter dated 23.06.2016 had requested for fixing another date of inspection. The above letter is available on the B.Ed. file of the Institution. The ERC did not accept the request of appellant for fixing a new date of inspection and issued a Show Cause Notice dated 19.12.2016. The appellant submitted its reply to Show Cause Notice vide letter dated 09.01.2017 and 31.01.2017. It is also observed that Dr. U.N. Singh one of the VT members vide email dated 29.8.2016 had sent a copy of letter dated 22.4.2016 which was also received in ERC on 30.9.2016 informing ERC that the College Management did not agree to get inspection conducted on their intriguing excuse of non completion of building.

**AND WHEREAS** the Appeal Committee noted from the regulatory file and documents submitted at the time of hearing that the appellant vide letter dated 9.1.2017 informed ERC that they did not receive any official communication regarding inspection. They only came to know when the VT informed over telephone about conducting inspection. The VT never visited the institution. The appellant also


informed that the building was ready at the time of inspection for which they had submitted a building completion certificated dated 15.4.2015 and photographs for the same.

**AND WHEREAS** the Appeal Committee observed that the appellant institution had submitted reply to Show Cause Notice vide its letter dated 09.01.2017 and 31.01.2017. With regard of second ground of refusal, it appears that the VT perhaps did not visit the appellant institution during the inspection period. They should have visited the institution and verified the physical location of the building at least.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee observed that the impugned refusal order on the ground that no reply was received in response to SCN dated 19.12.2016 is not tenable and also there is a contradiction between the statement submitted by VT and appellant institution regarding non-completion of building. The VT has not submitted proforma given for conduct of inspection. The Appeal Committee, in the above circumstances, decided to remand back the case to ERC for conducting inspection of the institution on payment basis. The appellant is required to deposit inspection fee to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral argument advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for conducting inspection of the institution on payment basis. The appellant is required to deposit inspection fee to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Raipur Rabindra Vivek D.El.Ed. Institute, Sapar, Burdwan Chandrahati Road, Burdwan, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Raipur Rabindra Vivek D.El.Ed. Institute, Sapar, Burdwan Chandrahati Road, Burdwan, West Bengal – 713102.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-317/E-2576/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Mother Terasa College of Physical Education, Veerapatti, Mettusalai, Illuppur, Tamil Nadu dated 25/04/2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP201630157/M.P.Ed/TN/2017-18/92898 dated 20/04/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The NOC is from the State Govt. and not from the affiliating body."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. R. Gandhi Nathan, P.A. to Chairperson, Mother Terasa College of Physical Education, Veerapatti, Mettusalai, Illuppur, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the NOC issued by the Govt. of Tamil Nadu and the Staff list approved by the affiliating body to the SRC-NCTE to start M.P.Ed. course at Mother Terasa College of Physical Education from the academic year 2017-18. As per the Tamil Nadu Physical Education and Sports university in its letter Ref.No.TNPESU/YWSDD/NOC/2017 dated 12.04.2017 clarified " that the University is an affiliating body, and it will only recommend to the Government to issue the "No Objection certification " as it is a regular practice of the Tamil Nadu Physical Education and Sports University" the same is also submitted to the SRC-NCTE on 13.04.2017. Since the Tamil Nadu Physical Education and Sports University is an affiliating body Issued the staff approval and recommended to the Govt. to issue the NOC to start M.P.Ed. course in our College, it is clear that the University has No Objection to start M.P.Ed. course at Mother Terasa College of Physical Education from the academic year 2017-18."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 30.06.2016 seeking recognition for M.P.Ed. programme. The appellant institution failed to enclose with its application 'No Objection

Certificate' (NOC) issued by affiliating body as required under clause 5(3) of the NRC Regulations, 2014. Appeal Committee further noted that SRC's decision to conduct Inspection of the Institution without ensuring availability of NOC of affiliating body was not justified. Inspection of the Institution was conducted on 18.02.2017. Appeal Committee further observed that the state government of Tamil Nadu vide its letter dated 30.03.2017 conveyed its positive recommendation to the Registrar, Tamil Nadu Physical Education and Sports University, Chennai which is the affiliating body.

**AND WHEREAS** the impugned refusal order dated 20.04.2017 on the ground that NOC is from the State Government and not from the affiliating body is therefore, substantiated. Recommendation of State Government is obtained by Regional Committee under clause 7(4) of the regulations whereas under clause 5 (3) the onus of obtaining and submitting NOC issue by affiliating body rests with the applicant institution. Appeal Committee, noting that NOC was not submitted by appellant institution, decided to confirm the refusal order dated 20.04.2017.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC is confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Managing Trustee, Mother Teresa College of Physical Education, Veerapatti, Mettusalai, Illuppur Post – 622102, Tamil Nadu.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-319/E-2554/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Rajendra Academy for Teachers Education, Gopalpur, Durgapur, West Bengal dated 10/04/2017 is against the Order No. ER-239.12.6 (Part 2)ERCAPP 3045/D.El.Ed Addl/2017/52680 dated 30.04.2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course for one additional unit.

**AND WHEREAS** Sri Kumar Nair, representative, Rajendra Academy for Teachers Education, Gopalpur, Durgapur, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "this institute had applied for additional intake of 02 units of D.El.Ed. course vide application no APP3045. The inspection for the said application was carried out on 6<sup>th</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 successfully without any deficiency. ERC vide 234<sup>th</sup> meeting part 5 dated 3rd march, 2017 Sl. No. 24/234.5.2 has issued LOI for one basic unit instead of 02 unit without any explanation. This institute is ready in all respects infrastructure wise and all other amenities."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 30.05.2015 seeking recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. programme (Additional Intake). The increase in intake applied for was mentioned in the appellant's letter dated 03.07.2015. No affidavit is found to have been enclosed with the application form where applicant is supposed to declare the intake applied for. Appeal Committee also observed that appellant institution is already conducting B.Ed. programme (2 units) and D.El.Ed. (One Unit) and with grant of additional one unit the intake for D.El.Ed. has also become 2 units i.e. 100 seats. The Inspection of the institution was however, conducted on 06.01.2017 for 2 additional units of D.El.Ed. programme.

**AND WHEREAS** the Visiting Team in its report dated 06.01.2017 recommended grant of 2 additional units of D.El.Ed programme but at the same time V.T. also informed that the Institution has proposed two other teacher education programmes as B.Ed. (Addl.) and M.Ed.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that E.R.C in its 234<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> February to 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2017 decided to issue LOI for one unit of basic intake. The appellant institution made a representation dated 11.03.2017 stating that the decision to issue LOI for one additional unit be reconsidered and two additional units be given. Appeal Committee also noted that formal Letter of Intent has not been issued while reporting compliance vide its letter dated 17.04.2017 appellant again submitted an affidavit dated 12.04.2017 conveying its willingness for one unit of additional intake of D.El.Ed programme. Appeal Committee keeping in view that (i) appellant institution did not state in clear terms at the time of making application that it is applying for 2 additional units; (ii) has simultaneously applied for B.Ed. (additional intake) and M.Ed programme; (iii) has submitted affidavit dated 12.04.2017 seeking recognition for one additional unit of D.El.Ed programme, decided to confirm the impugned order dated 30.04.2017 granting recognition for one additional unit of D.El.Ed programme.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm, the impugned order dated 30.04.2017 granting recognition for one additional unit of D.El.Ed. programme.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Rajendra Academy for Teachers Education, Gopalpur, Durgapur, West Bengal – 713212.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.





F.No.89-320/E-2499/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Prayas Education College, Mahantpur, Damoh, Madhya Pradesh dated 29/04/2017 is against the Order No. WRC/APP3431/222/265<sup>th</sup>/(M.P.)/2016/178394,395,396,397,398,399,400 dated 13.01.2017 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Notarized copy of CLU/Diversion order not submitted. Notarized copy of Building Completion Certificate not submitted. No Objection Certificate from the affiliating body not submitted by the institution. FDRs not submitted as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. As per records, the institution is not a composite institution. AND WHEREAS, reply not submitted by the institution. The matter was placed before WRC in its 266<sup>th</sup> meeting held on January 10-12, 2016 and the Committee decided that "...Clarification letter/Show Cause Notice dt. 15.02.2016 was issued on the institution. Reply has not been received till date. Hence, Recognition is refused."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Jyoti Parkash Shukla, Secretary, Prayas Education College, Mahantpur, Damoh, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "applicant had not received any formal order of recognition from the WRC till date the first week of March, 2017. After verifying from the website that the WRC has rejected the application in its 266 meeting held from 10 to 12 January, 2017. the applicant went to the office of the WRC on 22 March 2017 and obtained a photocopy of the refusal order dated 13 January 2017 purported to have been sent by the WRC to the applicant which has till date not been received by the applicant. The institution filed detail on appeal enclosed scanned copy of document upload with appeal."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that applicant institution submitted online application dated 29.05.2015 seeking recognition for conducting D.El.Ed programme the addresses of applicant society and Institution as mentioned in the online application are as follows:


- (i) Sabka Prayas Janhit Samiti, Chhatarpur, House No. 15 Ward No. 1, Infront of Reliance, Nowgong Road, Chhatarpur, M.P. Pin 471001.
- (ii) Prayas Education College Damoh Plot No. 240/1, street no 07/09 village Mahantpur, Damoh, M.P. Pin- 470661.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution did not submit alongwith its application NOC of the affiliating body as required under clause 5 (3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The above deficiency alongwith some other deficiencies was conveyed to appellant institution by issue of a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 15.02.2016. The SCN was returned by postal authorities undelivered due to non-tractability of the Society's address. It is pertinent to note that appellant also did not make any communication with the Regional Committee till making an appeal after issue of the impugned refusal order. The impugned refusal order dated 13.01.2017 which was sent at the society address as well as Institution address was also returned undelivered by postal authorities. The appellant has made a plea that regional committee should have substantiated through track report the delivery of SCN etc. does not hold good in this case as letters addressed to appellant were received back undelivered.

Even as on the date of appeal, appellant was not prepared to say something about the deficiencies the most important of which are (i) Non submission of NOC issued by affiliating body (ii) Non submission of F.D.Rs. (iii) Evidence of being a composite institution. Appeal Committee therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 13.01.2017.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 13.01.2017.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Prayas Education College, Mahantpur, 07/09, Damoh, Madhya Pradesh – 470661.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.**



F.No.89-321/E-2472/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sunaina Devi Smarak Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Doondi, Amaniganj, Milkipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 12/04/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-7631/262<sup>nd</sup> (Part-9) Meeting/2017/165807 dated 11.02.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution was issued SCN on 23.12.2016 with regard to submit fresh list of faculties approved by affiliating University. the institution has not submitted any reply till date."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Puneet Sahu, representative, Sunaina Devi Smarak Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Doondi, Amaniganj, Milkipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "institution got the SCN dated 23/12/2016 on 11/01/2017. Then we give a letter to university for issue an updated current list of faculties approved by them. The university issued the list on 09/02/2017 and institution's reply on 10/02/2017 enclosed with necessary documents & Affidavits. It seems that the delay in reply of SCN not intentionally, rather it cause of delay by get fresh list of faculty by university & delay on receiving of SCN dt. 23/12/2016".

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Letter of Intent (LOI) dated 25.02.2014 was issued to appellant institution under the NCTE Regulations, 2009. Committee further noted that recognition was once refused to the institution by issue of a refusal order dated 19.11.2015 which was appealed against and the case was subsequently remanded back by issue of an appeal order dated 18.04.2016. Appeal Committee noted that refusal order dated 19.11.2015 was issued on the ground that appellant has not complied with the condition of submitting F.D.R of Rs. 4 Lakhs as pointed out in the SCN dated 26.03.2015. The appellant by that time


had as obtained approval of affiliating body to the selection and appointment of faculty.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that NRC had issued a fresh Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 18.10.2016 to the appellant institution on the ground of non-submission of NOC of affiliating body and proof of being a composite institution. The appellant institution submitted a reply dated 18.11.2016 to SCN stating that their application pertains to year 2012 when NCTE Regulations 2009 were in vogue and there is no way they could have obtained NOC from affiliating body or would have complied to the criteria of composite institution. Appeal Committee further noted that impugned refusal order dated 11.02.2017 is altogether on a new ground that appellant institution has submitted list of faculty approved by affiliating body on 29.03.2014 and the institution is directed to submit a fresh list. After conveying this observation to appellant institution through a SCN dated 23.12.2016 the impugned refusal order was issued based on a decision taken by NRC in its meeting held on 16-24 January, 2017. It means that appellant institution was not even allowed 30 days permissible time ignoring the time which might have occurred in delivery of the SCN.

**AND WHEREAS** appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 03.07.2017 submitted that it has again got the list authenticated by Vice Chancellor, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Awdh University, Faizabad on 09.02.2017. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for processing the case for grant of recognition as the above list is already available on the regulatory file.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for processing the case further for grant of recognition as the list of faculty approved on 09.02.2017 is available on the regulatory file.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sunaina Devi Smarak Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Doondi, Amaniganj, Milkipur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Sunaina Devi Smarak Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Doondi, Amaniganj, Milkipur, Uttar Pradesh – 224121.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Bhopal**



F.No.89-322/E-2617/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Mahila Mahavidyalaya Sagwara, Shree Ram Colony, Sagwara, Rajasthan dated 27/04/2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615139/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 03.03.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “the institution has not submitted the Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Authority to use the land for Educational purpose. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution.”

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Parvesh Bhatt, Member, Mahila Mahavidyalaya Sagwara, Shree Ram Colony, Sagwara, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “NRC, NCTE had issued a show cause notice on 14/02/2017. This institution has submitted reply of show cause notice to NRC, NCTE on 15/02/2017 along with Certificate of land use issued by Nagar Palika, Sagwara on 25/04/2016 and 22/07/2016 and also uploaded on NCTE Portal. Copy of reply letter dated 15/02/2017 is attached. NRC, NCTE has not considered the reply of this institution submitted on 15/02/2017 and rejected the application of this institution. This institution had again submitted a representation along with documents related to change of Land Use of NRC, NCTE on 03/03/2017 with request to reconsider the matter of this institution and process the application for grant of Recognition of B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. and also uploaded on NCTE Portal. NRC, NCTE has not considered the representation of this institution submitted on 03/03/2017 and issued

rejection letter for B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. course on 03/03/2017. That land of the institution falls in the limit of Nagar Palika, Sagwara Distt. Dungarpur (Rajasthan). So, Nagar Palika, Sagwara Distt. Dungarpur (Rajasthan) is the Competent Authority to change the Land Use. This institution had submitted the Certificate for change of Land Use issued by Nagar Palika, Sagwara Distt. Dungarpur (Rajasthan) to NRC, NCTE on 15/02/2017, but NRC, NCTE has rejected the application of this institution on the ground that the institution had not submitted the Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Authority to use the land for Educational purpose."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 03.03.2017 was made on the ground that appellant institution has not submitted Land Use Certificate issued by competent authority. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution is already conducting graduate and post graduate level courses affiliated to Mohan Lal Sukhadia University, Udaipur. The appellant has also submitted copies of two Land Use Certificates issued by office of Nagar Palika, Sagwada, Zila Dungarpur. There CLUs pertain to land measuring:-


- (i) 1067 Sq. yards at Khasra no. 4269/1, 4347/1
- (ii) 4.10 Bigha (8730 Sq. yards) at R.G. No. 3359/1, 3359/2, 3361 & 3362.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee, therefore, observed that impugned refusal order was not justified as the certificates issued by Nagar Palika office were submitted by appellant in response to SCN. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 03.03.2017 and remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 03.03.2017 and remand back case for further processing of application.



**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mahila Mahavidyalaya Sagwara, Shree Ram Colony, Sagwara, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Mahila Mahavidyalaya Sagwara, Gourav Path, Shree Ram Colony, Sagwara, Rajasthan – 314025.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-324/E-2570/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of North Bengal College of Education, Choto Shimulgachi, West Bengal dated 23/04/2017 is against the Order No. ERC/233.12.6/ERCAPP1782/B.Ed./2016/51703 dated 20.03.2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "1. Refusal order was decided in 226<sup>th</sup> ERC Meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 on the following grounds: - a. SCN was issued on 30.09.2016 on the following grounds: (i) Percentage of marks is not reflecting in the M.Ed. mark sheet of Aashish Ghosh. (ii) Amardip Ray, faculty of Geography is not eligible as he does not possess required 55% marks in M.A. (Geography). (iii) Ambika Roy is not eligible as she does not possess required 55% marks in M.P.Ed. b. The institution has not submitted the compliance of SCN issued on 30.09.2016 and time limit is over. 2. The institution submitted a representation vide Ref. No. NBCE/10/16 dated 08.12.2016 stating that due to wrong name & address of the institution, they could not get letter issued by ERC NCTE, also requested to revoke the refusal order and permit two months' time to complete the process. 3. As per record available and latest letter head submitted by the institution, it reflects the same address of the institution where correspondence was made. 4. The committee has not accepted the request of the institution. In view of the above, the committee decided as under: The decision of refusal order stands unaltered and the order of refusal be issued to the institution accordingly."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Debashish Saha, North Bengal College of Education, Choto Shimulgachi, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that, "ERC NCTE Vide its proceedings of 222<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup>-16<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 wrongly published a show cause Notice through ERC NCTE website under Serial No. 2, of ER-222.4 Appeal Case, against the application code ERCAPP1782

in the name of North Bengal Teachers Training College, Plot No-R/S 157, Street No.-NH 34, VillBikour, Tehsil/Taluka Karandighi, Town/City/P.O Domohana, District-Uttar Dinajpur, West Bengal - 733215. The Applicant Society got fully confused upon such Show Cause Notice on account of the fact that the SCN printed in that notice differed completely from that of the mentioned institution/college. Furthermore, the show-cause notice addresses a different name and address of the college against the same application code ERCAPP1782. Moreover, ERC NCTE thereafter has not published any corrigendum to the mentioned anomaly. The Applicant Society accordingly replied against such SCN vide letter Ref. No. NBCE/10/2016, dated: 05/10/2016 requesting the ERC NCTE for correction of name and address of the college against the application code ERCAPP1782, as published through the ERC NCTE website vide proceedings of 222nd meeting held on 14th- 16th September, 2016. The Applicant Society, upon telephonic confirmation of the anomaly with ERC NCTE applied to the University of North Bengal, to complete the pending formalities of Faculty/Staff approval (Geography and Physical Education), as per the requirement set out by the ERC NCTE, vide letter Ref. No. NBCE/05/16, dated: 19/10/2016, but in lieu of such an appeal, no urgent initiative was taken by the University. The Applicant Society, after a long follow-up received letter from the University Nominee for the Selection Committee in connection with the appointment of college teacher, vide Ref. No. 2399/R-2016, dated: 01/12/2016 issued by Deputy Registrar, University of North Bengal. The Applicant Society, submitted its written submission to ERC NCTE vide letter Ref. No. NBCE/10/16, dated: 08/12/2016 with a prayer for allowing time and consideration the application due to unwanted delay in processing of the faculty approval by the University of North Bengal. The Applicant Society/Institute has already lost 4 academic years (2013- 14, 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17) without any fault. The affiliating University after a long delay finally approved the Final Faculty/Staff Selection on 11/01/2017, at a time, when the ERC NCTE has already rejected the proposed application for B.Ed. (ERCAPP1782). ERC-NCTE vide its proceedings of 226th Meeting held on 29th -30th Nov' 2016 refused the same application of B.Ed. (ERCAPP1782) for the SECOND TIME, without providing any further opportunity to the Applicant Society/Institute. ERC-NCTE unfortunately did not issue any refusal order to the Applicant Society/Institute in compliances with the aforementioned decision of the meeting, however the same application was


repeatedly placed thereafter in the ERC-NCTE Meetings up to 233rd Meeting held on 17th -18th Feb'2017 in the differed list. ERC NCTE, without considering the facts and documents submitted by the Applicant Society/Institute finally issued the refusal order against the application of B.Ed. (ERCAPP1782), Vide order ERC/233.12.6/ERCAPP1782/ B.Ed./2016/51703 dated 20/03/2017 on the same ground of delay submission of remaining staff/faculty approval in compliance with the LOI, without providing any further time, also with liberty to file an appeal to the Applicant Institution as per NCTE act 1993. The Applicant Society, in good intention and willingness severally approached the University and ERC NCTE to resolve the issues which was absolutely an unintended problem due to negligence of the University officials.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice dated 30.09.2016 was issued to appellant institution pointing out certain deficiencies in the list of faculty on grounds of eligibility. The appellant institution submitted a letter dated 08.12.2016 requesting for granting some time for rectifying the deficiency by asking the affiliating University to facilitate selection of other faculty to replace the faculty members which were not found suitable.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution has obtained 2 Asstt. Professors re-nominated and approved by Registrar, University of North Bengal on 11.01.2017 who possess the minimum required percentage of marks. Appellant also stated that in the Sikkim University, system of grading is followed and percentage of marks is not given in the final mark sheet. Keeping in view the submission made by appellant, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC for consideration of the fresh list of faculty which appellant institution is required to submit to ERC Bhubaneswar within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for consideration of the fresh list of faculty which the appellant institution should submit to ERC Bhubaneshwar within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of North Bengal College of Education, Choto Shimulgachi, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, North Bengal College of Education, Plot No. 1574, 1575, 1575/2811, Ghokshadanga Street No.-NH-31, Choto Shimulgachi, West Bengal – 736171.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.

