राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद्

(भारत सरकार का एक विधिक संस्थान) पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय समिति



National Council for Teacher Education (A Statutory Body of the Government of India) Western Regional Committee

F.No.NCTE/WRC/APW04085/225033/M.P/2022

219739 10 219741

25/5/22

To,

The Registrar, Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Nalanda Campus, R.N.T. Marg, Indore, M.P.

Sub:- Recognition status of Matushri Ahilyadevi Teachers Education Institute, Vill. Sulakhedi (Manglia) Pos - Kadwali Bujurg, Indore, Madhya Pradesh 452010.

Sir/Madam,

With reference to the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in W.P.(C) no. 5753/2022 dt. 6.4.2022, it is to inform you that WRC, NCTE has granted recognition to the institution for M.Ed course with 50 intake on 18.05.2015 Vide order No. WRC/APW04085/225033/[M.P]/2015/135433-440. The closure application dt. 18.07.2019 has been rendered infructuous by the order of the court in the above mention Writ Petition.

Therefore, in view of the above this is to inform you that the recognition granted for M.Ed course to the institution still stands. This is also being to your kind notice that the Hon'ble Delhi High Court has also directed that the said information/clarification is reflected on the web-portal of the affiliating university as well.

Submitted for information and further necessary action

Yours faithfully,

6/0

(Naveen Malik) Regional Director

Encl. As above

Copy to:

i) The Principal, MATUSHRI AHILIYADEVI TEACHERS EDUCATION INSTITUTE, Vill. Sulakhedi (Manglia) Pos – Kadwali Bujurg, INDORE, MADHYA PRADESH.

ii) The Principal, Secretary (School Education), Higher Education, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal.

iii) The REGISTRAR, Devi Ahiliya University, Indore M.P.

जी-7, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

G-7, Sector -10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075

दुरभाष/ Phone: 011-43152353, 20893264

Email: wre@ncte-india.org Website: www.nctewre.co.in

NCTF HOrs. Website: www.ncte-india.org

\$~55

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

W.P.(C) 5753/2022

MATUSHRI AHILYADEVI TEACHERS EDUCATION INSTITUTE

..... Petitioner

Mr. Mayank Manish with Mr. Ravi Through: Kant, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoharan, Adv.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

> ORDER 06.04.2022

%

- 1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking a direction to the respondents to issue an appropriate clarification to the affiliating University of the petitioner institute that the petitioner institute continues to be a recognized institute for running the M.Ed. course.
- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner had, on 18.07.2019, submitted an application to respondent no. 2 seeking closure of the M.Ed. course from the academic session 2020-21, since the petitioner's said application even after the NOC of the respondent/WRC was obtained remained undecided, the petitioner on 18.02.2022 informed the respondent no. 2 that in view of considerable time having been elapsed since it had made the request for closure of the M.Ed. course, it had now decided to continue with the M.Ed.

- course in terms of the recognition order dated 18.05.2015. The said request has also not received any response from the respondent no. 2, thus compelling the petitioner to approach this Court.
- 3. Issue notice. Mr. Manoharan accepts notice and submits that an endeavour will be made to consider both the pending applications of the petitioner one seeking permission for closure of the M.Ed course, and the other seeking withdrawal of it's earlier application for closure of the course, in the next meeting of the respondent no. 2. He, therefore, prays that hearing in the present petition be deferred.
- 4. Having considered the submissions of the parties, I find that it is an undisputed position that the petitioner's application dated 18.07.2019 seeking permission for closure has remained un-actioned till date. It is also an admitted position that the petitioner has now sought to withdraw it's application for closure. It is not a case where respondent had found any deficiency in the working of the petitioner institute vis-a-vis the M.Ed. course. In my considered view, in these circumstances, when the petitioner has itself withdrawn its request for closure of the said course, there remains nothing for the respondent no. 2 to consider in it's meeting. In fact, on many occasions, it has been projected before this Court that the WRC is overburdened with applications seeking recognition, and therefore, even otherwise, no useful purpose will be served in respondent no. 2 now considering the petitioner's application.
- 5. The writ petition is accordingly, allowed by directing the respondent no. 2 to not take any further action on the basis of the petitioner's application dated 18.07.2019. Consequently, the application dated

Digitally Signed By:GARIMAMADAN Signing Date:07.04.2022 16:37:38 18.02.2022 will be rendered infructuous. The respondent no. 2 will forthwith issue a clarification to the concerned affiliating university that the petitioner continues to be a recognized institute for running the M.Ed course. Information in this regard also to be displayed on the web-portal of the concerned affiliating university. It is, however, made clear that in case the respondents find any deficiency in running of the M.Ed course by the petitioner, it will always be open for the respondents to take action in accordance with the regulations.

REKHA PALLI, J

APRIL 6, 2022/acm

Signature Not Verified Digitally Signed By:GARIMAMADAN Signing Date:07.04.2022