

SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE  
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION  
BANGALORE

Minutes of the 330<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC held at the Conference Hall of  
NCTE, Bangalore on 12-13 February, 2017

The following persons attended the Meeting:-

1. Sri. S.Sathyam - Chairman
2. Prof. Sandeep Ponnala - Member
3. Smt. Jalajakshi - Member
4. Dr. J. Prasad - Member
5. Smt. Angelin Golda  
Under Secretary - Non-Member  
(Convenor-In charge)
6. Shri. D.K. Chaturvedi, Under Secretary from NCTE(HQ), who had come to Bengaluru for discussing staffing and other administrative issues with the Under Secretary in SRO, was permitted to sit in as an 'observer' in the SRC meeting during 12-13 Feb. 2017.

The following members did not attend the Meeting:

Prof. K. Dorasami, Prof. M.S. Lalithamma, Smt. Padma Sarangapani and the Representatives of the Govts. of Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Tamilnadu, Lakshadweep and Andaman and Nicobar Islands and the Regional Director(Shri. Sanjay Gupta).

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

Consideration of applications 2017-2018 and 2016-2017, VT report, Show Cause Notice  
reply, LOI notice reply, Non Submission of reply: (Volume-1)

1.	SRCAPP201630045 SRCAPP1806  B.A.B.Ed B.Sc.B.Ed 1 Unit  B.Ed-100  Brilliant College of Education, Thiruvarur, Tamilnadu	<p>Brilliant Educational Trust, Plot No.113, First Main Road, Balamurugan Garden, Okkaiam Thuraipakkam Taluk, Kancheepuram District-600097, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to Brilliant College of Education, No. 3/87A, Thiruvarur Road, Thiruthuraipoondi Taluk, Thiruvarur District-614715, Tamilnadu for offering B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 26.05.2016. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 02.06.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 07.06.2016, followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Govt. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>As per direction, the application has been scrutinized online along with documents submitted in hard copy and the same was placed before SRC in its 324<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07<sup>th</sup> to 08<sup>th</sup> December, 2016. The Committee considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Land document is in order.</li><li>2. EC/LUC are given.</li><li>3. BP is given.-measurement details given in the on-line application do not tally with those in the hard-copy.</li><li>4. BCC-not given.</li><li>5. NOC of affiliating body-given on time.</li><li>6. Cause Inspection.</li><li>7. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</li></ol> <p>Note : The institution has submitted two applications for B.Sc.B.Ed .B.A.B.Ed course. SRC had taken a decision to cause</p>
----	---	--

inspection in respect of the SRCAPP201630045 in its 324<sup>th</sup> meeting .

The scrutiny of the other application, SRCAPP201630044 was considered by SRC in its 325<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 19th to 20th December 2016 and the Committee decided as under :-

1. The title deed is not clear. Sy.no-153/5 is cited in the sale deed of 2010 when it was sold only in 2015. Its area in one is shown as 0.24 acre and in the other as 0.28 acres. The LOC issued by Tahsildar is also not clear enough. Let them clarify the issue.

2. EC/LUC are in order.

3. BP is given. Built up area shown is 1504 sq.mts.

4. BCC-not given.

5. There are 5 courses of this Trust-3044/3045/1806/Liberal courses BA & B.Sc. We have to consider all these together to check whether the facilities available are adequate. Put up in a comparative tabular format. In case no. 30045 'cause inspection' was ordered. If that order has not issued to V.T. hold it up for the time being.

Accordingly, a comparative statement was prepared and placed before the SRC in its 327th meeting held during 19th to 20th January 2017 decided to cause inspection of two B.Sc.B.Ed, B.A.B.Ed applications of the institution (SRCAPP201630045 and SRCAPP 201630044)

As per the decision of SRC inspection of the institution for B.Sc.B.Ed/B.A.B.Ed courses were scheduled through online mode during 01.02.2017 to 21.02.2017.

Two VT members for each course gave their acceptance for the visit.

On 01.02.2017, an E-mail is received from Head of the Department, School of Physical Education, Kannur University stating as under

*" We are first approached by Mr. Jayadevappa and Mr.Radha Krishna Mohanty with below mail confirmation and the inspection dates was fixed on 3rd Feb 2017.*

**As both programmes are conducted as same site and address, we kindly request the Regional Director to conduct only one inspection with 2 VT members allotted for both applications SRCAPP20163004 & SRCAPP201630045."**

The request of the institution was placed before SRC in its 329<sup>th</sup> meeting of SRC and the Committee decided as under :-

1. NCTE(HQ) has clarified that in cases of 2 separate applications, joint or composite inspection is not permissible for 2017-18.
2. Their request for a joint inspection is therefore rejected.
3. Let the VT inspections proceed as already ordered.

In the meantime the inspection of the institution for B.A.B.Ed.B.Sc.B.Ed (SRCAPP201630045) has already been conducted by one team of VT members and VT report has been received in hard copy by this office on 06.02.2017.

<b>REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE</b>	Date of Reg. and in the name of	12.10.2012 in the name of Brilliant Educational Trust.
---	---------------------------------	--

**Details of Land Documents:**

Registered certified copy of the Land documents: Submitted / Not submitted	Photocopies of registered land documents are submitted.
(whether in English or Regional language) (whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	Land document is in English
Date of registration of land	a) 13.10.2010 b) 23.02.2015
Land registered in the name of	Brilliant Educational Trust
Type of title deed i.e. sale deed/lease deed(Govt. /Pvt.)/gift deed	a) Sale Deed b) Sale Deed
Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No.	a) 153/4,153/5,153/7,153/8 b) R.S.No. 153/5
Extent of land in each Sy. No. / Plot No. / Khasara No.	a) 0.90 acres, Manali Village, Thiruthuraipoondi b) 0.28 acres

**Notarized copy of Land Use Certificate submitted /Not submitted**

Name of the Society/ Trust/ Institution	Brilliant Educational Trust
Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	153/4,153/5,153/7,153/8 – Manali Village, Thiruthuraipoondi Taluk
Extent of diverted land	0.57.00 hectares

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

Purpose of diversion	Agriculture to non agricultural purposes
Date of issue	07.12.2012
Name and designation of approving authority	Tahsildar, Thiruthuraipoondi
<b>AFFIDAVIT:-</b>	<b>Submitted</b>
Sy. No	153/4, 153/5, 153/7, 153/8
Location	Paruthichery Village, Thiruthuraipoondi, Thiruvar District, Tamilnadus
Land is on own/lease basis	Ownership
<b><u>Blue print/Notarized copy of Building Plan submitted/Not submitted :-</u></b>	Brilliant Educational Trust
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Building plan is in the name of the Trust
Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course	Building plan is in the name of Brilliant Educational Trust .
Survey No/ Location	153/4, 153/5, 153/7, 153/8 Paruthichery Village , Manali Panchayat, Thiruthuraipoondi
Plot area/land area	1.18 acre
Total built-up area	2762.81 sq.mtrs
Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	Not clear
Date of approval	01.10.2010
Name and designation of approving authority	Panchayat President, Manali Panchayat, Thiruthuraipoondi Panchayat Union
<b><u>Notarized copy of the Building Completion Certificate submitted /not submitted</u></b>	
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Brilliant Education Trust
Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos. and location	153/4, 153/5, 153/7, 153/8 , Paruthichery, Manali Village
Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	Not mentioned specifically, <b>Total built up area is 3019.13 sq.mtrs</b>

Type of Roofing	RCC
Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Education
Date of issue	27.01.2017
Name and designation of approving authority	Union Engineer, Tiruthuraipoondi
<b>Notarized copy of Encumbrance Certificate submitted/ Not submitted</b>	Photocopy submitted
Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	Brilliant Educational Trust
Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	153/4,153/5,153/7,153/8
Search for the period	01.01.1987 to 01.04.2016
Extent of land	Not clear
Any mortgage as per EC	--
Date of issue	18.04.2016
Name and designation of issuing authority	Sub Registrar, Thiruthurapoondi
<b>Fixed Deposit Receipts</b>	
Original/ Photocopy of the FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs + 7 lakhs	Original FDRs of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs are not submitted.
FDR / A/c Number	
Whether in single or joint A/c	
Date of issue	
Date of Maturity	
Name of issuing Bank	
If already Rs. 5 lakhs + Rs. 3 lakhs submitted in joint A/c whether additional FDR of Rs.4 lakhs in joint A/c is submitted in original/photocopy	
<b>Comments of VT Members</b>	

	Total Built-up area	1500 sq.ft - B.Ed course ( existing) 1519.13 sq.ft – BA.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed( Proposed)
	Furniture	Adequate and suitable
	Multipurpose Hall	2173.68 sq.ft
	Labs/Resources Rooms	Available as per norms
	Whether the library is sharing with other courses	--
	Seating capacity in the library	33 students
	No. of books in the library and Journals	4058 books out of which 1650 numbers are related to education. It has 5 educational journals.

**Remarks :**

1. Building plan is in the name of Brilliant Educational Trust , Ear marked area for the proposed and existing Teacher educational courses is not known. As per the Building Plan, the total built up area is 2762.81 sq. mtrs .
2. Building Completion Certificate dated 27.01.2017 issued by **Union Engineer, Tiruthuraipoondi** showing a built up area of 3019.13 sq.mtrs is submitted . The built up area does not match with the Building Plan and is not known if it is entirely meant for existing and proposed teacher education courses. **Built up area is insufficient for the existing B.Ed (2 units), proposed B.A.B.Ed,B.Sc.B.Ed course(SRCAPP201630045) (2 units) and B.A.B.Ed,B.Sc.B.Ed course(SRCAPP201630044) (1unit ) . As per online application, the institution is also running B.A and B.Sc courses .**
3. Original FDRs of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs.7 lakhs are not submitted. As per the VT Report, it is mentioned that original FDR submitted to NCTE, Copies verified
4. As per the VT report, the seating capacity in the library is 33 only.

Note :

(1) The institution is running a B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students (2 units), SRCAPP1806 which was granted recognition on 03.03.2014.

(2) The institution has made another application SRCAPP201630044 for B.Sc.B.Ed, B.A.B.Ed course for the year 2017-18 and inspection has been scheduled for the courses. A separate visiting team is scheduled to inspect the institution for the other course. Report in respect of that course is awaited.

In the VT report received, there is no mention of the other application (SRCAPP201630044) of the institution. Only two courses, the existing B.Ed course (SRCAPP1806) and the application (SRCAPP201630045) are mentioned.

(3) As per the online application, the intake sought is 50 students. As per the VT report, the intake sought is 100 (1 unit of B.A.B.Ed and 1 unit of B.Sc.B.Ed).

(4) The VT Report has not been uploaded by the VT Members so far.

The Committee considered the VT report, CD and all other documents along with the original records of the case and decided as under:-

1. They have a running B.Ed.
2. They have applied for B.A.B.Ed (1 unit) and B.Sc. B.Ed (1 unit) separately.
3. One inspection (in 30045) has been done; one inspection (in 30044) has been ordered.
4. NCTE(HQ) has ordered that in such cases there has to be separate inspections and not composite inspections.
5. The inspection in 30045, however, has taken a composite shape. We cannot go by this approach of the V.T. We have to wait for the VT Report of 30044 also.
6. Put up the two VT Reports together.



2.	SRCAPP201630150 APS03578 APS04575  M.Ed 1 Unit  B.Ed-100  B.Ed-AI-100  Annal College of Education, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu	<p><b>KKO Mohamed Ibrahim Educational and Charitable Trust, 151, 8th Cross, Arulanandham Nagar, Thanjavur Taluk, Thanjavur District-613007, Tamilnadu</b> applied for grant of recognition to <b>Annai College of Education, Kovilachery Village, Anakudi Road, Kumbakonam Taluk, Kovilachery City, Thanjavur District-612503, Tamilnadu</b> for offering <b>M.Ed</b> course of two years duration (1Unit) for the academic year <b>2017-18</b> under <b>Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993</b> to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on <b>30.06.2016</b>. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on <b>12.07.2016</b>.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on <b>27.08.2016</b> followed by Reminder I on <b>12.10.2016</b> and Reminder II on <b>11.11.2016</b>. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for M.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>As per the direction, the application was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and the same was placed before SRC in its 327th Meeting held during 19th to 20th January, 2017 and the Committee decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. NAAC certificate is given.</li><li>2. Title is clear. Certified copy required.</li><li>3. LUC is given.</li><li>4. EC – not in original. No encumbrance shown.</li><li>5. BP is approved. Not legible.</li><li>6. BCC is in format. Duly approved. Built-up area shown is 2122 sq.mts. This has to be compared with the built up area approved in BP.</li><li>7. Built-up area required is at least 3500 sq.mts. What is available is inadequate.</li><li>8. Fee paid in full.</li><li>9. Cause composite inspection</li><li>10. VT to collect all relevant documents.</li></ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations 2014 inspection of the institution was scheduled through online mode during <b>01/02/2017</b> to <b>21/02/2017</b>. The visiting Team Members <b>Jagdip Ratil Sonwane</b> and <b>Dr. Usman Khan</b> gave their acceptance for the visit in online mode on <b>21.01.2017</b>. Inspection of the institution was conducted on <b>05.02.2017</b> and the <b>hard copy of the VT Report was received on 08.02.2017</b>.</p> <p><b>The inspection report is as detailed below:-</b></p>
----	---	--

Details of the proposed and existing programs of the institution as per the VT Report					
Proposed Programme			Existing Programme		
Sl.No.	Name of the course	Intake	Sl.No.	Name of the course	Intake
1	M.Ed	50	1	B.Ed (APS03578)	100
				B.Ed- A.I.(APS04575)	100

<b>REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE</b>	Date of Reg. and in the name of	03.09.2004 Trust amendment deed in the name of K.K.O.Mohamed Ibrahim Educational and Charitable Trust is submitted
<b>Details of Land Documents:</b>		
Registered certified copy of the Land documents: Submitted / Not submitted		Photocopy of the certified registered land documents are submitted
(whether in English or Regional language) (whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)		Photocopy of notarized English version of the land document is submitted
Date of registration of land	a) 16.12.2004 b) 02.03.2005	
Land registered in the name of	a) Mr.M.Anwar, the present managing trustee of K.K.O.Mohamed Ibrahim Educational and Charitable Trust . b) Mr.M.Anwar, the present managing trustee of K.K.O.Mohamed Ibrahim Educational and Charitable Trust	
Type of title deed i.e. sale deed/lease deed (Govt./Pvt./gift deed	a) Sale deed b) Sale deed	

Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No.	a) R.S.No. 66 ( old Sy.No.s 66/1 and 66/2) b) R.S.No. 64/2B, 64/2A3
Location	a) Kovilachery, Kumbakonam b) Kovilachery, Kumbakonam c)
Extent of land in each Sy. No. / Plot No. / Khasara No.	d) 3 acres and 69 cents e) 3 acres and 1 cent
<b>AFFIDAVIT:-</b>	<b>Submitted in original</b>
Sy. No	64/2A3 , 66
Location	Kovilacheri
Land is on own/lease basis	Ownership basis
Extent	<b>Total : 39819 sq.mtrs</b>
Purpose of diversion	For construction of buildings for Educational Institutions
Date of issue	25.08 2005
Name and designation of approving authority	Tahshildar, Kumbakonam
<b><u>Notarized copy of the Building Completion Certificate submitted</u></b>	<b>Photocopy of two Building Completion Certificate s are submitted</b>
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	K.K.O.Mohamed Ibrahim Educational and Charitable Trust
Survey/Plot/ Khasra Nos. and location	R.S No. 64/2A3, 66 , Kovilacherri Village and p[anchayat
Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	a) Sy.No. 64/2A3,66 - 22844.34 sq.ft - <b>2122.3086sq.mtrs</b> b) Sy.No. 66 -19002.25 sq.ft - 1765.36 sq.mtrs  <b>Total built up area : 3887.67 sq. mtrs</b>
Type of Roofing	RCC
Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Education
Date of issue	10.05.2011
Name and designation of approving authority	Assistant Engineer, P.W.D
<b><u>Notarized copy of Encumbrance</u></b>	<b>EC submitted in original</b>

<b>Certificate submitted/ Not submitted</b>		
Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	--	
Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	64/2B, 64/2A3, 66 – Kovilacherry Village	
Search for the period	a) 01.01.1987 to 31.05.2003 b) 13.11.2009 to 23.01.2017	
Extent of land	Not clear	
Any mortgage as per EC	Title deeds in Sy.No. 64/2A3, Sy.No. 66 have been deposited with City union Bank for loan purpose vide transaction dated 12.01.2017	
Date of issue	24.01.2017	
Name and designation of issuing authority	Sub Registrar, Thiruppanadhal	
<b>Fixed Deposit Receipts</b>		
Original/ Photocopy of the FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs + 7 lakhs	Photocopy of FDR of Rs. 5.00 Lakhs	Photocopy of FDR of Rs. 7.00 Lakhs
FDR / A/c Number	FDR for the proposed M.Ed course is not submitted.	
Whether in single or joint A/c		
Date of issue		
Date of Maturity		
Name of issuing Bank		
<b>Comments of VT Members</b>		
Total Built-up area	3887.69 sq.mtrs	
Furniture	Adequate and sufficient	
Multipurpose Hall	2028 sq.ft	
Labs/Resources Rooms	All necessary equipments are purchased like charts, models, computers, net facility, Reference book and LCD/LED Projectors with smart board.	
Whether the library is sharing with other courses	--	
Seating capacity in the library	100	

	No. of books in the library and Journals	11562 books of which 7732 numbers are related to education , 26 educational journals
<p><b>Remarks :</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. As per English version of Land documents, lands are in the name of Mr.M.Anwar, the present managing trustee of K.K.O.Mohamed Ibrahim Educational and Charitable Trust. As per the land document submitted in regional language land is in the name of the Trust.</li><li>2. EC submitted is bilingual . As per EC dated 24.01.2017 , Title deeds in Sy.No. 64/2A3, Sy.No. 66 have been deposited with City union Bank for loan purpose vide transaction dated 12.01.2017. Extent of land is not clear.</li><li>3. Building Completion Certificate is in the name of the Trust and purpose is mentioned as education . It is not known whether the entire built up area of is meant for Teacher Education Courses .  As per Building Completion Certificate , the total built up area is 3887.67 sq.mtrs .</li><li>4. FDRs for the proposed course are not submitted. Copy of the FDR submitted along with VT report is the same as that which is available in the VT Report of B.Ed programme (APS04575) of Annai College</li></ol> <p><b>Note :</b> The VT report in respect of the existing B.Ed (APS04575) and B.Ed-A.I(APS03578) courses has also been received on 29.11.2016 . A separate agenda is prepared .</p> <p>VT report is not uploaded in the website so far.</p> <p>The Committee considered the VT report, CD and all other documents along with the original records of the case and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Sy. No.s 64/2A3 and 66 feature in BP and BCC</li><li>2. EC shows that both these Sy.Nos. are mortgaged with the City Union Bank</li><li>3. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly for rejection.</li></ol>		

3.	APS03578 B.Ed  2 Units  APS04575 B.Ed-AI  2 Units  Annai College of Education, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu	<p><b>Annai College of Education , 92-A, Mutt Street, Kumbakonam – 612 001, Tamilnadu</b> was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 22.11.2005 with a condition that the institution shall shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition ( in case the course is started in rented premises).</p> <p>Annai College of Education , Kovailcherry, Kumbakonam – 612503,Thanjvur District,Tamilnadu was granted recognition for offering B.Ed-A.I course with an additional intake of 100 students thus making a total intake of 200 students for B.Ed course on 05.08.2008.</p> <p>When the basic application was processed for shifting of premises, the institution submitted a reply that they had already shifted the premises and recognition for Additional intake has been granted at the new premises.</p> <p>After further processing and inspection of the institution , permission was accorded by SRC for shifting of premises of Annai College of Education, Mutt Street , Kumbakonam (B.Ed) and Annai College of Education, Kovailcherry, Kumbakonam- 612503,Thanjavur District ,Tamilnadu(B.Ed-A.I) to shift its premises to the new location/ building at <b>Annai College of Education, Kovilacherry – 612503, Kumbakonam,Tamilnadu vide this office order dated 02.03.2012.</b></p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification of new regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>The institution submitted affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014 on 10.03.2015.</p> <p>Revised orders were issued to the institution in respect of the both the courses with an intake of 100 students each on 20.03.2015 ( APS04575) and 23.03.2015 (APS03578) .</p> <p>Institution has submitted Documents as per Revised Recognition order on 03.11.2015.</p> <p>SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> May 2016, decided as</p>
----	--	---

under:

*"For cases of B.Ed (2 Units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, has been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.*

*Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of Waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspections can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Report can be considered along with examination of the documents.*

*Regional Director is authorized to initiate action accordingly. The institution concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for Submission to the VTs."*

As per the decision of SRC Inspection intimation letters were sent to the institution on 22.06.2016.

Inspection of the institution was conducted on 27.11.2016 and VT report was received by this office on 29.11.2016.