14



F.No.89-325/E-2571/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Gajadhar Gurukool Nanhakudas Mahavidyalaya, Wajidpur, Kaptanganj to Ahraula Burhanpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 24/04/2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-3606/261<sup>st</sup> Meeting/2016/164209 dated 30.12.2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution submitted lists of faculties dt. 18.12.2015 & 24.12.2015 claimed to have been approved by the affiliating university on the basis of which it was granted recognition by NCTE to run the B.Ed. course. The affiliating university i.e. Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur made a complaint vide its letter dt. 28.07.2016 received in NRC office on 07.08.2016 against some institutions including the present one that the list of faculties claimed to have been approved by the affiliating university on 18.12.2015 & 24.12.2015 has not been issued by the University and the University approved the list only on 29.04.2015. The institution has thus submitted a fake list of faculties for seeking grant of recognition. The list of faculties dt. 29.04.2016 submitted by the institution vide its letter received in NRC office on 07.10.2016 in response to SCN dt. 17.09.2016 cannot be accepted now since institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course by NRC on 03.03.2016 for which institution submitted the fake list of faculties. NRC decided to withdraw the recognition for B.Ed. course under Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 from the end of the academic session next following the date of order of withdrawal."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Manish Kumar Yadav, representative, Gajadhar Gurukool Nanhakudas Mahavidyalaya, Wajidpur, Kaptanganj to Ahraula Burhanpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "in reference to your letter no. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP3606/256<sup>th</sup> (Part-2) Meeting/2016/157592 dt. 07.09.2016.


Re-approval for Teaching faculty has been completed. Investigation for approval letter at university level is pending. Rejection ground is totally wrong."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting one unit of B.Ed. programme by issuing a combined recognition order dated 03.03.2016. The affiliating body informed the office of NRC vide its letter dated 28.07.2016 that the appellant institution was granted formal recognition even before the faculty was approved by the affiliating University. The letter led the NRC Jaipur to believe that list of faculty approved by University on 18.12.2015 and submitted by the appellant on 03.03.2016 to NRC was fake and consequently impugned order dated 30.12.2016 was issued after giving an opportunity to the appellant to submit a written representation. Appeal Committee further observed that NRC has addressed a letter dated 11.11.2016 to the affiliating University to verify the contents of approval order submitted by the Institution. Appeal Committee further noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 30.12.2016 was issued by NRC without waiting for the reply of affiliating University.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committer noted that appellant institution has submitted to NRC on 07.10.2016 another list of faculty approved by the University on 29.04.2016. Quick succession in which list of faculty were approved by the University raises doubts and onus lies on the appellant to prove that all the documents submitted by him are true and bonafide. Even if one document submitted by the appellant at any stage is proved to be fake, that will render the institution liable for suitable action. The Regional Committee at the same time should have followed up with the University to know the status of all its approvals given to various institutions. The Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned withdrawal order with a direction to NRC to examine the matter in consultation with Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur for taking further action in the matter.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned withdrawal order dated

30.12.2016. NRC should take further necessary action in the matter after due consultation with the affiliating University and getting written communication in response to NRC's letter dated 11.11.2016.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Gajadhar Gurukool Nanhakudas Mahavidyalaya, Wajidpur, Kaptanganj to Ahraula Burhanpur, Uttar Pradesh – 276141.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





F.No.89-326/E-2468/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sree Sankaracharya University of Sanskrit, Kalady, Aluva, Kerala dated 11.04.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP2563/M.P.Ed/KL/2017-18/919 dated 17.02.2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the alteration of the approved staffing pattern by adjusting the intake strength is not permissible. The reply to SCN is not satisfactory."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Dhinu, Sree Sankaracharya University of Sanskrit, Kalady, Aluva, Kerala presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that University has not requested for alteration in the staff pattern. It has seven Assistant Professors (Associate Professorship to one Assistant Professor is due since 01.09.2016 and the University has initiated procedures for placement) and four Sports Trainers (Part Time). As per NCTE Regulations 2014, the approved staff pattern for M.P.Ed. is as follows. Professor: 1 Associate Professor: 2 Assistant Professor : 3 Sports Trainers : 3(Part Time) The workload for 1<sup>st</sup> M.P.Ed. as per NCTE Regulations 2014 is 36 hours/week and 36 hours/week for 2<sup>nd</sup> M.P.Ed. totalling to 72 hours/week. As per UGC Regulations, the workload of an Assistant Professor is 16 hours/week and it may be seen that the total workload of 7 teachers in the department is 112 hours/week, much higher than the minimum workload insisted by NCTE. The Department of Physical Education started offering academic programmes during 2013-14 only. It is not feasible for the University to appoint the required faculty positions prescribed by NCTE during the initial stages of the Department which will be objected by the Audit Department, as it is funded by state government. However, the University has now initiated steps to appoint one Professor and one Associate Professor to overcome the deficiencies, which is under the active consideration of the University Syndicate."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 28.05.2015 seeking recognition for conducting M.P.Ed programme. Inspection of the appellant institution was conducted on 03.02.2016. The Visiting Team in its report has categorially stated that 'the existing M.P.Ed programme which was started in 2013-14 without seeking NCTE recognition' Appeal Committee further noted that none of the Show Cause Notice (SCN's) dated 05.04.2016 and 19.01.2017 issued to appellant institution has highlighted this glaring irregularity as to how a University can start conducting a teacher education programme without formal recognition order having been issued by NCTE.


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that a letter of Intent (LOI) dated 16.02.2016 was issued to appellant institution for which a compliance letter dated 25.02.2016 was subsequently submitted by the appellant. Appeal Committee noted that as per norms and standards prescribed for M.P.Ed. programme for a basic unit of 40 students an institution shall have the following faculty:

1. Professor - One
2. Associate Professor - Two
3. Asstt. Professor - Three
4. Sports Trainers - Three (Part timer)

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution has not been able to satisfy the faculty related norms and consequently, the SRC had decided to refuse recognition. The plea taken by appellant that University has restricted the approved intake in M.P.Ed. programme to 25 seats does not hold good for a depleted strength of faculty and applicant must have full quota of faculty before commencement of the programme. It is stated that the strength of a unit is 40. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 17.02.2017 issued by SRC.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 17.02.2017.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Assistant Director & Head, Sree Sankaracharya University of Sanskrit, Kalady, Aluva, Kerala – 683574.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.



F.No.89-328/E-2590/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sadasivam Kathirkamavalli College of Arts and Science, Kumarapuram, Melavasal, Mannargudi, Tamil Nadu dated 26.04.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP201630142/B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed./TN/2017/92804 dated 12.04.2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "They do not have clear title. Land is mortgaged to Indian Bank, Mannargudi. NOC of affiliating body should have come with the application. It was filed late. The 45 days' time they are referring to is applicable to NOC from the State Govt."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Sarvan Kumar, representative, Sadasivam Kathirkamavalli College of Arts and Science, Kumarapuram, Melavasal, Mannargudi, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "as per the Minutes of SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting, both Sy. Nos. 19/1 & 19/2 are mortgaged according to EC. EC is in order. As per the Minutes of SRC 333<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of SRC, Land document is there. Title is in the name of Trust. In the 333<sup>rd</sup> SRC Meeting reveals that the land documents are in the name of the trust and mortgaged in the bank. In the contradictory on 334<sup>th</sup> SRC Meeting Minutes reveals that the Title of the land is not clear. This is a contradictory statement. Now our trust settled the loan and the land is now free from mortgage. We also enclosed the bank letter. As per the Minutes of SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting, NOC is given. As per the Minutes of SRC 333<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of SRC, NOC is there but belated. These two statements in these two minutes of meeting is contradictory. If they rejected for NOC Belated means in their 327<sup>th</sup> meeting itself they have to intimate it. But the minutes shows NOC is given. So, the sentence itself indicated that they accepted the NOC. For the ground 2.2. The 327<sup>th</sup> meeting they took decision 'As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on

12.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Sc. B.Ed. / B.A. B.Ed. course in the State of Tamil Nadu. So, these statements clearly show the NOC from the affiliating body is accepted then only they put the VT Inspection."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that the impugned refusal order dated 12.04.2017 is on two grounds namely:

- i) Land is mortgaged to Indian Bank and as such the title to the land is not clear.
- ii) NOC of affiliating body was not submitted alongwith application and its further submission was delayed beyond permissible limit.


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that SRC in its 333<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 24.03.2017 decided to issue of Show Cause Notice (SCN) wherein encumbrances on land was one of the deficiencies. Appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 03.07.2017 submitted copy of letter dated 27.03.2017 issued by Indian Bank stating that the appellant has repaid their dues with interest and there is no liability against the customer as on date. The above said letter cannot be equated with a Non-Encumbrance Certificate which is required to be obtained from the land revenue authorities i.e. Tehsildar etc. Appeal Committee is therefore, of the view that the deficiency on accord of encumbrance still persists.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that whereas the online application for the B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc B.Ed. programme was submitted on 29.06.2016, the NOC of affiliating body was submitted on 22.08.2016. Appeal Committee noted that as per clause 5(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014, the online application shall be submitted alongwith processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as NOC issued by concerned affiliating body. Clause 7(1) of the regulations further provide that 'in case an application is incomplete, or requisite documents are not attached with the application, the application shall be treated, incomplete and rejected. The NOC dated 22.08.2016 of the affiliating body is of a date which is much after the last date for receipt of application. Appeal Committee, considering that i) Non

Encumbrance Certificated submitted by appellant is not issued by the competent authority and ii) NOC was submitted much after the last date for receipt of hard copy of application, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 12.04.2017.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 12.04.2017 issued by SRC Bangalore.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Managing Trustee, Sadasivam Kathirkamavalli College of Arts and Science, Kumarapuram, Melavasal, Mannargudi, Tamil Nadu – 614001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-249/E-2699/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Pt. Hari Sahay Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Jaiti, Khajani, Uttar Pradesh dated 01.05.2017 is against the Corrigendum No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-11524/253 Meeting (Part 2)/2016/154396 dated 25.07.2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing granting recognition for conducting from two additional units to one unit of D.El.Ed. programme.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Akhilesh Dubey, Manager, Pt. Hari Sahay Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Jaiti, Khajani, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Appellant had applied for grant of recognition of D.El.Ed. course vide its application dated 29.05.2015 while making necessary arrangement with regard to physical infrastructure and facilities as well as staff and faculty with additional intake capacity of 100 students. The visiting team had also verified infrastructure and facilities as well as staff and faculty with additional intake capacity of 100 students. Application of appellant had been throughout considered and processed by NRC for grant of recognition with additional intake capacity of 100 students and no deficiency or adverse observation or remark was ever made by VT or NRC that we were lacking any facility so as to accommodate additional 100 students. Appellant has appointed staff and faculty duly approved for running D.El.Ed. with an annual intake of 100 students and same have also been found to be in order by NRC. Thus, decision to reduce intake capacity at the time of final decision would result in non-utilization of infrastructure facilities and staff of our institution. It is stated that respondent NRC had at no point of processing our application indicated or informed that our application would be considered for additional intake i.e., 50 students instead of 100 students. Decision taken by NRC to grant recognition with additional intake capacity of 50 students instead of 100 students is also against the legitimate expectations and principle of promissory

estoppel would operate in favour of appellant as our application was processed throughout on the understanding that recognition would be granted for additional intake capacity of 100 students, VT had also verified this very aspect upon physical verification of facilities and infrastructure at our institution and based upon that NRC took an informed decision in 252<sup>nd</sup> Meeting to grant recognition to D.El.Ed. course with Additional annual intake of 100 students. The revised decision taken by NRC in its 253<sup>rd</sup> (Part-2) Meeting to reduce intake capacity is unreasonable and it is a violation of legitimate expectation rights of applicant and further, the action of part of NRC to revise its decision after having taken final decision to grant recognition tantamount to revision/review of order which is not permissible as per the provisions of NCTE Act, 1993. It is, therefore, most respectfully requested that the decision taken by NRC, NCTE in 253<sup>rd</sup> (Part-2) Meeting to reduce intake capacity from 2 Units of Additional intakes (100 seats) to 1 Units of additional intake (50 seats) after grant of formal order of recognition be nullified and decision taken by NRC in its 253<sup>rd</sup> (Part-2) Meeting be immediately restored and our institution be permitted and allowed to make admission with intake capacity of 100 students. Various other institutions which were exactly similarly placed like appellant had been granted recognition with intake capacity of 100 students on the basis that they had requisite staff and infrastructure facilities for accommodating 100 students. The instant appeal has been filed on the basis of decision reflected in the meeting minutes on website as despite lapse of sufficient time no formal order had been issued and any further delay would defeat the cause and purpose of filing present appeal. Thus, there is no delay on part of appellant in filing the appeal. However, if the appellate body considers that there is delay while reckoning date of decision as material date, delay may be condoned and appeal may be heard on merits as the delay is not intentional and same was bonafide as appellant was expecting issuance of formal communication for grant of recognition by NRC".

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 29.05.2015 seeking recognition for conducting additional intake of D.El.Ed. programme. The additional intake applied for was mentioned as 2 units in the forwarding letter as well as affidavit enclosed with the application from. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution is already conducting B.Ed. (100 seats) and D.El.Ed. (50 (seats) apart from some degree and post-



graduate level courses. Appeal Committee further noted that the Visiting Team which conducted inspection of the institution on 01.02.2016 did not mention about the graduate & post-graduate level courses but has only mentioned about the existing B.Ed. & D.El.Ed. courses. Appeal Committee further noted that Inspection of the institution was conducted with one additional unit of D.El.Ed. in view and V.T. very specifically recommended only one additional unit and not two as averred by the appellant in its appeal Memoranda.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that Building Completion Certificate (BCC) enclosed with the Inspection report contains a number of overwritings in the built up area and also that this certificate is not issued by competent civic authority. Another letter dated 13.01.2016 (enclosed with V.T. Report) issued by the office of Executive Engineer, Rural Engg. Department, Gorakhpur mentions that building of the college is on ground and first floor as per approved building plan. The BCC submitted by appellant contains built up area on ground + first and second floor which is not logical. Copies of all the building plans submitted by appellant from time to time are for a proposed total built up area of 2556.04 Sq. Meters and as such BCC containing writings in total as well as floor wise seems to have been deliberately modified by appellant to facilitate approval of the case by showing that built up area is adequate.


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that appellant has preferred appeal against the decision taken by NRC in its 253<sup>rd</sup> Meeting held from 10<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 which was in supersession of its earlier decision taken in 252<sup>nd</sup> Meeting and for which a corrigendum dated 25.07.2016 was issued. The appellant also seem to have forwarded a copy of BCC with its letter submitted to NRC on 01.08.2016 in response to the above corrigendum. This BCC does not have any corrections and built up area is mentioned as 2556.004 Sq. Meters.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee after going through the details of case, decided that submission made by the appellant for grant of two additional units of D.El.Ed. is not justified as the appellant institution lacks adequate built up area and the Inspection Team had also recommended grant of only one unit. The

corrigendum dated 25.07.2016 issued by NRC restricting the granted intake to one unit is therefore, confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the corrigendum dated 25.07.201 issued by NRC restricting the granted additional intake of D.El.Ed. programme to one unit.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Pt. Hari Sahay Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan, Jaiti, 480, Khajani – 273404, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-330/E-2700/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Mahila Teacher Training College, Village – Jodhpur, Pratap Nagar, Dist. – Jodhpur, Rajasthan dated 02.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14364/265<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2017/169136 dated 15.03.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “(i) The institution was given show cause notice in the 253<sup>rd</sup> meeting held from 10<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2016. The institution did not submit approved building plan duly signed by competent authority with all details as required as per norms. (ii) Revised plan is also not approved with details as per norms.”

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Uma, Member and Sh. Vijay Gander, Lecturer, Mahila Teacher Training College, Village – Jodhpur, Pratap Nagar, Dist. – Jodhpur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “we are submitting relevant documents as required”.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 28.06.2015 seeking recognition for conducting B.A., B.Sc. B.Ed. programme with an intake of 50 seats. The appellant institution is already recognised for conducting B.Ed. programme (100 seats) and is holding undergraduate and PG level course. A Show Cause Notice dated 07.12.2015 was issued to appellant institution on ground of non submission of NOC issued by affiliating body. Appeal Committee further noted appellant institution submitted NOC dated 29.02.2016 issued by Jai Narayan Vyas University, Jodhpur.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that NRC in its 253<sup>rd</sup> Meeting held between 10<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 decided to issue a Show Cause Notice (SCN) on following grounds:

- i) Evidence of being composite institution not submitted.


- ii) Total titles in Library are only 379.
- iii) Has not submitted approved building plan.
- iv) Built up area is only 1512 Sq. Meters which is less.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee observed that appellant institution submitted a reply dated 12.07.2016 making efforts to rectify the deficiencies. The appellant however, did not submit copy of approved building plan. During the course of appeal presentation, appellant submitted copy of building plan approved in the year 2000 but this building plan does not mention the necessary legend and moreover it is in the name Mahila Mahavidyalaya. The appellant has not been able to substantiate adequacy of built up area corresponding to the building plan and although not mentioned as a ground of refusal, NRC should not have accepted NOC issued on 29.02.2016.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 15.03.2017 issued by NRC Jaipur.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the refusal order dated 15.03.2017 issued by NRC, Jaipur.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Mahila Teacher Training College, Plot No. – 1<sup>st</sup> Ext., Street Number-K.N. Nagar, Village – Jodhpur, PO-Jodhpur, Tehsil/Taluka-Pratap Nagar, Town/City-Jodhpur, Dist. – Jodhpur, State – Rajasthan – 342009.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-331/E-2696/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Smt. Phula Devi and Mangaru Memorial Loknyas Aswania Chhattarpur, Lalganj, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 25.04.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/Recog./D.El.Ed/2016/14821-7694 dated 02.05.2016 read with corrigendum no. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-12161/Corrigendum/2016/151945 dated 28.06.2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting for one additional unit D.El.Ed. Course“.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Krishna Partap Singh, Member and Sh. Parmod Kumar Manager, Smt. Phula Devi and Mangaru Memorial Loknyas Aswania Chhattarpur, Lalganj, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “appellant had applied for grant of recognition of D.El.Ed. course vide its application dated 30.05.2015 while making necessary arrangements will regard to physical infrastructure and facilities as well as staff and faculty with intake capacity of 100 students. The visiting team had also verified infrastructure and facilities and no adverse observation have been made by VT or Northern Regional Committee with regard to infrastructure and facilities to cater requirement of 100 students. Thus, application appellant had been throughout considered and processed by NRC for grant of recognition with intake capacity of 100 students and no deficiency or adverse observation or remark was ever made by VT or NRC that we were lacking any facility so as to accommodate additional 100 students. Because appellant has appointed staff and faculty duly approved for running D.El.Ed. with an annual intake of 100 students and same have also been found to be in order by NRC. Thus, decision to reduce intake capacity at the time of final decision would result in non-utilization of infrastructure facilities and staff of our institution. NRC had at no point of processing our application indicated or informed that our application would be


considered for intake i.e., 50 students instead of 100 students. Neither, does final decision reflects as to the reason or rationale for reduction of intake capacity. Because decision taken by NRC to grant recognition with additional intake capacity of 50 students instead of 100 students is also against the legitimate expectations and principle of promissory estopped would operate in favour of appellant as our application was processed throughout on the understanding that recognition would be granted for additional intake capacity of 100 students. Appellant has a total built-up area of 6583.54 sq. meters in its possession for running B.A. & D.El.Ed. Program whereas as per the Appendix-2 of NCTE Regulations, 2014 which provides norms and standards for D.El.Ed. course, it has been provided that for running only D.El.Ed. course 1500 sq. Meters area is required. Regulations and Norms and Standards do not specify particular built-up area required by an institution running D.El.Ed. course along with UG course like our institution but even when an institution is running D.El.Ed. course with B.Ed. and component of B.A. / B.Sc. B.Ed. then also the maximum area which is required under Regulations is 3000 sq. meters. There is no default on part of our institution which can be said to be reason for non-issuance of final order of recognition within the cut of date. Various other institutions which were exactly similarly placed like appellant had been granted recognition with intake capacity of 100 students on the basis that they had requisite staff and infrastructure facilities for accommodating 100 students. Thus, if our institution is not granted recognition for additional intake of 100 students, same would be discriminatory".

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that applicant institution submitted online application dated 30.05.2015 seeking recognition for conducting D.El.Ed programme. The affidavit enclosed with the application form was for seeking 50 seats and the name of programme applied for was erroneously stated as B.Ed. The applicant institution further submitted another affidavit dated 05.03.2016 wherein it was affirmed that application was made for D.El.Ed programme (one unit). Another undertaking dated 05.03.2016 was also about D.El.Ed. (course) with an intake of 50 seats. The submission of the appellant that its applications was processed for intake of 100 seat is therefore, not correct.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that Visiting Team conducted inspection of the institution for one unit and it recommended D.El.Ed. course (one unit). No formal LOI was issued to the institution and the compliance submitted by the appellant institution on 26.04.2016 was in response to the minutes of 251<sup>st</sup> Meeting which did not mention any intake. Mere submission of list of 16 faculty does not entitle an institution to get recognition for 2 units, more so when the application itself was for one unit and V.T. recommendation was also for one unit. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the Corrigendum dated 28.06.2016 by which the intake earlier granted for two units was reduced to one unit.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the Corrigendum dated 28.06.2016 by which the intake earlier granted for two units was reduced to one unit.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Smt. Phula Devi and Mangaru Memorial Loknyas Aswania Chhattarpur, Lalganj, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh – 276301.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-332/E-2697/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Phula Devi Mangaru Singh Memorial Mahavidyalaya, Chattarpur, Lalganj, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 25.04.2017 is against the Order No.NRC/ NCTE/ NRCAPP12220/ 260<sup>th</sup> Meeting/ 2016/ 164379-85 dated 4.1.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution as given show cause notice vide letter dated 17.11.2015 with direction to submit the reply within 30 days. The institution did not submit any reply to show cause notice till date."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Krishna Partap Singh, Member and Sh. Parmod Kumar, Manger, Phula Devi Mangaru Singh Memorial Mahavidyalaya, Chattarpur, Lalganj, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the institution had applied before affiliating body well in time but despite the same, the University deliberately omitted its duty to issue NOC. Thus, there is no fault on part of institution and its duty to satisfies the norms. It has been held by Supreme Court in the matter of State of Maharashtra Vs. Sant Dyaneshwar 2006 Vol. 9 SCC page 1 and State of Rajasthan Vs. LBS TT College decided on 08.09.2016 that University and State Govt. must Act in conformity with NCTE Act and must Act as a facilitator of NCTE while processing applications. Thus, institution cannot be penalized for omission of University rather NCTE being expert Supreme body must ensure that institution desirous of recognition get their applications processed. It is significant to state that the applications for different courses had been invited after due input and recommendations of concerned State Govt. The University being an affiliating body cannot Act contrary to the policy decision of State Government and create hindrance by not issuing NOC when institution had repeatedly approached



the University. thus, inaction of University is contrary to law as well as contrary to decision of State Government and this same is not sustainable in eyes of law. The appellant has made all necessary arrangements with regard to physical infrastructure and facilities as well as staff and faculty with intake capacity of 100 students".


