

F.NRC/NCTE/F-3/HR-168/73)

By Speed Post / Registered
28 April, 2005

ORDER

For the purposes and in pursuance of the provisions contained in Section 13 of the NCTE Act, 1993, NCTE Headquarters conducted inspection of the Ch. Ishwar Singh Mahila Shikshan Mahavidyalaya, Fatehpur, Pandri, Kathol - 136026 on 21.07.2003 and after having received the report/findings from the inspection team and seeking response of the institution on the deficiencies reported by the inspection team, the same are forwarded to the office of NRC to take further necessary action in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, Regulations etc. The deficiencies pointed out are as under:-

- (i) Four out of seven lecturers appointed by the institution do not possess NET qualification.
- (ii) The list of teaching staff has not been countersigned by the Registrar of the affiliating University.
- (iii) The number of practice teaching days is shorter of the requirement laid down in the NCTE norms.
- (iv) The Educational Technology lab does not have adequate number of electronic publications on education.
- (v) Most of the teaching staff is being paid consolidated salaries in cash which is not in accordance with the NCTE / Central / State / UGC guidelines.

2. AND WHEREAS, the said report of the inspection team and the reply of the institution were placed before the NRC in its 87th meeting. Having considered the same, the Committee was of the opinion that the reply and supporting documents attached therewith are not satisfactory. Therefore, the Committee decided that a Show Cause Notice be issued to the institution under section 17 (i) of the NCTE Act, 1993. Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice dated 09.02.2005 was issued to the institution, communicating therein the deficiencies observed by the visiting team on inspection made by it under section 13 of the NCTE Act, 1993, to provide reasonable opportunity of making representation against the proposed action.

3. AND WHEREAS, the entire matter was considered by the NRC in its 89th meeting wherein the Committee noted that the reply submitted by the institution