The Committee considered the VT report, CD and all other documents, original records of the case on the above matter and decided as under:-

1. They have built-up-area adequate for B.Ed( 2units), B.Ed-A.I ( 2 units) and M.Ed( 1 unit)
2. But, the lands in reference are mortgaged.
3. We have, therefore, to withdraw recognition for both B.Ed and B.Ed-A.I
4. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

4.	SRCAPP201630162  B.A.B.Ed B.Sc.B.Ed 2 Units  Sathyasai B.Ed College, Thiruvallur, Tamilnadu	<p>Dr. Rajalakshimi Sundarajan Educational Society, Avadi Village, No.7, Rajaji Street, Kamarajar Nagar, Poonamallee Taluk, Avadi City, Thiruvallur District-600071, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to Sathyasai B.Ed College, Paruthipet Village, Avadi Town, No.7, Rajaji Street, Poonamallee Taluk, Paruthipet City, Thiruvallur District-600071, Tamilnadu for offering B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2016. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 04.07.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 12.07.2016, followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Govt. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>As per the direction, the application of the institution was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and placed before SRC in its 327th meeting held during 19th to 20th January, 2017 and the Committee decided as under :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. NOC is there.</li><li>2. They have to clarify whether they want B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Sc.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit)+B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit)</li><li>3. Title is there. Land area is adequate.</li><li>4. The B.Ed. course is being run at a different location.</li><li>5. LUC is not in format, does not talk of utilization purpose at all.</li><li>6. EC not in original. It makes a mention of 'lease'. This entry needs clarification.</li><li>7. BP-Photocopy-approved. Built up area shown is 957.12 sq.mtr. which is inadequate.</li><li>8. BCC not in format; not approved by competent authority. Built-up area shown is not clear as it is mixed up with school area. It also shows the B.Ed. course as running in the same area whereas in other documents the address is shown as different.</li><li>9. Every page of hard copy is not signed.</li><li>10. FDRs not given.</li><li>11. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</li></ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations, 2014, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online mode on 20.01.2017.</p> <p>The institution submitted a reply <u>in online mode on 03.02.2017</u> and in <u>hard copy on 07.02.2016</u>. <u>The supporting documents have not been uploaded in online.</u></p> <p>The reply of the institution submitted in hard copy is detailed as under :-</p>
----	---	--



Sl. No	Deficiencies pointed out in Show Cause Notice	Reply of the institution	Remarks	
1	They have to clarify whether they want B.A. B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Sc. B.Ed.(2 units) or B.A. B.Ed.(1 unit)+ B.Sc. B.Ed.( 1 unit).	As per the second clause raised, we would like to select the 3rd package of course namely B.A.B.Ed (1 unit) + B.Sc. B.Ed (1 unit) total 100 No. s	As stated by the institution. The institution has opted for B.A.B.Ed( 1 unit) +B.Sc B.Ed(1unit) = total 100 No.s	
2	The B.Ed. course is being run at a different location.	We would like to show clearly that the College has been run in the Sy.No. 716/16, 716/19A, 716/4 & Plot No 7 (Extent 4778.1 sq mtrs) detailed plan enclosed for your verification. <b>Even through the college together with school stands in one single campus, the school has separate entrance. Separate building etc</b> The College area has not been mixed up with the school area, the mode of working and operation is solely different for the college and the school. It has been shown clearly in the site plan.	The institution has submitted a building plan detailed below :-	
			Approved by	Not approved
			Date of approval	--
			Sy. Nos.	716/16, 716/19A, 716/20, 716/3 716/4
			Location	Plot No. 6 and 7 ,Rajaji Street, Kamraj nagar, Paruthipattu Village , Poonamaallee Taluk,Thirubvallur District
		Plan in the name of	Sathya Sai B.Ed College( proposed B.Sc. B.Ed/B.A.B.Ed)	
		Site area	4771.81 sq.ntrs	

					<p>Built up area: 4056.27 sq.mtrs</p> <p><b>Built up area of existing B.Ed course is 1946.03 sq.mtrs</b></p> <p>A photocopy of the building plan for existing Sathya Sai B.Ed College and proposed B.Sc. B.Ed/B.A.B.Ed is submitted.</p> <p>Certain portion of the building are earmarked for the existing B.Ed course, proposed B.A/B.Sc.B.Ed course and school in the copy of the building plan submitted. The copy of the plan is not approved by competent authority.</p> <p>From the building plans it is observed that the existing B.Ed course, proposed B.Sc.B.Ed B.A.B.Ed courses, School are in the same premises.</p>
3	LUC is not in format, does not talk of utilization purpose at all.	a) As per the Tamil Nady Land reforms ( Fixation of ceiling on Inad) Act 1961 Section 37(B) the land has been certified by the government that it does not come under this act. *Ref.No. 3808/2012/ 1/ 10/07/2012.	Change of land use certificate dated 10.07.2012 is submitted in Regional Language.	The institution has stated that new Change of land use certificate will be submitted soon	
		b) This land has been certified as dry( rainfed land) as well as punjai land by the Thasildar Ref.No. 3810/2012 1/ dated 10.01.2012,Ref .No. 3809/2012 1/			

		10/07/2012 c) We have already applied to Thasildar, Avadi for the new Change of land use certificate as per the norms of NCTE. d) Therefore herewith we enclosed the present enclosed Change of land use certificate and will be producing the new Change of land use certificate as soon as we obtain it.											
4	EC not in original. It makes a mention of 'lease'. This entry needs clarification.	New original EC is enclosed	<p>EC dated 25.01.2017 is submitted.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Sy.No.s</td> <td>716,716/16,20,1 9A,20A,16A2,4,4 A1BAA1A3,716/ 4A1B1A1A4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Period</td> <td>01.01.2012 to 23.01.2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Issued by</td> <td>Sub Registrar, Avadi</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Land in the name of</td> <td>Not known</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Extent</td> <td>Not known</td> </tr> </table> <p>EC submitted in regional language.</p>	Sy.No.s	716,716/16,20,1 9A,20A,16A2,4,4 A1BAA1A3,716/ 4A1B1A1A4	Period	01.01.2012 to 23.01.2017	Issued by	Sub Registrar, Avadi	Land in the name of	Not known	Extent	Not known
Sy.No.s	716,716/16,20,1 9A,20A,16A2,4,4 A1BAA1A3,716/ 4A1B1A1A4												
Period	01.01.2012 to 23.01.2017												
Issued by	Sub Registrar, Avadi												
Land in the name of	Not known												
Extent	Not known												
5	BP-Photocopy-approved. Built up area shown is 957.12 sq.mtr. which is inadequate.	A drawing shows the details of extent of land area is 4777.81 sq.mtrs and built up area is 4041.47 sq.mtrs	<b>A copy of the building plan showing the site area of 4777.81 sq.mtrs and built up area of 4056.27 sq.mtrs is submitted . The building plan showing these built up areas is not approved by competent authority</b>										
6	BCC not in format, not approved by	Building Completion Certificate in the required format is	Building Completion Certificate detailed below is submitted .										

	competent authority. Built-up area shown is not clear as it is mixed up with school area. It also shows the B.Ed. course as running in the same area whereas in other documents the address is shown as different.	enclosed.	Date of inspection	24.01.2017
			Issue by	Commissioner Avadi Municipality
			Sy No.s	716/4, Pot No. 6,7, 716/16A2, 16B1, 16B2, 716/20B
			Location	Paruthipattu Revenue Village, Avadi Municipality
			Built up area	4047.41 sq.mtrs
			Type of Roofing	RCC
			Building Completion Certificate is issued for Sathyasai B.Ed college only	
7	Every page of hard copy is not signed.	It is now rectified and every page of hard copy is signed and enclosed.	The institution has submitted another copy of the application with seal and signature on every page.	
8	FDRs not given	Now the original FDRs has been enclosed	Original FDRs of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs in Joint Account with the Regional Director is submitted	
<p><b>Remarks :</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The institution has opted for B.A.B.Ed( 1 unit) + B.Sc. B.Ed( 1unit) with a total of intake of 100 students vide its reply dated 02.02.2017.</li> <li>A photocopy of the building plan for existing Sathya Sai B.Ed College and proposed B.Sc. B.Ed/B.A.B.Ed is submitted .A portion of the building is earmarked for the existing B.Ed course, proposed B.Sc.B.Ed /B.A.B.Ed course and school in the copy of the building plan submitted. The copy of the plan is not approved by competent authority. A copy of the building plan for the proposed construction of the building is also submitted.</li> <li>From the building plans it is observed that the existing B.Ed course, proposed B.Sc.B.Ed .B.A.B,Ed courses , School are in the same premises.</li> </ol>				

		<p>4. Land Use Certificate dated 10.07.2012 is submitted in regional language. The institution has stated that new Change of land use certificate will be submitted soon.</p> <p>5. EC submitted is bilingual. Nature of transactions could not be Ascertained.</p> <p>6. In response to the point that built up area shown is of 957.12 sq.mtrs the institution has submitted a copy of the building plan showing the site area of 4777.81 sq.mtrs and built up area of 4056.27 sq.mtrs. The building plan showing these built up areas is not approved by competent authority.</p> <p>7. Building Completion Certificate dated 21.07.2017 issued by Commissioner, Avadi Municipality for Sathysai B.Ed College showing a built up area of 4047.41 sq.mtrs is submitted.</p> <p><b>Note :-</b> (1) The institution has submitted a representation on 07.02.2016 stating as under :- " As per the Show Cause Notice send by NCTE on 20.01.2017, all the clauses were rectified and the hard copy was send on 02.02.2017 through registered post. <u>As per requirement the document has been made in PDF Format and we tried to make the attachments in online form. But the effort taken was not successful.</u> So the same has been sent through E-mail on 03.02.2017. Therefore we kindly request you to refer to the hard copies as well as mail copies for further proceedings."</p> <p>The Committee considered the written representation of the institution and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. They have adequate land area and built up area.</li> <li>2. BP is approved. Area for the different courses is earmarked.</li> <li>3. LUC shows it as agricultural land</li> <li>4. EC is in order</li> <li>5. BCC is in order.</li> <li>6. They have assured to produce revised LUC. Await.</li> <li>7. FDRs are given.</li> <li>8. Put up in the next meeting.</li> </ol>
5.	<p>SRCAPP201630121</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>J.K.K Nattraja College of Education, Namakkal,</p>	<p>J.K.K.Rangammal Charitable Trust, Kumarapalayam Village, Salem Main Road, Kumarayapalayam Taluk and Town, Namakkal District- 638183, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to J.K.K.Nattraja College of Education, Kumarapalayam Village, NH544, Salem Main Road, Kumarapalayam Taluk and Town, Namakkal District -638183, Tamil Nadu for offering B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 06.06.2016. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 09.06.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation</p>

Tamilnadu	<p>was sent on 22.06.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed course in the State of Tamil Nadu.</p> <p>As per the direction, the application was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and placed before SRC in its 327th Meeting of SRC held on 19th and 20th January, 2017 and the Committee decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NOC is given.</li> <li>2. They should indicate whether they want B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Sc.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) + B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit).</li> <li>1.1 Land document is given only in English translation, Title is clear. Land area is adequate.</li> <li>1.2 Obtain photocopy certified by Sub-Registrar.</li> <li>1.3 Second land document also is required as certified copy.</li> <li>3. LUC is in order.</li> <li>4. EC is clear.</li> <li>5. BP is not approved. Built up area shown is 3336 sq.mts.</li> <li>6. BCC is not approved by competent authority. Built up area shown is 3337 sq.mts. which is inadequate for 4 units.</li> <li>7. FDRs not given.</li> <li>8. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</li> </ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online mode on 21.01.2017. <b><u>The institution has submitted a reply through online mode on 10.02.2017 and by hard copy on 10.02.2017. The institution has not uploaded supporting documents in online.</u></b></p> <p>The reply submitted by the institution is detailed as under :-</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No</th> <th>Deficiencies pointed out in Show Cause</th> <th>Reply of the institution</th> <th>Remarks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No	Deficiencies pointed out in Show Cause	Reply of the institution	Remarks				
Sl. No	Deficiencies pointed out in Show Cause	Reply of the institution	Remarks						

		Notice			
		1	They should indicate whether they want B.A.B.Ed. (2 units) or B.Sc.B.Ed. (2 units) or B.A.B.Ed (1 unit) + B.Sc.B.Ed. (1 unit).	B.A.B.Ed ( 2 Units) = 100	As stated by the institution
		2	Land document is given only in English translation . Title is clear. Land area is adequate Obtain photocopy certified by Sub-Registrar.	Photocopy certified by Sub-Registrar is enclosed	Certified copy of registered land document is submitted.  The land document is in regional language.
		3	Second land document also is required as certified	Second land document , certified copy by Sub-Registrar is enclosed.	Certified copy of registered land document is submitted.

		copy.		The land document is in regional language.
4	BP is not approved. Built up area shown is 3336 sq.mts.	Building License issued by Tahsildar dated 14.05.2016 and stability certificate issued by Bharat Engineering Consultants are enclosed herewith.  Additional buildings for 2001.36 sq.mtrs is enclosed.  Building Plan signed by President Thattankuttai Panchayat, Thattankuttai		<p><b>Two Building plans detailed below are submitted.</b></p> <p>a) Photocopy</p> <p>b) Blue print of the Building plan</p>
			Approved by	--
			Sy.No.	a)148/1B, 149/4 b) 148/1B2,149/1,2
			Location	Thattankuttai Panchayat
			Site area	15.99 acres
			Built up area	Plan 1 <b>3336.91 sq.mtrs</b>  Plan 2 <b>2001.36 sq.mtrs</b>  Total built up area : <b>5338.27 sq.mtrs</b>



			Building plans are not approved by Competent Authority																
5	BCC is not approved by competent authority. Built up area shown is 3337 sq.mts. which is inadequate for 4 units.	Building Completion Certificate dated 18.04.2016 is enclosed herewith.  Building completion Certificate dated 08.02.2017 for 2001.36 sq.mtrs is enclosed  Building Completion Certificate signed by President, Thattankuttai Panchayat, Thattankuttai	<p>The institution has submitted building Completion Certificates as detailed below :-</p> <p><b>Building Completion Certificate dated 11.04.2016</b></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Issued by</td> <td>President Thattankuttai Panchayat</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sy.No.</td> <td>148 1B, 147/4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location</td> <td>Komarapalayam Ammani Village Thattankuttai Panchayat</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Built up area</td> <td>3337 sq.mtrs</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Type of Roofing</td> <td>RCC</td> </tr> </table> <p><b>Building Completion Certificate dated 19.12.2016</b></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Issued by</td> <td>Civil Engineer, Salem</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sy.No.</td> <td>148,1B2,149/1,2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location</td> <td>Komarapalayam Ammani</td> </tr> </table>	Issued by	President Thattankuttai Panchayat	Sy.No.	148 1B, 147/4	Location	Komarapalayam Ammani Village Thattankuttai Panchayat	Built up area	3337 sq.mtrs	Type of Roofing	RCC	Issued by	Civil Engineer, Salem	Sy.No.	148,1B2,149/1,2	Location	Komarapalayam Ammani
Issued by	President Thattankuttai Panchayat																		
Sy.No.	148 1B, 147/4																		
Location	Komarapalayam Ammani Village Thattankuttai Panchayat																		
Built up area	3337 sq.mtrs																		
Type of Roofing	RCC																		
Issued by	Civil Engineer, Salem																		
Sy.No.	148,1B2,149/1,2																		
Location	Komarapalayam Ammani																		

				Village Thattankuttai Panchayat
			Built up area	2001.36 sq.mtrs
			Type of Roofing	RCC
6	FDRs not given	Copies of FDRs issued by the Indian Bank Komarapalaya m and No. 0580287 dated 09.02.2017 for Rs. 7 lakhs and No. 0580286 dated 09.02.2017 for Rs. 5 lakhs are enclosed	<b>FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs</b>	
			Name of the Bank	Indian Bank
			Date	09.02.2017
			Date of Maturity	09.02.2018
			FDR No.	0580286
			<b>FDR of Rs. 7 lakhs</b>	
			Name of the Bank	Indian Bank
			Date	09.02.2017
			Date of Maturity	09.02.2018
			FDR No.	0580287

Note :

1. The institution has opted for 2 units of B.A.B.Ed course.
2. Institution has submitted a reply in online and hard copy , but has not uploaded supporting documents in online.

Remarks :

1. The institution has submitted two building plans (1) photocopy and (2) Blue print The total built up area of the two plans together is 5338.27 sq.mtrs . Both the plans are not approved by competent authority.

		<p>2. The institution has submitted two Building Completion Certificates.</p> <p>Building Completion Certificate dated 11.04.2016 with a built up area of 3337 sq.mtrs and Building Completion Certificate dated 19.12.2016 showing a built up area of 2001.36 sq.mtrs . Total built up area (5338.39 sq.mtrs)</p> <p>Both Building Completion Certificates are not issued by competent authority.</p> <p>3. Photocopies of FDRs of Rs. 7 lakhs and 5 lakhs are submitted for a period of one year. Same FDR copies are submitted for both the applications (SRCAPP201630119 and SRCAPP201630121).</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Both BP and BCC are not approved.</li> <li>2. According to NCTE (HQ) instruction more than 2 units of B.Ed cannot be given to one institution. They have asked for 4 ( 2 in B.A.B.Ed and 2 in B.Sc.B.Ed).They must indicate how they wish to proceed.</li> <li>3. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</li> </ol>
6.	<p>SRCAPP201630119</p> <p>BSc.B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>J.K.K Nattraja College of Education, Namakkal, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>J.K.K.Rangammal Charitable Trust, Kumarapalayam Village, Salem Main Road, Kumarayapalayam Taluk and Town, Namakkal District- 638183, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to J.K.K.Nattraja College of Education, Kumarapalayam Village, NH544, Salem Main Road, Kumarapalayam Taluk and Town, Namakkal District -638183, Tamilnadu for offering B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 06.06.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 09.06.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 22.06.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed.,B.Sc.B.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p>

As per the direction, the application was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and placed before SRC in its 327th Meeting of SRC held on 19th and 20th January, 2017 and the Committee decided as under :-

1. NOC is given.
2. They should indicate whether they want B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Sc.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) + B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit).
- 1.1 Land document is given only in English translation. Title is clear. Land area is adequate.
- 1.2 Obtain photocopy certified by Sub-Registrar.
- 1.2 Second land document also is required as certified copy.
2. LUC is in order.
3. EC is clear.
4. BP is not approved. Built up area shown is 3336 sq.mts.
5. BCC is not approved by competent authority. Built up area shown is 3337 sq.mts. which is inadequate for 4 units.
6. FDRs not given.
7. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online mode on 21.01.2017.

**The institution has submitted a reply through online mode on 10.02.2017 and by hard copy on 10.02.2017. The institution has not uploaded supporting documents in online.**

The reply submitted by the institution is detailed as under :-

Sl. No	Deficiencies pointed out in Show Cause Notice	Reply of the institution	Remarks
1	They should indicate whether they want B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) or	B.Sc.B.Ed (2 Units) = 100	As stated by the institution

			B.Sc.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.A.B.Ed (1 unit) + B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit).								
2	Land document is given only in English translation. Title is clear. Land area is adequate Obtain photocopy certified by Sub-Registrar.	Photocopy certified by Sub-Registrar is enclosed		Certified copy of registered land document is submitted. The land document is in regional language.							
3	Second land document also is required as certified copy.	Second land document , certified copy by Sub-Registrar is enclosed.		Certified copy of registered land document is submitted. The land document is in regional language.							
4	BP is not approved. Built up area shown is 3336 sq.mts.	Building License issued by Tahsildar dated 14.05.2016 and stability certificate issued by Bharat Engineering Consultants are enclosed herewith.		<p><b>Two Building plans detailed below are submitted.</b></p> <p>c) Photocopy d) Blue print of the Building plan</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Approved by</td> <td>--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sy.No.</td> <td>a)148/1B, 149/4 b) 148/1B2,149/ 1,2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location</td> <td>Thattankuttai Panchayat</td> </tr> </table>	Approved by	--	Sy.No.	a)148/1B, 149/4 b) 148/1B2,149/ 1,2	Location	Thattankuttai Panchayat
Approved by	--										
Sy.No.	a)148/1B, 149/4 b) 148/1B2,149/ 1,2										
Location	Thattankuttai Panchayat										

		Additional buildings for 2001.36 sq.mtrs is enclosed.  Building Plan signed by President Thattankuttai Panchayat, Thattankuttai	Site area Built up area	15.99 acres Plan 1 3336.91 sq.mtrs Plan 2 2001.36 sq.mtrs Total built up area : 5338.27 sq.mtrs
			Building plans are not approved by Competent Authority	
5	BCC is not approved by competent authority. Built up area shown is 3337 sq.mts which is inadequate for 4 units.	Building Completion Certificate dated 18.04.2016 is enclosed herewith.  Building completion Certificate dated 08.02.2017 for 2001.36 sq.mtrs is enclosed  Building Completion Certificate signed by President, Thattankuttai Panchayat, Thattankuttai	The institution has submitted building Completion Certificates as detailed below :-  <b>Building Completion Certificate dated 11.04.2016</b>  Issued by Sy.No. Location Built up area Type of Roofing	President Thattankuttai Panchayat 148 1B, 147/4 Komarapalaya m Ammani Village Thattankuttai Panchayat 3337 sq.mtrs RCC  <b>Building Completion Certificate dated 19.12.2016</b>  Civil Engineer, Salem

				Sy.No.	148,1B2,149/1, 2
				Location	Komarapalaya m Ammani Village Thattankuttai Panchayat
				Built up area	2001.36 sq.mtrs
				Type of Roofing	RCC
6	FDRs given	not	Copies of FDRs issued by the Indian Bank Komarapalay am and No. 0580287 dated 09.02.2017 for Rs. 7 lakhs and No. 0580286 dated 09.02.2017 for Rs. 5 lakhs are enclosed	<b>FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs</b>	
				Name of the Bank	Indian Bank
				Date	09.02.2017
				Date of Maturity	09.02.2018
				FDR No.	0580286
				<b>FDR of Rs. 7 lakhs</b>	
				Name of the Bank	Indian Bank
				Date	09.02.2017
				Date of Maturity	09.02.2018
				FDR No.	0580287
Note :					
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>The institution has opted for 2 units of B.Sc.B.Ed course.</u></li> <li>2. Institution has submitted a reply in online and hard copy , but has not uploaded supporting documents in online</li> </ol>					
Remarks :					
1. The institution has submitted two building plans (1) photocopy and (2) Blue print The total built up area of the two plans together is 5338.27 sq.mtrs . Both the plans are not approved by competent authority.					