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that applicant institution submitted online application dated 30.05.2015 seeking recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 seats. A Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 17.11.2015 was issued to appellant institution on ground of non-submission of NOC of the affiliating body with the hard copy of application. The appellant institution did not submit any reply to the SCN which ultimately resulted in issue of impugned refusal order dated 14.01.2017. Appeal Committee further noted that no SCN was received and the NRC has stated that no SCN was received and the NRC has not considered the NOC issued by affiliating body vide letter 21.10.2016.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that SCN dated 17.11.2015 was not received back in the office of NRC as undelivered and as such it is presumed to have been delivered to the appellant. Moreover, coinciding with the issue of the impugned order dated 04.01.2017, the appellant had submitted on 05.01.2017 copy of letter dated 21.10.16 which was issued by affiliating body regarding issue of NOC. Even if the submission made by appellant is accepted that 'it did not receive SCN dated 17.11.2015', the vital fact remains the same i.e. appellant institution did not have NOC issued by affiliating body at the time of submission of hard copy of the application as required under clause 5(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 04.01.2017 issued by NRC Jaipur.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 04.01.2017.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Phula Devi Mangaru Singh Memorial Mahavidyalaya, Chattarpur, Lalganj, Azamgarh, Uttar Pradesh – 276301.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-334/E-2995/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

### **ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of K.L.S. College, Prasiddhpur, Rania, Akbarpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 03.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/Recog/D.El.Ed./2016/142867-3467 dated 03.03.2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course with an intake of 50 seats on the grounds that "the committee decided that recognition be granted to the institution for D.El.Ed. course for one unit (50 students) under clause 7(16) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 from the academic session 2016-17."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Satish Shukla, Chairman, Dr. Umesh Chander Tewari, Member, K.L.S. College, Prasiddhpur, Rania, Akbarpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation no explanation was given.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that applicant institution submitted online application dated 15.05.2015 seeking recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. programme. Intake of course applied for was neither mentioned in the application form, nor in the affidavit submitted giving necessary declaration. The applicant institution was inspected on 23.02.2016 for a proposed intake of 2 units of D.El.Ed programme and the appellant submitted affidavit at this point seeking recognition for 2 units of D.El.Ed. programme.


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that NRC in its 250<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29.02.2016 decided to issue a Letter of Intent but no intake was mentioned. Without waiting for the formal LOI, the appellant submitted compliance letter dated 02.03.2016 seeking formal recognition for 2 units (100 seats). Appeal Committee noticed that relevant regulatory file does not contain list of faculty approved or countersigned by the affiliating body though the forwarding letter dated 02.03.2016

mentions that affiliating body 'Pariksha Niyamak Pradhikari' has approved the name of Principal and 15 lecturers. It appears that combined recognition order dated 03.03.2016 issued after the 250<sup>th</sup> Meeting (Part-12) dated 02.03.2016 was issued in haste without properly checking whether the appellant institution has submitted the approval of affiliating body or not. The grant of recognition under clause 7(16) of the Regulations by the NRC was, therefore, without prior submission of the list of faculty approved by affiliating body. Grant of even one unit of D.El.Ed. programme to the appellant institution was not justified.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution has submitted a copy of approval letter dated 01.03.2016 issued by 'Praiksha Niyamak Adhikari' alongwith its appeal Memoranda dated 08.05.2017. There is every possibility that this letter could not have been made available to NRC, as it is not available on the relevant file. Strictly speaking grant of recognition for even one unit was not justified at that particular time. The Appeal Committee, therefore, does not find any reason to interfere for rectifying the impugned order dated 3.3.2016 more so when the appeal made by appellant is also delayed by about a year. Appeal Committee decided to confirm the impugned recognition order (serial no. 222) dated 03.03.2016.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned recognition order (Sl.No. 222) dated 03.03.2016.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, K.L.S. College, Prasiddhpur, Rania, Akbarpur – 209304, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-335/E-2988/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sardar Ballbh Bhai Patel Mahavidyalaya, Tindauli Chorma, Jaisinghpur, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 11.04.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP14789/253<sup>rd</sup> (Part-1) Meeting/2016/150158 dated 08.06.2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Non-submission of NOC from the affiliating body as required under clause 5(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. K.K. Pandey and Arun Verma, Members, Sardar Ballbh Bhai Patel Mahavidyalaya, Tindauli Chorma, Jaisinghpur, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "NCTE introduced the Regulation 2014 in the month of the December 2014 and it was the first time that the NCTE introduced the provisions of the NOC. It is submitted that the appellant vide its letter dated 29.06.2015 applied to the affiliating body for issuance of the NOC as per the format provided by the NCTE. It is submitted that the appellant filed the online application on dated 29-06-2016 and submitted the Hard Copy of the application to the NRC. It is submitted that the NRC issued its show cause notice dated 16-10-2015 which the petitioner replied on 15-11-2015 stating the fact that the NOC is still pending with the University. It is relevant to state that the University granted the NOC for the B.ED. Course on 07-09-2016. Institution submitted NOC to the NRC, but the NRC rejected the case of the Institution. It is also submitted that appellant another application of the D.El.Ed. is also pending with the NRC. It is submitted that the Regional Committees of the NCTE have accepted the NOC after the cut-off date and processed the applications. It is submitted that the liability of issuing of the NOC is on the affiliating body and the institution cannot be held liable for the same. It is submitted that the issue of the NOC has been settled by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the (2006)9 SCC1 i.e. State of Maharashtra Vs. Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Shastra Mahavidyalaya and Ors."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted on line application dated 29.06.2015 seeking recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 seats. The appellant institution, however, did not enclose with the hardcopy of application NOC issued by affiliating body as is required to be done under clause 5(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 16.10.2015 was issued to appellant institutions for non-submission of the NOC. Appellant vide letter dated 15.11.2015 assured NRC that NOC will soon be submitted. The impugned refusal order dated 08.06.2016 was on the ground that institution did not submit NOC issued by the affiliating body.

**AND WHEREAS** appellant during the course of appeal presentation submitted copy of a NOC dated 07.09.2016 issued by Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad. Obviously the NOC issued after the last date for receipt of hard copy of application cannot be reckoned as a valid document to review a decision which has been taken in accordance with the regulations. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 08.06.2016 issued by NRC Jaipur.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 08.06.2016 issued by NRC Jaipur.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Sardar Ballbh Bhai Patel Mahavidyalaya, Tindauli Chorma, Jaisinghpur, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh – 228142.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-390/E-2988/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sardar Ballbh Bhai Patel Mahavidyalaya, Tindauli Chorma, Jaisinghpur, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 24.04.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP14795/255<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2016/155784 dated 19.08.2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution was given show cause notice vide letter dt. 28.06.2016 with direction to submit the reply within 30 days. The institution did not submit any reply of show cause notice."

**AND WHEREAS** S/Shri K.K. Pandey and Arun Verma, Member, Sardar Ballbh Bhai Patel Mahavidyalaya, Tindauli Chorma, Jaisinghpur, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Petitioner institution never received any Show Cause notice as alleged in the Rejection Order. It is submitted that the appellant had also filed the B.Ed. application making it a composite nature with APP ID NRCAPP14789. It is relevant to state that the University granted the NOC for the B.Ed. Course on 07.09.2016 and the same has been submitted with the Appeal of the B.Ed. Course. It is submitted that the petitioner institution has submitted the certified land documents with the B.Ed application and the land is the same in the D.EL.Ed. and B.Ed. It is submitted that the institution also submitted the notarized CLU by competent authority. It is submitted that the petitioner had also clarified the NRC that the petitioner has submitted the two applications. It is submitted that if the NRC ought have considered both applications together they ought not have found the deficiencies as noted by them."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 29.06.2015 seeking recognition for conducting D.El.Ed programme. Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 28.06.2016 was issued to appellant institution for non submission of i) Evidence of

composite institution ii) Certified copy of land documents and iii) notarised copy of Change of Land Use Certificate.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution in its appeal Memoranda denied having received the Show Cause Notice dated 28.06.2016 but never the less the SCN was not received back undelivered. The applicant states that land documents and CLU etc. were available on its B.Ed. file and NRC ought to have linked these documents from that file. The reason given is not justified as a) the applicant in its D.El.Ed. application has not referred to B.Ed. application pending in NRC. Moreover, all the applications submitted by an institution should be self-contained having required documents as enclosures. Copy of change of Land Use Certificate is not available on any of the two files submitted by the institution.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that the application seeking recognition for B.Ed. programme also stands rejected on ground of non-submission of NOC issued by affiliating body and as such the present D.El.Ed. application is to be considered as a stand-alone application. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 19.08.2016 issued by NRC Jaipur.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 19.08.2016 issued by NRC Jaipur.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Sardar Ballbh Bhai Patel Mahavidyalaya, Tindauli Chorma, Jaisinghpur, Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh – 228142.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





F.No.89-336/E-2998/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, Sondalia, Bahira, West Bengal dated 03.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14795/255<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2016/155784 dated 15.04.2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was decided in 207<sup>th</sup> ERC meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> – 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2016 to the institution giving 21 days' time to submit the reply on the following grounds: (i) The instructional and infrastructural facilities like multipurpose hall, Library, ICT lab, Class rooms, furniture etc. are found indential at par with institution Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research (ERCAPP2635). Both the institution is required to re-visit by another visiting team at the cost of both institutions by submitting fee of Rs. 1,50,000/-. Letter of intent issued u/c 7(13) to Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research (ERCAPP2635) decided in 206<sup>th</sup> ERC Meeting be kept in abeyance. b. In response, the institution submitted reply vide letter dated 09.11.2016 along with Demand Draft of Rs. 1,50,000/- bearing No. 923954 dated 08.11.2016 requesting for revisit the institution, which is received by the ERC office on 10.11.2016. C. The Committee considered the application and observed that the reply of the institution has been received by the office after 8 months i.e. 10.11.2016 which is not accepted. Also, both the institutions namely "Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education", Aminpur, North 24 Parganas, West Bengal and "Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research", Nadibhag, North 24 Parganas, West Bengal applied without having separate building and other infrastructures which misleads the ERC, NCTE for taking appropriate decision. d. Further, the Demand Drafts of both the institutions are to be refunded and not to conduct the VT. (2) Reply from institution not received till date & the time limit has already been over. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP2610 of the

institution regarding recognition of B.Ed. Programme is refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Z. Sarkar, Trust Member, Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, Sondalia, Bahira, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Dr. Shahidullah Institution of Education, Aminpur is an institution different from Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research which may be verified by conducting Inspection."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 15.04.2017 issued by ERC Bhubaneswar was issued mainly on the presumption that instructional and infrastructural facilities like Multipurpose hall, library, labs and furniture of the appellant institution were found identical with that of another institution i.e. Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research. To find out whether the two institutions are differently located or having a common premises, ERC had proposed to reconduct the inspection at the cost of appellant institution. Appeal Committee finds that delay has been caused by the appellant institution in payment of re-inspection fee and ERC finally decided to reject the application.


**AND WHEREAS** appellant during the course of appeal presentation has submitted copies of two different building completion certificates one each for Mother Teresa Institution of Education and Research and ii) Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education. The address of Dr. Shahidulla Inst. Of Education is 'Plot No. 2101, Khaitan 320, Mouza Bahira J.L. No. 158, Place Dadpur G.P. whereas Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research is located at Plot No. 271, Khitan no. 576, Mouza Nadibhag, J.L No. 75, Place Madhyam gram Municipality.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee does not have in its possession the regulatory file, Inspection Report and C.D. pertaining to Mother Teresa Institution of Education and Research to draw a comparison. Appeal Committee however, is of the opinion that let an Inspection Team conduct inspection of both the institutions broadly to verify whether these institutions are differently located, have separate set of instructional and infarastruactional material which was found to be available at

the time of first inspection. Appellant institution is required to pay necessary fee to ERC for the purpose within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC to conduct inspection of the institute and appellant is required to deposit inspection fee to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, Sondalia, Bahira, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, Sondalia, Bahira, West Bengal – 743423.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-337/E-2992/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, Sondalia, Bahira, West Bengal dated 03.05.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/237.7(i).2/ERCAPP2613/D.El.Ed./2017/52343 dated 15.04.2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "a. Show Cause Notice was decided in 207<sup>th</sup> ERC meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> – 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2016 to the institution giving 21 days' time to submit the reply on the following grounds: (i) The instructional and infrastructural facilities like multipurpose hall, Library, ICT lab, Class rooms, furniture etc. are found indential at par with institution Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research (ERCAPP2635). Both the institution is required to re-visit by another visiting team at the cost of both institutions by submitting fee of Rs. 1,50,000/-. Letter of intent issued u/c 7(13) to Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research (ERCAPP2635) decided in 206<sup>th</sup> ERC Meeting be kept in abeyance. b. In response, the institution submitted reply vide letter dated 09.11.2016 along with Demand Draft of Rs. 1,50,000/- bearing No. 923954 dated 08.11.2016 requesting for revisit the institution, which is received by the ERC office on 10.11.2016. C. The Committee considered the application and observed that the reply of the institution has been received by the office after 8 months i.e. 10.11.2016 which is not accepted. Also, both the institutions namely "Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education", Aminpur, North 24 Parganas, West Bengal and "Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research", Nadibhag, North 24 Parganas, West Bengal applied without having separate building and other infrastructures which misleads the ERC, NCTE for taking appropriate decision. d. Further, the Demand Drafts of both the institutions are to be refunded and not to conduct the VT. (2) Reply from institution not received till date & the time limit has already been over. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code

No. ERCAPP2613 of the institution regarding recognition of D.El.Ed. Programme is refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Z. Sarkar, Trust Member, Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, Sondalia, Bahira, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Dr. Shahidullah Institution of Education, Aminpur is an institution different from Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research which may be verified by conducting Inspection."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 15.04.2017 issued by ERC Bhubaneswar was issued mainly on the presumption that instructional and infrastructural facilities like Multipurpose hall, library, labs and furniture of the appellant institution were found identical with that of another institution i.e. Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research. To find out whether the two institutions are differently located or having a common premises, ERC had proposed to reconduct the inspection at the cost of appellant institution. Appeal Committee finds that delay has been caused by the appellant institution in payment of re-inspection fee and ERC finally decided to reject the application.


**AND WHEREAS** appellant during the course of appeal presentation has submitted copies of two different building completion certificates one each for Mother Teresa Institution of Education and Research and ii) Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education. The address of Dr. Shahidulla Inst. Of Education is 'Plot No. 2101, Khaitan 320, Mouza Bahira J.L. No. 158, Place Dadpur G.P. whereas Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research is located at Plot No. 271, Khitan no. 576, Mouza Nadibhag, J.L No. 75, Place Madhyam gram Municipality.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee does not have in its possession the regulatory file, Inspection Report and C.D. pertaining to Mother Teresa Institution of Education and Research to draw a comparison. Appeal Committee however, is of the opinion that let an Inspection Team conduct inspection of both the institutions broadly to verify whether these institutions are differently located, have separate set of instructional and infarastruactional material which was found to be available at

the time of first inspection. Appellant institution is required to pay necessary fee to ERC for the purpose within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for conduction inspection of the institute and appellant is required to deposit inspection for to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, Sondalia, Bahira, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, Sondalia, Bahira, West Bengal – 743423.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-339/E-3157/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Cosmopolitan College of Education, Sengadu Nehemiah Nagar, Sriperambudur, Tamil Nadu dated 08.05.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP2016 30153/M.Ed./TN/2017-18/93186 dated 09.05.2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the grounds that "1. Their reply dated 02.05.2017 is seen. 2. The reply is not at all satisfactory. 3.1 As regards title, they refer to their affidavit. The relevant document is a sale, lease or gift deed. 3.2 Even according to the affidavit, the title is with the Trust. 4.1 The College is the applicant. Land is owned by the Trust. 4.2 The Regulation requires that the applicant shall have title to the land on the date of application. This requirement is violated. 5. The EC supplied clearly mentions mortgage of property with Bank of Baroda. They have not cared to contradict that. Merely asserting that there is no 'liability' is not enough. 6. With such basic infirmities it is not possible to process this case further. 7. Reject the application. 8. Return FDRs, if any. 9. Close the file."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. N. Vijayakumar, Chairman Cosmopolitan College of Education, Sengadu Nehemiah Nagar, Sriperambudur, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. While no explanation has been furnished in the on-line appeal, the appellant, with their letter dt. 04.07.2017, given during personal presentation, enclosed a number of land related documents, which inter alia, include a Nil Encumbrance certificate dt. 03.07.2017 issued by the Registering Officer, Sriperambudur and a copy of the certificate of land dt. 05.05.2017 issued by the Sub-Registrar, Sriperambudur. Most of the other documents appear to have been submitted to the SRC earlier.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that there are two grounds for refusal, namely, that (i) the land is in the name of the Trust and not in the name of the

College, which is the applicant; and (ii) the Encumbrance Certificate indicates that the property is mortgaged to Bank of Baroda.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. course by SRC on 03.06.2009. The recognition order mentioned that recognition was granted to Cosmopolitan College of Education, Cosmopolitan Educational Cultural and Social Development Trust, Sengadu P.O., Sriperumbudur, Chennai, Kanchepuram 602003 Tamilnadu. While in the on-line application dt. 30.06.2016 for M.Ed. course, the name of the applicant and name of the parent organisation have been shown as 'Cosmopolitan College of Education', the affidavit enclosed to the application has been given by the Managing Trustee, Cosmopolitan Educational Cultural and Social Development Trust, who is also the Chairman of the College.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that there is no dispute about the Trust owning the land. As the College, as applicant did not have title for the land, SRC wrote a letter to the NCTE, New Delhi on 20.04.2014 enquiring whether such a case can be entertained. While no reply appears to have been received, the appellant, on the basis of the minutes of the 333<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of the SRC, wherein a decision was taken to seek clarification from the NCTE, New Delhi sent a letter dt. 18.04.2017 to the SRC inter alia enclosing a Nil Encumbrance Certificate dt. 18.04.2017 and an affidavit regarding title of the land. In the affidavit, the appellant submitted that they are running the College with B.Ed. since 2009 under one umbrella in the name of the Trust and the entire land is lying is one compound with one and the same administration. The appellant, during personal presentation submitted a copy of the certificate of the land given by the Sub Registrar stating that the land was gifted to the Trust which was registered on 02.05.2008, it is still under the possession of the Trust and the Cosmopolitan College of Education is located in the same plot of gifted land and there is no liability in the E.C. of this property.


**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that according to the provisions of clause 8(4) (i) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, on the date of application, the institution or society sponsoring the institution should be in possession of the required land. In the present case, the land is in the name of the Society, which is the umbrella of the



College as could be seen from the order of recognition for B.Ed. course and also the certificate of land issued by the Sub Registrar, there is no encumbrance on the land and the proposed M.Ed. is to be run in the same College. In these circumstances, the condition laid down in clause 8 (4) (i) of the Regulation can be taken as fulfilled by the appellant. The Committee, therefore, concluded that matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC to process the application further as per NCTE Regulations, 20014. The SRC may, however, at the appropriate stage, impose the condition regarding transfer and vesting the title of the land and building in the name of institution within six months from the date of issue of formal recognition as envisaged in clause 8 (4) (iii) of the Regulations.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC to process the application further as per NCTE Regulations, 20014. The SRC may, however, at the appropriate stage, impose the condition regarding transfer and vesting the title of the land and building in the name of institution within six months from the date of issue of formal recognition as envisaged in clause 8 (4) (iii) of the Regulations.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Cosmopolitan College of Education, Sengadu Nehemiah Nagar, Sriperambudur, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Cosmopolitan College of Education, Sengadu Nehemiah Nagar, Sriperambudur, Tamil Nadu – 602002.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



F.No.89-340/E-3125/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Jugal Kishore Mahavidyalaya, Gawan, Gunnaur, Uttar Pradesh dated 08.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-14741/255<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2016/155989-92 dated 20.08.2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has applied for D.El.Ed. course. The institution is already running B.Ed. and B.A. courses. Total built-up area in the demarcated map submitted at the time of visiting team inspection is only 1090.70 sq. mts. which is less for the proposed course. Hence application of the institution for the proposed course is rejected. Institution has now submitted another map on 06.07.2016 and has tried to mislead the NRC."

**AND WHEREAS** the submission of the appeal has been delayed by six months and 19 days beyond the prescribed time limit of 60 days. The appellant submitted that the delay was an account of illness of Shri Deepak Kumar (Secretary of the College) and enclosed a medical certificate stating that he was under treatment from 01.09.2016 to 31.03.2017 on account of disbalance of spinal cord L1 to L3 and was advised treatment and bed rest for this period. The Committee, noting the submission, decided to condone the delay and consider the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Kripal Singh, Representative, Jugal Kishore Mahavidyalaya, Gawan, Gunnaur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that at the time of inspection the total built-up area in the demarcated map submitted at the time of visiting team was 3062 sq. mts. instead of 1090 sq. mts. The appellant, during presentation, gave a letter dt. 04.07.2017. In this letter, it is submitted that they have a total of 1090 sq. mts. of land and a total built up area of 3062 sq. mts spread over different blocks in the same campus and

the building completion certificate corroborates their claim. The appellant also submitted that the building plan clearly shows that the land area and built up area is sufficient for B.Ed. and proposed D.El.Ed. course. The appellant submitted that the mistake was because building plan of only one block was submitted and they have no intention to mislead NRC. B.C.C., building plan and copy of registered land documents stated to have been enclosed to the letter under reference are not there.


