

F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/RJ-31/ 773

By Speed Post / Registered

28 April, 2005

ORDER

For the purposes and in pursuance of the provisions contained in Section 13 of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Headquarters conducted inspection of the Nibark Shikshak Prashikshan Mahavidyala, Out side Surajpole, Udaipur, Rajasthan - 313001 on 24.07.2003 and after having received the report/findings from the inspection team and seeking response of the institution on the deficiencies reported by the inspection team, the same are forwarded to the office of NRC to take further necessary action in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE, Act, Rules, Regulations etc. The deficiencies pointed out are as under:

- (i) The Institution has not appointed Principal for Their B.Ed. Programme.
- (ii) The institution does not have required number of full time regular lecturers, as laid down in the NCTE norms, for the two teacher training programmes it conducts.
- (iii) The appointment of the teachers in the institution has not yet been approved by the affiliating University.
- (iv) The space available is not sufficient for conducting the two teacher training programmes.
- (v) The salary is not paid through A/c payee cheques.
- (vi) The salary is not paid for the vacation period.
- (vii) The library and language laboratories for the Shiksha Shastri programme need to be strengthened and relevant software for language learning to be procured.

2. AND WHEREAS, the said report of the inspection team and the reply of the institution were placed before the NRC in its 80th meeting. Having considered the same, the Committee was of the opinion that the reply and supporting documents attached therewith are not satisfactory. Therefore, the Committee decided that a Show Cause Notice be issued to the institution under section 17 (i) of the NCTE Act, 1993. Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice dated 06.08.2004 was issued to the institution, communicating therein the deficiencies observed by the visiting team on inspection made by it under section 13 of the NCTE Act, 1993, to provide reasonable opportunity of making representation against the proposed action.

3. The reply submitted by the institution was placed before NRC in its 85th meeting, whereby the NRC decided that the institution may submit documentary

Contd. 2..