		<p>2. The institution has submitted two Building Completion Certificates. Building Completion Certificate dated 11.04.2016 with a built up area of 3337 sq.mtrs and Building Completion Certificate dated 19.12.2016 showing a built up area of 2001.36 sq.mtrs . (Total built up area : 5338.39 sq.mtrs). Both Building Completion Certificates are not issued by competent authority.</p> <p>3. Photocopies of FDRs of Rs. 7 lakhs and 5 lakhs are submitted for a period of one year. Same FDR copies are submitted for both the applications (SRCAPP201630119 and SRCAPP201630121).</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Both Building Plan and Building Completion Certificate are not approved.</li> <li>According to NCTE (HQ) instruction more than 2 units of B.Ed cannot be given to one institution. They have asked for 4 ( 2 in B.A.B.Ed and 2 in B.Sc.B.Ed).They must indicate how they wish to proceed.</li> <li>Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</li> </ol>
7.	<p>SRCAPP201630134</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>B.Sc.B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>Immanuel Arasar College of Education, Kanyakumari, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>Immanuel Arasar International Institute of Science and Technology Educational Charitable Trust, Nattalam Village, Edaivilagam Street, Vilavancode Taluk, Marthandam Town, Kanyakumari District- 629165 applied for grant of recognition to Immanuel Arasar College of Education, Nattalam Village, Edaivilagam Street , Vilavancode Taluk, Nattalam Town, Kanyakumari District- 629165,Tamilnadu for offering B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc .B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 27.06.2016.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 05.07.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.08.2016 followed by Reminder I on 12.10.2016 and Reminder II on 11.11.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Sc.B.Ed,B.A.B.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>As per the direction, the application was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and documents submitted and placed before the Committee.</p>



SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under :-

1. NOC not given.
2. They have to clarify whether they want B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Sc.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit)+B.Sc.B.Ed.( 1 unit).
3. Title deeds are there. They are only photocopies. We need photocopies certified by the Sub-Registrar. Title is clear.
4. LUC is in order.
5. EC in original is required. No encumbrance is shown.
6. BP is in photocopies. Built up area shown 6771 sq.mts.
7. BCC is in order. Built up area shown is 13,544 sq.mts. which is far above what is approved in B.P.
8. They have minority status in view of the Court order. That will be relevant only for the NOC from the Government. They have to produce the NOC from the affiliating body which is for ensuring instructional standards as distinct from administrative considerations.
9. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online mode on 20.01.2017.

The institution has submitted a reply through online mode on 10.02.2017 and submitted a hard copy of the same on 10.02.2017.

The reply submitted by the institution is detailed as under :-

Sl. No	Deficiency Pointed out in	Reply of the institution	Remarks
1	NOC not given	We are submitting the No-Objection Certificate from the affiliating body Tamilnadu Teachers Education University	NOC dated 06.02.2017 issued by Registrar, Tamilnadu Teachers Education University is submitted for offering B.Sc.B.Ed/B.A.B.Ed programme for the academic year

			2017-2018.
2	They have to clarify whether they want B.A.B.Ed.( 2 units) or B.Sc.B.Ed. (2 units) or B.A.B.Ed.( 1unit) + B.Sc.B.Ed. ( 1 unit).	In case of clarification of units for 4 year integrated course, we want B.A.B.Ed ( 1 unit) + B.Sc.B.Ed( 1 unit). Our institution have adequate infrastructure and separate building located in the Sy.No. 926/39, 43 & 44. So we need <b>B.A.B.Ed(100) and B.Sc.B.Ed (100).</b>	As stated by the institution.  <b>The institution has stated that they need B.A.B.Ed(100) and B.Sc.B.Ed(100) as they have adequate infrastructure</b>
3	Title deeds are there. They are only photocopies. We need photocopies certified by the Sub-Registrar. Title is clear.	Title deeds , we are now submitting the certified copies of land documents certified by the Sub Registrar	The institution has submitted certified copies of land documents
4	LUC is in order and BCC is in order.	We submit the above in order	LUC dated 30.01.2017 is submitted
4	EC in original is required.	We are enclosing the original certificate by the	The institution has submitted a copy of the EC downloaded from the website and attested

	No encumbrance is shown.	original certified by the Sub-Registrar.	in original by the Sub Registrar. EC is in Regional Language.	
5	BP is in photocopies. Built up area shown 6771 sq.mts.	As per the Building Plan, Built up area is 6771 sq.mtrs. It is verified, clarified and showed equivalent with Building Completion Certificate. We have already enclosed three building plans.	<b>Copies of three Building plans detailed below is submitted.</b>	
6	BCC is in order. Built up area shown is 13.544 sq.mts. which is far above what is approved in B.P.	<p>1. Building Plan 1 Sheet No.1, Sy.No. 926/39 – Ground Flor &amp; First Floor.</p> <p>2. Building Plan 1 Sheet No. 2 shown Sy.No. 926/39 – Second floor &amp; Third Floor.</p> <p>3. Building Plan No.2, shown Sy.No. 926/43 &amp; 44 – Ground Floor to Third Floor</p> <p><b>Total Built up area – 13543.90 sq.mtrs</b></p>	Approved by	President, Nattalam Village Panchayat
			Sy.No.	a) 926/39 b) 926/39 c) 926/39, 43,44
			Location	Anaparai, Nattalam, Vilavan Code
			Built up area	6772 sq.mtrs 6771.50 sq.mtrs  Total built up area : 13544 sq.mtrs
			Plot area	1 acre 21 cents
			<b>Two Building Completion Certificates submitted</b>	
Date	02.02.2017			

				Issued by	Junior Engineer Nattalam Panchayat
				Sy.No.	a) 926/39 b) 926/43,44
				Location	Edaivilagam, Nattalam
				Total Built up area	6772 sq.mtrs and 6771.60 sq.mtrs.
				Building Completion Certificate in the name of	<b>Dr.Sam Joselin</b> <b>G.Jeba</b>
		7	They have minority status in view of the Court order That will be relevant only for the NOC from the Government. They have to produce the NOC from the affiliating body which is for ensuring instructional standards as distinct from administrative considerations.	--	The institution has submitted NOC issued by the affiliating body
<p>Remarks :</p> <p>1. The institution has submitted a copy of the EC downloaded from</p>					

		<p>the website and attested in original by the Sub Registrar. EC is in Regional Language.</p> <p>2. Two Building Completion Certificates dated 02.02.2017 showing a total built up area of 6771.60 sq.mtrs and 6772 sq.mtrs are submitted. As per the Building Completion Certificate, owner of land is Dr.Sam.G.Jeba Joselin.</p> <p>Note : The institution vide its reply dated 08.02.2018 has stated as under :-</p> <p><i>"In case of clarification of units for 4 year Integrated course, we want B.A.B.Ed (1 unit) + B.Sc.B.Ed ( 1 unit) .Our institution have adequate infrastructure and separate building located in the Survey of 926/39, 43 and 44. <u>So we need B.A.B.Ed(100) and B.Sc.B.Ed(100).</u>"</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There was a time limit of 15.07.2016 for submission of NOC from affiliating body. Giving it now cannot be accepted.</li> <li>2. Reject the application.</li> <li>3. Return FDRs, if any.</li> <li>4. Close the file.</li> </ol>
<p>8.</p>	<p>SRCAPP2016 30142</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>B.Sc.B.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Sadasivam Kathirkamavalli College of Arts and Science, Thiruvar, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>Indra Gandhi Educational Trust, 1B, East Sathara street, Mannargudi Taluka, Mannargudi Town, Thiruvar District, Tamil Nadu- 614001 applied for grant of recognition to Sadasivam Kathirkamavalli College of Arts and Science, Kumarapuum Village, Melavasal Street, Mannargudi Taluk, Kumarapuram Town, Thiruvar District- 614001, Tamilnadu for offering B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 29.06.2016. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 04.07.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 12.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Sc.B.Ed, B.A.B.Ed course in the State of Tamil Nadu.</p>

As per the direction, the application was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and placed before SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 19<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 and the Committee decided as under :-

1. NOC is given.
2. They have to clarify whether they want B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Sc.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit)+B.Sc.B.Ed.( 1 unit).
3. Hard copy of the application is not signed on every page.
4. Two Sale deeds and one Transfer deed. The land area in Sale deeds is 3.38 acres(Sy. Nos. 19/1 & 19/2).
5. Both Sy.Nos. 19/1 & 19/2 are mortgaged according to EC. EC is in order.
6. BCC not given.
7. BP in original is required.
8. LUC does not show details of Sy. Nos. covered by the buildings used and directed to issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations, 2014, a **Show Cause Notice** was issued to the institution through online mode on 20.01.2017.

**The institution has submitted a reply by e-mail on 07.12.2017, by hard copy on 10.02.2017 and in online mode on 10.02.2017. The institution has not uploaded any documents in online mode.**

The reply of the institution is detailed as under :-

Sl. No	Deficiencies pointed out in the Show Cause Notice	Reply of the institution	Remarks
1	They have to clarify whether they want B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Sc.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit)+ B.Sc. B Ed ( 1 unit).	We want B.A.B.Ed one unit and B.Sc. B.Ed one unit	As stated by the institution
2	Hard copy of the application is not signed on every page	Submitted hard copy of the application is signed on every	The institution has submitted a copy of the application signed on every page

		page by me.		
3	Both Sy.Nos. 19/1 & 19/2 are mortgaged according to EC. EC is in order.	-	Date	a) 31.01.2017 b) 27.10.2015 c) 12.09.2014
			Issued by	The Sub Registrar, Mannargudi
			Sy. No.	a) 19/1, 19/2, 70/4 b) 70/4, 19/1, 19/2 c) 19/1, 19/2
			Period	a) 26.10.2015 to 30.01.2017 b) 01.01.2014 to 26.10.2015 c) 01.01.1987 to 1.09.2014
			Location	Kumarapuram
			Extent	Not clear
			The institution has submitted 3 ECs attested in original As per the EC dated 12.09.2014 the land in Sy.No. 19/1 and 19/2 is deposited in Indian Bank, Mannargudi Indian Bank as per transaction dated 26.03.2009 and transaction dated 11.05.2010.	
4	BCC not given.	Building Completion Certificate in original is produced.	Building Completion Certificate detailed below is submitted.	
			Date	11.06.2016
			In the name of	Indra Gandhi Educational Trust
			Issued by	Assistant Engineer, (RD) Mannargudi
			Sy.No.	19/1 and 19/2
			Location	Kumarapuram, Melavasal, Mannargudi
			Purpose	Sadasivam Karthirkamavalli College of Arts and Science
			Built up area	3101 sq.mtrs
5	BP in original is	Building plan in	Copy of the Building plan attested in original is submitted and the same is	

	required.	original is produced.	detailed below:-	
		Our Trust running B.Ed course in the name of Arunamalai College of Education running separate building with the built up area of 3532 sq.mtrs Sadasivam Kathirkamavalli college of arts and science running in separated building with built up area of 3101 sq.mtrs.	In the name of	Sadasivam Kathirkamavalli College of Arts and Science
			Sy.No.	R.S.No. 19/1-2
			Location	Kumarapuram, Melavasal, Mannargudi,
			Site area	Not mentioned
			Built up area	3101.16 sq.mtrs
			Approved by	President, Melavasal Gram Panchayat
6	LUC does not show details of Sy. Nos. covered by the buildings used.	Land Usage Certificate covered Survey number is produced	Land Usage Certificate	
			Date	Not clear
			Issued by	Village Administrative Officer, Melavasal, Thiruvarur
			Sy.No.s	70/4, 19/1, 19/2
			Location	Kumarapuram, Mannargudi
			Purpose	Permitted to construct building for Sadasivam Kathirkamavalli Arts and Science College
<p><b>Note :</b> <u>The institution has submitted a reply by e-mail on 07.12.2017, by hard copy on 10.02.2017 and in online mode on 10.02.2017. The institution has not uploaded any documents in online mode.</u></p> <p>Remarks :</p> <p>1. The institution has requested for one unit of B.A.B.Ed and one unit of B.Sc.B.Ed.</p>				



		<p>2. The institution has submitted 3 ECs attested in original. As per the EC dated 12.09.2014 the land in Sy.No. 19/1 and 19/2 is deposited in Indian Bank, Mannargudi Indian Bank as per transaction dated 26.03.2009 and transaction dated 11.05.2010. Sy.Nos. are not matching with EC, Building Completion Certificate, Building Plan, LUC.</p> <p>3. The institution has submitted Building Completion Certificate dated 11.06.2016 showing a built up area of 3101 sq.mtrs for Sadasivam Kathirkamavalli College of Arts and Science.</p> <p>4. The institution in its reply has stated that "Our Trust running B.Ed course in the name of Arunamalai College of Education running separate building with the built up area of 3532 sq.mtrs Sadasivam Kathirkamavalli college of arts and science running in separated building with built up area of 3101 sq.mtrs."</p> <p>5. LUC issued by Village Administrative Officer, Melavasal, Thiruvarur is submitted. LUC issued by Tahsildar is not submitted.</p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to NCTE(HQ) No. TEI should be given more than 2 units of B.Ed . In this case, the Trust is the same; but, the TEIs are different. Therefore, this case can be considered.</li> <li>2. All the requirements are fulfilled except LUC which is not approved by Comp. authority and original blue print of Building Plan which is required.</li> <li>3. Cause Comp. inspection for B.A.B.Ed ( 1 unit) and B.Sc.B.Ed ( 1unit)</li> <li>4. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</li> </ol>
9.	<p>SRCAPP2016 30098</p> <p>B.P.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>AES College of</p>	<p>Azad Educational Society, Ashok Nagar Village, Udith Chambers Road Hyderabad Taluk, City &amp; District-500020, Telangana had applied for grant of recognition to AES College of Education (A Muslim Minority Institute) Peddamangalaram Village, Main Road, Moinabad Taluk, Peddamangalam City, Rangareddy District-501504, Telangana for offering B.P.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 31.05.2016. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 18.07.2016.</p>

Education, Rangareddy, Telangana	<p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.08.2016 followed by Reminder I on 12.10.2016 and Reminder II on 11.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government, the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.P.Ed course in the State of Telangana.</p> <p>A letter dated 21.09.2016 received from Smt. Ranjeev. R. Acharya, Education Department, Government of Telangana received on 27.09.2016 regarding negative recommendation for B.Ed/ B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed.</p> <p>This was placed in SRC 321<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> -29<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 and considered the matter and decided as under:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">"The letter (dated 21.09.2016) from Spl. Chief Secretary, can be taken as a common 'Objection Certificate' to all pending cases of applications for 2017-18 in which NOC has not been received"</p> <p>A letter was sent to the NCTE, Hqrs on 22.11.2016 regarding clarification on negative recommendation from State Government of Telangana. A clarification letter was received from NCTE, Hqrs on 22.12.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 326<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 04<sup>th</sup> - 05<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the clarification letter from the NCTE Hqrs and noted the matter.</p> <p>The application was processed and placed before the SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> - 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. NOC not given.</li><li>2. Land documents are in order. Title is clear. Obtain photocopy certified by Sub-Registrar.</li><li>3. LUC is in order.</li><li>4. EC - not given.</li><li>5. BP/BCC - not given.</li><li>6. Sy.No. in LUC does not tally with land document.</li><li>7. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</li></ol> <p><u>Note:</u></p> <p>This is a Summarily Rejection case. The institution has submitted the hard copy of application on 18.07.2016 i.e., after the due date. It was brought to notice of SRC during 327<sup>th</sup> meeting decided to issue Show Cause Notice . The Show Cause Notice has not been issued. This is brought to the notice of SRC for consideration.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In the 327<sup>th</sup> meeting it was decided to issue Show Cause Notice for</li></ol>
--	---

		<p>non-submission of NOC</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Subsequently it was detected that they had not submitted the hard copy even within the extended date. This would warrant summary rejection. Therefore, the Show Cause Notice was not issued.</li> <li>3. They have also not given NOC from the affiliating body.</li> <li>4. Reject the application</li> <li>5. Close the file.</li> </ol>
10.	<p>SRCAPP2016 30151</p> <p>B.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>Holy Cross College of Education, Tirunelveli, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>Thirusiluvai Kalvi Munnetra Society, Kuthenkuly Village, 5/126, Fatima Nagar, Radhapuram Taluk, Tirunelveli Town and District, Tamilnadu – 627104 applied for grant of recognition to Holy Cross College of Education, Duraikudieruppu Village, Holy Cross Road, Radhapuram Taluk, Duraikudieruppu Town, Tirunelveli District - 627112, Tamilnadu for offering B.Ed course of two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2016. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 12.07.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.08.2016 followed by Reminder I on 12.10.2016 and Reminder II on 11.11.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>As per the direction, the application has been scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and documents and the place before SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th to 20th January, 2017 and the Committee decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1-A. Title is clear.</li> <li>1-B. NOC given.</li> <li>2. EC in original is required.</li> <li>3. LUC is not in format.</li> <li>4. BP is approved. Built-up area shown is 811.42 sq.mts.</li> <li>5. BCC is approved. Built-up area shown is 1596 sq.mts. It is much more than what is approved in BP. But it is much less than what is required (3000 sq.mts).</li> <li>6. FDRs not given.</li> <li>7. Hard copy is not signed on every page.</li> <li>8. They want to convert D.El.Ed. to B.Ed. There is no provision for</li> </ol>

conversion. In any case, if D.El.Ed. is dropped, then B.Ed. will become a 'stand alone' course.

9. **Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.**

As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online mode on 20.01.2017.

**The institution has submitted a reply through online mode on 08.02.2017 which is detailed as under :-**

Sl.No	Deficiencies pointed out in the Show Cause Notice	Reply of the institution	Remarks														
1	EC in original is required.	We humbly submit the EC in original	The institution has uploaded original EC detailed below in the reply submitted in online mode : <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Date</td> <td>23.01.2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Issued by</td> <td>Sub Registrar, Radhapuram</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sy No.</td> <td>243/3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location</td> <td>Samugarengapuram</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Extent</td> <td>Not clear</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Period</td> <td>01.01.2008 to 22.01.2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Land in the name of</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Date	23.01.2017	Issued by	Sub Registrar, Radhapuram	Sy No.	243/3	Location	Samugarengapuram	Extent	Not clear	Period	01.01.2008 to 22.01.2017	Land in the name of	
Date	23.01.2017																
Issued by	Sub Registrar, Radhapuram																
Sy No.	243/3																
Location	Samugarengapuram																
Extent	Not clear																
Period	01.01.2008 to 22.01.2017																
Land in the name of																	
2	LUC is not in format.	We submit the recently issued LUC by Tahsildhar, Radha puram Taluk	Change of land use certificate detailed below is submitted. <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Date</td> <td>03.02.2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td>issued by</td> <td>Tahsildar, Radhapuram</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sy No.</td> <td>243/3 and 204/3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location</td> <td>Not mentioned</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LUC in the name of</td> <td>Kalvi Mubbeta Society Secretary</td> </tr> <tr> <td>purpose</td> <td>Educational Purpose.</td> </tr> </table>	Date	03.02.2017	issued by	Tahsildar, Radhapuram	Sy No.	243/3 and 204/3	Location	Not mentioned	LUC in the name of	Kalvi Mubbeta Society Secretary	purpose	Educational Purpose.		
Date	03.02.2017																
issued by	Tahsildar, Radhapuram																
Sy No.	243/3 and 204/3																
Location	Not mentioned																
LUC in the name of	Kalvi Mubbeta Society Secretary																
purpose	Educational Purpose.																
			Location of land is not mentioned in the LUC submitted.														

		3	BP is approved. Built-up area shown is 811.42 sq.mts.	We submit that the Building Plan is not showing only 811.42 sq mtrs that is only main building. Herewith enclosed Building Plan Copy its clearly showing that the main building built up area is only 811.42 sq mtrs. In addition other buildings built up area is 785.56 sq.mtrs. <u>Total built up area for the college is 1596 sq.mtrs</u> . It is approved by Assistant Engineer (RD) Panchayat Union Radhapuram T.K.	Building plan is not submitted.
		4	BCC is approved. Built-up area shown is 1596 sq.mts. It is much more than what is approved in BP. But it is much less than what is required (3000 sq.mts).		
		5	FDRs not given	Sir we humbly submit that we have taken necessary arrangement for the funds for FDRs. Hence we request your goodself permit us to submit the FDRs before issuing the grant of recognition order copy.  More over we are unable to collect fund due to recent demonization of money	As stated by the institution.  FDRs not submitted
		6	Hard copy is not signed on every page.	Sir, we submit all page signed copy for your perusal	Not submitted
		7	They want to convert D.El.Ed. to B.Ed. There is no provision for conversion in any case. If D.El.Ed. is	We humbly submit that after getting grant of recognition for B.Ed course then we will drop D.El.Ed course.  We humbly submit that we have cured all defects in the Show Cause Notice	

dropped, then B.Ed. will become a 'stand alone' course.	please consider our application and grant recognition as early as possible
---	--

Note :

(1) The institution has stated that they will submit the FDRs before issuing the grant of recognition order copy.