**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that after conducting an inspection of the appellant institution, the NRC issued a Letter of Intent on 23.02.2016. On receipt of a reply dt. 29.04.2016 to the Letter of Intent from the appellant, the NRC issued a show cause notice dt. 02.06.2016 on the ground that the total built up area of 2762 sq. mts. is not adequate for the existing one unit of B.Ed. course and one unit of D.El.Ed. course and the proposed additional intake in D.El.Ed course. The appellant in their reply dt. 02.07.2016 submitted that they are not running any unit of D.El.Ed course and their application was for the new D.El.Ed course. In that reply, they also submitted that for the B.Ed. course, which they are already running, they constructed a new building as per the 2009 Regulations and they have expanded the building further for the proposed D.El.Ed. course. While an additional 300 sq. mts had been built-up, only some marginal work was pending. This was not reflected in the building map and building completion certificate. The appellant enclosed to the reply a building plan and a building completion certificate and claimed that they have a total built up area of 3062 Sq. mts. The NRC thereafter refused recognition on a different ground that according to the demarcated map submitted at the time of inspection, the built-up area is only 1090.70 Sq. mts, which is less for the proposed course.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the building plan enclosed to the VT report, which showed 1090.70 Sq. mts as covered area was for the ground floor, but the building completion certificate enclosed showed a built-up area of 29719.12 Sq. ft in ground and first floors. The VT in their report dt. 07.02.2016 recorded the built-up area as 2762 Sq. mts. on the basis of which NRC issued the show cause notice. The building plan and building completion certificate enclosed to the reply to the show cause notice showed the built-up area as 3062 Sq. mts/ 32947.11 Sq ft in ground, first and second floors.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noting that there are differences in the figures of built-up area in the plans and building completion certificate furnished at different times and they need to be verified/ reconciled on the basis of actual situation at the ground level, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to conduct a re-inspection of the institution, on payment of the fee by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE, Regulations, 2014. The appellant should be asked to submit authentic building plan and building completion certificate approved/ issued by the competent authorities at the time of re-inspection.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to conduct a re-inspection of the institution, on payment of the fee by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE, Regulations, 2014. The appellant should be asked to submit authentic building plan and building completion certificate approved/ issued by the competent authorities at the time of re-inspection.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Jugal Kishore Mahavidyalaya, Gawan, Gunnaur, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Jugal Kishore Mahavidyalaya, Gawan, Gunnaur, Uttar Pradesh – 202527.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-341/E-3125/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shiv Mahavidyalaya, Saroli Mod, Tonk, Rajasthan dated 02.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE / NRC / NRCAPP201615082 /B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 03/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A.B.Ed./ B.Sc.B.Ed. course on the grounds that (1) The institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. (2) Submitted document shows that land is mortgaged. (3) Submitted LUC shows that converted land is for the purpose of industrial and not for educational. (4) The institution has not submitted any proof/evidence to prove that it is a composite institution as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Shivjilal Choudhary, Director and Sh. Hari Prakash, U.D.C. , Shiv Mahavidyalaya, Saroli Mode, Tonk, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they got the papers of the land certified from the competent authority which is attached; they have 7800 Sq. mts of land out of which 4900 Sq. mts has been proposed for education and this includes Khasra Nos. 862/0.24, 861/0.12, 1613/870/0.13; non-encumbrance certificate of the land related to Khasras issued by Tehsildar is attached; papers of land related to educational purpose issued by Sub-Section Officer are enclosed; and NOC of B.A.B.Ed. from the concerned University, NOC issued by the State Govt. and related verification certificate from Maharishi Dayanand University to the College are attached.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant, after the issue of refusal order dt. 03.03.2017 wrote two letters dt. 08.03.2017 and 17.03.2017 to the NRC with which he enclosed certain documents. These include certified copies of the land documents viz. two gift deeds dt. 27.01.2011 and 12.01.2011 and one sale deed dt. 24.10.2011; notarised copies of two CLUs dt. 28.10.2013 and 07.07.2011 issued by Sub-Division Officer, Deoli converting in all 4900 Sq. mts for educational purpose; a copy of letter dt. 29.10.2015 from Maharishi Dayanand University, Ajmer granting fresh provisional affiliation to the appellant College for B.A. and B.Sc. courses for the session 2015-16 (this being essential to qualify as a composite institution); and Non-Encumbrance Certificate dt. 01.03.2017 issued by Tahsildar, Distt. Tonk certifying that 7800 Sq. mts in different Khasras and recorded in the name of the appellant is free from encumbrance as on 01.03.2017 and is not pledged to any bank. The appellant also enclosed a copy of the certificate dt. 02.03.2017 issued by Indian Overseas Bank, Deoli, Tonk in which it is stated that in respect of lands of Shiv Public Shiksha Samiti in Khasra Nos 862/0.24, 861/0.41 and 1613/870/0.13 there is no mortgage in their Branch.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the explanations with documentary evidence furnished by the appellant in respect of the grounds of refusal are satisfactory and worth considering. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the replies of the appellant dt. 08.03.2017 and 17.03.2017 received by them and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the replies of the appellant dt. 08.03.2017 and 17.03.2017 received by them and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shiv Mahavidyalaya, Saroli Mod, Tonk, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Shiv Mahavidyalaya, Saroli Mode, Tonk, Rajasthan – 304802.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-342/E-3168/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibhag, Kazipara, Nadighag, West Bengal dated 05.05.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/237.7(i).8/ERCAPP2635/B.Ed./2017/52335 dated 15/04/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the following grounds : (i) After conducting an inspection of the institution on 19.02.2016 ERC in their 206<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 26-27 February, 2016 decided to issue a Letter of Intent and issued the same on 03.03.2016. (ii) In the 207<sup>th</sup> meeting of the ERC held on 1-3 March, 2016, it was decided to issue a show cause notice on the grounds that the instructional facilities like multi-purpose hall, Library, ICT Lab, class rooms, furniture etc. are found identical at par with institution Mother Teresa Institution of Education and Research (ERCAPP 2635). Both the institution are required to be re-visited by another Visiting Team at the cost of both the institutions by submitting fee of Rs. 1,50,000/- and the Letter of Intent issued to this institution under clause 7(13), as decided in the 206<sup>th</sup> meeting be kept in abeyance. (iii) In response, the institution submitted a reply in their letter dt. 09.11.2016 along with Demand Draft of Rs. 1,50,000/- dt 08.11.2016, requesting for re-visit of the institution, which is received in ERC office on 10.11.2016. (iv) In their 229<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 11-12, January, 2017, the ERC decided not to accept the reply of the institution, which has been received after 8 months. The Committee also decided that both the institutions, namely Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, North 24 Parganas, West Bengal and Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibhag, North 24 Parganas, West Bengal applied without having separate building and other infrastructures, which misleads the ERC for taking appropriate decision. The Committee also decided that demand drafts of both the institutions are to be refunded and no inspection is to be conducted. The ERC also issued a Show Cause Notice on these grounds on 16.02.2017 and (v) The ERC, in their 237<sup>th</sup> meeting (part-3) held on 9-11 April, 2017, finding that no reply has been



received till date and the time limit has already been over, decided to refuse recognition. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP2635 of the institution regarding recognition of B.Ed. Programme is refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Jahidul Sarkar, Representative, Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibhag, Kazipara, Nadighag, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that after uploading the minutes of 207<sup>th</sup> Meeting, they met the Chairman and the Regional Director, ERC and explained that the two institutions i.e. Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education and Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research are separate and the distance between them is 17 Kms and the colour of the building is different between each other. They were told that there is no provision for re-visit and further action will be taken in the next meeting. As the appellant did not get any reply despite their visit to ERC in April and May, 2016, they finally met the Chairman at the end of October 2016 who told to deposit D.D. of 1,50,000/- as re-inspection fee. They submitted the D.D. for Rs. 1,50,000/- dt. 08.11.2016 with a request letter dt. 09.11.2016. In spite of that the ERC considering that the reply has been received after 8 months decided not to conduct VT.


**AND WHEREAS** the appellant further submitted that Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, North 24 Parganas and Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibagh, North 24 Parganas were inspected physically by West Bengal State University and NOCs were issued; land demarcation and identification documents of both the institutions are being issued through proper verification; address, site plan, land papers, building papers show separate existence of the two institutions; since the Civil Engineer of both the institutions is the same, outer and inner design of the building remain the same; they purchased all furniture computers, lab items, books, photos of two sets each, which look like copy, but it is fully illusion; and Dr. Shabidullah Institute of Education was inspected by VT on 20.02.2016 and Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research was inspected

on 19.02.2016 and therefore, it is impossible to change the colour of the building and enhance a portion of the building within a night.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noting the submissions of the appellant and also the decision of the ERC at one stage to conduct another inspection of the institution, concluded that, to get a clear and authentic picture of the institution, the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to conduct a re-inspection, on payment of the fee by the appellant and take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to conduct a re-inspection, on payment of the fee by the appellant and take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibhag, Kazipara, Nadighag, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibhag, Kazipara, Nadighag – 700125, West Bengal.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-343/E-3169/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibhag, Kazipara, Nadighag, West Bengal dated 05.05.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/237.7(i).7/ERCAPP2636/D.El.Ed./2017/52341 dated 15/04/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the following grounds : (i) After conducting an inspection of the institution on 19.02.2016 ERC in their 206<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 26-27 February, 2016 decided to issue a Letter of Intent and issued the same on 03.03.2016. (ii) In the 207<sup>th</sup> meeting of the ERC held on 1-3 March, 2016, it was decided to issue a show cause notice on the grounds that the instructional facilities like multi-purpose hall, Library, ICT Lab, class rooms, furniture etc. are found identical at par with institution Mother Teresa Institution of Education and Research (ERCAPP 2635). Both the institution are required to be re-visited by another Visiting Team at the cost of both the institutions by submitting fee of Rs. 1,50,000/- and the Letter of Intent issued to this institution under clause 7 (13), as decided in the 206<sup>th</sup> meeting be kept in abeyance. (iii) In response, the institution submitted a reply in their letter dt. 09.11.2016 along with Demand Draft of Rs. 1,50,000/- dt 08.11.2016, requesting for re-visit of the institution, which is received in ERC office on 10.11.2016. (iv) In their 229<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 11-12, January, 2017, the ERC decided not to accept the reply of the institution, which has been received after 8 months. The Committee also decided that both the institutions, namely Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, North 24 Parganas, West Bengal and Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibhag, North 24 Parganas, West Bengal applied without having separate building and other infrastructures, which misleads the ERC for taking appropriate decision. The Committee also decided that demand drafts of both the institutions are to be refunded and no inspection is to be conducted. The ERC also issued a Show Cause Notice on these grounds on 16.02.2017. (v) The ERC, in their 237<sup>th</sup> meeting (part-3) held on 9-11 April, 2017, finding that no reply has been

received till date and the time limit has already been over, decided to refuse recognition. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code No. ERCAPP2636 of the institution regarding recognition of D.El.Ed. Programme is refused under section 14(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Jahidul Sarkar, Representative, Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibhag, Kazipara, Nadighag, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that after uploading the minutes of 207<sup>th</sup> Meeting, they met the Chairman the Regional Director, ERC and explained that the and two institutions i.e. Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education and Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research are separate and the distance between them is 17 Kms and the colour of the building is different between each other. They were told that there is no provision for re-visit and further action will be taken in the next meeting. As the appellant did not get any reply despite their visit to ERC in April and May, 2016, they finally met the Chairman at the end of October 2016 who told to deposit D.D. of 1,50,000/- as re-inspection fee. The submitted the D.D. for Rs. 1,50,000/- dt. 08.11.2016 with a request letter dt. 09.11.2016. In spite of that the ERC considering that the reply has been received after 8 months decided not to conduct VT.


**AND WHEREAS** the appellant further submitted that Dr. Shahidullah Institute of Education, Aminpur, North 24 Parganas and Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibagh, North 24 Parganas were inspected physically by West Bengal State University and NOCs were issued; land demarcation and identification documents of both the institutions are being issued through proper verification; address, site plan, land papers, building papers show separate existence of the two institutions; since the Civil Engineer of both the institutions is the same, outer and inner design of the building remain the same; they purchased all furniture computers, lab items, books, photos of two sets each, which look like copy, but it is fully illusion; and Dr. Shabidullah Institute of Education was inspected by VT on 20.02.2016 and Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research was inspected

on 19.02.2016 and therefore, it is impossible to change the colour of the building and enhance a portion of the building within a night.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noting the submissions of the appellant and also the decision of the ERC at one stage to conduct another inspection of the institution, concluded that to get a clear and authentic picture of the institution, the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to conduct a re-inspection, on payment of the fee by the appellant and take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to conduct a re-inspection, on payment of the fee by the appellant and take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibhag, Kazipara, Nadighag, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Mother Teresa Institute of Education and Research, Nadibhag, Kazipara, Nadighag – 700125, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.



F.No.89-215/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sri Krishnadevaraya University, S.V. Puramu, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh dated 16.05.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/APS09642/B.Ed-D.E/AP/2016-17/91301. dated 24/01/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. (ODL) course on the grounds that "1. The decision to withdraw recognition was taken on 09.03.2016. The withdrawal will therefore be w.e.f. 2016-17. 2. The main objection was about inadequacy of faculty. Their letter dated 22.02.2016 does not give any reply to meet this objection. 3. Our decision was, therefore, not incorrect. As already stated, we cannot at this stage change that decision. The University can appeal if they wish to. 4. Issue the effective date of withdrawal of recognition."

**AND WHEREAS** Prof. G. Venkata Naidu, Professor & Director, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, S.V. Puramu, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. The appellant, in a letter dt. 24.03.2017 to the NCTE, with endorsement to the SRC, written after the withdrawal of recognition, submitted that the matter was placed before the University Executive Council on 14.02.2017 to obtain permission for filling up of teaching faculty positions as per NCTE norms, which was approved. Accordingly, the University issued a notification for walk-in interviews in two newspapers, namely The Hindu on the 16<sup>th</sup> and Enadu on the 17<sup>th</sup> March, 2017. The University conducted the interviews on 23.03.2017, 115 candidates attended the interview and out of which required number of teaching faculty for all the positions as per NCTE -2014 norms, following the rule of reservation, were selected. The University also informed that the list of selected candidates will be submitted within short period. The appellant with another letter dt. 04.07.2017 enclosed the list of newly appointed teaching staff of Directorate of Distance Education and appointment orders, joining reports, affidavit, certificates relating educational qualifications and service certificates. The appellant, submitting

that the universities of Distance Education of Govts of Andhra Pradesh and Telangana have already issued notifications for admission into B.Ed. course under Distance Education mode for the year 2016-17, requested that their recognition for the academic year 2016-17 may be renewed. In the course of personal presentation, the appellant submitted a letter dt. 04.07.2017 giving the names of seven faculty members for B.Ed. ODL programme.


**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that according to the second proviso to Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993, an order passed by the Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition, shall come into force only with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of such order. Therefore, the order of the SRC withdrawing recognition to the appellant institution, which has been issued on 24.01.2017, cannot come into force with effect from the academic session 2016-17, as stated in that order.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee also noted that the main ground for withdrawal is inadequacy of the faculty. The Committee noted that the appellant through their letter dt. 24.03.2017 (addressed to the NCTE with an endorsement to the SRC) and two letters 04.07.2017 submitted during the presentation of the appeal, has explained the steps taken by them to provide the faculty for the B.Ed. (Distance Education) course. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the teaching faculty provided by the appellant for B.Ed. (D.E) course and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC their two letters dt. 04.04.2017 with all their enclosures, within 15 days of the receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the teaching faculty provided by the appellant for B.Ed. (D.E) course and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC their two letters

dt. 04.04.2017 with all their enclosures, within 15 days of the receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Krishnadevaraya University, S.V. Puramu, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Registrar, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, S.V. Puramu, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh – 515003.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.





F.No.89-216/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sri Krishnadevaraya University, S.V. Puramu, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh dated 12.05.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/APS07494/B.Ed./APS00414/M.Ed. AP/2016-17/91302 dated 24/01/2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. M.Ed. courses with effect from 2016-17 on the ground of "Inadequacy of Teaching faculty."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. K.P. Venkata Subbaiah, Principal, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, S.V. Puramu, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "teaching positions as per NCTE norms 2014 are filled. The appellant, in a letter dt. 24.03.2017 to the NCTE, with endorsement to the SRC, written after the withdrawal of recognition, submitted that the matter was placed before the University Executive Council on 14.02.2017 to obtain permission for filling up of teaching faculty positions as per NCTE norms, which was approved. Accordingly, the University issued a notification for walk-in interviews in two news papers, namely the Hindu on 16.03.2017 and Enadu on 17.03.2017. The appellant informed that the University has decided to withdraw the M.Ed. course from the academic year 2017-18 due to meagre admission from the last two academic years. The University conducted walk-in interviews on 23.03.2017, 115 candidates attended the interviews, out of which required number of teaching faculty for all the positions as per the NCTE Norms, 2014, following the rule of reservations, were selected. The University also informed that the list of selected candidates will be submitted within short period. The appellant, in the course of presentation, submitted copies of the appointment orders issued by the University for 13 teaching faculty members on 18.04.2017, their list signed by the Registrar of the University, joining reports, affidavits and other related documents, which were submitted earlier to the SRC with their letter dt. 22.04.2017. In that letter, the appellant requested


that recognition may be renewed for B.Ed. and M.Ed. for the academic year 2016-17 and for B.Ed. for the academic session 2017-18.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that according to the second proviso to Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993, an order passed by the Regional Committee withdrawing recognition, shall come into force only with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of such order. Therefore, the order of the SRC withdrawing recognition to the appellant institution, which has been issued on 24.01.2017 cannot come into force with effect from the academic session 2016-17, as stated in that order.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee also noted that the main ground for withdrawal is inadequacy of staff. The Committee noted that the appellant through their letter dt. 24.03.2017 and 22.04.2017, has informed the SRC with supporting documents, about the steps taken by them to provide the faculty for the courses under consideration. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the teaching faculty provided by the appellant and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the teaching faculty provided by the appellant and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Krishnadevaraya University, S.V. Puramu, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Registrar, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, S.V. Puramu, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh – 515003.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.



F.No.89-349/E-3392/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Laxmipati College, Khajuri Kalan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh dated 04.05.2017 is against the Order No. WRC/APP2806/223/273<sup>rd</sup>/2017/184087 dated 24/04/2017 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that the matter was placed before the WRC in its 273<sup>rd</sup> Meeting held on April 18-19, 2017 and the Committee observed that "in the 271<sup>st</sup> meeting the WRC had remarked that all faculty members do not have PG Degree in the Teaching subjects. The institution has now clarified that all members have a PG Degree in various teaching subjects. An examination of the staff profile shows that the institution has not appointed a principal/HOD. The institution has also not complied with the direction. Hence, Recognition is refused. FDRs, if any be returned."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Shiv Kumar Bansal, Secretary, Laxmipati College, Khajuri Kalan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the institution has already appointed the principal for the B.Ed. course and intimation of the same was submitted on 06.02.2017. The institution has always complied with the directions given. The appellant, in a letter dt. 04.07.2017 given during personal presentation, has submitted that as per the notification of Barkatullah University, Bhopal, the affiliating University, dt. 30.01.2017, intimation regarding the appointment of Principal was submitted to the WRC on 06.02.2017 through inward no. 158175. The appellant also submitted that they always complied with the direction given by the Regional Director, WRC and submitted all the required documents and clarification well in time. The appellant enclosed a copy of their letter

dt. 03.02.2017 sent to WRC with which a copy of the University notification was also sent.


**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted from the copy of the appellant's letter dt. 03.02.2017, intimating the appointment of a Principal for B.Ed. course and with which they sent a copy of the University's notification dt. 30.01.2017 bears the receipt stamp of WRC no. 158175 dt. 06.02.2017. However, this letter is not available in the file, before WRC decided to refuse recognition in their 273<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 18-19 April, 2017. The appellant sent another letter dt. 21.04.2017 to the WRC with which he enclosed a copy of their letter dt. 03.02.2017 and the notification of the University dt. 30.01.2017. This letter is available in the file.

**AND WHEREAS** in view of the position stated above, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the WRC with a direction to consider the appointment of the Principal for B.Ed. course and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted from the file that an application for grant of recognition for B.P.Ed. course from the appellant is also under consideration. In this connection, the attention of WRC is invited to the provisions contained in clause 6.2 (i) of the Norms and Standards for B.P.Ed. course (Appendix -7 to the NCTE Regulations, 2014) according to which physical education courses are not to be run with other teacher education courses. WRC may take this provision into account while considering the application for B.P.Ed. course.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to WRC with a direction to consider the appointment of the Principal for B.Ed. course and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Laxmipati College, Khajuri Kalan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Laxmipati College, Khajuri Kalan, New Sos Huzur, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh – 462021.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.**



F.No.89-350/E-3287/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of SKD College of Higher Education, Suratgarh Road, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan dated 06.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615360/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 15/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that (1) With regard to the difference in the name of applicant trust in online application, the institution submitted a declaration stating that the parental body of the institution is Guru Gobind Singh Charitable Trust. As such, the land is not in the name of applicant Society/institution. (2) The institution has not submitted the Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. (3) Approved Building Plan in one piece with all requisite details is not submitted. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Manoj Kumar, Representative, SKD College of Higher Education, Suratgarh Road, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 03.07.2017, it was submitted that (i) the institution, viz. SKD College for High Education is working under a private parent Trust named Guru Gobind Singh Charitable Trust situated at Chak 7 stg Khushal Nagar, Dabli Rathan, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan. At the time of applying on-line application form, by mistake, parent body's name is shown as SKD College for Higher Education in place of Guru Gobind Singh Charitable Trust. Therefore, the applicant may be considered as the College and the parent organisation as the Trust. All land documents are registered in the name of the Trust. (ii) Land is free from all kinds of encumbrances and a Non-encumbrance certificate issued by the competent

authority is submitted. (iii) An approved building plan is one piece with all requisite details issued by the competent authority is submitted.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant has submitted explanation, supported with documents for the three grounds mentioned in the refusal order which deserve to be accepted. In fact, the explanation and all the relevant documents were submitted by the appellant to the NRC with their un-dated letter, which were received on 20.03.2017, i.e. after the issue of refusal order on 15.03.2017. This letter is available in the file. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the appellants with received by them on 20.03.2017 and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to process the application further as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of SKD College of Higher Education, Suratgarh Road, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The President, SKD College of Higher Education, Chak 7 STG Khushal Nagar Dabli Rathan Suratgarh Road, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan – 335801.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-351/E-3388/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Ramuni Devi B.Ed. College, Aurangabad, Bihar dated 07.05.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/239.6.119 (part-4) B.Ed. and D.El.Ed./2017/52953 dated 02.05.2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting B.Ed. course of one basic unit (Intake of 50). The appellant wants recognition for 2 basic units as applied for.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Bipin Bihari Singh, Chairman and Sh. Santosh Kumar Singh, Secretary, Ramuni Devi B.Ed. College, Aurangabad, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 03.007.2017 it was submitted that they applied for new B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. courses for two basic units (100+100) in each of the two courses. Eventhough they selected the required faculties according to the norms, deficiency of one mathematics teacher was raised. Instead of a mathematics teacher, they appointed a teacher having masters degree in physics. Due to this deficiency, recognition was given for one unit (50 intake). Now they have again appointed the required mathematics faculty and fulfilled the condition of NCTE. The appellant enclosed a list 16 faculty members including two Asst. Professors for Mathematics, duly signed by the Registrar, M.M.H Arabic and Persian University, Patna.


**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant applied for two basic units of B.Ed. course with 100 intake. The Visiting Team recommended grant of recognition for two units. The ERC also decided to grant recognition for two units and issued the Letter of Intent (LOI) accordingly on 08.03.2017. However, on receipt of reply to the LOI, the ERC in their 239<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28-30 April, 2017 found from the list of 16 faculty members, that there was only one mathematics teacher instead of two as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The ERC, therefore, decided to issue recognition for one unit (50 intake) only. Since, the appellant has appointed the



second Asst. Professor for Mathematics on 29.06.2017, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider the request of the appellant for the second unit in the B.Ed. course and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the list of faculty members to the ERC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider the request of the appellant for the second unit in the B.Ed. course and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the list of faculty members to the ERC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Ramuni Devi B.Ed. College, Aurangabad, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Ramuni Devi B.Ed. College, Manjurahi, NH-2, Aurangabad, Bihar – 824101.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-351 (A) /E-3388/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Ramuni Devi B.Ed. College, Aurangabad, Bihar dated 07.05.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/239.6.119 (part-4) B.Ed. and D.El.Ed./2017/52953 dated 02.05.2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course of one unit (Intake of 50) "The appellant wants recognition for 2 basic units as applied for.


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Bipin Bihar Singh, Chairman and Sh. Santosh Kumar Singh, Secretary, Ramuni Devi B.Ed. College, Aurangabad, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 03.07.2017 it was submitted that they applied for new B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. courses for two basic units (100+100) in each of the two courses. Eventhough they selected the required faculties according to the norms, deficiency of one mathematics teacher was raised. Instead of a mathematics teacher, they appointed a teacher having masters degree in physics. Due to this deficiency, recognition was given for one unit (50 intake). Now they have again appointed the required mathematics faculty and fulfilled the condition of NCTE. The appellant enclosed a list of 16 faculty members including two Asst. Professors for mathematics duly signed by the Deputy Secretary, Bihar School Education Board, Patna.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant applied for two basic units of D.El.Ed. course with 100 intake. The Visiting Team recommended grant of recognition for two units. The ERC also decided to grant recognition for two units and issued Letter of Intent (LOI) on 08.03.2017. However, on receipt of reply to the LOI, the ERC, in their 239<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28-30 April, 2017 found from the list of 16 faculty members that there was only one mathematics teacher instead of two as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The ERC, therefore, decided to grant recognition for one unit (50 intake) only. Since the appellant has appointed the second Asst.

Professor for Mathematics on 29.06.2017, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider the request of the appellant for the second unit in the D.El.Ed. course and take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the list of faculty members to the ERC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to consider the request of the appellant for the second unit in the D.El.Ed. course and take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward the list of faculty members to the ERC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Ramuni Devi B.Ed. College, Aurangabad, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Ramuni Devi B.Ed. College, Manjurahi, NH-2, Aurangabad, Bihar – 824101.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-354/E-3373/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Om Kothari Institute of Teachers Training College, Kota, Anantpura, Ladpura, Rajasthan dated 01.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615164/B.A.B.ed./B.Sc.B.Ed.-4 year integrated/RJ/2017-2018/2; dated 27.04.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Reply of SCN issued by NRC to the institution has not been received within stipulated time. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Amit Singh Rathore, Director, Om Kothari Institute of Teachers Training College, Kota, Anantpur, Ladpura, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that they were extremely shocked to receive e-mail rejecting their application because of non-submission of reply to the show cause notice on not complying with the deficiencies indicated. The appellant submitted that they did not receive any other show cause notice before the e-mail neither on their NCTE Login ID or on their e-mail address. Due to this reason they were unable to reply to the deficiencies. The appellant now submitted that recognition order of D.El.Ed. course is uploaded now and also a copy is enclosed. The Lease Agreement as well as approved building/plan/drawing provided by Rajasthan Industrial Development & Investment Corporation Ltd. (RIICO) has clearly defined the land use specifically for Educational purpose & MBA, MCA, etc. is very clearly mentioned and accordingly they are allowed to conduct any course in the land allotted. The same is uploaded and enclosed. Institution land was allotted by Rajasthan Industrial Development & Investment Corporation Ltd. (RIICO). In this case the competent authority for approving building layout and plans is RIICO.

Hence, they are resubmitting the approved copy of land and building plan by competent authority that is RIICO in which the details desired are mentioned and enclosed. A copy of Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by Competent Authority i.e. (RIICO) Rajasthan Industrial Development & Investment Corporation Ltd. and their Banker i.e. SBI (earlier known as State Bank of Bikaner and Jaipur) are enclosed.


**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noting that the submission of the appellant and the documents submitted by them vis-à-vis the objections raised in the show cause notice are satisfactory, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the documents and also take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to submit all the documents sent with the appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that according to the provision of para 1.1 of the Norms and Standards for 4-year Integrated programme leading to B.Sc./B.Ed./B.A.B.Ed. degree, contained in Appendix-13 to the NRC Regulations, 2014, this programme aims at integrating general studies comprising science (B.Sc.B.Ed.) and social sciences or humanities (B.A., B.Ed.) and professional studies comprising foundations of education, pedagogy of school subjects and practicum related to the tasks and functions of a school teacher. In the light of these provisions, availability of B.A./B.Sc. courses in an institution intending to offer the integrated B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. programmes, can only fulfil the objectives of the integrated B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. programmes. The Committee noted that keeping this in view, the NCTE in their letter dt. 07.04.2016 addressed to their Regional Committees, laid down the preparatory steps to be taken by the applicants for the integrated programme, to introduce the B.A./ B.Sc. programmes in their institutions. Therefore, the NRC, while taking the further action suggested in para 4 above, should also examine the application of the appellant vis a vis the relevant provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and the conditions laid down in the Council's letter dt. 07.04.2016.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced

during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the documents and also take further action as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to submit all the documents sent with the appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Om Kothari Institute of Teachers Training College, Kota, Anantpura, Ladpura, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Principal, Om Kothari Institute of Teachers Training College, Kota, A-1, Spcl. IPJA Jhalawar Road, Anantpur, Ladpura, Rajasthan – 324005.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-355/E-3372/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Monad University, Village – Kastla Kasmabad, P.O. – Pilkhuwa, Tehsil-Hapur, Town, Hapur, District – Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh dated 08.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10504/263rd Meeting/2016/168458 dated 18/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.El.Ed. course on the grounds that “The institution was given SCN dated 04.11.2016. Reply of the institution is not accepted as the institution has not submitted the approved building plan signed by the competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc. The institution has not submitted the Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Authority to use the land for Educational purpose.”

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Satyveer Singh Chaudhary, Principal, Monad University, Village – Kastla Kasmabad, P.O. – Pilkhuwa, Tehsil-Hapur, Town, Hapur, District – Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that the School of Education of Monad University is located in 'B' block of the University and the first floor plan has been approved by Hapur Development Authority and a copy thereof is enclosed. The appellant also enclosed copy of plan of second floor of 'B' Block. The appellant also submitted that the land use certificate has been issued by the Department of Housing and Urban Development. Govt of U.P. on 11.05.2009 and enclosed a copy of that communication.


**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noting that the submissions of the appellant and the documents submitted by them vis a vis the objections raised by NRC are satisfactory, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a

direction to consider these documents and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to submit all the documents sent with the appeal to NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that according to the provisions of clause 1.2 of the Norms and Standards for B.El.Ed. course contained in Appendix-3 to the NCTE Regulations, 2014, B.El.Ed. programme shall be offered inter-alia only in a University with multi-disciplinary faculty as defined in clause 2(b) of the 2014 Regulations. Therefore, the NRC, while taking further action suggested in para 3 above, should also examine the application of the appellant vis-à-vis the provisions of the Norms and Standards for the course laid down in the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider these documents and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to submit all the documents sent with the appeal to NRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Monad University, Village – Kastla Kasmabad, P.O. – Pilkhuwa, Tehsil-Hapur, Town, Hapur, District – Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Deputy Registrar, Monad University, Plot No.1095, 1096, 1101, 1094, 1092, Street No. NH-24, Village – Kastla Kasmabad, P.O. – Pilkhuwa, Tehsil-Hapur, Town, Hapur, District – Ghaziabad – 245101, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





F.No.89-356/E-3451/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**


**WHEREAS** the appeal of Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar Mahavidyalaya, Mau, Uttar Pradesh dated 08.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-15360/264<sup>th</sup> Meeting (Part-1)/2017/170032 dated 30/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "reply dated 24/12/2016 submitted by the institution in response to SCN is not acceptable."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Surendra, Clerk, Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar Mahavidyalaya, Mau, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation and in a letter dt. 04.07.2017 it was submitted that the Visiting Team contacted the Manager of the institution on 27.01.2016 on telephone and the Manager, on account of the illness from which he was suffering for the last two months, requested for 15 days time and also wrote a letter to the NRC on 27.01.2016. The appellant also enclosed a medical certificate advising rest to Shri Shideni (the Manager) from 25.12.2015 to 05.03.2016.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noting that the appellant wrote to the NRC seeking extension of 15 day time on account of his illness, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to conduct an inspection of the institution on payment of the prescribed fee by the appellant.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to conduct an inspection of the institution on payment of the prescribed fee by the appellant.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar Mahavidyalaya, Mau, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar Mahavidyalaya, Chakara Doharighat to Belthara Road, Madhuban, Uttar Pradesh – 276306.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.**



F.No.89-357/E-3764/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Tagore P.G. College, Suratgarh, Link Road, Suratgarh, Rajasthan dated 14.5.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201615431/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 15/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Reply received on 15.02.2017 from the institution in reference to the Show Cause Notice of NRC was carefully considered by NRC and following observations are made:- (1) The institution has still not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. (2) The institution has not submitted the Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. (3) LUC dated 09.09.2016 issued by the Tehsildar is not acceptable. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition / permission is refused u/s 14/14 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."


**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Arun Vats, Principal, Tagore P.G. College, Suratgarh, Link Road, Suratgarh, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that with their reply dt. 13.2.2017, in response to the Show Cause Notice, they had already submitted (1) Non-encumbrance Certificate dt. 13.2.2017 issued by the competent authority i.e. EO, Municipal Board, Suratgarh; (ii) certified registered land document issued by the Registrar, Suratgarh and (iii) land use certificate dt. 9.9.2016 issued by the competent authority i.e. Tahsildar (land and revenue), Suratgarh. The appellant submitted that despite these documents being available with them the NRC did not consider the same and refused recognition. The appellant also submitted that the NRC has not stated reasons for not accepting the land use certificate issued by the Tahsildar. The appellant further submitted that, after the recognition was refused, they sent a representation on 8.4.2017 to the NRC explaining the details of the

various documents submitted by them including the three documents mentioned in the refusal order. This letter received on 10.4.2017 is in the file. The appellant also enclosed all the documents with the appeal, which inter alia include a fresh non-encumbrance certificate issued by Tahsildar, Suratgarh on 6.3.2017 and E.O., Municipal Council, Suratgarh on 13.2.2017, for which the appellant made payment, which is supported by a copy of the receipt.

**AND WHEREAS** from the foregoing position, the Committee noted that the appellant provided all the necessary documentary evidence vis a vis the three grounds mentioned in the refusal order which is satisfactory. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted by the appellant and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2017. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC, all the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of the orders on appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded NRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted by the appellant and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2017. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC, all the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of the orders on appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Tagore P.G. College, Suratgarh, Link Road, Suratgarh, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Tagore PG College, Suratgarh, Link Road, Suratgarh, Rajasthan – 335804.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

49



F.No.89-359/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Nalanda Science & Commerce College, Jhinhari Katni, Madhya Pradesh dated 09.05.2017 is against the Order No. WRC/APP2554/222/273<sup>rd</sup>/{M.P.}/2017/184159 dated 24.04.2017 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the reply received from the institution was placed in the 270<sup>th</sup> Meeting of WRC held on March 1-3, 2017 and the Committee decided that "...Consequent to the issue of LOI, the institution has submitted a list of 1 Principal, and 7 faculty members who are approved by the competent authority. However, in the case of lecturers at serial nos. 2,5 and 6, the institution has written M.A. Education or M.Ed. as "running." The institution should clarify whether these 3 lecturers have completed M.A./M.Ed. if so, the mark sheet should be submitted. Hence, Clarification be obtained on the above grounds. Reply should be submitted within 30 days." Accordingly, Clarification letter was issued on 09.03.2017. The reply received from the institution was placed in the 272<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of WRC held on April 6-8, 2017 and the Committee decided that "...Consequent to the issue of LOI, the institution has submitted a list of 1 Principal and 7 faculty members who are qualified and approved by the competent authority. However, a complaint has been received from 3 members whose names feature in the list of staff. These members have alleged they were not part of the recruitment process. They are not even aware. They do not know how their names were included in the list of faculty members. These members have also stated that they do not possess the M.Ed. degree. Copy of the complaint be sent to the institution for reply. A copy of this complaint be also sent to the Director, Rajya Shiksha Kendra (SCERT). The case will be processed further after receipt of reply." Accordingly, again Clarification letter was issued on 11.04.2017. The reply received from the institution was placed in the 273<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of WRC held on April 18-19, 2017 and the Committee decided that "...In response to the Clarification letter, the institution has submitted another list of faculty members excluding therein the


candidates who featured in the original staff list at serial nos. 2,5, and 6. It is clear that names have been substituted which gives substance to the complaint of the 3 candidates. Since, there is an attempt to mislead the Committee, Recognition is refused."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Sandhya Khare Shrivastava, Principal, Nalanda Science & Commerce College, Jhinhari Katni, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 05.07.2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that in their reply dt. 17.04.2017 to WRC's letter dt. 11.07.2017 regarding the complaint of three faculty members included in the list submitted in response to the Letter of Intent, they have enclosed a sworn affidavit from those three persons wherein they submitted that the signatures in the complaint were not theirs and they have not made any complaint. The appellant with their letter dt. 12.04.2017 forwarded a list of eight faculty members duly approved by the Principal, Govt. College of Education. The appellant also submitted that a FIR against the complaint has been lodged and sent further communication to NRC furnishing the details of selection process, affidavits and staff list on 22.04.2017. The appellant alleged that the WRC took a decision even before the expiry of the time given in their clarificatory letter dt. 11.04.2017, which was received by them on 15.04.2017.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the controversy revolved around three faculty members who were included in the staff list of 8 sent by the appellant in response to the Letter of Intent. While those three persons had not completed M.Ed. or M.A. (Education), a complaint purported to have been sent by them came to the notice of WRC. The WRC wrote to the appellant on (i) 09.03.2017 about the deficiency in the qualifications of the three candidates and (ii) about the complaint of those three candidates on 11.04.2017. The correspondence in the file indicates that the appellant sent a satisfactory reply to show that the complaints were not genuine and also submitted a revised list of faculty replacing the three under qualified candidates by qualified persons and which was approved by the concerned competent authority. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the WRC with a direction to consider the revised list of approved faculty and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to WRC with a direction to consider the revised list of approved faculty and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Nalanda Science & Commerce College, Jhinhari Katni, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Nalanda Science & Commerce College, Jhinhari Katni, NH-7, Maharana Pratap Ward, Katni, Madhya Pradesh – 483501.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.

32



F.No.89-360/E-3899/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 11 Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Apex College of Education, V. Bidhai Khera, P.O. Dangra, Tohana, Haryana dated 12.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/HR-711/267<sup>th</sup> (Part-1) Meeting/2017/170891-98 dated 12.04.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "No explanation has been submitted by the institution regarding having less land and built-up area except an affidavit dated 27.03.2017. No explanation has been submitted by the institution with regard to increase in the infrastructure facilities. No proof of appointment for Principal on regular basis has been submitted, after observation of the Visiting Team. The institution has not yet submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. Hence, the Committee decided that the recognition granted to institution for running B.Ed. course is withdrawn u/s 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 from the end of the academic session next following the date of order of withdrawal. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Vinod Bansal, Chairman and Ms. Ruchika, Asst. Professor, Apex College of Education, V. Bidhai Khera, P.O. Dangra, Tohana, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "As per NCTE 2014 regulations a TEI in order to run D.El.Ed., B.Ed. & M.Ed. courses must have at least 3500 sq. mts. land and built-up area. In the reply of show cause letter this point was made clear that our institution has 20234 sq. mts. total land area and 4312 sq. mts. built up area. Copy of Registered land documents Building Map and Building Completion Certificate had also been provided as a proof. However, these proofs are being submitted once again. In the reply of the Show Cause Notice it was made clear that the institution has not increased its infrastructure or made any changes into it as the available infrastructure is sufficient to run D.El.Ed., B.Ed. & M.Ed. courses. For




proof, copy of Registered land documents Building Map and Building Completion Certificate were provided. Copy of these proofs is provided again on demand. In the Show Cause Letter, we were not provided the opportunity to explain about the selection of the Principal. And now when we have been asked to do so it is explained as under. A re-advertisement for the post of Principal was issued on 09.03.2017. The V.C. appointed their nominee on the Selection Committee on 21.04.2017 and interview was held on 28.04.2017 and the University approved on 29.05.2017. The appellant enclosed copies of correspondence relating to the Selection of Principal and also a copy of the letter dt. 29.05.2017 from Choudhary Ranbir Singh University, Jind approving the teaching faculty for B.Ed. It is submitted to the appellate authority that our institution is running the Teacher Training Institution on the same land where our institution was initially granted recognition i.e. Plot No. 54-55, Khasra No. 8//13/2, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 234/1, 11//2/2/3 V Bidhai Khara, P.O. Dangra Tehsil Tohana Distt. Fatehabad, the land documents of which area are already available with NRC. However, in reply to Show Cause Notice, our institution submitted the land documents for the perusal of NRC. For the perusal of Appellate Authority, we are submitting the copy of certified copy of land documents."