(2) With respect to stand alone clause of B.Ed course if D.El.Ed is dropped, the institution has stated as under

*' We humbly submit that after getting grant of recognition for B.Ed course then we will drop D.El.Ed course.'*

(3) The reply has been submitted through online and not submitted through hard copy.

Remarks :

1. Original EC ( bilingual) is uploaded in the reply submitted in online As per EC the land is in Sy.No. 243/3 is in the name of Holy Cross Teachers Training Institute. Original EC for Sy.No 204/3 is not submitted.
2. The institution in its reply has stated that the as per the Building Plan the total built up area of the building is 1596 sq.mtrs and not 811.42 sq.mtrs . The institution has not submitted copy of the Building plan as claimed in its reply. The built up area is insufficient for existing D.El.Ed ( 1 unit) and proposed B.Ed (1unit) courses.
3. As per Building Completion Certificate submitted earlier, the land is in Sy.No.s 243/3, 204/3, 681 3A, 3B,3C, in all other documents only Sy.No.s 243/3 and 204/3 are mentioned.

**The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-**

1. Their reply to the Show Cause Notice is not satisfactory
2. Whether D.El.Ed is closed before or after FR for B.Ed is irrelevant. In either case the B.Ed course, will become 'stand-alone'
3. Reject the application
4. Close the case.

<p>11. SRCAPP2016 30164</p> <p>M.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>Vasavi College of Education, Pondicherry</p>	<p>Vasavi Trust, Madagadipet Village, Mannadipet Commune Panchayat Taluk, Pondicherry-605107, Pondicherry applied for grant of recognition to Vasavi College of Education, Madagadipet Village, NH Main Road, Mannadipet Commune Panchayat Taluk, Pondicherry-605107, Pondicherry for offering M.Ed course of two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2016. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 13.07.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 29.08.2016, followed by Reminder I on 14.10.2016 and Reminder II on 13.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Govt. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for M.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>SRC in its 317<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> July 2016. The committee considered the reply and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for the following;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Title is clear. Land area available (1.51 acre) is adequate for B.Ed (2 units) D.El.Ed (2 units) and M.Ed(1 unit).</li><li>2. Latest EC required.</li><li>3. LUC is in order.</li><li>4. NAAC certificate is available (valid up to July 2017).</li><li>5. NOC given.</li><li>6. BP is in order. Built-up area shown is 2500 Sqmts. Land area shown is only 5499 sqmts.</li><li>7. BBC is not approved by competent authority. Built-up area shown is 4062 sq.mts. This exceeds the area approved in the BP. In any case, this area is inadequate for the three courses.</li><li>8. FDR not given.</li><li>9. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</li></ol> <p>Accordingly, Show Cause Notice issued to the institution in online on 21.12.2016. The institution not replied in online.</p> <p>The institution submitted Show Cause Notice reply in hard copy on</p>
--	--

06.01.2017 along with the documents. The institution has not submitted reply in online mode.

SRC in its 328th meeting held on 31st January, 2017 considered the Show Cause Notice Reply of the institution submitted in hard copy and decided as under :-

1. Latest EC in original is required.
2. BCC, approved by competent authority is required.
3. Built-up area is adequate.
4. FDRs not given.
5. Cause composite inspection for B.Ed.(2 units), D.El.Ed.(1 unit) and M.Ed.(1 unit).
6. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.

As per regulations, inspection of the institution has to be scheduled through online mode.

Since the institution has not submitted Show Cause Notice Reply through online mode in time, no further process can happen in the online dash board and the option to cause inspection will not appear in the dash board.

The institution cannot upload the Show Cause Notice reply now as the stipulated time of 21 days has passed.

The institution has submitted a request by e-mail on 06.02.2017 stating as under :-

*"In response to your Show Cause Notice, I have immediately replied through e-mail on 04.01.2017 and sent the hard copy to SRC-Bangalore. We are not aware that we have to upload the documents online. Kindly understand the difficulty and please activate the link so that I can upload the documents, please."*

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. Their representation that they were not aware of the requirement that all documents should also be uploaded appears to be



		<p>reasonable. There is no mention of this requirement in any of our communications. But, the computer programme is such that any instruction to the contrary is not accepted.</p> <p>2. Refer this problem to the NCTE(HQ) and seek clarification about action to be taken.</p>
12.	<p>SRCAPP 3300</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Shri Venkateshwara B.Ed College, Bijapur, Karnataka</p>	<p>Shri Venkateshwara Vidya Vardhak Sangha, Vijayapur Village &amp; Post, Plot No-202, Kanakadas Badavane, Vijayapur Taluk &amp; City, Bijapur District-586101, Karnataka had applied for grant recognition to Shri Venkateshwara B.Ed College, Devar Hipparagi, Plot/Khasara No.482/2B/1, 482/2B/2, Devar Hipparagi Village &amp; Post, Sindagi Taluk, Vijayapur City, Bijapur District-586127, Karnataka for offering B.Ed course of two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 02.06.2015. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 03.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>A copy of application was sent to the State Government for recommendation on 09.06.2015 followed by Reminder -I on 14.10.2015 Reminder -II on 03.11.2016 and letter to institution for furnishing information on 'Composite' character on 14.10.2015.</p> <p>As per the data submitted in the online application the institution is not conducting any teacher education or UG/PG programmes referred to in Regulation 2(b) of Regulations, 2014.</p> <p>The institution not replied to the letter dated 14.10.2015 sent for furnishing information in support of its composite character.</p> <p>Hence, this is a 'Stand-alone' institution and therefore, may not be processed as per Regulation 3 &amp; 8(1).</p> <p>1. Regulation 3 reads as under:</p> <p><i>"These regulations shall be applicable to all matters relating to teacher education programmes for preparing norms and standards and procedures for recognition of institutions, commencement of new programmes and addition to sanctioned intake in the existing</i></p>

programmes including the following, namely:-

- Recognition for commencement of new teacher education programmes which shall be offered in composite institutions;
- Permission for introduction of new programmes in existing teacher education institutions duly recognized by the Council;
- Permission for additional intake in the existing teacher education programmes duly recognized by the Council;
- Permission for shifting or relocating of premises of existing teacher education institutions;
- Permission for closure or discontinuation of recognized teacher education programmes, or institutions as the case may be Provided that for teacher education programmes offered through open and distance learning, the respective norms and standards for each such learning programmes shall be applicable."

2. Regulation 8(1) reads as under:

*"New Teacher Education Institutions shall be located in composite institutions and the existing teacher education institutions shall continue to function as stand-alone institutions; and gradually move towards becoming composite institutions."*

The SRC in its 297<sup>th</sup> meeting considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:-

"According to information furnished by the applicant, this is a case of stand-alone institution. They have no UG courses or Teacher Education courses. Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection."

As per the decision of SRC show cause notice was issued to the institution on 22.01.2016. The institution submitted reply to the show cause notice on 16.02.2016.

The SRC in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the notice reply and decided as under:-

"1. They have supporting U.G. Programme.

2. The application is for B.Ed (1 unit)
3. i) BP is not approved by the competent authority  
ii) BCC is not given.
4. Ask them to give these documents.
5. Cause Inspection.
6. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents."

As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection was conducted on 16.03.2016 and visiting team report was received by this office on 28.03.2016.

The SRC in its 308<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> - 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the VT report and all other relevant documents and decided as under:-

- "1. BP not issued by competent authority.
2. BCC not submitted.
3. Colleges not in the same premises.
4. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly."

As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 26.05.2016. The institution submitted notice reply on 04.07.2016.

The matter was placed before the SRC, in its 317<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under :-

**LOI for B.Ed one unit.**

As per decision of SRC, LOI was issued to the institution on 01.09.2016.

The SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> Meeting held during 16<sup>th</sup> - 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016, considered the matter of non submission LOI reply and decided as under:-

- "1. No reply to LOI received.
2. Remind them.
3. Time-limit upto 31.12.2016."

A letter along with LOI reply dated 15.11.2016 is received by this from the institution is as under:-

"I the undersigned President Venkateshwar Vidya Vardhak

Sangh, Kanakadas Badavane, Vijapur submitted as under;  
I have received your letter dated 01.09.2016, today I have replied to your letter within time and I have also received another letter on 07.11.2016.

I have obeyed your condition mentioned in the said letter.  
I have enclosed the documents as mentioned in your letter para No.3.

I have uploaded the contentions as mentioned in para No.4 of your letter.

I have made F.D. as per your condition as mentioned in para No.5 of our letter and enclosed the zerox copy of said documents. The delay is caused due to returning the staff list annexure-III by the Rani Chennamma University Belgaum. I have received the said documents on 14.11.2016. There is no reason to cause delay in submitting the application

I have obeyed the conditions as mentioned in your letter para NO. 6 Please issue permission for B.Ed course after perusing our documents. ”

The SRC in its 326<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 04<sup>th</sup> – 05<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the letter of Intent Reply and decided as under:-

1. “Faculty list has 1+7; but, distribution is not according to the Regulation.
2. Asst. Professors in Perspective & Asst. Prof. ( Phy.sci.-Pedagogy) are not there.
3. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.”

On 16.01.2017, a letter dated 07.01.2017 received from Under Secretary to Government, Education Department ( Universities-2) is as under:-

“I am directed state that the State Government has not recommended in view of adequate infrastructure to start B.Ed college. In this regard, the State Government has already communicated vide letter No. ED 386 URC 2015, dated 27.11.2015 in respect of conducting B.Ed course by Sri Venkateshwara B.Ed College, Devara Hipparagi, Sindagi Tq., Vijayapura Dist. However, a copy of the same is enclosed herewith for your records.”

As per the decision of SRC a Show Cause Notice was issued to institution on 18.01.2017.

The institution has submitted show cause notice reply on 27.01.2017 is as under:-

Sl. No.	Deficiency	Reply of the institution	Details of the documents submitted
1	Faculty list has 1+7; but, distribution is not according to the Regulation	"I the undersigned Laxman . R.Angadi, Chairman Shri. Venkateshwara Vidya Vardhak Sangh, Vijayapur, submit reply to your show cause notice as under:-	1. The institution has submitted a letter for distribution of faculty accros different curricular areas.
2	Asst. Professors in Perspective &Asst. Prof. (Phy.sci.-Pedagogy) are not there	That I have submitted my application on 09.06.2015 through on-line.  I have submitted my reply for furnishing information to letter dated 14.10.2015.  As per your instructions mentioned in page 3 of your show cause notice, I have enclosed herewith for your perusal.  In respect of 326 meeting held during 4 <sup>th</sup> to 5 <sup>th</sup> January, 2017.  After verifying the documents submitted by me please issue order for conducting B.Ed	2. Faculty profile 3. Affidavit

		course."
		<p><b>Note:-</b></p> <p>As per the State Government letter No: ED 386 URC2015, dated 27.11.2015, negative recommendation is submitted.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The State Govt. had objected w.r.t incomplete and inadequate infrastructure. This objection is met by the fact that , according to VTI Report, as against a requirement of 1500 sq.mtrs, they have 2400 sq.mtrs of built-up area.</li> <li>2. But, they gave the BCC long after VT Inspection, the regulation is that deficiencies cannot rectified after VT Inspection</li> <li>3. There is also discrepancy between the VT Report and BCC. VT has reported inadequate library facilities and labs being located 43 kms away</li> <li>4. Issue of LOI in this case was wrong. Cancel the LOI. The inconvenience caused to the applicant is regretted.</li> <li>5. Reject the application.</li> <li>6. Return the FDRs</li> <li>7. Close the file.</li> </ol>
13.	<p>SRCAPP2563</p> <p>M.P.Ed</p> <p>Sree Sankaracharya Univeristy, Ernakulam, Kerala</p>	<p>Sree Sankaracharya University of Sanskrit, Plot No.381/4, 5, 7, 14, Kalady road, Kalady Village &amp; Post office, kalady City, AluvaTaluk, Ernakulam District Kerala. applied for grant of recognition to Sree Sankaracharya University of Sanskrit, Plot No.381/4, 5,7,14, Kalady Village &amp; Post office, Kalady City, AluvaTaluk, Ernakulam District-683574, Kerala for offering M.P.Ed course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 28.05.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 10.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 15.06.2015 followed by Reminder - I on 17.11.2015 and Reminder - II on 14.12.2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of</p>

applications stipulates as under:

***"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".***

The SRC in its 293<sup>rd</sup> meeting held during 29<sup>th</sup> & 31<sup>th</sup>, October, 2015, considered the matter and decided as under:-

**Letter seen.**

- 1. Request is accepted.**
- 2. Reopen the case and process.**

The SRC in its 297<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup>- 28<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:-

***"Cause Inspection for M.P.Ed. Ask V.T. to collect blue print of the Building Plan"***

As per the decision of SRC, Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 11.01.2016.

As per the decision of SRC a composite inspection was conducted on 03.02.2016 and the Visiting team report was received on 05.02.2016.

On 08.02.2016, letter was received from Sree Sankaracharya University of Sanskrit, Ernakulam District Kerala submitting Compact Disc (CD):-

*"I am herewith sending the compact disc (CD) containing the video of the inspection of the Visiting Team members held in our institution for the recognition of M.P.Ed program held on 03.02.2016. The delay in sending the CD was due to some technical problems while the videos were being converted from the video memory card in to the CD. However the videos were send in a pen drive with the Visiting Team member on the same day itself.*

*In this connection, I am deputing Mr. Hymes Hillary, Senior grade Assistant, Department of Physical Education to hand over the CD to your office.*

*The delay may be condoned and our application may be considered Kindly do the needful in this regard."*

The Southern Regional Committee in its 302<sup>nd</sup> meeting held during 09<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the VT report and decided as under :-

1. **Issue LOI for M.P.Ed (1 unit).**
2. **FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.**
3. **Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.**

As per the decision of the SRC, LOI was issued to the institution on 16.02.2016.

On 26.02.2016, a letter was received from Sree Sankaracharya University of Sanskrit, Ernakulam Dist, Kerala with a request as under:-

*"With reference to the above, I am submitting herewith the documents required mentioned as Annexure I, II and III for the grant of recognition of M.P.Ed course run by the University. Please note that the affidavit on Rs.10/- stamp paper is not obtained from Sujith S, Guest Lecturer who had resigned for taking up another appointment. The University has already taken steps to appoint another Guest Lecturer to this vacancy. It is also noticed that fixed deposit receipt is not applicable for start run universities.*

*Hence I request you to consider granting formal recognition to the M.P.Ed course run by the University.*

The SRC in its 305<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 25<sup>th</sup> to 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the matter, and decided as under:-

1. **Staff not as per Norms**
2. **Staff Designation, number and qualification not as per norms.**
3. **Issue Notice accordingly.**

As per the decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued to the



institution on 05.04.2016.

On 12.05.2016, the Registrar, Sri Shankaracharya University of Sanskrit submitted a reply.

The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> may, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. **The request is not acceptable.**
2. **Ask them to submit the full Faculty list as per the norms.**
3. **This case cannot longer be considered for 2016-17. It can be considered only for 2017-18.**

A Letter was issued to the institution on 02.06.2016 to submit faculty list as per Regulations.

**The institution has not replied to the Letter till date.**

The matter was placed the SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **Faculty list in the prescribed form according to norms has not yet been received.**
2. **Remind.**
3. **Give time till 31.12.2016.**

As per the decision of the SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 29.11.2016. The institution submitted reply on 19.12.2016 enclosed approved staff list by Sree Sankaracharya University of Sanskrit.

The SRC in its 326<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 04<sup>th</sup> to 05<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 the committee consider the matter and decided as under:-

1. **The faculty list is defective. Photographs are not there. Only 4 faculty members are full-time employees; the remaining 3 are only part-time employees.**
2. **One Associate Prof. is required to be appointed.**
3. **Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.**

As per the decision of the SRC, Show Cause Notice was issued to the

institution on 19.01.2017. The institution has submitted Show Cause Notice reply on 03.02.2017 along with faculty list stating as under:-

Sl. No	Deficiency Pointed out in the Show	Reply of the institution	Details of the documents submitted
1	The faculty list is defective. Photographs are not there. Only 4 faculty members are full-time employees; the remaining 3 are only part-time.	"With reference to the above, Dr. Dhinu M.R, Assistant Professor and Assistant Director of Physical Education and Sports. The University has restricted the approved intake in M.P.Ed Programme to 25 seats every year to ensure the academic quality of the programme".	The institution submitted copy of the faculty list approved by the Sree Sankaracharya University of Sankrit, Kalady.
2	One Associate Prof. is required to be appointed.		

**Remarks:-**

- As per regulation 2014, Professor is not appointed.
- Three Asst. Professor are full time awaiting for Associate Prof. for placement.
- Out of four Assistant professor one is full time and three are full time on Contract basis.

The Committee considered the reply of the institution and decided as under:-

1. The alteration of the approved staffing pattern by adjusting the intake strength is not permissible.
2. Their reply to our Show Cause Notice is not satisfactory.
3. Reject the application.
4. Close the file.

14.	SRCAPP 14095 D.El.Ed-AI Vivekananda D.Ed	Praveen Educational Society, Plot No.1-15-1, Besthavaripeta Village Post, Besthavaripeta Taluk & City, Prakasam District-523334, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Vivekananda D.Ed College, Plot No. 134/1, Vandutia Village, Pusalapadu Post, Besthavaripeta Taluk & City, Prakasam District-523334, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed-AI course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the
-----	--	---

College, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh	<p>NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on <b>27.06.2015</b>. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on <b>08.07.2015</b>.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16.07.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 31.08.2015 and Reminder-II on 27.10.2015.</p> <p>The Sub Clause (7) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</p> <p>The SRC in its 297<sup>th</sup> meeting has considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>1.Cause composite inspection for D.El.Ed (A,I) and D.El.Ed and B.Ed (shifting)/</b></p> <p>As per the decision of SRC a composite inspection was conducted on <b>04.02.2016</b> and the Visiting team report is received by this office on <b>08.02.2016</b>.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 302<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SRC considered the matter, VT Inspection report dated and other relevant documents of the institution and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. CD ok</li><li>2. Issue Show Cause Notice for Built up area inadequate for D.El.Ed &amp; B.Ed.</li></ol> <p>Accordingly, based on website information the institution has submitted notice reply on 27.04.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 312<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> – 29<sup>th</sup> April 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The built up area in the proposed site cannot at present accommodate both the courses .If we wait till June 2016, any opportunity to catch the 2016-17 academic year will be lost</li><li>2. The B.Ed course is on leased area for 30 years. That can be allowed to continue there</li><li>3. One additional unit of D.El.Ed can be given at the new site .Issue LOI accordingly</li></ol>
--------------------------------------	---

- 4.FDRs in Joint account should be furnished  
5.Only if these are given on or before 02.05.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible
6. Further attention to the B.Ed case can be given in June 2016 when additional built up area may become available

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a LOI was sent to the institution on 02.06.2016.

The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> January 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The LOI was issued on 2.6.16.
2. They have not cared to submit any reply. We cannot wait indefinitely.
3. Issue Show Cause Notice for a proper reply by 10 Feb. 17.
4. Put up in the meeting on 12.2.17.

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC a Show Cause Notice was sent on 25.01.2017.

The institution has submitted its Show cause notice reply along with document on 06.02.2017. It's stated as under:

Sl. No.	Deficiency pointed by SRC	Institution written representation	Remarks		
1	The built up area in the proposed site cannot at present accommodate both the courses. If we wait till June 2016, any opportunity to catch the 2016-17 academic year will be lost. LUC not given	"As per your show cause notice cited above here with giving explanation for inadequate built-up area.  Building is approved by the village Panchayat secretary, Building completion certificate issued by Asst Engineer PRI Sub division Giddalur, Prakasam (Dt). Hence built up area is 4032.00 sq.mts for one D.Ed College of One B.Ed College.	The institution has submitted BCC		
			Name and address of Society/T rust/Insti tution	Praveen Educational Society, Pusala Padu(Post), Bestavaripeta (Mandal), Prakasam (Dt), A.P.	
			Survey/P lot/Khasa ra Nos. and location	Sy.No.134/1., Vandutla Vilaka, Pusalapadu Post, Bestavaripeta (Mandal), Prakasam (Dt), A.P.	
			Built up	Area	Roofing

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

Hence I request you to Addl D.Ed one unit was sanctioned in addition to 50 seats previously. Kindly consider for 2016-2017 Batch. We will complete the process approval of staff & paying FDRs at the end of April more over permit for shifting of B.Ed college in our own site as it is adequate for both colleges."	area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	GF	1344 sq.mts	RCC Slab	
		FF	1344 sq.mts	RCC Slab	
		SF	1344 sq.mts	RCC Slab	
		Total	4032 sq.mts	RCC Slab	
	Type of Roofing	RCC Slab			
	Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Educational Institution			
	Date of issue	12.11.2016			
	Name & designation of the issuing authority	K.T.Naik Assistant Engineer			
	<b>The Institution has submitted Building Plan</b>				
	Name and addresses of Society/Trust/Institution	Praveen Educational Society			
Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/course or	D.El.Ed & B.Ed				

				also for some other TEI/course		
				Plot area/land area	6070.40 sq.mts	
				Total built-up area		Area
					GF	1344 sq.mts
					FF	1344 sq.mts
					SF	1344 sq.mts
					Total	4032 sq.mts
				Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	-----	
				Name and designation of approving authority	Panchayath Secretary.	
<p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Their reply to the LOI is not complete and not satisfactory</li> <li>2. Faculty list is not given.</li> <li>3. There is no scope for going into issues like built-up-area, LUC, etc, at this stage.</li> <li>4. Reject the application.</li> <li>5. Return FDRs, if any.</li> <li>6. Close the file.</li> </ol>						

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

15.	SRCAPP14728  B.P.Ed 1 Unit  Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar College, Madurai, Tamilnadu	<p>Kallar Kalavi Kalagam, Plot No.1, Usilampatti Post and Taluk, Madurai District-625532, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to Pasumpon MuthuramalingaThevar college, Plot No.3, Vadugapatti Village, Usilampatti, Madurai-625532, Tamilnadu for offering B.P.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2015. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 07.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014, a letter to State Govt sent on 16.07.2015.</p> <p>Sub-section (2) of Section 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p>"(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</p> <p><i>(a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. "</i></p> <p>Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-</p> <p><i>"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."</i></p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The institution has not submitted land documents within 15 days from the date of online application.</li><li>2. The institution has submitted only one set of application instead of submission of triplicate copies.</li><li>3. NOC not submitted</li><li>4. Not signed by the applicant on every page of application.</li></ol>
-----	---	--

The SRC in its 291<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup> August 2015, considered the matter and decided as under:

***"Summarily rejected for the deficiency cited in the agenda note"***

Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 13.10.2015. The institution has submitted NOC on 27.04.2016 and written representation on 29.04.2016, the same was considered by SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> & 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 considered the institutions written representation and it has decided to process the application for the

1. Their reply is accepted.
2. Process.
3. BP & BCC have not been given.

The SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> & 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 the Committee considered the matter and decided as under:

- *Land documents have to be given in full. The patta has to be supported by other documents eg., allotment order of the Govt.*
- *BP is approved by somebody without seal and designation.*
- *BCC is in order.*
- *LUC/EC-not given.*
- *Original FDRs and Faculty list should be given later.*
- *Fee paid.*
- *Cause inspection.*
- *1. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.*
- *2. Ask VT to check adequacy of facilities.*

As per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent to the institution through on-line VT module, VT Members Names were generated through On-line VT module. Visiting Team report was received on 17.11.2016.

The SRC in its 328<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2017 the Committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Land documents are not registered, not certified and not with English version.
2. LUC not given.
3. EC is clear.
4. BP approving authority is not clear.
5. BCC is clear.
6. Built-up area is adequate. Land area is adequate.



7. FDRs- original not given.  
8. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 02.02.2017, Reply to the Show Cause Notice is received on 07.02.2017.

Sl.No.	Deficiency	Reply of institution	Details of the documents submitted
1.	Land documents are not registered, not certified and not with English version.	<i>"We Wish to inform you that as per the Mints of the SRC 328<sup>th</sup> Meeting, We have submitted the documents as in above reference, Original Land Use Certificate, Original Building Plan, Land Documents With English Version and FDR Original For our Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar College of Physical Education, So Kindly Accept our documents and issue LOI to our college."</i>	<p><b>Notarized Photocopy of Sale Deeds submitted in English language.</b></p> <p>(whether in English or Regional language) (whether certified/not arized English translation submitted)</p> <p>Notarized Photocopy of Sale Deeds submitted in English language.</p> <p>Date of registration of land</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 19.04.1968.</li> <li>2. 19.04.1968.</li> <li>3. 18.04.1968.</li> <li>4. 19.04.1968.</li> <li>5. 19.04.1968.</li> <li>6. 23.04.1968.</li> </ol> <p>Land registered in the name of</p> <p>The present president of Kallar Kalvi Kazhagam P.K.Mockkiahthevar, son of Kattamuththevar.</p> <p>Type of title deed i.e sale deed/lease deed(Govt./Pvt./gift deed</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sale Deed.</li> <li>2. Sale Deed.</li> <li>3. Sale Deed.</li> <li>4. Sale Deed.</li> <li>5. Sale Deed.</li> <li>6. Sale Deed.</li> </ol> <p>Extent of land in each Sy. No./ Plot No./ Khasara No.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Re.S.No.35/5A (24 Cents), 35/1A (40 Cents) &amp; 34/3 (68 Cents). <b>Acre 1.32 Cents.</b></li> <li>2. Re.S.No.35/2B (66 Cents).</li> <li>3. Re.S.No.35/1B (1 <b>Acre, 59 Cent</b>).</li> <li>4. Re.S.No.35/7 (26 Cents).</li> <li>5. Re.S.No.35/2A (74 Cents).</li> <li>6. Re.S.No.35/1C (80 Cents).</li> </ol> <p><b>Total Extent is Acre 5.37</b></p>

*S. Sathyam*  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		Cents.	
2.	LUC not given.	<b>Land Use Certificate not Submitted.</b>	
		Issued by	Village Administrative Officer, Usilempatti Tk, Madurai Dt.
		Sy No.	Sy.No.35/1A, 35/1B, 35/1C, 35/2A, 35/2B, 35/3A, 35/4, 35/5, 35/6 and 35/7A.
		Location	Kallar Kalvi Kazhagam, (Reg No.138/67), Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar College, Usilampatti.
		Extent	<b>Not Mentioned</b>
		Extent of land converted	---
		3.	EC is clear.
4.	BP approving authority is not clear.	Approved by	President of Vadugampatti Panchayath.
		In the name of	Pasumpon Muthuramalinga Thevar College of Physical Education.
		Sy No	Sy.No.35/1A, 35/1B, 35/1C, 35/2A, 35/2B, 35/3A, 35/4, 35/5, 35/6 and 35/7A.
		Extent	-
		Built up area	GF=1085.00 Sq.Mtr, 11680.00 Sq.ft. FF=1085.00 Sq.Mtr, 11680.00 Sq.ft.  <b>Total=2170.00 Sq.Mtr 23360.00 Sq.ft.</b>
		Location	Usilampatti Taluk, Madurai District.
		5.	BCC is clear.
6.	Built-up area is adequate. Land area is adequate.	---	
7.	FDRs- original not given.	Colours Photocopy of the FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs + 7 lakhs submitted.	
		FDR / A/c Number	379079 & 379078.

			Whether in single or joint A/c	Joint A/c
			Date of issue	18.04.2016
			Date of Maturity	18.04.2021
			Name of issuing Bank	Union Bank of India
		<p><b>Remarks:-</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As per the Land Use Certificate, Total Extent is not mentioned.</li> <li>• There is no existing course is running.</li> <li>• Land Use Certificate is signed by Village Administrative Officer.</li> <li>• Colours photocopy of FDR submitted.</li> </ul> <p>The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. LUC is not approved by competent authority.</li> <li>2. FDRs in original are required for verification.</li> <li>3. Issue LOI for B.P.Ed (1 unit) subject to submission of a proper LUC and submission of proper FDRs.</li> </ol>		
16.	<p>SRCAPP14805</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed /B.Sc.B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>CKS College of Education, Vellore, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>CKS Educational Trust, Plot No.86/2, Kakkathoppu Village &amp; Road, Chettikuppam Post Office, Gudiyatham Taluk &amp; City, Vellore District – 635806, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to CKS College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.86/2-4 CENT, PLOT No.82-4.25 AC, Plot No.86/2-4, Kakkathoppu Village, Chettikuppam Post Office, Gudiyatham Taluk &amp; City, Vellore District – 635806, Tamilnadu for offering BA.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed course for four years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2015. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 14.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 21.07.2015. Reminder was sent on 05.04.2016.</p> <p>Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>“(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is</i></p>		

*duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."*

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-

- The institution has not submitted No Objection Certificate from affiliating body.
- The hard copy of the online application is not duly signed by the applicant on every page

The SRC for in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29-30 Sept, 2015 considered the matter and it has decided to issue Show Cause Notice for Non Submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with application.

Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 21.10.2015. Institution has not submitted reply even after 21 days of stipulated period.

The SRC in its 298<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 8<sup>th</sup> to 10<sup>th</sup> January 2015, the Committee considered the matter, non reply from the institution to the show cause notice issued vide letter dated 21.10.2015 and decided as under:

- Rejected for non-submission of reply to Show Cause Notice issued for non-submission of NOC.

As per the decision of SRC a rejection order was issued to the institution on 15.02.2016..

The SRC minuets dated 31.01.2016 decided as follows;

*"....keeping in mind the over-all public interest, the committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOCs, and decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue."*

The institution has submitted NOC from TNTEU dated 04.01.2016 on

18.02.2016. As per the direction of SRC the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup>-20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered and it has decided as under:

1. This is a reopened delayed submission case.
2. They already have B.Ed(2 units) and D.El.Ed (1 unit)
3. They want BA;B.Ed or B.Sc;B.Ed. they must make a choice.
4. All documents are received.
5. Cause Composite inspection.
- 6.1. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.
- 6.2. VT should check on contiguity of location of the different courses.
- 6.3. VT should ascertain whether they want BABEdor B.ScB.Ed

As per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was issued to the institution on 17.03.2016. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 23.03.2016 and VT report received by this office on 28.03.2016.

The SRC in its 308<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the VT inspection report, directly and it has decided as under:

Permit closure of D.T.Ed (4987)

1. Issue LOI for B.Sc;B.Ed (2units)
2. FDRs in joint account should be furnished
3. Only if these are given on or before 02.05.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible

As per the decision of SRC, Letter of Intent (LOI) was issued to the institution on 05.04.2016. The institution has not replied to the LOI even after the stipulated period 2 months (Till date).

The Committee considered the matter of non submission of LOI reply by the institution and decided as under :-

*The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till*

31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 05.04.2016.

The decision of 320th meeting of SRC was conveyed to the institution vide this office letter dated 15.10.2016.

The institution submitted a reply to the LOI on 21.12.2016 and 10.01.2017 along with relevant documents .


SRC in its 328th Meeting held on 31st January, 2017 considered the LOI reply of the institution and decided as under :-

1. Faculty list is examined.
2. Ms. Ranjani, Asst. Prof.(M.Com) should be replaced by an Asst. Prof.(Persp.)
3. Asst. Prof.(MPA) required.
4. One more faculty in Perspectives to be added.
5. FDRs are given.
6. Issue Notice accordingly.

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 02.02.2017.

The institution has submitted a reply to the Show Cause Notice on 06.02.2017 which is as under:-

Sl o	Deficiencies pointed out in Show Cause Notice	Reply of the institution	Remarks
1	Ms. Ranjani, Asst. Prof.(M.Com) should be replaced by an Asst. Prof.(Persp.)	We are submitting the additional faculty documents duly approved by the TNTEU as required by your good office.	The institution has submitted a list of faculty members of the institution showing the following members as faculties for perspective subjects.  1. Psychology - M.L.Gomal Prasad 2. Sociology -

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

			<p>K.H.Patel 3. History – S.Shalini Kumari 4. Economics – K.Duraisamy</p> <p>Ms. Ranjani does not feature in the new list of faculty members.</p> <p>As per the reply of the institution, no new appointment in perspectives has been made to replace Ms. Ranjani</p>
2	Asst. Prof.(MPA) required.		<p>The institution has submitted a colour Xerox copy of the staff profile of newly appointed Rahul K.Ravendran as Assistant Professor (MPA)</p> <p>His appointment is approved by Registrar, Tamilnadu Teachers Education University on 23.12.2015.</p> <p>Copy of appointment acceptance letter and copies of marks sheets are enclosed.</p>
3	One more faculty in Perspectives to be added.		<p>No additions have been made in respect of faculties for perspective subjects.</p>
<p><b>Remarks :</b></p> <p>1. From the reply of the institution it is observed that Ms. Ranjani does not feature in the new list of faculty members . <b>But no new appointment in perspectives has been made to replace Ms. Ranjani.</b></p> <p>2. As per the decision of SRC, one more faculty in perspectives had to be added. <b>No new additions have been made in respect of faculties for perspective subjects.</b></p>			

		<p><b>Note :</b> The institution has not made any new appointments for faculty in perspective subjects . Whereas the institution has added two faculty members under the list of faculties for perspective subjects by shifting two members from the existing faculty list to that category.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The deficiency in the faculty list has been rectified.</li><li>2. Required additions in perspectives have been made by internal adjustments.</li><li>3. Issue FR for B.Sc.B.Ed ( 2 units) w.e.f 2017-18.</li></ol>
17.	SRCAPP3476  M.P.Ed 1 Unit  University College of Physical Educ ation, Bangalore Urban, Karnataka	<p>The Registrar, Bangalore University, Plot No.28, Mysore Road, Jnanabharathi Village &amp; Post office, Bangalore Taluk &amp; District-560056, Karnataka had applied for grant of recognition to University college of Physical Education, Plot No.28, Nagadevanahalli Village, Jnanabharathi post office, Bangalore Taluk &amp; City, Bangalore urban district, Karnataka for offering M.P.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 16/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 17/06/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 24/06/2015, followed by Reminder-I on 14/10/2015 and Reminder –II on 03.11.2016.</p> <p>The Sub Clause (7) of Clause 7 of Regulations 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 15<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Building Plan to be submitted</li></ol>



2. *Building Completion Certificate to be submitted*
3. *Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted*
4. *Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents*
5. *Cause Composite inspection*

As per the decision of SRC, inspection of the institution was scheduled and inspection letter was sent to the institution on 07.01.2016.

On 04.02.2016, the Registrar, Bangalore University submitted a request for postponement of inspection as under :-

*" I would like to bring few facts for your kind consideration .As per the calendar of event vacations for the University College of Physical Education is up to 24<sup>th</sup> January 2016 and immediately valuation will commence from 25<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 .*

*In view of this. We would be highly grateful to you to consider our request for visiting our University College of Physical Education during 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> week of February, 2016"*

As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection was conducted on 25.02.2016 and visiting team report was received by this office on 25.02.2016.

The SRC, in its 305<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 25<sup>th</sup> & 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under :-

1. Issue LOI for M.P.Ed (1 unit)
2. FDRs in joint account should be furnished.
3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.2016 can issued of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.

As per decision of SRC, LOI was issued to the institution on 26.02.2016.

The case of non-submission of LOI reply of (M.P.Ed course) was placed before SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting held during 16<sup>th</sup>-18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. No reply to LOI received.
2. Reminder them.
3. Time-limit upto 31.12.2016.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to institution on 28.11.2016 regarding to submit reply to LOI on or before 31.12.2016.

As per the decision of SRC the institution submitted LOI notice reply on 31.12.2016

The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 19<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the LOI Notice reply and decided as under:-

1. The reply to LOI is incomplete and deficient.
2. Faulty list-only a photocopy: not in original. Not approved by competent authority.
3. Service Certificate of Principal /Faculty not given.
4. Details are not uploaded on website.
5. Issue Show Cause Notice.
6. Put up in the meeting on 6.02.2017.

As per the decision of SRC show cause notice was issued to the institution on 25.01.2017.

The institution has submitted written representation on 06.02.2017 which is as under:-

Sl No	Deficiencies pointed out in the SRC meeting	Reply of the institution	Details of the Document submitted
1	The reply to LOI is incomplete and deficient.	The received your Show Cause Notice mentioned under the above reference regarding recognition for 2 years M.P.Ed course offered by the Bangalore University. The issued noticed by the SRC in its 296 <sup>th</sup> meeting held during 15 <sup>th</sup> and 16 <sup>th</sup> December 2015. I	---

		offer my submission for your kind consideration with due approval of our Registrar, Bangalore University Bangalore.	
2	Faulty list-only a photocopy; not in original. Not approved by competent authority.	The original faculty list working in the department of Physical Education approved by the competent authority is appended.	Faculty list approved by Registrar, Bangalore University, Bangalore is submitted
3	Service Certificate of Principal /Faculty not given.	Service certificate of Principal and other faculty members issued by the Registrar is enclosed for your kind consideration.	A letter dated 04.02.2017 from the Registrar, Bangalore University is submitted.
4	Details are not uploaded on website.	The details about the college, faculty and other details as per the NCTE norms have been uploaded in Bangalore University website: <a href="http://bangaloreuniversity.ac.in/university-college-of-physical-education">http://bangaloreuniversity.ac.in/university-college-of-physical-education</a> .  In view of the above complained kindly consider for the grant of recognition to the department of Physical Education offering M.P.Ed., programme by the university. It	The details are not uploaded on the website

		<p>may be highly reasonable to emphasis here that Bangalore University has a public institute as provided social justice as envisaged in the Indian constitution. Keeping this in view I request you to kindly grant recognition for our M.P.Ed., programme.</p> <p>The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply and decided as under:-</p> <p><b>1. The deficiencies have been rectified.</b> <b>2. Issue FR for M.P.Ed( 1 unit)</b></p>
18.	<p>SRCAPP2962</p> <p>D.El.Ed-AI 1 Unit</p> <p>Narayana Teacher Training College, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>JC Ravikumar Reddy, Plot No.3/456-3-1, Krishnapuram Zero Road, Tadapatri Village and Post Office, Tadapatri Taluk and Town/City, Ananthapur District-515411, Andhra Pradesh. had applied for grant of recognition Narayana College of Education, Plot No. 641-B1A, 641-B1C, RV Palli Street, Ravi VenkatamPalli Village, YerraguntaPalli Post, Tadpatri Taluk &amp; City, Anantapur District-515411, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed-AI course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on <b>30.05.2015</b>. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on <b>03.06.2015</b>.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 12.06.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 25.08.2015 and Reminder-II on 24.10.2015</p> <p>The Sub Clause (7) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><b><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decide that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the</i></b></p>

		<p><b>course".</b></p> <p>The SRC in its 293<sup>rd</sup> meeting held during 29<sup>th</sup> to 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2015 has considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Land area available and built up area available (According to BP/BCC) are adequate for 2 units each of B.Ed/D.El.Ed</b></li><li><b>2. Cause composite inspection</b></li><li><b>3. Ask VT Inspection to collect all the relevant documents</b></li></ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection was conducted on <b>01.02.2016</b> and visiting team report is received by this office on <b>12.02.2016</b>.</p> <p>The SRC in its 303<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed-AI ( 1 Unit )</b></li><li><b>2. For D.El.Ed (basic unit) and D.El.Ed-AI combined staff list should be produced in accordance with the norms given in 2014 Regulations.</b></li><li><b>3. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.</b></li><li><b>4. Only if these are given on or before 03.03.2016 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17 academic year be possible.</b></li></ol> <p>Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, LOI was sent to the institution on 18.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 325<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> &amp; 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 considered the matter and decided that <b>"await reply to LOI. Put up in the next meeting."</b></p> <p>Institution has not submitted any reply till date.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> &amp; 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the non submission of LOI reply and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. The LOI was issued on 18.2.2016.</b></li><li><b>2. They have not cared to submit any reply. We cannot wait indefinitely.</b></li></ol>
--	--	--

		<p>3. Issue Show Cause Notice for a proper reply by 10 Feb. 17.</p> <p>4. Put up in the meeting on 12.2.17.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued on 25.01.2017.</p> <p>Now, the institution submitted its written representation on 27.01.2017 and stating as follows:</p> <p><i>".....we Narayana Teacher Training College, Sy.No. 641-A1A, A1C, A3, Ravi Venkatam Palli (V), Tadipatri (M), Anantapuramu (Dist), A.P, applied for additional intake of One unit for D.El.Ed course for the year 2015. We received grant of Recognition for starting of D.El.Ed-al programme of two years duration with an intake of 50 students vide ref.No.2.</i></p> <p><i>For Formal Recognition we have to submit Staff Profile, Affidavits and other documents on are before 03.03.2016 to NCTE.</i></p> <p><i>Here we face scarcity of faculty for some of subjects. So that we unable to gave reply to NCTE. Now we are ready to fulfill NCTE Recognition Norms &amp; Procedures. If you give one more opportunity to us for fulfillment of requirements, we will fulfill staff and other facilities for the academic year 2017-18. Please give opportunity to us."</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. No reply was received to the LOI.</li><li>2. No substantive reply has been received to the Show Cause Notice also.</li><li>3. They have asked for more time.</li><li>4. We cannot go on extending the time limit</li><li>5. Reject the application.</li><li>6. Return FDRs, if any,</li><li>7. Close the file.</li></ol>
19.	SRCAPP14921  D.El.Ed	Shiridi Baba Educational Society, Plot No. 936, Near RTC Depot, Atmakur Village & Post, A.S.Peta Atmakur Taluk & City, Nellore District – 524322, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Doddapaneni Prameela Memorial College of Diploma in

<p>Doddapaneni prameela Memorial College of Diploma in Elementary Education, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p><b>Elementary Education, Plot No. 936, Street No. 2, Tellapadu Village, Atmakur Post, Taluk &amp; City, Nellore District – 524322, Andhra Pradesh</b> for offering <b>D.El.Ed</b> course for two years duration for the academic year <b>2016-17</b> under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on <b>30.06.2015</b>. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on <b>14.07.2015</b>.</p> <p>The institution submitted No Objection Certificate dated 22.05.2015 issued by the Director SCERT, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh along with the hard copy of the application.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE(Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter was sent to State Government for recommendation on 22.07.2015, followed by Reminder I on 10.10.2015.</p> <p>A letter to institution for furnishing information on 'Composite' character on <b>10.10.2015</b>.</p> <p><b>Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</b></p> <p><i>“(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.</i></p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The application is not signed by the applicant on all pages of application as per Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014.</li></ul> <p>A letter to institution for furnishing information on 'Composite' character on <b>10.10.2015</b>. The institution submitted its reply on 26.10.2015.</p>
--	---

The application was processed and placed before SRC in its 295<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> Nov & 01<sup>st</sup> Dec, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:

- **Await reply to show cause notice for existing B.Ed.**

The institution submitted reply to show cause notice on 29.04.2016 for the existing B.Ed (APS00210) course.