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the submissions of the appellant and the supporting documents are satisfactory. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC all the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of the order on appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to NRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC all the documents submitted in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of the order on appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Apex College of Education, V. Bidhai Khera, P.O. Dangra, Tohana, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairman, Apex College of Education, V. Bidhai Khera, P.O. Dangra, Tohana-Saniana Road, Tohana – 125120, Haryana.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.**



F.No.89-362/E-3786/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Al Fatima Educational Society B.Ed. College, Patna, Bihar dated 27.04.2017 is against the Order No. ERC/234.7.3 (Part-III)/ERCAPP2679/D.El.Ed./2016/52143 dated 05/04/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "(i) As per VT Report, the total built up area mentioned in the building plan and building completion certificate is 3250 sq. mts. which is less than the requirement for B.Ed. (Two units existing) + D.El.Ed. (one unit proposed) as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. (ii) In reply, the institution submitted representation dated 05.12.2016 alongwith copy of building plan and building completion certificate signed by Project Engineer, Works Division No. 1, Patna, B.R.P.M.N. Ltd. which is not accepted. The institution is still deficient on the above grounds. In view of the above, the Committee decided as under:- The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing Code NO. ERCAPP2679 of the institution regarding permission of D.El.Ed. programme is hereby refused under Section 15 (3) (b) of NCTE Act 1993."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Asadullah Khan, Secretary, Al Fatima Educational Society B.Ed. College, Patna, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 04/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that (i) when they applied, they mentioned in page 6 of the application that they have a total built-up area of 4500 Sq. mts; (ii) they have two blocks-one is Education block having a built-up area of 3250 Sq. mts and another is Admin Block with a built-up area of 1395 Sq. mts, totalling 4645 Sq. mts; (iii) the built-up area for D.El.Ed. is 2645 Sq. mts and for B.Ed. it is 2000 Sq. mts, which is sufficient as per NCTE Norms; (iv) at the time of submission of application all the requisite documents were submitted; and (v) the building completion certificate submitted earlier related to the Educational block. The appellant has referred to their reply to the show cause notice in which it was mentioned that at the time of inspection for D.El.Ed. course, by human error or

default the map for 3250 Sq. mts only was submitted. The appellant with the appeal enclosed copies of two building completion certificates – one for 3250 Sq. mts and another for 1400 Sq. mts both signed by Asst. Engineer Works Division – 1, Patna and copies of two maps showing these areas.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the ground of rejection is inadequacy of built-up area, which has emerged from the Visiting Teams Report. The Committee also noted that the appellant has submitted documents in support of their claim that they have adequate built-up area. Since built-up area is a basic component of the infrastructure which needs physical verification on the spot, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the ERC with a direction to conduct a re-inspection of the institution on payment of the prescribed fee by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to ERC with a direction to conduct a re-inspection of the institution on payment of the prescribed fee by the appellant, and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Al Fatima Educational Society B.Ed. College, Patna, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Al Fatima Educational Society B.Ed. College, Gaunpura, Alampur, Phulwari Sharif – 801505, Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-364/E-37902017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of M.J.M. College, Barethiya, Nagod Road, Unchehara, Madhya Pradesh dated 12.05.2017 is against the Minutes serial no. 71, 269<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on February 20-22, 2017 of the Western Regional Committee, deciding to refuse recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that the institution has applied for the D.El.Ed. course with 2 units, the B.Ed. course and the B.Sc. B.Ed. course. The institution applications for the D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. courses cannot be considered because these 2 courses are open only for minority institutions for the session 2017-18. The society has not submitted the Minority Certificate for its MJM College. Hence, Recognition is refused for the course."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Mukesh Jain, Administrator, M.J.M. College, Barethiya, Nagod Road, Unchehara, Madhya presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution is a minority institution awaiting the certificate from the National Commission for Minority Educational Institution. As all the members of the parent society of the institution are from the Jain Minority Community it is reiterated that the certificate granted by the commission relates from the date of the establishment of the society. However it is again clarified that the NCTE cannot impose the ban and the ban order of the NCTE has been already quashed earlier by the Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh and Delhi also NCTE went to the Supreme Court but none of the order quashing the ban order by the high court were set aside by the Supreme Court."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that NCTE through a Public Notice dated 09.03.2016 invited applications for different teacher education programmes. The list of programmes for which applications were not to be accepted in specific

states was given in Public Notice itself. The above restriction was not applicable in case of Minority Institution established under Article 30 of the constitutions.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had submitted application dated 30.05.2016 seeking recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme as a Minority institution. The applicant at the time of making application was neither awarded the status of Minority institution under Article 30 nor did it submit certificate awarded in this respect. The appellant contends that it had applied to Commissioner, Backward and Minority Welfare, Department, Madhya Pradesh for grant of Minority status on 01.01.2016. The applicant has also forwarded a copy to its reminder dated 17.05.2016 sent to the above Department for expediting issue of NOC. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution has drawn attention of WRC Bhopal towards clause 10(3) of the National Commission for Minority Educational Institution Act, 2004 wherein it is provided that if competent authority does not grant such certificate within 90 days from the date of receipt of the application and where an application has been rejected and the same has not been communicated to the person, it shall be deemed that the competent authority has granted a No Objection Certificate to the applicant.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted the submission made by appellant institution to the effect that it has made an application on 21.09.2016 to the National Commission for Minority Educational Institution, Govt of India. The said application is also stated to be pending for fixing the date of hearing for verification of original documents.


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee has before it a basic question; whether an institution can avail the concession given to Minority Institutions only on the basis of making an application to the National Commission for Minority Educational Institution. The deemed to have been given NOC status is not only difficult to be ascertained, it all depends on the statement of applicant. There are chances that NOC might have been refused by the Commission and applicant may hide or conceal the fact to get benefit under the 'deemed to have been issued' clause. It is not possible for the NCTE or its regional offices to ascertain the facts from the National Commission. Appeal Committee is of the view that onus lies on the

appellant institution to furnish evidence that either NOC is issued in clear terms or the concerned authority has failed to process the application for grant of NOC and prescribed time limit has elapsed resulting in the deemed to status. The appellant can seek required information from the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions, under the Right to Information Act also.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee after considering the facts of the case decided to remand back the case to WRC Bhopal for granting last opportunity to the appellant to submit evidence in support of either a clear-cut Minority status or a communication in support of 'deemed to have been granted NOC' status which appellant should obtain by seeking information under the RTI Act. Appellant is to submit information within 2 months from the date of issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC Bhopal for granting last opportunity to the appellant to submit evidence in support of either a clear-cut Minority status or a communication in support of 'deemed to have been granted NOC' status which appellant should obtain by seeking information under the RTI Act. Appellant is to submit information within 2 months from the date of issue of Appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of M.J.M. College, Barethiya, Nagod Road, Unchehara, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, M.J.M. College, Barethiya, Nagod Road, Unchehara – 485441, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-365/E-3790/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of M.J.M. College, Barethiya, Nagod Road, Unchehara, Madhya Pradesh dated 12.05.2017 is against the Minutes serial no. 71, 269<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on February 20-22, 2017 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The case file was seen. The institution has applied for the D.El.Ed. course with 2 units, the B.Ed. course and the B.Sc. B.Ed. course. The institution applications for the D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. courses cannot be considered because these 2 courses are open only for minority institutions for the session 2017-18. The society has not submitted the Minority Certificate for its MJM College. Hence, Recognition is refused for the course."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Mukesh Jain, Administrator, M.J.M. College, Barethiya, Nagod Road, Unchehara, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution is a minority institution awaiting the certificate from the National Commission for Minority Educational Institution. As all the members of the parent society of the institution are from the Jain Minority Community it is reiterated that the certificate granted by the commission relates from the date of the establishment of the society. However it is again clarified that the NCTE cannot impose the ban and the ban order of the NCTE has been already quashed earlier by the Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh and Delhi also NCTE went to the Supreme Court but none of the order quashing the ban order by the high court were set aside by the Supreme Court."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that NCTE through a Public Notice dated 09.03.2016 invited applications for different teacher education programmes. The list of programmes for which applications were not to be accepted in specific



states was given in Public Notice itself. The above restriction was not applicable in case of Minority Institution established under Article 30 of the constitutions.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had submitted application dated 30.05.2016 seeking recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. programme as a Minority institution. The applicant at the time of making application was neither awarded the status of Minority institution under Article 30 nor did it submit certificate awarded in this respect. The appellant contends that it had applied to Commissioner, Backward and Minority Welfare, Department, Madhya Pradesh for grant of Minority status on 01.01.2016. The applicant has also forwarded a copy to its reminder dated 17.05.2016 sent to the above Department for expediting issue of NOC. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution has drawn attention of WRC Bhopal towards clause 10(3) of the National Commission for Minority Educational Institution Act, 2004 wherein it is provided that if competent authority does not grant such certificate within 90 days from the date of receipt of the application and where an application has been rejected and the same has not been communicated to the person, it shall be deemed that the competent authority has granted a No Objection Certificate to the applicant.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted the submission made by appellant institution to the effect that it has made an application on 21.09.2016 to the National Commission for Minority Educational Institution, Govt of India. The said application is also stated to be pending for fixing the date of hearing for verification of original documents.


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee has before it a basic question whether an institution can avail the concession given to Minority Institutions only on the basis of making an application to the National Commission for Minority Educational Institution. The deemed to have been given NOC status is not only difficult to be ascertained, it all depends on the statement of applicant. There are chances that NOC might have been refused by the Commission and applicant may hide or conceal the fact to get benefit under the 'deemed to have been issued' clause. It is not possible for the NCTE or its regional offices to ascertain the facts from the National Commission. Appeal Committee is of the view that onus lies on the

appellant institution to furnish evidence that either NOC is issued in clear terms or the concerned authority has failed to process the application for grant of NOC and prescribed time limit has elapsed resulting in the deemed to status. The appellant can seek required information from the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions, under the Right to Information Act also.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee after considering the facts of the case decided to remand back the case to WRC Bhopal for granting last opportunity to the appellant to submit evidence in support of either a clear-cut Minority status or a communication in support of 'deemed to have been granted NOC' status which appellant should obtain by seeking information under the RTI Act. Appellant is to submit information within 2 months from the date of issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC Bhopal for granting last opportunity to the appellant to submit evidence in support of either a clear-cut Minority status or a communication in support of 'deemed to have been granted NOC' status which appellant should obtain by seeking information under the RTI Act. Appellant is to submit information within 2 months from the date of issue of Appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of M.J.M. College, Barethiya, Nagod Road, Unchehara, Madhya Pradesh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, M.J.M. College, Barethiya, Nagod Road, Unchehara - 485441, Madhya Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-366/E-3790/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of M.J.M. College, Barethiya, Nagod Road, Unchehara, Madhya Pradesh dated 12.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/WRC/WRCAPP 201660204/B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed.-4 year Integrated/MP/2017-2018/6; dated 03.03.2017 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The case file was seen. The institution has applied for the D.El.Ed. course with 2 units, the B.Ed. course and the B.Sc. B.Ed. course. The institution applications for the D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. courses cannot be considered because these 2 courses are open only for minority institutions for the session 2017-18. The society has not submitted the Minority Certificate for its MJM College. If the above two courses are not permitted then, its application for the B.A./B.Sc. B.Ed. cannot be considered as the college will become a standalone institution which is not permitted under clause 2(b) and 3(a) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Hence, Recognition is refused for all the three courses."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Mukesh Jain, Administrator, M.J.M. College, Barethiya, Nagod Road, Unchehara, Madhya Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that Institution is a minority institution awaiting the certificate from the National Commission for Minority Educational Institution as all the members of the parent society of the institution are from the Jain Minority Community it is reiterated that the certificate granted by the commission relates from the date of the establishment of the society. However, it is again clarified that the NCTE cannot impose the ban and the ban order of the NCTE has been already quashed earlier by the Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh and Delhi also NCTE went to the Supreme Court but none of the order quashing the ban order by the high court were set aside by the Supreme Court."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appeal cases filed by the appellant in respect of D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. programmes have been remanded back to RC for keeping on hold for about 2 months or till the appellant institution submits a clear report on its minority status whichever is earlier. The application for B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. has been refused by WRC on the ground of its status of a standalone institution presuming that applications for D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. are not accepted. Appeal Committee in its decision taken in appeal cases listed at serial no. 54 & 55 had decided to grant institution to prove its minority status. **The present appeal in case of B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. may therefore, be kept pending till a decision is taken in those cases.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, M.J.M. College, Barethiya, Nagod Road, Unchehara – 485441, Madhya Pradesh.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal.



F.No.89-368/E-3787/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Institute for Education and Technical Sciences, Modinagar, Muradnagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 09.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-12553/263<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2016/168543 dated 09/03/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution was given show cause notice. The institution did not submit reply of SCN."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Mahavir Singh, Chairman and Sh. Ashish Kumar, Dean, Institute for Education and Technical Sciences, Modinagar, Muradnagar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the appellant has fulfilled all the conditions and requirements as per the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993. The appellant submitted all documents as required by NCTE to NRC, NCTE, Jaipur on 09.06.2016. Deficiencies shown in the refusal order NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-12553/263<sup>rd</sup> Meeting/2016/168543 dated 09/03/2017 indicate the unlawful decision of NRC, NCTE, Jaipur. We have not received yet any letter dt. 11.08.2016 with deficiencies as mentioned in the rejection letter. That NCTE instructed us to apply online and to depend more and more on electronic media for communication. That the deficiencies mentioned in the refusal letter are as under. Approved letter of the faculty (dated 17.05.2016 issued by Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut reveals that only 6 Asstt. Professor and one HOD has been approved against the requirement of two Professors, two Associate Professors and six Asstt. Professors as per NCTE Norms for M.Ed. course. (Regulations, 2014). Against above deficiency we submitted the following facts: That we have submitted the list of approved facilities along with all required documents on 09.06.2016. That as per NCTE Norms the M.Ed. course for two-year duration requires 10 faculty to teach course in two different years, for intake of 50 seats. That considering the need of 1<sup>st</sup> year the Ch.


Charan Singh University, Meerut approved only one HOD who is of the Professor scale as well as 6 Asstt. Professors for 1<sup>st</sup> year academic session. That Chairman of the Trust gave undertaking that final approved list of remaining faculty members by Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut will be submitted soon. Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut nominated subject specialist to select remaining Senior faculty members vide letter no. affiliation/2560 dated 07/12/2016 considering that these faculty members will be needed for 2<sup>nd</sup> Years academic session. Accordingly, we advertised in the National New Paper for the posts and conducted the interviews for selections of remaining faculties. The approved list by the Selection Committee is attached for your kind consideration. That the session for M.Ed. course in Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut is very late and they did the counselling for session 2014-15 during the year 2016 and recently advertised the entrance test for admission in academic session 2015-17 which can be verified on their website i.e. [www.ccsuniversity.ac.in](http://www.ccsuniversity.ac.in). That we applied for M.Ed. course to NCTE for the session 2016-17 and it is impractical and unhuman to appoint the faculties two-three years before the commencement of the course at the cost of NGO which is meant for benefit for the society and poor. We are submitting the following required documents: A copy of receiving letter from Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut regarding faculty appointment documents submission. Notarized copy of letter of Panel Members nominated by the CCS University, Meerut. Notarized copy of Minutes of the Selection Committee for approval of one Principal (Professor Grade), one Professor on dated 30.04.2017 with all credentials of selected candidates. Notarized copy of minutes of the Selection Committee for approval of two Associate Professors and one Asstt. Professor on dated 29.04.2017 with all credentials of selected candidates. Notarized copy of advertisement published in newspaper for requirement of faculty members. That the original affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper signed by Chairman of the Trust gave undertaking that final approval list of the remaining faculty members by Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut will be submitted soon."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 09.03.2017 is on the ground that appellant institution did not submit reply to Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 11.08.2016. The above SCN was on the ground that affiliating University approved appointment of 6 Asstt. Professors and one HOD. The approval of University was short of 2 Professors and 2 Associate Professors.

**AND WHEREAS** appellant denied having received any SCN and further stated that Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut was still counselling for session 2014-15 during the year 2016 whereas appellant had applied for M.Ed programme for the session 2016-17. It was, therefore, not practicable to appoint full faculty years before commencement of the programme. The appellant has, however, been able to get approval of affiliating body to the appointment of remaining faculty i.e. one Principal, one Professor, two Associate Professors and one Asstt. Professor on 04.07.2017. With above approval, appellant institution will be able to cover the deficiency in the matter of faculty recruitment. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the case provided the appellant institution submits to NRC a complete list of faculty approved by the affiliating University within 30 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application provided the appellant institution submits a complete list of faculty to NRC within 30 days of the issue of Appeal Orders.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Institute for Education and Technical Sciences, Modinagar, Muradnagar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, Institute for Education and Technical Sciences, Abupur, Abupur Road, Modinagar, Muradnagar, Uttar Pradesh – 201206.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-370/E-3946/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Vishwamitra College of Education, Sangathera, PO-Mahangi, Nakur, Uttar Pradesh dated 11.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-13854/265<sup>th</sup> (Part-3) Meeting-2017/168910 dated 10.03.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "1. Vertical expansion is not allowed for standalone institute. 2. List of faculty approved by the affiliating body has not been submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Rishidev Sharma, Vice President and Sh. Anil Kumar Sharma, Managing Trustee, Vishwamitra College of Education, Sangathera, PO-Mahangi, Nakur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "we are under process in order to be a composite institution. We got the NOC from C.C.S. University, Meerut for B.Com. course. We could not get our faculty approval file from the affiliating body due to the circumstances beyond our control."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 23.06.2015 seeking additional intake of 50 seats in D.El.Ed. programme. As per details submitted by the applicant institution, the institution was neither conducting any other teacher education programme nor was having a general degree course of B.A. or B.Sc. or B.Com. As such decision of the NRC to issue LOI to the appellant institution, taken in its 259<sup>th</sup> meeting held from 18<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 was not justified as clause 3 (a) read with clause 3 (c) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 allows permission for additional intake in composite institutions. L.O.I dated 27.10.2016 was issued to appellant institution seeking compliance within 2 months.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee further noted that Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 07.02.2017 was issued to appellant institution on ground of non-




submission of the list of faculty approved by affiliating body and also seeking proof of its being a Composite Institution. The appellant without referring to the SCN dated 07.02.2017 submitted reply dated 16.02.2017 stating that delay in getting approval of the affiliating body is due to forthcoming general election of U.P. Assembly and the Election Code of conduct. The impugned refusal order dated 10.03.2017 is on the ground that i) vertical expansion is not permissible for stand-alone institution and ii) list of approved faculty has not been submitted.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has submitted a list of eight faculty approved on 17.03.2017 by Pariksha Niyamak Adhikar, Allahabad. However, there was no documentary evidence submitted by the appellant that it has achieved the status of composite institution even as on the date NRC took a decision to refuse recognition after issue of a proper Show Cause Notice. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the refusal order dated 10.03.2017 issued by NRC Jaipur.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 10.03.2017.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Vishwamitra College of Education, Sangathera, PO-Mahangi, Nakur – 247452, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

61



F.No.89-371/E-4152/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Seth G.L. Bihani S.D.P.G. College, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan dated 12.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201616064/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed.—4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 13.03.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “the institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. The institution has not submitted the Non-Encumbrance certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. The institution has not submitted the Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Authority to use the land for Educational purpose. The institution has submitted the approved building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority, however, the details with regard to name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra no., plot no. , total land area and measurement of multipurpose hall as well as other infrastructural facilities have not been indicated thereon. Hence the Committee decided that application is rejected.”


**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Kavita Choudhary, Head of Department, Seth G.L. Bihani S.D.P.G. College, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “NRC had not objectively considered and appreciated the detailed reply submitted by appellant in response to the show cause notice. Because in so far as the remark with regard to non-submission of certified registered land documents issued by registering body is concerned, it is stated that the appellant had submitted the land use certificate issued by competent body and as such the objection raised was not tenable. Because in so far as non-encumbrance certificate issued by the competent authority indicating that the land of appellant was free from all encumbrances had been duly placed on record with the show cause notice but same

has not been considered by NRC while passing refusal order. Appellant has made full compliance of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and it has invested huge amount for development of infrastructure and facilities at its institution which would suffer adversely and same would remain unutilized for the entire academic session, Further, the students will also be deprived of quality education. Because the appellate authority as well as Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan had in similar facts and circumstances held the decision of Committee to be not proper and directed for reconsideration of the refusal/rejection decision."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 23.01.2017 was issued to appellant institution on the proposed grounds of refusal. There is no doubt that appellant society is a well-established organisation conducting various courses affiliated to University of Rajasthan and Maharaja Ganga Singh University. The ownership of land by the appellant University is proved through different documents such as certificate dated 16.07.2005 issued by Tehsildar (Revenue). But it is also a fact that NCTE recognises only the certified copy registered sale deed. Copies of building plan submitted by the appellant institution are either not approved by the Competent Civil Authority or not readable. Also, none of the building plans submitted by the appellant is compact and complete. Non-Encumbrance Certificate (NEC) submitted is also not issued by any Competent Authority. In the absence of certified copy of land deed, it cannot be verified whether the piece of land on which it is proposed to start B.A., B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. programme is properly converted to be made use of for educational purpose. Appeal Committee on verification of the application also found that address of the proposed institution is mentioned as NH-62, Sri Ganga Nagar which makes it further difficult to ascertain as to which land document, building plan and building completion certificate shall be referred to ascertain the eligibility of the applicant institution. Applicant should have mentioned the plot no., khasra no. location etc. in the application form itself. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the refusal order dated 15.03.2017.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the refusal order dated 15.03.2017.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

  
**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Principal, Seth G.L. Bihani S.D.P.G. College, Sri Ganganagar, NH-62, Sri Ganganagar – 335001, Rajasthan.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.**



F.No.89-372/E-4169/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shree Narayan Shikshan Sansthan, Kuchman City, Rajasthan dated 12.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP-201616173/B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 19.04.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the applicant institution has not submitted the reply of the SCN issued by the NRC on 27.02.2017 within the stipulated time. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993, FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Ram Lal, Teacher, Shree Narayan Shikshan Sansthan, Kuchman City, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Appellant could not submit reply to Show Cause Notice on time due to some reasons. Necessary documents are furnished now for consideration of the Appeal Committee."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 27.02.2017 was issued to appellant institution on following grounds:


- (i) Non-submission of evidence to prove that it is offering multiple teacher education programmes or liberal courses and name of institution mentioned in recognition order of B.Ed. is not matching with the name of applicant institution.
- (ii) NOC of proposed course is not in favour of proposed college.
- (iii) Certified Copy of land documents not submitted. Land is not sufficient.
- (iv) Non-Encumbrance Certificate not submitted.

- (v) Building plan approved by competent Govt. Authority with necessary details not submitted.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution did not submit reply to SCN and that is the only reason for issue of impugned order dated 19.04.2014. The appellant during the course of appeal presentation on 05.07.2017 admitted that it could not submit reply but no specific reasons was given. Appeal Committee, however, noted that land of the institution is on lease from Nagar Palika, Kuchaman City, Nagour and the appellant has also submitted Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by Tehsildar. The appellant institution is already recognised for conducting B.Ed. programme in the Name of Shri Narayan Teacher's Training School and the present application is in the name of Shri Narayan Shikshan Sansthan. Appeal Committee therefore, decided that submission made by appellant deserves consideration on merit subject to fulfilment of clause 1.1 of Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards for B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for consideration provided appellant institution submits complete and comprehensive reply to SCN within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the reply to SCN. Appellant is required to submit a complete and Comprehensive reply to SCN to the NRC within 15 days to the issue of Appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Shree Narayan Shikshan Sansthan, Kuchman City, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Shree Narayan Shikshan Sansthan, Kuchman City, Sikar Road, Kuchman City – 341508, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-373/E-4164/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Sunrise Institute of Education and Technology, Dhoom Manikpur, Dadri, Uttar Pradesh dated 08.04.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-1667/263<sup>rd</sup> (Part-6) Meeting/2017/167598 dated 17/02/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The applicant institution has not submitted the reply of the SCN dated 27.12.2016 within the stipulated period."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Rishi Khurana, Secretary, Sunrise Institute of Education and Technology, Dhoom Manikpur, Dadri, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The appellant has duly submitted the Building Completion Certificate which has been examined by the visiting team and the NRC also got it satisfied about the same before issuing letter of intent. However, NRC ought to have waited for the representation of appellant as the authorities could not be available for getting signed the documents due to being busy in U.P. Assembly Elections. The appellant has already submitted registered land documents duly certified by the Sub-Registrar of the District on-encumbrance Certificate duly certified by the competent authorities and the deficiencies pointed out by the NRC have been made good by the appellant within the prescribed time. Joint FDRs of Rs. 5 Lakhs and 7 Lakhs with requisite Form A have been duly submitted well in time and NRC has also considered the same before issuing LOI, but again issued Show Cause Notice on the same point. The Website of Sunrise Institute of Education and Technology with domain name "www.siet.co" indicating the hyperlink with the website of NCTE. That, the appellant submitted affidavit for composite institution, however it is submitted that the appellant can only be a composite institution after getting the recognition of D.El.Ed. course and

thus proof or evidence of the same can only be possible after grant of recognition hence Regional Committee should have considered the application of appellant in right perspective. The institution is already recognized by NCTE for B.Ed. Course the copy of the recognition order is enclosed.”


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 17.02.2017 is on the ground that the applicant institution has not submitted reply to Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 27.12.2016. Appellant in its Appeal Memoranda has submitted that since the deficiency pertaining to building Completion Certificate could not be rectified due to U.P. Assembly Elections, the reply to SCN could not be submitted. Appeal Committee noted that the deficiency pertaining to Composite nature of institution pointed out in the SCN was not justified as Inspection report dated 10.12.2015 itself makes it clear that B.Ed. programme is being conducted and NRC also realised this fact by pointing out that built up area is not adequate for B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. Appeal Committee is also of the opinion that many of the points raised in SCN should have been settled before issue of Letter of Intent.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that application seeking recognition for D.El.Ed course made by appellant institution is pending since the year 2010 and it would be improper to reject it only on the ground that reply to SCN was not given on time. Appellant submitted that it has removed the deficiencies pointed out on account of B.C.C and adequate built up area was already existing. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the reply to SCN which the appellant institution should submit within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for consideration of the reply to SCN. Appellant institution is required to submit a complete and comprehensive reply of the SCN to NRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal Order.



**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sunrise Institute of Education and Technology, Dhoom Manikpur, Dadri, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Secretary, Sunrise Institute of Education and Technology, Dhoom Manikpur, Kuri Khera Road, Dadri – 203207, Uttar Pradesh.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.**



F.No.89-374/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Raja Ram Mahavidyalaya, Ram Nagar, Shahganj, Jaunpur, U.P. dated 08.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-5247/262th (Part-9) Meeting/2016/168593 dated 09/03/2007 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution after LOI 7(13) was given SCN dated 28.09.2016. Reply of the SCN 02.10.2016 is not acceptable as institution failed to submit list of faculty duly approved by affiliating body, joint FDRs and website printout as required in the NCTE Regulations, 2014."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Anil Kumar Pandey, President and Sh. Raghwendra Tewari, Register, Raja Ram Mahavidyalaya, Ram Nagar, Shahganj, Jaunpur, U.P. presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Raja Ram Mahavidyalaya, applied for B.Ed. course and LOI also received from the same dated March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2015. The institution has not submitted the reply of Letter of Intent (LOI). In addition to the above the institution shall be required to submit the following documents:- A proof/evidence to the effect that it is a composite institution as per provisions of the NCTE Regulation 2014. In case the institutions which are not composite at present shall ensure that they have become composite institutions before commencement of the academic session 2016-17. An affidavit to this effect is required to be submitted by the institution to the NRC, NCTE. No Objection Certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body as required under clause 5(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. That, on the proposal/application by the aforesaid college for seeking permission to commence B.Ed. course through its society, Raja Ram Pandey Shiksha Sewa Samiti, the Northern Regional Committee of NCTE issued a Letter of Intent vide file No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-5247/232th Meeting Part-2<sup>nd</sup> Sitting/2015/92194 dated March 30, 2015. It is mentioned in the LOI, that a

compliance report was sought within 60 days from the date of issue of the letter. We have to make a submission here that at the time of issuance and receipt of Letter of Intent, a major reason which led to delay in exact compliance to the letter of Intent is delay in approval of faculty by concerned University. In view of the above stated that, real time condition it is but obvious that the proper and authentic process of fulfilling stipulated condition as reply to the LOI was overly involved and led us to make a humble submission against the show cause notice issued by your office bearing No. File No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-5247/255<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2016/159302 dated Sep. 28, 2016. While replying to the show cause notice by our letter dated 22.10.2016 and an affidavit there in, we had stated that in compliance to the NCTE new Regulations 2014 the institution has already advertised in Dainik Jagran and Amar Ujala for inviting applications for faculty and for appointment of Head of the institution in addition to request made to the affiliating University for appointing an expert in the panel for recruitment and selection process of the aforesaid compliance. It is relatable to mention that the institution is strictly following every guideline stipulated in NCTE, New Regulation 2014. An affidavit on oath to this effect was submitted with the reply including evidence of acquiescence with the defined condition and it was stated that to comply with the inevitable delay in compliance to the LOI earlier, you are very modestly requested to give some time to accomplish the postulated conditions of LOI. But in due course, the Committee decided against the reply and affidavit dated 22.10.2016 and issued as straight away a refusal order dated 9<sup>th</sup> March, 2017. We, as mentioned in our earlier correspondence delay in compliance of LOI is due to approval of faculty by affiliating University."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Letter of Intent (LOI) dated 30.03.2015 was issued to appellant institution seeking compliance on different points within a period of two months. The conditions to be fulfilled by the appellant institution inter alia included appointment of required number of faculty with the approval of affiliating University. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution by its letter dated 10.12.2015 requested NRC to grant extension of time by 60 more days for appointment of faculty. Appeal Committee observed that appellant institution did not submit compliance of the LOI within that period also and a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 28.10.2016 was issued. The appellant institution in reply to this SCN

again requested vide its letter dated 22.10.2016 for 60 days time for submission of list of faculty.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted from the submission of appellant that it is still not ready with the list of faculty to be appointed before commencement of the B.Ed. programme and more than 2 years have passed after issue of the L.O.I. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 09.03.2017 on the ground that appellant institution has failed to submit list of faculty duly approved by affiliating body.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 09.03.2017 on the ground that the institution has failed to submit list of faculty approved by affiliating body even after a lapse of two years after issue of the L.O.I.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary


1. The Manager, Raja Ram Mahavidyalaya, Ram Nagar, Post – Ram Nagar, Shahganj – 223105, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

Certificate for a total built up area of 3011 Sq. Meter. B.C.C. is issued by Sub Registrar, Bhinder, Dist. Udaipur. Non-Encumbrance dated 24.03.2017 issued by Deputy Tehsildar Bhinder Dist. Udaipur was also submitted to NRC with the letter dated 17.04.2017.

**AND WHEREAS** keeping in view that appellant institution has rectified the deficiencies and has also reported compliance to NRC. Appeal Committee decided to remand back case to NRC for further processing of the application.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Rana Pratap Mahila Teacher Training College, Bhinder, Vallabh Nagar, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Rana Pratap Mahila Teacher Training College, Bhinder Near Patrol Pump, Vallabh Nagar – 313603, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-376/E-4225/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Haryana College of Education, Dr. Ambedkar Square, Ellenabad Haryana dated 17.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-1413/265<sup>th</sup> (Part-1) Meeting/2017/171829-36 dated 25/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has yet not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority or civil authority concerned. The institution has not submitted the Non-Encumbrance certificate issued by the Competent Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. The institution has not submitted the readable approved Building Plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc. Hence, the Committee decided that the recognition is withdrawn U/s 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. O. P. Ahlawat, Administrative Officer and Sh. Nidhi Godara, Member, Haryana College of Education, Dr. Ambedkar Square, Ellenabad Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The appellant had submitted the certified copy of land documents issued by the Registering Authority i.e. Registering Authority Ellenabad to NRC as enclosures with the reply submitted on 05th April 2017 in response to Show Cause Notice dated 09.03.2017 issued by NRC. A copy of said reply bearing the receiving of NRC is attached for kind perusal. In response to NRC Show Cause Notice dated 09.03.2017 the appellant had submitted the Non Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Advocate on the basis of report of Tehsil Service Centre Ellenabad as enclosure with the reply dated 05.04.2017.

However, a fresh Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Tehsildar Ellenebad is also attached for kind perusal of Appellate Authority. The Building Plan of appellant institution is duly approved by Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat as well as S.D.O. Ellenabad and the same was submitted to the NRC vide reply dated 05th April 2017 in response to NRC Show Cause Notice. The photocopy of Blueprint of the Building plan indicating all parameters is again enclosed for kind perusal of Appellate Authority. The Appellate Authority may appreciate the fact that the deficiencies pointed out by NRC in the Show Cause Notice dated 09.03.2017 are the essential requirements to be fulfilled by any institution before grant of recognition of B.Ed. Course for the first time. It is fact on record that Appellant institution fulfilled all such requirements while seeking recognition for B.Ed. course for the first time during the year 2008 and on satisfaction of NRC on these requirements, NRC granted recognition for B.Ed. Course to Appellant institution on 03.09.2008. The Show Cause notice dated 09.03.2017 was issued to Appellant institution after conducting inspection by NRC u/s 13 of the NCTE Act 1993 and section 13 stipulates for conducting inspection of the existing recognized Teacher Education Institution to ascertain adherence of the NCTE Norms and Standards by the existing institutions. It is pertinent to mention that Appellant institution is running B.Ed. course on the very same land and building which was inspected by the inspecting team of NRC in the year 2008 at the time of grant of recognition for the first-time u/s 14 of the NCTE Act 1993 the NRC granted recognition vide NRC Recognition order dated 3rd September 2008 for B.Ed. course on satisfying all the requisite conditions. Thereafter eight academic sessions of B.Ed. course have been smoothly conducted in the Appellant institution without any observations from the NRC. The Appellate Authority may appreciate that in compliance to the revised NCTE Regulations 2014 Appellant Institution has created all additional infrastructural and instructional facilities on the same land and building and the same was reported to the NRC. This is a matter of great shock and surprise to the Appellant that despite of the fact that the requisite documents stood submitted by the institution at the time of seeking recognition for the first time in year 2008 and thereafter again with the reply dated 05.04.2017 in response to Show Cause Notice the NRC refused the recognition vide its notification dated 25.04.2017 merely on the grounds of non-readable copies of documents confusions regarding authorities competent to issue Non encumbrance certificate building plan etc. The Appellate Authority may kindly note that NRC in its 268th 1st

meeting held on 19th to 21st April 2017 had decided as follows: Hence the committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition permission is refused u/s 14/15 3 b of the NCTE Act. 1993. FDRs if any be returned to the institution. We have failed to understand as to how the recognition already granted to an institution eight year ago i.e. in the year 2008 can be withdrawn by the Regional Committee u/s 14/15 of NCTE Act 1993. The Appellate Authority may kindly take a note on the surprising difference between the decision of NRC recorded in its minutes of 268th meeting and its execution by the NRC vide notification dated 25.04.2017. The NRC decided to reject the application of the Appellant under sections 14/ 15 of the NCTE Act 1993 whereas the notification dated 25.04.2017 states that recognition was refused under section 14/ 15 3 b as well as u/s 17 of the NCTE Act. The first para of the refusal order dated 25.04.2017 states that the institution has submitted an application for grant of recognition and the concluding para stipulates that the same is being withdrawn for non-submission of the certain documents by the Appellant institution whereas all requisite documents were verified at the time of initial recognition in the year 2008."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme in the year 2008 and revised recognition order dated 06.06.2015 was also issued under NCTE Regulations, 2015. Appeal Committee further noted that on the basis of some complaints regarding non-availability of faculty and students on roll in some institutions located in Haryana region, an inspection under section 13 of the NCTE Act was conducted on 26<sup>th</sup> – 27<sup>th</sup> December, 2017. The Inspection report was forwarded to NRC Jaipur for taking appropriate necessary action. A perusal of the gist of Inspection Report written by V.T. members reveals that there was no major irregularity found by V.T. which might have warranted such a drastic step such as 'withdrawal of recognition' against the appellant institution. Appeal Committee further noted that the impugned withdrawal order dated 25.04.2017 suffers with a basic deficiency so far as it does not mention the academic session from which the orders will come into force. Moreover, the appellant institution had submitted timely reply to the SCN by submitting (i) copy of registered gift deed (ii) certificate from town and Country Planning regarding land use. The appellant has also submitted copies of Non-Encumbrance Certificate and building plan. Appeal Committee is of the view that these documents were not so



important keeping in view that recognition was granted to the institution in year 2008 and Inspection Team has also not pointed out any deficiency in this regard.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee of the opinion that impugned withdrawal order dated 25.04.2017 was issued by NRC without proper examination of the documents and considering the status of an existing institution which was granted recognition even prior to the 2009 Regulations. The impugned order dated 25.04.2017 is therefore, set aside and recognition granted earlier stands restored.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to set aside the impugned refusal order dated 25.04.2017 and recognition granted earlier stands restored.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. **The President, Haryana College of Education, By Pass Road, Near Dr. Ambedkar Square, Ellenabad – 125102, Haryana.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.



F.No.89-377/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Shiva College of Education, Gujran Road, Dirba, Sangrur, Punjab dated 10.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-8066/262<sup>nd</sup> Meeting/2017/168806-11 dated 10.03.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting E.T.T. (D.El.Ed.) Course on the grounds that "reply of the show cause notice issued to the institution vide letter no. F.NRC.NCTE.NRCAPP80661238<sup>th</sup> Meeting /2015/119341 dated 24.07.2015 has not been submitted till date."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Sanjeev, Chairman, Shiva College of Education, Gujran Road, Dirba, Sangrur, Punjab presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "this institution had submitted online application for grant of recognition of E.T.T. (D.El.Ed.) course from the session 2013-14. The copy of application form alongwith processing fee of Rs. 50100/Vide D.D. No. 874833 dated 31.12.2012 and other required documents was submitted in the office of NRC, NCTE on 31.12.2012. Copy of application form alongwith documents submitted to NRC, NCTE is attached. That NRC, issued a deficiency letter on 20.06.2013 to this institution which was not received. Hence this institution had not submitted the reply of deficiency letter. That NRC, NCTE had issued a show cause notice regarding fulfilment of deficiencies pointed out in deficiency letter. That this institution had submitted a request letter to NRC, NCTE on 09.02.2015 in which it was requested that original copy of D.D. No. 874833 dated 31.12.2012 of Rs. 50100 shall be returned to this institution so, that renewed D.D. and other documents be submitted to NRC, NCTE. Copy of letter dated 09.02.2015 is attached. That NRC, NCTE had not returned the original copy of D.D. No. 874833 dated 31.12.2012 of Rs. 50100/- till to date. That NRC, NCTE has rejected the application of this institution for grant of recognition for E.T.T. (D.El.Ed.) course vide letter no. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP8066/262<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2017/168808 dated

10.03.2017. That this institution has approached to State Bank of India for knowing the current status for D.D. No. 874833 dated 31.12.2012 of Rs. 50100/-. State Bank of India has certified that from 31.12.2012 to 04.05.2017 the above D.D. has neither been encashed nor cancelled. Copy of letter verified by State Bank of India is attached. That without returning of original D.D. from N.R.C. NCTE, it was not possible to submit revalidated D.D. and other documents to N.R.C NCTE."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted online application dated 31.12.2012 seeking recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee further noted that a deficiency letter dated 20.06.2013 was issued to applicant institution pointing out nine deficiencies. One of the deficiencies pointed out related to return of Demand Draft of Rs. 50100 for revalidation. Appeal Committee further noted that NRC neither reminded the appellant institution for getting the demand draft revalidated nor did take any action to decide the fate of application till July, 2015 when a Show Cause Notice (SCN) was issued on grounds of non-submission of reply to deficiency letter dated 20.06.2013. Appellant during the course of appeal presentation denied having received the deficiency letter. Appellant in support of his statement submitted that the demand draft of Rs. 50,100/- pertaining to application fee has neither been encashed by NCTE nor applicant has got refund thereof. Applicant alongwith its Appeal Memoranda had submitted copy of its letter dated 09.02.2015 asking for return of the Demand draft so that it can be revalidated.


**AND WHEREAS** Appel Committee noted that there is no way the appellant institution in its letter dated 09.02.2015 could have referred to Show Cause Notice which was issued on 24.07.2015. If the intention of applicant was to refer to deficiency letter it was in fact issued on 20.06.2013 and the applicant was late in asking for the demand draft after a gap of more than a year and half. The letter dated 09.02.2015 of the applicant is not available on the regulatory file and also the deficiency letter dated 20.06.2013 was received back in NRC office as undelivered.

**AND WHEREAS** the applicant has also not made any effort to check the status of its pending application by making any written communication to NRC during the intervening period. The NCTE Regulations in between have undergone a revision

and new Regulations of 2014 have some different provisions relating to application fee and composite status of the institution etc. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 10.03.2017 on the ground that SCN dated 24.07.2015 was not replied to.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 10.03.2017.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Shiva College of Education, Gujran Road, Dirba – 148035, Sangrur, Punjab.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Punjab, Chandigarh.



F.No.89-378/E-4359/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Vivekanand Girls College, Semari, Ballia, Uttar Pradesh dated 22.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-13471/267<sup>th</sup> (Part-2) Meeting/2017/171376 dated 17/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that "The request of the institution to extend the time for submitting the reply of the SCN is not accepted."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Satyanarayan Mishra, Chaiman and Sh. A. Pandey, Assistant, Vivekanand Girls College, Semari, Ballia, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the Institution LOI report could not be submitted on time to NRC Office because of the UP-State Elections."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that a Letter of Intent (LOI) under clause 7(13) was issued to appellant institution on 06.12.2010 seeking compliance within 2 months. Non-submission of compliance to LOI within prescribed period resulted in issue of a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 17.12.2017. The appellant institution submitted reply to SCN by its letter dated 08.03.2017 and sought extension of time on grounds of General Elections of U.P. Assembly. NRC did not accept the request for extension of time limit and issued impugned refusal order dated 17.04.2017.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee observed that the faculty list was approved by Pariksha Niyamak Adhikari on 12.04.2017 and appellant was able to submit compliance to NRC on 18.04.2017 i.e. one day after the issue of impugned order dated 17.04.2017. There is no denying to the fact that state government machinery is involved in general elections and delays on this account may have been caused.

As such NRC should have more considerate and extension of time for submitting compliance, which further depended on the approval of state government authorities, should have been allowed. Since the appellant institution was able to submit compliance on 18.04.2017, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Vivekanand Girls College, Semari, Ballia, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Vivekanand Girls College, Semari, 7, Belthara Road, Ballia – 221716, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



F.No.89-379/E-4298/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of B.R. College of Education, Sarkankhera, Maharajapura Dubra, Dholpur, Rajasthan dated 19.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201616661/B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/{LSG\_SI\_No}; dated 27/04/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the reply of the SCN issued by the NRC within the stipulated time. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Mahavir Parasad Tyagi, Chairman, B.R. College of Education, Sarkankhera, Maharajapura Dubra, Dholpur, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "NRC has required NON-Encumbrance Certificate and Certified copy of Land Document from Competent authority, which we have got on 27 April 2017 and 11 April 2017 in such condition we were not able to submit our reply with in due time. Finally, we have submitted our reply on 05 May 2017. The ground of our application, it is mentioned that SCN issued to the Institution, However, NRC not issued any SCN to the institution. When we visited NRC office we come to know about the decision of the committee on SCN. Immediately we have submitted a comprehensive reply with all the supporting documents in the office of NRC NCTE Jaipur on 05 May 2017. Institutions like us located in Rural Area do not have full time access of internet facility. In rural area, it is difficult to depend on Internet Online System. Therefore, sending of Hard copy of letters cannot be completely ignored."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order is on the ground that appellant institution has not submitted reply to Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 29.03.2017. The reply to SCN was required to be submitted within 21

days. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has submitted reply to SCN a bit late i.e. on 05.05.2017 and the reasons attributed to this delay is that; 'certified copy of land documents and NEC from competent authority were to be obtained from competent authorities which caused delay. The reply to SCN alongwith relevant documents is available on regulatory file. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC. The delay in submission of reply to SCN is condoned and NRC is required to further process the application. Appeal Committee, while considering the regulatory file found that appellant institution is already conducting B.Ed. programme but has not provided any evidence of its conducting programmes in general studies at degree level such as B.A., B.Sc., B.Com. etc. while processing the application further, NRC should keep in view para 1.1. of Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards for 4 year integrated B.A. B.Ed. / B.Sc. B.Ed. programme. Para 1.1. (Appendix 13) of the norms and standards provide for integrating general studies comprising science (B.Sc. B.Ed.) and social sciences or humanities (B.A. B.Ed.) and professional studies. Subject to the above conditions being ensured by the Regional Committee, the case is remanded back for further processing of the application.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application subject to fulfilment of clause 1.1 of Appendix 13 of the Norms and Standards for 4 year Integrated B.A. B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. programme.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of B.R. College of Education, Sarkankhera, Maharajapura Dubra, Dholpur, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, B.R. College of Education, Sarkankhera, Maharajapura Dubra, Dholpur – 328001, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



70



F.No.89-380/E-4495/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**


**WHEREAS** the appeal of The Ideal Teachers Training Academy, Imphal, Sawombung, Manipur dated 08.05.2017 is against the Order No. 234.6.3(Part-4)/ERCAPP2226/B.Ed./2016/51556 dated 07/03/2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, granting recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course with an annual intake of one unit (50 seats).