The SRC in its 315<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup> & 18<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The proposal now is to shift the B.Ed (2 units) to the D.El.Ed-site owned by them.
2. The related D.El.Ed case has been held in abeyance for decision in this case.
3. Inspection fee has been paid separately in the two cases.
4. Cause composite inspection.
5. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents. It is relevant here to state BP is not approved; BCC/Original FDRs/Faculty List are not give; LUC is given; EC is given.

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent on 13.07.2016. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 16.09.2016. VT report along with documents and CD received on 22.09.2016.

VT report is placed in 329<sup>th</sup> meeting in the existing B.Ed (APS00210) file.

**Remarks:**

SRC in its 329<sup>th</sup> meeting considered the VT report and decided to issue show cause notice for the existing B.Ed(APS00210) course. Accordingly, show cause notice was issued on 09.02.2017.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. This case has already been cleared for issue of FR for D.El.Ed ( 1 unit). Only it is held in abeyance for final decision in the allied B.Ed case.
2. The B.Ed case is for shifting to the new site to provide composite



		<p>status to the D.El.Ed case.</p> <p>3. They have not yet submitted the Faculty List for B.Ed. The B.Ed case can be cleared for shifting subject to submission of a proper faculty list, latest by 15.03.2017.</p> <p>4. Based on this decision, FR for D.El.Ed ( 1 Unit) can be issued w.e.f 2017-18.</p> <p>5. If by 15.03.17, faculty list for B.Ed is not given, its recognition will be withdrawn; and, consequently the D.El.Ed course will also be derecognized as a stand-alone case.</p>
20.	<p>SRCAPP2468</p> <p>B.Sc.B.Ed</p> <p>Senthil College of Education, Puducherry</p>	<p>Senthil Education Society, Plot No.36, Thiyagaraja Street, Puducherry Village &amp; Post, Puducherry Taluk &amp; City, Puducherry District – 605001, Puducherry applied for grant of recognition to Senthil College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.229/2/2,229/2/1,228/2/3p, Plot No.42(R.S.No.158/8C), Villianur Village, Post &amp; Taluk, Puducherry City, Puducherry District – 605110, Puducherry for offering BA.B.Ed/BSc.B.Ed course of four years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 27.05.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 05.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter was sent to State Government for recommendation on 12.06.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 22.02.2016 and reminder II sent on 30.11.2016.</p> <p>Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>“(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.”</i></p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p>

1. The institution has not submitted NOC from the affiliating body along with application.
2. The application is not duly signed by the applicant on all every pages of the hard copy of the online application.

The matter was placed before SRC for in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29-30 Sept, 2015 and the Committee considered the matter and decided to issue show Cause Notice for rejection of application in the following ground:

- **Non Submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with application.**

Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 21.10.2015. The institution submitted written representation on 19.11.2015.

The SRC in its 295<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> November and 01<sup>st</sup> December 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with institution's reply dated 19-11-2015 to the show cause notice and decided as under:

- **The reply to the Show Cause Notice is not satisfactory. They have admitted the deficiency. We cannot wait indefinitely from them to produce the NOC. According to the Regulations it is the responsibility of the applicant to secure and attach the NOC from the affiliating body. That being so, it is decided to reject the application.**

The SRC in its 300<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29th -30th January, 2016 decided as follows:

*"Keeping in mind the over-all public interest, the committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOCs, and decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue".*

As per the direction of SRC, application was processed and placed before SRC in its 303<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> February 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as follows;

1. Contiguity with existing B.Ed.
2. Discrepancy in Sy.no. In land and other documents.
3. Built up area is inadequate for existing and proposed programmes
4. BCC is not approved by competent authority
5. Cause Composite Inspection
6. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.
7. Ask whether they want BA;B.Ed or B.Sc;B.Ed

As per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 22.02.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 20.02.2016 and VT report along with documents was received on 22.02.2016.

The SRC in its 305<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> & 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the VT report and other relevant documents and decided as under:

1. Inadequate built up area
2. CD is working
3. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

Before issuance of show cause notice, the institution submitted its written representation on 01.03.2016.

The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> - 04<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the institutions written representation and decided to issue show cause notice for rejection for the following ground;

1. The time given by NCTE (HQ) till 30.05.2015 only for the existing course covered by the RPRO. We cannot take that into consideration. The built-up area available is adequate only for the existing courses. Even if they surrender 1 unit of D.El.Ed, even then,

the area available will not be adequate for the proposed courses.

2. The court order is yet to be received. In any case, in the normal course, it is reasonable to assume that the court will deal only with what is pending before it and not any new applications.
3. That being so, the two new applications-B.Sc;B.Ed and B.Ed-AI-are not maintainable.

Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016. The institution has submitted its reply along with court order on 13.06.2016.

The institution submitted written representation on 12.07.2016 requesting to give three months time for conducting the required built up area for B.SC.B.Ed course.

The SRC in its 317<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> & 28<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 considered the show cause notice reply and decided as under;

1. They have D.El.Ed (2 units, B.Ed(2 units). They want B.Sc,B.Ed (1 unit) and B.Ed-A.I.(1 unit). The total required built-up area will be (2000+2000+500+1500) 6000 sqms. As against this they have only 3772 sqms. They want time till Sept to complete the additional area required.
2. Time is given till 30 Sept 2016.
3. Let them add the construction and approach us thereafter.

Before issuance of letter to the institution, the institution submitted written representation along with relevant documents on 05.08.2016.

The SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> November 2016, considered the matter and decided to issue show cause notice on the following grounds:

1. Their contentions about the built-up-area requirements are not correct.
2. They need 2000 (for D.El.Ed-2 units) + 2000 (for B.Ed-2 units) +500 (for B.Sc B.Ed-1 unit)+500 (for B.Ed-A.I-1 unit)
3. They have only 3772 sq.mtrs. This will suffice only for D.El.Ed( 2 units) +B.Ed (1 unit) or vice versa.

4. There is no scope for any new course. The existing courses themselves have to be the preuned.
5. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly

Accordingly Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 30.11.2016.

The institution submitted its written representation for Show Cause Notice on 09.12.2016 and stating as under;

*"I am to refer to the minutes of the meeting of SRC cited (8) above and to state that no reply based on the above, has been received by us from your office and our society would come forward as detailed below.*

1. We withdraw our proposal of starting B.Ed., Additional intake.
2. We will close our two units of Diploma in Elementary Education course in case our new proposal is accepted. Students did not com forward to join D.E1.Ed., from the academic year 2012-13 onwards. We have not admitted any student in D.E1.Ed., from the academic year 2012-13 to till date.
3. We will continue our existing two units of B.Ed., programme and we propose to start two units of B.Sc., B.Ed., and one unit of B.A., B.Ed., as permitted in Pope John Paul-II College of Education, Puducherry. A copy of the affiliation order issued by the affiliating body, namely Pondicherry University, Puducherry, to the aforesaid college is enclosed for ready reference. In the circumstances stated above I humbly request you sir to grant new recognition for the new courses as detailed below from the academic year 2017-18 along with the existing 2 units of B.Ed., Programme. The Original orders granting NOC by the Government of Puducherry and Pondicherry University have already been submitted to your office.
  1. B.Sc., B.Ed., (Maths) – 1unit
  2. B.Sc., B.Ed., ( Computer Science) – 1 unit
  3. B.A., B.Ed., (English) – 1 unit

The constructed area as per the SRC, NCTE, Bangalore for the aforesaid courses will be 500+ 500+ 2000= 3000Sq.mts.

**We have got 3772 Sq. mts of constructed area. Our college has been inspected twice by two different V.T teams. Our college is accredited by NAAC with 'B' Grade. Proposal for withdrawal of two units of D.El.Ed., will be submitted separately."**

The SRC in its 325<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. The request for B.Ed-AI (1 unit) withdrawal is accepted.
2. Refund FDRs, if any.
3. Close the case.
4. **They have also reported that they would be separately submitting, withdrawal letter for D.El.Ed (2 units). When it is received, we can issue a formal order about withdrawal.**
5. After action in the 2 cases described above, we can process the applications for the 3 courses-BA B.Ed (1 unit), B.Sc.B.Ed (2 units).

As per the decision of the SRC, Recognition withdrawn order was issued to the institution on 13.01.2017 for SRCAPP2466/B.Ed-AI course.

The institution has submitted representation on 30.01.2017 stating as under:-

*"I am to refer to the minutes of the meeting of SRC cited (10) above relating to our Senthil College of Education, Puducherry & submit to state that*

1. We are in receipt of the order No.F.No.SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP2466/B.Ed-AI/PO/2016-17/91061, dt 13.01.2017 communicating the decision of your office to accept our proposal of withdrawal of B.Ed AI (1 unit)
2. **As stated in our letter cited (9) above we are submitting the filled in application from towards the closure of our two units of D.El.Ed course in our Senthil Teacher Training Institute (Senthil School of Education) Puducherry along with following documents.**
  - a) Copy of the recognition order of NCTE, Bangalore.
  - b) Copy of the recognition order available in the website of <http://srcncte.in/granted%20&20withdrawn.htm>
  - c) Copy of recently downloaded print cut of our website.
  - d) Original NOC from the principal, DIET, Puducherry.

- e) Resolution of the society for the Closure of the Programme.
  - f) Statement about the reason for the closure and completion of the programme are available in the certificate issued by the principal, DIET, Puducherry.
  - g) Proof of settlement of all claims of faculty/staff (Declaration countersigned by principal, DIET, Puducherry).
  - h) Copy of Pan card Society which has been running the Senthil Teacher Training Institute.
3. In the circumstances stated above, we will continue our existing two units of B.Ed units of B.Ed programmed and we proposed to start two units of B.Sc, B.Ed and one unit of B.A, B.Ed as permitted in Pope John Paul-II College of Education Puducherr. A copy of the affiliating body, namely Pondicherry University Puducherry to the aforesaid College is enclosed for ready reference. I humbly request you sir to grant new recognition for the new courses as derailed below from the academic year 2017-18 along with the existing 2 units of B.Ed programme.
1. B.Sc, B.Ed (Maths)- 1 Unit.
  2. BSc, B.Ed (Computer sciene) – 1 unit.
  3. B.A, B.Ed (English) – 1 unit.
4. The constructed area as per the SRC, NCTE, Bangalore for the aforesaid courses will be  $2000+500+500+500= 3500$  Sq.mts. we have got 3772 Sq.mts of constructed area.
5. The original order granted NOC by the Government of Puducherry and Pondicherry University have already been submitted to your office.
6. Our college has been inspected twice by two different V.T teams.
7. Our college is accredited by NAAC with 'B' Grade.
8. All the required facilities are made available, kindly grant recognition for two units of B.Sc B.Ed and one unit of B.A, B.Ed as stated at para 3 above at the earliest possible.

The SRC in its 329<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 06<sup>th</sup> to 07<sup>th</sup> February, 2017 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. They want B.Sc.B.Ed.(2 units) and B.A. B.Ed.( 1 unit).
2. To release infrastructure for these new courses they have surrendered D.El.Ed.( 2 units) and B.Ed.-A.I.(1 unit).
3. 1. Recognition for B.Ed.-A.I.(1 unit) has been withdrawn.  
2. Request for closure of D.El.Ed.(2 units) has been received. Requisite formalities have been complied with. The request is accepted. Issue withdrawal of recognition order.
4. Thereafter, process the cases for B.Sc.B.Ed.(2 units) and

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit).  
5. Put up on 12.2.17.

The documents submitted by institution are processed as under:

<b>REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE</b>	Date of Reg. and in the name of	15.02.1995 Senthil Education Society
---	------------------------------------	---

<b>Details of Land Documents:</b>	
Registered certified copy of the Land documents: Submitted / Not submitted	Copy of Registered land documents in regional language submitted.
(whether in English or Regional language) (whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	Copies of English version of 4 documents submitted
Date of registration of land	1. 09.11.2000 2. 22.10.2001 3. 05.02.2004 4. 05.02.2004
Land registered in the name of	Senthil Education Society
Type of title deed i.e. sale deed/lease deed(Govt./Pvt.)/gift deed	<b>Sale deed</b>
Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No.	1. Re Sy No - 158, 158/2, 158/3, 158/4, 158/5, 158/1, 158/8, 2. Re Sy - 158/8, 158/3, 158/2, 158/1, 158/4. 3. Re Sy - 158/8, 158/4 4. Re Sy - 158/8, 158/4
Extent of land in each Sy. No./ Plot No./ Khasara No.	Total area of the land under this Deed of Sale is 12 ares 40 cents or 23 Kuzhies 02
<b>AFFIDAVIT:-</b>	<b>Not submitted</b>
Sy. No	
Location	
Land is on own/lease basis	
Extent	<b>Submitted</b>
<b>Blue print/Notarized copy of Building Plan submitted/ Not submitted :-</b>	
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Senthil College of Education

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman



Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course	Senthil Teacher Training Institute (Senthil School of Education)
Survey No/ Location	R Sy No – 158/8C, Kurumbapet Villianur Commune, Pondichery
Plot area/land area	<b>4114.87 Sq.m</b>
Total built-up area	3725.7 Sq.m
Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	-
Date of approval	18.03.2014
Name and designation of approving authority	Member secretary Puducherry Planning authority
<b><u>Notarized copy of Land Use Certificate submitted /Not submitted</u></b>	<b>Submitted</b>
Name of the Society/ Trust/ Institution	<b>Senthil Education Society</b>
Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	Sy No – 158/8C, Kurumbapet Revenue Village
Extent of diverted land	1143 Sq.m
Purpose of diversion	Construction of TTI and B.Ed College Building
Date of issue	24.06.2005
Name and designation of approving authority	G.V Kakiaperumal Additional Director of Agriculture(LUB)
<b><u>Notarized copy of the Building Completion Certificate submitted /not submitted</u></b>	<b>Submitted</b>
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Senthil Education Society
Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos. and location	Re sy No – 158/2 & 158/3, 158/8C 158/1, 158/4 & 158/5, 158/8
Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	Total built up are – 3725.70 Sq.m
Type of Roofing	RCC
Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Used for Senthil College of Education(B.Ed), senthil Teacher Training Institute (Senthil School of Education- D.El.Ed/D.T.Ed) run by Senthil Education Society Puduchery.
Date of issue	Not mentioned
Name and designation of approving authority	V.Vidjea Nehru Member Secretary Puduchery planning Authority
<b>Notarized copy of</b>	<b>5 Copies of Encumbrance</b>

<u>Encumbrance submitted/ Not submitted</u>	<u>Certificate of the</u>	<u>Certificate submitted</u>
Name of the		Senthil Education Society
Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location		1. R Sy No – 158/8 2. R Sy No – 158/8 3. R Sy No – 158/2 4. R Sy No – 158/2, 158/3 5. R Sy No 158/8 6. R Sy No – 158/8
Search for the period		1. 03.03.2014 to 31.05.2015 2. 05.02.2004 to 03.03.2014 3. 03.03.2014 to 31.05.2015 4. 09.11.2000 to 03.03.2014 5. 03.08.2014 to 31.05.2015 6. 22.10.2001 to 03.03.2014
Extent of land		-
Any mortgage as per EC		No
Date of issue		1. 09.06.2015 2. 04.03.2014 3. 09.06.2015 4. 04.03.2014 5. 09.06.2015
Name and designation of issuing		Sub Registrar
<b>Fixed Deposit Receipts</b>		<b>Submitted</b>
Original/ Photocopy of the FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs + 7 lakhs		<b>Photocopy of FDR of Rs. 5.00 Lakhs submitted</b> <b>Photocopy of FDR of Rs. 3.00 Lakhs submitted</b>
FDR / A/c Number		052535      0894386
Whether in single or joint A/c		Joint account      Joint account
Date of issue		18.07.2009      18.07.2009
Date of Maturity		18.07.2014      18.07.2014
Name of issuing Bank		Indian Overseas Bank      Indian Bank
If already Rs. 5 lakhs + Rs. 3 lakhs submitted in joint A/c whether additional FDR of Rs.4 lakhs in joint A/c is submitted in		-
<b>Faculty list</b>		Submitted (photocopy)
faculty list approved/ not		Not approved
Whether approved on each page		-
No. of faculty as per norms of the		1+15, submitted
Designation of the approving		Not approved
Date of approval		-
<b>Remarks:</b>		

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Affidavit not submitted.</li> <li>2. Faculty list submitted is not approved by the affiliating Body.</li> <li>3. Original FDR not submitted. As per photocopy, the date has expired.</li> </ol> <p><b>Note :-</b> Withdrawal order for D.El.Ed (APSO2976) being issued.</p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. They have D.El.Ed( 2 units)</li> <li>2. They have B.Ed ( 2 units)</li> <li>3. They want B.A.B.Ed (1 unit)</li> <li>4. They want B.Sc.B.Ed ( 1unit)</li> <li>5. They wanted B.Ed-A.I( 1 unit)</li> <li>6.1 The application for B.Ed-A.I (1 unit) has been withdrawn.</li> <li>6.2 A decision has been taken to permit closure of D.El.Ed(2units)</li> <li>6.3 This was done to release infrastructure for the new courses</li> <li>7. According to NCTE(HQ) clarification received now, no TEI can be given more than 2 units for B.Ed.</li> <li>8. Since the applicant already has B.Ed( 2 units), the applications for B.A.B.Ed( 1unit) and B.Sc.B.Ed( 1 unit) cannot be maintained Reject the applications.</li> <li>9. In view of this new position, there will be no need for them to wind up D.EEd ( 2 units). The permission given for its closure may therefore be withdrawn. They can continue with D.El.Ed ( 2 units)</li> </ol>
21.	<p>SRCAPP14781</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Shri Kottal Basaveshwara Bharatiya, Gulbarga, Karnataka</p>	<p><b>Shri Kottal Basaveshwara Bharatiya, Shikshana Samiti Sedam, DBR, Compound Sedam, Gulbarga -585222, Karnataka</b> has applied for grant of recognition to <b>Shri Kottal Basaveshwara Bharatiya Shikshana Samiti, Plot/khasara No.853/3, Sedam Village &amp; Post office, Sedam Taluk &amp; City, Gulbarga District 585222, Karnataka</b> for offering <b>B.Ed</b> course of 2 years duration for the academic session <b>2016-17</b> under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on <b>30.06.2015</b>. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on <b>10.07.2015</b>.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16.07.2015 followed by Reminder I 08.10.2015 and Reminder II on 15.12.2015.</p>

The Sub Clause (7) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:

*"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".*

The SRC in its 297<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup> -28<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:

1. Sy.Nos in BP, BCC and Title deed do not tally with Sy.Nos in LUC and EC.
2. D.El.Ed is in some other location. They have cited other liberal Education programmes to claim composite character. But, no proof is given.
3. Built-up area is inadequate.
4. Cause inspection. Ask VT to collect proof of liberal education programmes being offered.

As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection was conducted on 03.02.2016 and the Visiting team report was received on 05.02.2016.

SRC in its 302<sup>nd</sup> meeting held during 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the VT report and other relevant documents and decided as under:-

1. Inadequate built up area. 2. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly

Based on website information , the institution submitted replies on 15.02.2016 and 18.02.2016.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 304<sup>th</sup> Meeting held during 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the reply of the institution and other relevant documents and decided as under:-

1. Issue LOI for B.Ed(1 unit)
2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.
3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.

As per the decision of SRC , LOI was issued to the institution on 22.02.2016.The institution submitted reply on 02.05.2016

*"With reference to above cited subject hereby I undersigned submitting Endowment fund of rupees 5 lakhs and Reserve fund of Rs 7 lakhs into joint account for five years in a SBH SEDAM FDR No.1, 556883 2, 556884 and I also bring to kind your notice that our B.Ed college staff list is under process at primary approval authority ,i.e Gulbarga University kalaburagi, hence hereby request you to grant some time for please accept and oblige"*

SRC in its 313<sup>th</sup> Meeting held during 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> May, 2016 considered the request of the institution and decided as under :-

*"The request is accepted .Time is given till 30.06.2016 subject to the understanding that this case may not mature for clearance for 2106-17 before 02.05.2016 which is the extended time limit prescribed by the Supreme Court for clearance of cases w.e.f 2016-17"*

The decision of SRC was conveyed to the institution vide this office letter dated 02.05.2016. On 30.06.2016, the institution submitted reply to the Letter of Intent,

The SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting held during 16<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 considered the LOI reply and decided as under:-

1. **The accommodation (built-up area) available at the new site is not adequate for B.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed (1 unit)**
2. **If shifting of D.El.Ed is not considered, then the built-up area will be adequate for B.Ed ( 1unit). but, then, B.Ed will be hit by the 'stand-alone' clause.**
3. **Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly and ask them to indicate how they propose to meet this objection.**

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 01.12.2016. The institution has submitted written representation on 15.12.2016.

The SRC in its 328<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2017 considered theLOI reply and decided as under:-

1. "They want to start B.Ed ( 1 unit).
2. They want to shift D.El.Ed to the new B.Ed site.
3. BCC is not approved by competent authority. But built-up area of 2100 sq.mtrs shown is adequate for B.Ed ( 1 unit) + D.El.Ed ( 1 unit).
4. Issue LOI for B.Ed ( 1 unit) after getting approved BCC.
5. Hold the D.El.Ed shifting case in abeyance until final decision in the B.Ed case."

As per the decision of SRC a letter was issued to the institution on 03.02.2017.

The institution has submitted reply on 10.02.2017 is as under:-

Sl. No.	Deficiency	Reply of the institution	Details of the documents submitted	
1	BCC is not approved by competent authority. But built-up area of 2100 sq.mtrs shown is adequate for B.Ed ( 1 unit) + D.El.Ed ( 1 unit)	With reference to above cited subject matter hereby I undersigned submitting the building completion certificate ( BCC) issued by competent authority that is Assistant Executive Engineer PWD & IWTD, Sub division, Sedam, to the	Name and address of Society/T rust/Insti tution	Secretary, Sri Kottal Basaveshwara Bharatiya Shikshana Samithi Sedam, Kalaburgi
			Survey/Plot/Khasa ra Nos. and location	853/3 Udagi Road Sedam
			Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	25800 sq.ft
			Type of Roofing	RCC

			<p>B.Ed ( 1 unit) &amp; D.El.Ed ( 1unit), as mentioned in SRC-NCTE 328<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 31<sup>st</sup> January 2017.s</p>	<p>Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used</p>	<p>B.Ed college</p>
				<p>Date of issue</p>	<p>20.11.2015</p>
				<p>Name &amp; designation of the issuing authority</p>	<p>Assistant Executive Engineer, PWD &amp; IWTD, Sub Division SEDAM.</p>
<p><b>Note:</b> - LOI was issued to the institution on 02.05.2016. The LOI reply was considered the 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting.</p> <p>Now, during the 328<sup>th</sup> meeting it was again decided to issue LOI for B.Ed (1 unit) after getting approved BCC. Photocopy of the approved BCC is submitted by the institution on 10.02.2017.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In this case, LOI for B.Ed ( 2units) was issued on 22.02.2016. This was subsequently reduced to B.Ed(1unit). The decision recorded in the 328th meeting (31.01.2017) was mistakenly shown as "issue of LOI" when actually only a LOI Notice was issued.</li> <li>2. The BCC now given is not in order. The Sy.No. 853/3 now shown is not there in Building Plan or even in the title deed. Also, there are over writings in the Building Completion Certificate to change the Sy.No.</li> <li>3. The faculty list given is in order.</li> <li>4. Reject the application because of discrepancy in Sy.No.s</li> <li>5. Return FDRs, if any.</li> <li>6. In view of rejection of the application for B.Ed, there will be no need for the D.El.Ed case to shift. It can continue to be where it was. Put up in the next meeting.</li> </ol>					

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		7. Close the B.Ed file.
22.	SRCAPP3597  D.El.Ed  1 Unit  SBUPF Institute of Elementary Education, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh	<p>Sri Balasiva Universal Peace Foundation, Plot No.4-3-197, Raja Kanda Swamy Bagh Road, Sultan Bazar Village, Hyderabad Post Office, Hyderabad Taluk &amp; City, Hyderabad District -500001, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to SBUPF Institute of Elementary Education, Plot No.1943/b, N.Mydukur Street, Mydukur Village &amp; Post Office, Mydukur Taluk &amp; City, Kadapa District-516172, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 25.06.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 08.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>A copy of application sent to the State Government recommendation on 16.07.2015 following reminder letter on 13.10.2015 and letter for furnishing information on 'Composite' character on 13.10.2015</p> <p>As per the data submitted in the online application the institution is not conducting any teacher education or UG/PG programmes referred to in Regulation 2(b) of Regulations, 2014.</p> <p>The institution has not replied to the letter dated 13.10.2015 sent for furnishing information in support of its composite character.</p> <p>Hence, this is a 'Stand-alone' institution and therefore may not be processed as per regulation 3 &amp; 8(1).</p> <p><b>1. Regulation 3 reads as under:</b></p> <p><i>"These regulations shall be applicable to all matters relating to teacher education programmes for preparing norms and standards and procedures for recognition of institutions, commencement of new programmes and addition to sanctioned intake in the existing programmes including the following, namely:-</i></p> <p><b>a) Recognition for commencement of new teacher education programmes which shall be offered in composite institutions;</b></p>



- b) *Permission for introduction of new programmes in existing teacher education institutions duly recognized by the Council;*
- c) *Permission for additional intake in the existing teacher education programmes duly recognized by the Council;*
- d) *Permission for shifting or relocating of premises of existing teacher education institutions;*
- e) *Permission for closure or discontinuation of recognized teacher education programmes, or institutions as the case may be*

*Provided that for teacher education programmes offered through open and distance learning, the respective norms and standards for each such learning programme shall be applicable."*

**2. Regulation 8(1) reads as under:**

*"New Teacher Education Institutions shall be located in composite institutions and the existing teacher education institutions shall continue to function as stand-alone institutions; and gradually move towards becoming composite institutions."*

The SRC in its 297<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> & 28<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the matter and on careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents and decided to issue show cause notice for rejection of the application on the following ground:

- **According to information furnished by the applicant, this is a case of stand-alone institution. They have no UG courses or Teacher Education courses. Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection.**

The institution submitted reply along with relevant documents on 18.02.2013. The same was placed before SRC in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the reply and decided as under:

- 1. BP not issued by competent authority**
- 2. Fresh EC to be given.**

3. LUC to be given.
4. Cause Composite Inspection.
5. VT to collect all relevant documents.

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent on 17.03.2016. Composite inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.03.2016. VT report along with documents and CD received on 25.03.2016.

The SRC in its 308<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the VT report and decided as under:

1. Issue LOI for B.Ed (2 Units)
  2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished
  3. Only if these are given on or before 02.05.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible
- 
1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed (2 Units)
  2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished
  3. Only if these are given on or before 02.05.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC LOI was sent on 05.04.2016. The institution submitted reply along with documents on 29.04.2016.

The SRC in its 313<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 02<sup>nd</sup> & 03<sup>rd</sup> May, 2016 considered the LOI reply and decided to "*issue formal recognition for D.El.Ed (2 units) w.e.f 2016-17.*"

As per decision of SRC, Formal Recognition Order was issued on 02.05.2016.

The SRC in its 326<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 04<sup>th</sup> & 05<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 considered the Non submission of LOI reply for B.Ed course (SRCAPP3595) and decided as under:

B.Ed.

1. LOI was issued on 5.4.2016 for D.El.Ed. ( 2 units) & B.Ed.(1

		<p>unit).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. On 2.5.2016, the LOI was amended from B.Ed. (1 unit) to B.Ed. (2 units).</li> <li>3. They have still not given a reply.</li> <li>4. We cannot indefinitely wait.</li> <li>5. Reject the application for B.Ed (2 units).</li> <li>6. Return FDRs, if any.</li> <li>7. Close the file.</li> </ol> <p><u>D.El.Ed.</u></p> <p>They were given approval for D.El.Ed.(2 units) since the B.Ed. case was to provide the support for composite status, the D.El.Ed. case was held in abeyance. Now that the B.Ed. case has been rejected, we have to review the decision in that case for 'stand alone' status.</p> <p><u>Remarks:</u></p> <p>The FR for D.El.Ed (2 units) w.e.f 2016-17 issued on 02.05.2016 as per the SRC decision of 313<sup>th</sup> meeting.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The fact, that, they have liberal education courses of B.A. &amp; B.Com was not projected earlier.</li> <li>2. That was why we had to link it with the B.Ed case for getting the composite status.</li> <li>3. The B.Ed case has now been rejected. But in view of the fact that they have other liberal education courses, there is no need to close the D.El.Ed case on 'stand-alone' clause.</li> <li>4. The decision recorded in the 313th meeting to issue F.R. for D.El.Ed( 2 units) is correct. There is no need of any change.</li> </ol>
23.	<p>SRCAPP3467</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>SRCAPP3466</p>	<p><u>SRCAPP3467/B.Ed</u></p> <p>Perumalla Educational and Development Society, Plot No.4-231, Rajaji Street, Markpur Village &amp; Post Office, Markapur Taluk &amp; City, Prakasam District -523316, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Rohith B.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No.129/12, Plot No.4-231, Street No.1,</p>

<p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>Rohith B.Ed College, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p><b>Kalujuvvalapadu Village &amp; Post office, tarlupadu Taluk &amp; City, Prakasam District-523241, Andhra Pradesh</b> for offering <b>B.Ed</b> course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on <b>15.06.2015</b>. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on <b>25.06.2015</b>.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 06.07.2015, followed by Reminder I on 25.08.2015 and Reminder II on 09.11.2015.</p> <p><b><u>SRCAPP3466/D.El.Ed</u></b></p> <p><b>Perumalla Educational and Development Society, Plot No.4-231, Rajaji Street, Markpur Village &amp; Post Office, Markapur Taluk &amp; City, Prakasam District -523316, Andhra Pradesh</b> had applied for grant of recognition to <b>Rohith D.El.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No.129/12, Plot No.4-231, Street No.1, Kalujuvvalapadu Village &amp; Post office, tarlupadu Taluk &amp; City, Prakasam District-523241, Andhra Pradesh</b> for offering <b>D.El.Ed</b> course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on <b>15.06.2015</b>. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on <b>25.06.2015</b>.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 06.07.2015, followed by Reminder I on 25.08.2015 and Reminder II on 06.11.2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course"</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> &amp; 16<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Original FDRs to be submitted</li><li>2. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents</li><li>3. Cause Composite Inspection.</li></ol>
---	--

As per decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent on 18.01.2016 and 17.03.2016. The institution submitted its written representation on 13.01.2016 requesting for postponement of inspection.

The SRC in its 300<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> – 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

- **Any postponement at this stage will push them to the bottom of the list which may expose them to the vulnerability of missing the 3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2016 date line. Ask them whether they still wish to press for the postponement.**

On 26.03.2016, VT Members Prof.J.Prabhakar Rao and Prof. M.Jaganathan, submitted a letter to the Regional Director, stating that the college is not willing to go/accept inspection at this time, and moreover they need of time extension and other problem as received from phone message.

The SRC in its 309<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> – 14<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

- **Time given up to Sept 2016 on the understanding that the institution is facing risk of loosing one year viz 2016-17.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a letter was sent on 16.05.2016.

The SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> – 18<sup>th</sup> November 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **They have not been cooperative .they have blockaded inspection earlier.**
2. **Cause composite inspection. Clearly tell them that no further delay will be tolerated. Failure to cooperate even now will be taken adverse note of and the application will be liable to be rejected on that ground.**

The inspection of the institution was constituted through online on 31.12.2017.

The letter received from VT member on 11.02 2017. It's stated as under:

*"... We informed to Rohith college thrice times about the inspection. They replied us that the management member has been passed away. So the said us to conduct inspection in the following year. This is for your kind information."*

		<p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. They have consistently been blockading inspection.</li><li>2. This time also they have asked the VT not to come.</li><li>3. We cannot accept this kind of response, whatever be the reason therefor.</li><li>4. Reject the application.</li><li>5. Return FDRs, if any.</li><li>6. Close the file.</li></ol>
24.	<p>SRCAPP3043</p> <p>D.El.Ed - Distance</p> <p>Institute of Distance Education, Chennai, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>Institute of Distance Education University of Madras, Plot No. 133/137, Wallajah Road, Chepauk Village and Taluk, Triplicane Post, Chennai City and District-600005, Tamilnadu</b> applied for grant of recognition to <b>Institute of Distance Education University of Madras, Khasara No.3173, Plot No. 133/137, Chepauk Village and Taluk, Triplicane Post, Chennai City and District-600005, Tamilnadu</b> for offering <b>D.El.Ed-Distance</b> course of two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.05.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 22.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 24.06.2015.</p> <p><b>Sub-clause (2) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</b></p> <p><b><i>"(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-clause (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application".</i></b></p>

**Sub- clause (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-**

*"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."*

**Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-**

*"(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."*

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-

- The institution has not submitted hard copy of application within 15 days of online submission.
- The application is not duly signed by the applicant on every page as per Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014.

The SRC in its 291<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup>-21<sup>st</sup> August, 2015 considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for D.El.Ed-(DE) course for the session 2016-17 decided to **Summarily Reject the application as per clause 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground:**

- The institution has not submitted hard copy of application within 15 days of online submission.

As per the decision of SRC, rejection order was issued to the institution on 15.10.2015.

	<p>An email dated 18.01.2016 received from NCTE Hqrs on 18.01.2016 with request to send original records of the institution along with comments view on the appeal.</p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was sent to NCTE Hqrs on 03.02.2016 as per NCTE Hqrs letter dated 14.01.2016 received on 19.01.2016 regarding acceptance of hardcopy of applications 2016-17.</p> <p>The letter states that <i><b>"I am directed to refer to this office letter even no. dated 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 conveying therein orders of Chairperson in paragraph 4 of the letter that 15<sup>th</sup> July 2015 will be the last date for submission of hardcopy of application for the academic session 2015-16, irrespective of the date of online submission. In this regard, attention is invited to a subsequent letter dated 7<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 wherein under the last line of paragraph 1, the position as it exists in clause 7 of the Regulations 2014 has been indicated. It is hereby clarified that inclusion of this line was an inadvertent mistake, therefore was not necessary and may be ignored. As such, the directions of the chairperson NCTE, as conveyed in this office vide above mentioned letter dated 15<sup>th</sup> July 2014, extending the date of acceptance of the hardcopy of the applications for 2016-17, up to 15<sup>th</sup> July 2015 is reiterated for compliance"</b></i>.</p> <p>The SRC in its 300<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> January 2016, considered the matter and decided as follows:</p> <p><i><b>"....keeping in mind the over-all public interest , the committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOC's and decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue."</b></i></p> <p>The University has not submitted No Objection Certificate.</p> <p>The SRC in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> -20<sup>th</sup> February 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Put up along with SPM University, Tirupathi.</li></ul>
--	--



The SRC in its 320<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> - 20<sup>th</sup> September 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

1. This is an University created for Distance Education. Their case can, therefore be considered under Appendix-9, Para 2.1 of 2014 Regulations.
2. This being a University itself, there is no question of submitting NOC separately.
3. Process the case.

As per the decision of the SRC the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 and the Committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The University has not given any substantive reply to our notices regarding various deficiencies.
2. They have also to give details as required under Cl.9, appendix 9 of the NCTE Regulations.
3. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

As per the decision of the SRC, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 30.11.2016 Reply to the Show Cause Notice was received from the institution on 26.12.2016.

The SRC in its 326<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 04<sup>th</sup> and 05<sup>th</sup> January 2017, the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. They have stated that they have not received any list of deficiencies.  
Please send them a copy, by speed post, of our earlier Show Cause Notice which lists the deficiencies.
2. They have still not sent the approved Building Completion Certificate.
3. Same photocopy of the Building Plan is given for D.El.Ed. & B.Ed. with no details of appointment and earmarking.

As per the decision of the SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 18.01.2017.

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		<p><b><u>The institution has not submitted letter reply so far.</u></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. They have not sent a reply to our Show Cause Notice and the subsequent letter.</li><li>2. We cannot wait indefinitely.</li><li>3. Reject the application</li><li>4. Close the file.</li></ol>
25.	<p>SRCAPP14944</p> <p>B.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>Jareena Taz College of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Datta Sai Educational Society, Plot No.13-5-19/1, Islampet, Narasaraopet Village, Post Office, Taluk &amp; Town, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh applied for grant of recognition to Jareena Taz College of Education, Plot No. 520, Mulakaluru Village, Post Office, Narasaraopet Taluk &amp; Town, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.Ed course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 03/07/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 11/07/2015. Followed by recommendation 08/10/2015. Reminder II was sent on ...</p> <p>The Sub Section (7) of Section 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><b><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</i></b></p> <p>The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and it has decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. LUC and EC to be submitted.</li><li>2. Original FDRs to be submitted.</li><li>3. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents.</li><li>4. Cause Composite Inspection.</li></ol> <p>Inspection of the institution was fixed between 10<sup>th</sup> -30<sup>th</sup> January, 2016, the same was intimated to the institution on 16.01.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 26.01.2016 and the VT Report along with documents received in the office of SRC on 02.02.2016.</p>

		<p>The SRC in its 301<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 05<sup>th</sup> &amp; 06<sup>th</sup> February, 2016, considered the VT report and decided to issue show cause notice for "LUC with proceedings of RDO and EC not submitted".</p> <p>Before issuance of show cause notice, the institution submitted its reply along with documents on 08.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 302<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 09<sup>th</sup> -11<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the show cause notice reply and decided to issue LOI for 2 units.</p> <p>As per decision of SRC, LOI was issued to the institution on 16.02.2016. The institution submitted its reply on 03.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> -06<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the LOI reply and decided to issue show cause notice for rejection for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Assistant Professor in language is to be appointed.</li></ul> <p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued on 20.05.2016.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted reply even after the stipulated period.</p> <p>The SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting of 16<sup>th</sup> &amp; 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 considered the matter &amp; decided as under.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. "Reply to LOI is not received, Remind.</li><li>2. The LOI erroneously referred to 2 units of B.Ed instead of one unit. The decision is received and corrected issue amended LOI for B.Ed (1 unit).</li><li>3. Specify that one Asst prof (Lang) is to be added.</li><li>4. Add the usual warning clause".</li></ol> <p>Accordingly Corrigendum was issued to the institution for change of unit from two units to one unit on 08.12.2016.</p> <p>A letter sent to the institution conveying the decision of 323<sup>rd</sup> SRC meeting on 08.12.2016.</p> <p>Institution has not submitted any reply till date.</p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p>
--	--	---

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The institution has not cared to send a proper reply to the Show Cause Notice or to the clarificatory correspondence issued thereafter.</li><li>2. Reject the application.</li><li>3. Return the FDRs, if any.</li><li>4. Close the file.</li></ol>
26.	SRCAPP2238  B.Ed  Channabasavamma Deshnukh College of Education, Bidar, Karnataka	<p><b>Sharabeswara Vidya Vardhak Sangha, Plot No. 25/1, Gornalli street, Gornalli Village and Post Office, Bidar Taluk and Town, Bidar District-585403, Karnataka</b> had applied for grant of recognition to <b>Channabasavamma Deshmukh College of Education, Plot No. 25/1, Gornalli Street, Gornalli Village and Post Office, Bidar Taluk and Town, Bidar District, Karnataka</b> for offering <b>B.Ed</b> course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on <b>24.04.2015</b>. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on <b>04.06.2015</b>.</p> <p>The institution submitted NOC dated 06.03.2015 issued by Vice-chancellor , Karnataka State Women's University, Vijayapura, Karnataka.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE(Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for State Govt. was sent on 12.06.2015.</p> <p>The Clause (2) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p>“(2)The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>(a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;</li><li>(b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. “</li></ol>

Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-

*"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."*

The Sub-Clause (3) of Clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 ; (Manner of making application and time limit )stipulates as under:-

"(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014, as under:-

1. The application is not duly signed by the applicant on all pages as per Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014
2. The institution has not submitted print out of the application made online(24.04.2015) as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application (04.06.2015) .