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Y. Ranjan Singh, Secretary, The Ideal Teachers Training Academy, Imphal, Sawombung, Manipur presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "i. Willingness affidavit for two units has been requested to submit in the LOI letter under point No. 3. Necessary teaching staffs has been appointed and the same has been given and approved by the affiliating university. Necessary land, Building & Infrastructures of two units B.Ed. courses has been ready and also submitted to ERC, NCTE."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme with an intake of 50 seats and the appeal against the impugned order is for granting an intake of 100 seats (2 units of 50 seats each). Appeal Committee further noted that appellant made online application dated 14.05.2015 and the affidavit enclosed with the application suggested an intake of 50 seats. Inspection of the Institution was conducted on 27.10.2016 with a proposed intake of 50 seats. Appeal Committee is of the opinion that when an application is made for seeking recognition with an intake of 50 seats and preparedness of the institution is judged by the Inspection Team for proposed intake of 50 seats, order of recognition can't be for more than 50 seats. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the recognition order dated 07.03.2017 granting recognition for B.Ed. programme with an intake of 50 seats.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded that the ERC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the ERC is confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, The Ideal Teachers Training Academy, Imphal, Ukhrul Road, NH-153, Sawombung – 795010, Manipur.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Manipur, Imphal.



F.No.89-382/E-4587/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of APC College, Pratapgarh, Neemuch Road, Pratapgarh, Rajasthan dated 20.05.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/NRC/NRCAPP201616016/B.A.B.Ed.-4 Year Integrated/RJ/2017-18/2; dated 28.03.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. BEd. course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted the approved Building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area built-up area and the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well as the other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc. The institution has not submitted the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority of civil authority concerned. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any be returned to the institution."


**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Pinkesh Kumar Jain, President and Sh. Vipin, Member, APC College, Pratapgarh, Neemuch Road, Pratapgarh, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The institution has already submitted the approved Building Plan signed by the Competent Government Authority indicating the name of the course name of the institution, Khasra No., total Build-up area and mentions of multipurpose hall in index of building plan of Block-A & Block-B but the Committee has not considered the matter. Due to some miscommunication and gaps institute submitted last time public Notary Certified copies of registered Land Documents but in fact we have fully authorized and Legal Registered Documents so this time we are submitting update and certified registered Land documents issued by the Registering Authority concerned."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 28.03.2017 is on two grounds namely: non-submission of i) certified copy of land documents and ii) Building plan approved and signed by competent govt. authority.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution in reply to Show Cause Notice had submitted attested copy of sale deed and with its Appeal Memoranda has also submitted zerox copy of the certified land documents. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution in reply to SCN had already submitted to NRC copy of two building plans in respect of Block A and Block B which are approved by Nagar Parishad, Partap Garh, Rajasthan on 20.07.2016 and 15.09.2016. The details of infrastructural facilities can be checked by Visiting Team. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application. Appellant institution is required to submit to NRC original certified copy of land documents within 30 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application. Appellant is required to submit to NRC original certified copy of land documents within 30 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of APC College, Pratapgarh, Neemuch Road, Pratapgarh, Rajasthan to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Appellant, APC College, Pratapgarh, Neemuch Road, Pratapgarh – 312605, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



F.No.89-383/E-4614/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Vipra Arts Commerce and Physical Education, Raipur, Chhattisgarh dated 19.05.2017 is against the Order No. WRC/APP 2629/M.Ed./ 274<sup>th</sup>/C.G./2017/185307 to 5315 dated 04.05.2017 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on the ground that it does not have diverted land as required by the Regulations. The institution was asked to submit a valid CLU to the VT members or to the office. In response, the institution has submitted a premium receipt issued in 2012 for only 15000 sq. ft."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Gyanesh Sharma, President and Dr. Meghesh Tewari, Principal, Vipra Arts Commerce and Physical Education, Raipur, Chhattisgarh presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "the institution does not have diverted land as required by the Regulation. The institution was asked to submit a valid CLU to the VT members or to the office."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is conducting following programmes in teacher education :


- i) B.Ed. since the year 2007
- ii) M.P.Ed. since the year 2001
- iii) B.P.Ed. since the year 1998
- iv) D.El.Ed. since the year 2013-14

**AND WHEREAS** applicant institution applied for seeking recognition of M.Ed. programme on 26.05.2015 and the building plan enclosed with the application is approved by 'Nagar Niveshak, Nagar Palik Nigam, Raipur (C.G). Apart from the Building plan, there are several documents available on the regulatory file which

can be taken as evidence of the approval of civil authorities for use of the said land for education purpose. Above all NCTE itself has granted recognition for conducting various teacher education programmes on the said site. More important to look in this case was whether the appellant institution has adequate land and built up area for all the programmes and whether physical education programmes can be segregated from other teacher education programmes. The Regional Committee, also should not have got the inspection conducted unless it was satisfied of the legality of land use. Show Cause Notice (SCN) in this regard was issued in February, 2016 and reply of applicant institution dated 19.05.2016 was taken into consideration before deciding to conduct inspection. Inspection was finally conducted on 20.04.2017 and V.T. report does not suggest any negative point relating to use of land by the appellant society. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to WRC for further processing of the application. At the most applicant institution may be asked to get a fresh certificate from the office of Nagar Palik Nigam, Raipur stating that there is no objection to the use of proposed land for education purpose.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC for further processing of application.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Vipra Arts Commerce and Physical Education, Raipur, Chhattisgarh to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Principal, Vipra Arts Commerce and Physical Education, Raipur, Pt. RSSU, Raipur – 492010, Chhattisgarh.**
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Manas Bhawan, Shayamala Hills, Bhopal - 462002.**
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur.**



F.No.89-384/E-4650/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**


**WHEREAS** the appeal of IPCET, Singhawali Aheer, Sarai Road, Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh dated 19.05.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-11243/262<sup>nd</sup> Meeting (part-9)/2017/171634 dated 21.04.2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution was given SCN dated 23.11.2016 for not submitting original copy of building completion certificate. In reply to SCN, the institution has submitted photocopy of BCC which is not acceptable. Hence, application of the institution is rejected & recognition is refused. Further, decision taken in the 257<sup>th</sup> Meeting of NRC held from 5<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> September 2016 (Part-3) stands withdrawn."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Rishidev Sharma, Director and Sh. A. Yadav, Member, IPCET, Singhawali Aheer, Sarai Road, Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The institution has provided the original copy of Building Completion Certificate for physical inspection on dated 17.02.2016 before VT Members along with all document. After that institution send reminder of Building Completion Certificate submission time to time to N.R.C Jaipur. At the time of meeting 250<sup>th</sup> of the NRC (Part-9) 28.02.2016 in the copy of minutes the committee made observation that BCC has not been submitted by the applicant institution. Whereas the institution submitted certified copy of BCC on date 15.03.2016 by registered post to NRC Jaipur office. Institute again submit a certified copy of BCC along with answer of show cause notice with an affidavit on date 21.12.2016 on NRC office Jaipur by hand and then again, he institutes submitted an original copy of BCC along with an affidavit on date 22.12.2016 at N.R.C. office Jaipur by hand received attached."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that applicant institution submitted online application dated 28.05.2015 seeking recognition for conducting increased intake in the D.El.Ed. programme. As per V.T. report dated 18.02.2016, the appellant institution is already recognised for conducting 2 units of B.Ed. and one unit of D.El.Ed. programme. The inspection conducted on 18.02.2016 was with a view to assess the preparedness of institution for conducting additional intake in D.El.Ed. programme. So far as the Building Completion Certificate (BCC) is concerned, the appellant was asked time and again that the BCC approved and issued by competent government authority is required to be submitted. The appellant has submitted BCC signed by a private architect and countersigned by Pradhan, Gram Panchayat. This BCC is for a built up area of 38280 Sq. feet (24813 Ground floor + 12687 first floor). Another Certificate dated 17.10.2013 issued by Asstt. Engineer, P.W.D. Baghpat reveals that the building of the college is a single storey building. In the circumstances, where there are two different statements relating to built up area, it is essential that appellant should have submitted original BCC approved and issued by competent government authority. Since the appellant has failed to submit original BCC issued by competent government authority, Appeal Committee decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 21.04.2017.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 21.04.2017.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, IPCET, Singhawali Aheer, Sarai Road, Baghpat – 250606, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





F.No.89-385/E-4617/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Department of Physical Education, Krishanpura, Jind, Haryana dated 15.04.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10029/263<sup>rd</sup> (Part05) Meeting/2017/167265 dated 16/02/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. course on the grounds that "SCN was issued on 29.12.2016 with regard to the shortage of faculty members for B.P.Ed. and M.P.Ed. courses. The reply of the applicant University dated 23.01.2017 shows that adequate number of faculty has not yet been selected / appointed by the applicant institution. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Sushil Lega, Head of Department and Sh. Naresh Kumar, Asstt. Professor, Department of Physical Education, Krishanpura, Jind, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Required number of faculty has now been appointed."


**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted the submission made by appellant institution with regard to its previously being a Regional Centre of Kurukhstra University and subsequently being changed as a constituent unit of newly created Choudhary Ranbir Singh University. Appellant submitted evidence of having appointed for B.P.Ed. programme one Professor, two Associate Professors and six Asstt. Professors.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee, therefore decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application on getting the list of faculty appointed by applicant University. Appellant is required to submit complete list and

biodata of faculty approved by competent authority to NRC Jaipur within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application on getting the list of faculty appointed by the applicant University. Appellant is required to submit complete list containing the names of Principal and faculty approved by competent authority to NRC Jaipur within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Department of Physical Education, Krishanpura, Jind, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Chairperson, Department of Physical Education, Krishanpura, Rohtak by pass Jind – 126102, Haryana.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.



F.No.89-386/E-4652/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Patel College of Education, Patel Nagar, Jehanabad, Bihar dated 18.5.2017 is against the Order No. NCTE/ERC/ERCAPP201646010/B.ED./B1/2017-18/4 dated 13.4.2017 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that "Show Cause Notice issued on 06.02.2017 on the following ground: (i) The institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course only on 30.01.2010 with annual intake of 100 and the institution applied for additional intake (one unit) in existing B.Ed. course on 31.05.2016. As per NCTE Hqrs. Letter No. 49-1/2016/NCTE/N&S/47149 dated 08.12.2016, single institution shall not enhance intake more than 100 i.e. two basic units in the B.Ed. course. B. In response, the institution vide letter dated 21.02.2017 stated to consider the case for processing but as per the direction received from NCTE Hqrs. no standalone institution can be given additional intake beyond two units. In view the above, the Committee decided as under: The Committee is of the opinion that application bearing No. ERCAPP201646010 of the institution regarding recognition of Additional Intake in B.Ed. Programme is refused under section 15(3)(b) of NCTE Act 1993."


**AND WHEREAS** Shri Anil Kumar Singh, Secretary and Sh. Vijay Prakash, Representative, Patel College of Education, Patel Nagar, Jehanabad, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "I have applied for one additional intake online with processing fee on 31.05.2016 NCTE has accepted the application form. Some months after DEO Jehanabad has inspected the institution by order of State Govt./NCTE, ERC. In its 230th meeting held 06.02.2017 NCTE, ERC has issued show cause notice online. I have replied on 21.02.2017. In its 237th Meeting NCTE, ERC rejected the application saying that Headquarters letter no. 49-1/2016 NCTE/N & S/47149 dated 08.12.2016, single institution shall not enhance intake

more than 100 i.e. two basic units in the B.Ed. course. At the time of processing of application the Regulation was existing. So, please see my case carefully & give me order for one additional intake.”

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that the submission made by appellant stating that NCTE Regulations, 2014 does not bar an institution for additional intake and the clarifications furnished by NCTE (HQ) vide letter dated 8/12/2016 are not applicable. Appeal Committee further takes reference to Clause 3(a) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 which stipulate that ‘recognition for commencement of new teacher education programmes shall be offered in composite institutions. Clause 8(1) of the regulations further prescribe that existing teacher education institutions shall continue to function as standalone institutions; and gradually move towards becoming composite institutions. The appellant institution is already recognized for conducting B.Ed. programme with an intake of 2 units (100 seats) and is not offering any other programme in teacher education. As such its endeavour shall be to become a composite institution first. Refusal for granting 3<sup>rd</sup> unit of existing B.Ed. programme by the Regional Committee as per guidelines issued by NCTE (HQ) is in accordance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Appeal Committee decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dt. 13.4.2017.

**AND WHEREAS** on perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 13.04.2017.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Patel College of Education, Patel Nagar, Jehanabad – 804408, Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.



F.No.89-387/E-4656/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of St. Joseph's College of Education for Women (Autonomous), Guntur, Andhra Pradesh dated 17.05.2017 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP201630141/B.A.B.Ed./AP 2017-18/93145 dated 5.5.2017 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "their reply to the SCN is not satisfactory.' Both the Sy. Nos. are mortgaged with a co-op. Bank. BCC is not approved by Competent authority. FDRs given are not in original. FDRs are required in original, in joint account, with a 5-year validity @ 7+5 lakhs or each unit of each course."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. G. Theresamha, Correspondent and Prof. T. Swaruparani, Principal, St. Joseph's College of Education for Women (Autonomous), Guntur, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "our college building property is situated in town survey No.995/1 of Guntur city and not in the survey No.995/1 of Guntur village. Inadvertently we enclosed Encumbrance Certificate for the properties covered under 995/1 of Guntur village due to over sight. After detecting the above mistake committed by us we obtained fresh Encumbrance Certificate dated 01.05.2017 with regard to our college building property issued by Sub Registrar office, Guntur, which shows that there are no Encumbrances to our college building property. The original of said Encumbrance Certificate dated 01.05.2017 is enclosed. We also enclose the certificate issued by the branch manager, Guntur Cooperative bank dated 17.5.2017 wherein they clarified that there is no mortgage loan obtained by our institution that is St. Josephs college of Education for women, Guntur, in their branch. BCC signed by competent authority. Guntur Municipal Corporation, Commissioner and Executive Engineer is also enclosed. F.D.Rs. are taken in joint account with five year validity."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is already conducting D.El.Ed., B.Ed. and M.Ed. programmes with an annual intake of 50, 100 and 50 seats respectively. The appellant institution was inspected on 11-12 March, 2017 for a proposed intake of 50 seats of 4 year integrated programme of B.A. B.Ed. After inspections Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 6.4.2017 was issued to appellant institution on the following grounds :-

- (i) Building Completion Certificate is not given.
- (ii) Latest Encumbrance Certificate is necessary.
- (iii) F.D.Rs. are not given.


**AND WHEREAS** while appellant institution submitted reply dated 24.4.2017 and 1.5.2017 to the Show Cause Notice, there was some discrepancy noticed in the encumbrance certificate dated 12.4.2017; BCC was not found signed by competent authority; and FDRs in original were not forwarded. The appellant with its Appeal Memoranda submitted copy of BCC signed by Executive Engineer, GMC Guntur. Original FDRs were shown to Committee and appellant was advised to send the original FDRs to SRC on demand. So far as Encumbrance Certificate is concerned, Appeal Committee is of the view that non-encumbrance certificate (NEC) should necessarily relate to the land and building the details of which are submitted in the application form. The Survey No.995/1 as mentioned in the application form should find a place in the non-encumbrance certificate. NEC with a different House No. does not have any relevance to the case.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee having noted that appellant institution is already conducting 3 programmes of teacher education, decided to remand back the case to SRC Bangalore for giving the appellant another opportunity to submit original FDRs, BCC signed by competent authority and the latest non-encumbrance certificate relating to the land and building where it proposes to conduct the applied for programme. While reprocessing the application, SRC should also keep in view para 1.1. of Appendix 13 of the norms and standards pertaining to 4 year integrated course

B.A. B.Ed. The applicant institution should have resources available for integrating general studies and professional studies as envisaged in the regulations.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC, Bangalore for reconsideration of the case provided the appellant institution submits to SRC within 15 days, original FDRs, valid and relevant non Encumbrance Certificate, Building Completion Certificate signed by competent authority.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of St. Joseph's College of Education for Women (Autonomous), Guntur, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.



(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Correspondent, St. Joseph's College of Education for Women (Autonomous), Guntur, 2nd Lane, Sambasivapet Near Naze Centre, Guntur – 522001, Andhra Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Jnana Bharathi Campus Road, Nagarabhavi, Opp. National Law School, Bangalore - 560 072.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.



F.No.89-388/E-4615/2017 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Department of Physical Education, Krishanpura, Jind, Haryana dated 15.4.2017 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-10031/263<sup>rd</sup> (Part-1) Meeting/2017/167468 dated 16/02/2017 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting M.P.Ed. course on the grounds that "The reply of the institution dated 27.12.2016 with regard to the points mentioned in the SCN dated 05.12.2016 of the NRC, NCTE was considered. NRC found that the applicant University has not appointed required number of teacher within the stipulated time. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Sushil Lega, Professor and Sh. Naresh Kumar, Asstt. Professor, Department of Physical Education, Krishanpura, Jind, Haryana presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Required number of faculty has now been appointed."


**AND WHEREAS** appellant informed that appellant institution was previously conducting the programme as a regional centre of Kurukshetra University and since a new University by the name of Choudhary Ranbir Singh University came into existence with jurisdiction over the unit, a fresh application for M.P.Ed. programme was made. Initially there was a problem in getting required faculty which has now been sorted out and two Associate Professors and three Assistant Professors have been appointed with the approval of competent authority. One professor level faculty is common to B.P.Ed. and M.P.Ed. programmes.



**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee after noting the submission made by appellant decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application on getting the list of faculty appointed by applicant university. Appellant is required to submit complete list and biodata of faculty approved by competent authority to NRC Jaipur within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to NRC for further processing of the application on getting the list of faculty appointed by applicant university. Appellant is required to submit complete list containing the names of Principal and faculty approved by competent authority to NRC Jaipur within 15 days of the issue of Appeal orders.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Department of Physical Education, Krishanpura, Jind, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**



**(Sanjay Awasthi)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Chairperson, Department of Physical Education, Kishanpura, Rohtak bye pass, Jind – 126102, Haryana.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Fourth Floor, Jeevan Nidhi-II, LIC Building, Bhawani Singh Marg, Ambedkar Circle, Jaipur - 302005, Rajasthan.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.



F.No.89-343/2016 Appeal/13<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2017

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 21/8/17

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of J.P. Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Biharsharif, Nalanda, Bihar dated 18.05.2017 is against the Decision of ERC conveyed to appellant vide letter no. ER-229.4.8/ERCAPP 3034/D.El.Ed.-Addl. Course)/2017/51134 dated 27.01.2017 refusing grant of 2<sup>nd</sup> Unit on the grounds that "Recognition granted for one basic unit of 50 seats vide order dated 02.05.2016 remain unchanged."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Shailesh Kumar and Sh. P. Bhushan, Representatives, Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Biharsharif, Nalanda, Bihar presented the case of the appellant institution on 05/07/2017. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We had applied for two basic units of 100 seats but ERC has refused to grant recognition for 2 units even after Appeal Committee has remanded back the case by issue of an Appeal order dated 02.09.2016."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that order issued by ERC, Bhubaneshwar dated 02.05.2016 was appealed against by the appellant and Appeal Committee after considering the matter decided to remand back the case to ERC. The effective portion of the appeal order dated 02.09.2016 is reproduced as under.

*"Committee noted that in the sworn affidavit enclosed to the hard copy of the online application dt. 30.05.2015, the appellant stated that their application is for an intake of 100 students (2 units). The inspection team in their report dt. 16.03.2016, noting that the application is for an additional intake of 100 students (2 units), recommended consideration for grant of recognition for two units (100 students). The E.R.C after considering the V.T. report in their 210<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7-9 April, 2016 decided to issue a Letter of Intent (L.O.I.), which was not issued. The appellant submitted*

his reply dt. 26.04.2016 to the proposed L.O.I. on the basis of the minutes uploaded on the website. One of the conditions in the proposed L.O.I. is that 'the institution is required to submit the consent/willingness for basic unit (one/two) offering for the said course.' The appellant with his reply dt. 26.04.2016, inter-alia forwarded a sworn affidavit in which it is mentioned that their application is for an intake of 100 and a faculty list comprising of one H.O.D. and 15 lectures approved by the Bihar School Education Board. This has been noted by the E.R.C. on their examination of the proposal. As per the Norms and Standards for D.El.Ed. course (Appendix – 2 to the NCTE Regulations, 2014), the staff strength required for an intake of two basic units of 50 students each is 16 (one HOD and 15 lecturers/teacher). Even though the E.R.C. noted the staff list of 16 submitted by the appellant, they granted recognition for one unit (50 students) only, without indicating any reasons for not granting recognition for two units. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the E.R.C. with a direction to consider the request of the appellant for grant of recognition for two units of D.El.Ed. course and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014."

**AND WHEREAS** after the matter was remanded back, the ERC reconsidered the matter and decided to stick to its original decision of granting recognition for only one unit on the ground that:


- i) The name of the one of the faculty (Sanskrit Lecture) was Anamika Pandey whereas marksheet mentioned the name as Anamika Yadav.
- ii) The marksheet of Divya Sinha and Seema Kumari were not submitted.
- iii) The percentage of marks of Sh. Ashok Kumar Singh, faculty in sociology could not be ascertained as marksheets were not available.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant has filed a W.P. No. 6586 of 2017 in Patna High Court. The Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Patna in the above case has directed for an express decision.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that decision to grant recognition for one unit of D.El.Ed. programme was taken by ERC in its 213<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> - 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2016. Neither the standard processing sheet relating to above case nor the relevant minutes of 213<sup>th</sup> Meeting discussed about the deficiencies on the basis of which ERC has now tried to justify grant of only one unit instead of two units. Appeal Committee therefore, has reason to believe that deficiencies on the basis of which ERC is advocating grant of recognition for only one unit were never in consideration at the time it was decided to grant only one unit. Had there been any deficiency on account of faculty, SRC was duty bound to have communicated it to the appellant institution as is being done in so many other cases. Appeal Committee, is therefore, of the view that appellant institution should be given an opportunity to rectify the deficiency by either submitting justification or replacing the identified faculty within a reasonable time. With this limited observation, the case is remanded back to ERC for reconsideration.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for reconsideration of the decision conveyed to appellant institution vide its letter dated 27.01.2017.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of J.P. Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyalaya, Biharsharif, Nalanda, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

  
(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, J.P. Shikshak Parishishan Mahavidyalaya, 747, 748, 751, 3607, ownership Basis, Biyabani, Biharsharif, Nalanda, Bihar – 803101.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751 012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.