The Southern Regional Committee in its 293<sup>rd</sup> meeting held during 29<sup>th</sup> to 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2015 considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for B.Ed course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 24.04.2015 and hard copy on 04.06.2015, decided to Summarily Reject the application as per 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground:

- The institution has not submitted print out of the application

		<p>made online as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, Rejection Order was issued to the institution on 08.12.2015.</p> <p>On 19.01.2016, a letter is received from NCTE Hqrs regarding acceptance of hard copy of application up to 15.07.2015, as under:-</p> <p><i>"I am directed to refer to this office letter of even no. dated 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 conveying therein orders of Chairperson in paragraph 4 of the letter that 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 will be the last date for submission of hardcopy of the application for the academic session 2015-16. Irrespective of the date of online submission. In this regard attention is invited to a subsequent letter dated 07<sup>th</sup> September, 2015, wherein under the last line of paragraph 1, the position as it exists in clause 7 of the Regulation 2014 has been indicated. It is hereby clarified that inclusion of this line was an inadvertant mistake therefore was not necessary and may be ignored. As such the directions of the Chairperson NCTE, as conveyed in this office vide above mentioned letter dated 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2014, extending the date of acceptance of the hardcopy of the applications for 2016-17, up to 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 is reiterated for compliance.</i></p> <p><i>This issues with the approval of the Chairperson NCTE."</i></p> <p>As per the directive of NCTE, New Delhi, the application was reopened and processed</p> <p>The SRC in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 19<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the matter, and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><i>1. This is a reopened 'delayed submission' case.</i></li><li><i>2. Existing courses appear to be located at different places</i></li><li><i>3. Issue Show Cause Notice for 'Stand alone' status."</i></li></ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 17.03.2016.</p> <p>On 11.04.2016, the institution submitted reply in support of composite character of the institution.</p> <p>SRC in its 308<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under :-</p>
--	--	---

		<p style="text-align: center;">“Cause inspection</p> <p>According to the time-limit extended by the Supreme Court, 2 May 2016 is the last date for issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17. All concerned should be advised of this position so that they can take advantage of the extended time-limit even if necessary by foregoing normal ‘notice periods’.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, inspection of the institution was conducted on 08.04.2016 and VT Report was received by this office on 11.04.2016.</p> <p>SRC in its 309<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 12<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> April 2016 considered the matter and decided as under</p> <p>:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“ Building plan and Building Completion Certificate are not submitted”</p> <p>On 26.04.2016, this office received No Objection Certificate from the Government of Karnataka vide letter dated 18.04.2016.</p> <p>Before issuance of the Show Cause Notice, the institution has submitted a reply on 02.05.2016 on the basis of website information.</p> <p>On 04.06.2016, this office has received the appellate authority order dated 02.06.2016 remanding the case to SRC, NCTE for further processing. The appellate authority order is as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted online application for B.Ed course on 24.04.2016 and hard copy thereof was submitted on 04.06.2015. Appeal Committee further noted that NCTE (HQ) had issued directions addressed to all Regional Committee offices stating that 15.07.2015 shall be the last date for submission of hard copy of application along with NOC irrespective of the date of online application. Noting that the appellant institution submitted hard copy of the application much before the cut-date. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC, Bangalore for further processing of the application.”</p> <p>The SRC in its 317<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 considered the notice reply and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>“The reply to the Show Cause Notice is only partly satisfactory. While the Building plan has been correctly submitted, BCC still suffers from infirmities.</b></li></ol>
--	--	---

		<p><b>2. Give them a final opportunity to submit a proper BCC."</b></p> <p>On 16.08.2016, a written representation was received from the institution.</p> <p>The SRC, in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting held during 16<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 considered the matter and decided to issue Show Cause Notice on the following points:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The new BCC given is seen. The built-up area shown in the BCC is more than what is approved in the B.P</li><li>2. Building Plan submitted by the institution is for Appa College of Education, (Existing) Channa Basavamma College of Education For Womens (Proposed) and for other education institutions, but without any demarcation of built up area for the existing, proposed and other institutions.</li><li>3. Another Building plan is for the institution without any floor wise derail.</li><li>4. In BCC submitted now, date of issue of LUC is 24.04.2010, but this LUC was issued for Engineering college.</li><li>5. The institution has submitted a letter about applying for liberal courses, but these are in the name of appa institute of B.Com, whereas, the B.Ed College name is Channabasavamma Deshmukh College of Education for Women.</li></ol> <p>As per decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 30.11.2016. The Show Cause Notice was returned to this office on 26.12.2016. The Show Cause Notice has been uploaded in SRC-NCTE Website.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted show cause notice reply till date.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. No reply has been received to our Show Cause Notice and other communications.</li><li>2. We cannot wait indefinitely.</li><li>3. Reject the application.</li><li>4. Return FDRs, if any.</li><li>5. Close the file.</li></ol>
27.	SRCAPP3275	Al Ameen Education Society, Plot No-30/157, Peta, Chittari Veedi Street, Peddapadu Village & Post, Kurnool Taluk, Kurnool District - 518004 Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to



<p>D.El.Ed-AI 1 Unit KMD Iqbal D.Ed College, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p><b>KMDIqbal D.Ed College, Plot No-34, Peddapadu Village, Kalluru Post &amp; Taluk, Kurnool District -518004 Andhra Pradesh for D.El.Ed-AI course of two years duration under section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.05.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 12.06.2015.</b></p> <p>The institution submitted No Objection Certificate dated 12.02.2015 issued by the Director SCERT, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh along with hard copy of the application.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 28.11.2014.</p> <p>Sub clause 3 of clause 5 of the Regulations 2014 reads as under:-</p> <p><i>"The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."</i></p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as under:-</p> <p><i>"The application is not duly signed by the applicant on all pages as per Sub- section(3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014 ."</i></p> <p>SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held during 29<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> September , 2015 considered the matter of unsigned applications and decided as under:-</p> <p><i>" The 1128 cases , in which digital signature are not available on each page in the applications received on-line, have to be seen as violating Regulations 5.3 of the 2014 Regulations. But, it has to be recognized in this context that the said omission was due to a technical difficulty of there not being a provision in the on-line application system to upload digital signatures. In our opinion, it will not be correct to hold them responsible for not uploading digital signatures when the system had no scope for such uploading because of a technical snag. Accordingly,</i></p>
--	--

**we condone this omission and admit the applications for processing."**

The following was observed from the file:-

(a) On 29.06.2015, this office had received a court order in W.P.No.18364 of 2015 filed by Al Ameen Educational Society and others. The Hon'ble Court order dated 23.06.2015 is as under:-

*"Notice before admission. Print the name of Sri.K.Ramakanth Rao for respondent No.2 pending further orders, petitioners are at liberty to make their online application for the National Council for Teacher Education in terms of the notification already issued by NCTE and if such an application is made, NCTE shall consider the same on its own merits."*

The writ petition is filed seeking a writ of mandamus declaring the action of respondents 3 to 9 ( 3.The State of Andhra Pradesh 4. The Director of School Education 5. SCERT6. Rayalseema University 7. Adikavi Nannayya university,8. Krishna University 9.Yogi Vemana University) in not issuing No objection certificate to the petitioners hereinto start D.El.Ed,B.Ed,B.P.Ed,M.Ed,U.G.D.P.Ed and 4 years degree integrated courses and for additional intake as required by second respondent for grant of formal recognition for the academic year 2016-17 as arbitrary and illegal and consequently direct the fourth and fifth respondents to issue No objection certificate to the petitioner to start D.El.Ed course.

SRC in its 290<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 considered the Court order and decided as under :-

1. NOCs in any case have come from A.P
2. The Court order is noted
3. Process the application received

**(b) The institution has not submitted NOC from the affiliating body**

The SRC in its 293<sup>rd</sup> meeting held during 29<sup>th</sup> - 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:-

**"In compliance of the court order, reopen the case and process".**

The SRC, in its 295<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> & 30<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 considered the matter and on careful perusal of the original file of the

		<p>institution and other related documents, decided to issue '<u>Show Cause Notice for 'Rejection'</u>' of the application on the following ground:</p> <p><i>"As directed by the Court the case is considered on merits with reference to their application. Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection of the application for non-submission of NOC from the affiliation body."</i></p> <p>As per decision of SRC, show cause notice was sent on 08.12.2015. The notice received back undelivered on 14.12.2015 and 23.12.2015.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted reply to the show cause notice.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> &amp; 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The stand alone status may not be a problem if they have only one basic unit.</li> <li>2. The fact that the Show Cause Notice dt. 8.12.2015 came back undelivered will need to be considered by the whole committee.</li> <li>3. Put up again in the meeting on 12 Feb.17.</li> </ol> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Show Cause Notice was sent to the same address that was given by them. Twice it has come back undelivered.</li> <li>2. They have not given NOC of the affiliating body</li> <li>3. Even though they are otherwise eligible to be considered for issue of LOI, their application has to be rejected for non-submission of NOC.</li> <li>4. Issue rejection order.</li> <li>5. Close the file.</li> </ol>
28.	<p>SRCAPP3185</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>SRCAPP3227</p>	<p><u>SRCAPP3185 / D.El.Ed</u></p> <p>Sahil Rural Educational Activity Trust, Plot No -602/6, Parchur Village &amp; Post, Parchur Taluk, Prakasam District-523169 Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Prathibha DEI Ed Bed, Plot No -602/6, Street No -1-178, Parchur Village &amp; Post, Parchur Taluk, Prakasam District-523169, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30/05/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 13/06/2015.</p>

<p>B.Ed 1 Unit Prathibha D.El.Ed, B.Ed Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 22/06/2015, followed by Reminder-I on 25/08/2015 and Reminder-II on 14/12/2015.</p> <p><b>SRCAPP3227 / B.Ed</b> <b>Sahil Rural Educational Activity Trust, Plot No -602/6, Parchur Village &amp; Post, Parchur Taluk, Prakasam District-523169 Andhra Pradesh</b> had applied for grant of recognition to <b>Prathibha DEI Ed Bed, Plot No -602/6, Street No -1-178, Parchur Village &amp; Post, Parchur Taluk, Prakasam District-523169, Andhra Pradesh</b> for offering B.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30/05/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 13/06/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 25/06/2015, followed by Reminder-I on 25/08/2015 and Reminder-II on 14/12/2015.</p> <p>The Sub Clause (7) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><b><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</i></b></p> <p>The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup> December 2015, considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. BCC is to be submitted</li><li>2. Original FDRs to be submitted</li><li>3. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents</li><li>4. Cause Composite Inspection</li></ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection was conducted on 07.02.2016 and the Visiting team report was received by this office on 10.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 302<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 09<sup>th</sup> – 11<sup>th</sup> February 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Built up area is inadequate for 2 courses.</li><li>2. One course alone will attract the stand alone factor.</li><li>3. CD does not open also.</li><li>4. Issue Show Cause Notice Accordingly.</li></ol>
---	--

Before issuance of Show Cause Notice, the institution submitted written representation on 24.02.2016 and stated as under:

*"...Decision of 302<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of SRC-NCTE I got show cause notice in this connection I am submitting few lines for your kindly consideration.*

*At the time of inspection I did not get the fresh BCC to out Prathibha D.El.Ed & B.Ed (SRCAPP3185 and 3227) college parchur A.P.*

*Hence inspection committee did not mention the total build up area now I am submitting out college plan, photo along with CD for your kindly consideration and favorable action."*

The SRC in its 305<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> – 27<sup>th</sup> February 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Documents submitted are OK
2. CD submitted is working
3. BCC adequate and OK

1. Issue LOI for B.Ed (1 Unit)
2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.
3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible

1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed (1 Unit)
2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.
3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.

Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution for both courses on 26.02.2016. The institution has not submitted reply.

The SRC in its 324<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07<sup>th</sup> & 08<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

- Give further time till 31.12.2016 to submit their reply failing which we may have to consider cancellation of the LOI.

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC letter was sent for both courses on 16.12.2016.

The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> January 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The LOI was issued on 26.2.16.
2. They have not cared to submit any reply. We cannot wait indefinitely.

		<p>3. Issue Show Cause Notice for a proper reply by 10 Feb. 17. 4. Put up in the meeting on 12.2.17.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was sent on 25.01.2017.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted any Show Cause Notice reply till date.</p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. LOIs for B.Ed( 1 unit) and D.El.Ed( 1 unit) were issued on 26.02.2016.They have not cared to reply even by the extended dateline.</li> <li>2. Reject the application.</li> <li>3. Return FDRs, if any.</li> <li>4. Close the file.</li> </ol>
29.	<p>SRCAPP14754</p> <p>B.P.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>S.S and N College of Physical Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>S.S. and N College Committee, Palanadu Road, Narasaraopet Village, Post, Taluk &amp; City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to S.S. and N College of Physical Education, Narasaraopet Village, Post, Taluk &amp; City, Guntur District-522601, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.P.Ed course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 10.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16.07.2015 followed by Reminder-I on 13.10.2015 and Reminder-II on 10.12.2015</p> <p>A letter addressed to the institution for furnishing of information in support of 'Composite' character as per Regulations 2014 was sent on 13.10.2015.</p> <p>The Sub Clause (7) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level</i></p>

*of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".*

The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup>-16<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 has considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:

1. Contiguity of Land with existing programme and UG programmes is to be checked
2. BP & BCC is to be submitted
3. Original FDRs to be submitted
4. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents
5. Cause Composite Inspection

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, the inspection of the institution was fixed between 10<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> January, 2016. The same was intimated to the institution on 27.01.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 08.02.2016. The VT report received in this office of SRC-NCTE on 10.02.2016.

The SRC in its 302<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 09<sup>th</sup> - 11<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the VT report and it has decided as under:

1. Issue LOI for B.P.Ed (1 unit).
2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished .
3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible

Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 17.02.2016.

The SRC in its 320<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> September, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words, they have not suffered, any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least

		<p>during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 17.02.2016.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 07.10.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> January 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The LOI was issued on 17.2.16.</li> <li>2. They have not cared to submit any reply. We cannot wait indefinitely.</li> <li>3. Issue Show Cause Notice for a proper reply by 10 Feb. 17.</li> <li>4. Put up in the meeting on 12.2.17.</li> </ol> <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC a Show Cause Notice was sent on 25.01.2017.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted any Show Cause Notice reply till date.</p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. LOI for B.P.Ed ( 1 unit) was issued on 17.02.2016</li> <li>2. They have not cared to reply even by the extended dateline.</li> <li>3. Reject the application.</li> <li>4. Return FDRs, if any.</li> <li>5. Close the file.</li> </ol>
30.	<p>SRCAPP14773</p> <p>M.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>St. Stephens College of Education for Women, Madurai,</p>	<p>St. Stephens Educational Trust, Plot No.6/110, Veeramamunivar Street, Sivagami Nagar Athikulam Village, K.Pudur Post, Madurai Taluk &amp; City, Madurai District - 625007, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to St. Stephens College of Education for Women, Plot/Khasara No.2/157A, Hosanna Street, Kadavur Village, Chatrapatti Post, Madurai Taluk &amp; City, Madurai District - 625014, Tamilnadu for offering M.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2015.</p>



Tamilnadu	<p>The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 14.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter was sent to State Government for recommendation on 21.07.2015. Reminder was sent on 12.04.2016.</p> <p>Sub- clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>“(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.”</i></p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>The institution has not submitted NOC from affiliating body.</b></li></ul> <p>The matter was placed before SRC for in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29-30 Sept, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and decided to issue show Cause Notice for rejection of application in the following ground:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Non Submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with application.</b></li></ul> <p>Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 21.10.2015.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 18.11.2015 and stating as follows:</p> <p><i>“In connection with submitting NOC to you for M.Ed program from the academic year 2016-17, we received Show Cause Notice from</i></p>
-----------	---

**you. We received your letter dated 21.10.2015, only on 29.10.2015 and we have applied to the Registrar to get NOC. The copy of this letter is also enclosed herewith. We will send NOC to you in time."**

The SRC in its 295<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> Nov & 1<sup>st</sup> Dec 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:

- **The reply to the Show Cause Notice is not satisfactory. They have admitted the deficiency. We cannot wait indefinitely from them to produce the NOC. According to the Regulations it is the responsibility of the applicant to secure and attach the NOC from the affiliating body. That being so, it is decided to reject the application.**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a rejection order was issued to the institution on **30.01.2016**.

The SRC minutes dated 31.01.2016 decided as follows;

*"...keeping in mind the over-all public interest, the committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOCs, and decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue."*

The institution has submitted its written representation on 04.04.2016 along with NOC from TNTEU dated 30.03.2016.

Accordingly, as directly, application was processed and placed before SRC in its 309<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup>-14<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 and it has decided as under:

- 1. Cause Composite Inspection**
- 2. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents of the institution.**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, the inspection of the institution was fixed between 12<sup>th</sup>-14<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 the same was intimated to the institution on 14.04.2016.

Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 18.04.2016. The VT report received in this office of SRC-NCTE on

26.04.2016.

The SRC in its 312<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup>-29<sup>th</sup> April, considered the VT report and all other relevant documents of the institution and it has decided as under:

1. Issue LOI for M.Ed (1 unit).
2. Advice the applicant that issue of FR (Formal Recognition) on satisfactory reply to the LOI will be subject to completion of 500 Sq.mt of additional built up area by 31.05.2016 that is the extended time limit given by NCTE.

As per the decision of SRC, LOI was issued to the institution on 28.04.2016 and also a letter was issued on 02.05.2016.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 02.05.2016 along with original FDR's and stating as follows:

*"Received the LOI in connection with starting M.Ed programme from the academic year 2016-17. As per you instructions, two FDR's one for Rs. 5 Lakhs and another for 7 Lakhs in a joint account for 5 years are being submitted in original herewith.*

*In connection with additional building area required, I would like to mention that at present we have 2027 Sq.Mts building area (Ground Floor). This has been approved by competent authority and documents submitted to you and visiting team in original. More over I would like to inform you that another 2027 Sq.Mts as additional built up area has been already constructed and completed in the first floor We are waiting for BCC for this additional 2027 Sq.Mts building area and I assure that I will submit it to you before 30.05.2016.*

*I kindly request you to issue the recognition order for M.Ed programme form the academic year 2016-2017.*

**Note** :- The institution has not replied to the LOI even after the stipulated period of two months. (Till date)

The SRC in its 320<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 28.04.2016.</li> </ul> <p>A letter was sent to the institution on 15.10.2016, the institution has submitted reply for the letter on 27.09.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> &amp; 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 the Committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The LOI was issued on 28.4.2016.</li> <li>They have still not sent a proper reply. On 2.5.16 they assured to submit the Faculty List within a few days. But, so far nothing has been done.</li> <li>We cannot wait indefinitely. Issue Show Cause Notice for a proper reply by 10 Feb. 17.</li> <li>Put up in the meeting on 12.2.17.</li> </ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 25.01.2017.</p> <p><u>The institution has not submitted Show Cause Notice reply so far.</u></p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LOI for M.Ed (1 unit) was issued on 28.04.2016.</li> <li>They have not cared to reply even by the extended dateline.</li> <li>Reject the application.</li> <li>Return FDRs, if any.</li> <li>Close the file.</li> </ol>
31.	SRCAPP14214  M.Ed	Palanieswari Educational Trust, Plot No.16, 16, Modern School Road, Jayankondam Village & Post, Jayankondam Taluk & City, Perambalur District - 621802, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to Modern College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.275/2A,2B,518,519, Street

<p>1 Unit Modern College of Education, Perambalur, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>No.275/2A, 2B, Jayankondam Village &amp; Post, Jayankondam Taluk &amp; City, Perambalur District - 621802, Tamilnadu</b> for offering <b>M.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17</b> under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on <b>28.06.2015</b>. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on <b>13.07.2015</b>.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 21.07.2015. Reminder was sent on 26.02.2016.</p> <p>Sub-clause (2) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>"(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</i></p> <p><i>(a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application."</i></p> <p><i>Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-</i></p> <p><i>"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."</i></p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is found to be deficient as per Regulations, 2014 on following grounds :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. The institution has not submitted application within 15 days from the date of application (delayed for 01 day)</b></li><li><b>2. NOC from affiliating body is not submitted along with application.</b></li><li><b>3. Application is not signed by the applicant on every page of application as per Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014.</b></li></ol>
--	---

The SRC in its 291<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> & 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2015 considered the matter, and after careful consideration of the original application for M.Ed course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 28.06.2015 and hard copy on 13.07.2015, decided to summarily reject the application as per 7.2(b) of Regulations, 2014 on the following ground:

- **The institution has not submitted application within 15 days from the date of application.**

In the meantime, a letter received from NCTE Hqrs on 14.09.2015 was placed before SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> -30<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 which considered the application for the session 2016-17 submitted online and decided as under:

- **Calculation in accordance with the guideline given by NCTE (HQ) shows that it was received on the 14<sup>th</sup> day and not 15<sup>th</sup> day. Accept and process.**

As per the decision of the SRC, the application was scrutinized for further processing during the scrutiny, it was observed that the NOC from the affiliating body had not been submitted by the Institution.

SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 had also considered the matter of non submission of NOC and delayed submission of NOC (after 15.07.2015) and decided to issue Show cause Notice for Rejection of the application on the following ground:

- **Non Submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with application.**

Based on the above decision of the SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution 21.10.2015

The institution has not replied to the Show Cause Notice even after the stipulated period of 21 days.

The SRC in its 298<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 08<sup>th</sup> – 10<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

- **Rejected for non-submission of reply to Show Cause Notice**

**issued for non-submission of NOC.**

As per the decision of SRC, rejection order was issued to the institution on **15.02.2016**.

The SRC minutes dated **31.01.2016** decided as follows:

*"...keeping in mind the over-all public interest, the committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOCs, and decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue."*

The institution has submitted its written representation along with NOC issued by TNTEU dated 06.01.2016 on 24.02.2016.

As per the direction of SRC the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 305<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup>-27<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

- 1. Topo sketch - M.Ed contiguity with B.Ed is required.**
- 2. BP and BCC to be collected by VT.**
- 3. Ask VT to collect all other documents.**
- 4. Cause Inspection.**

As per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was issued to the institution on 16.03.2016. Inspection was conducted on 26.03.2016 and VT report received by SRC 28.03.2016.

The matter was considered by the SRC in its 308<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 including VT report and all other relevant documents of the institution and decided as under:

- 1. Issue LOI for M.Ed (1 unit).**
- 2. FDR's in joint account should be furnished.**
- 3. Only if these are given on or before 02.05.2016 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17 academic year be possible.**

As per the decision of SRC, LOI (Letter of Intent) was issued to the institution on 05.04.2016.

**The institution has not replied to the LOI even after the stipulated**

period of two months. (Till date).

The SRC in its 320<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

- The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words , they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 05.04.2016.

As per the decision of the SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 15.10.2016 with the request for submit reply before 31.12.2016. The institution has not submitted LOI reply till date.

The matter was placed before SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 the Committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. The LOI was issued on 5.4.16.
2. They have not cared to submit any reply. We cannot wait indefinitely.
3. Issue Show Cause Notice for a proper reply by 10 Feb. 17.
4. Put up in the meeting on 12.2.17.

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 25.01.2017.

The institution has not submitted reply till date so far.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. LOI for M.Ed (1 unit) was issued on 05.04.2016.
2. They have not cared to reply even by the extended dateline.
3. Reject the application.
4. Return FDRs, if any.
5. Close the file.



32.	SRCAPP2250  B.P.Ed  1 Unit  Davangere University, Davangere District, Karnataka	<p>Davangere University, Shivagangathri, Plot No.1, 26 &amp; 27, Bada Road, Tholahunase Village &amp; Post, Davangere Taluk, Davanagere District-577002, Karnataka had applied for grant of recognition to Davangere University, Plot No.1, 26 &amp; 27, Rangavanahalli, Tholahunase, Davanagere District-577002, Karnataka for offering B.P.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on <b>05.05.2015</b>. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on <b>28.05.2015</b></p> <p>The Institution submitted No Objection Certificate dated 25.05.2015 issued by the Davangere University along with the hard copy of application. The application is processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>A letter for Recommendation of State Govt was sent 03.06.2015 followed by Reminder-I on 21.07.2015 and Reminder -II on 03.11.2016.</p> <p>The Sub-Clause (3) of Clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p> <p>The "(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. <i>While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.</i>"</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Failure to submit Print out of the application made online within 15 days of the submission of the online application. (Online application dated 05.05.2015 hard copy received on 28.05.2015)</li><li>• The application is not duly signed by the applicant on all pages as per Sub-section(3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014</li></ul> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 291<sup>st</sup> meeting held during 20<sup>th</sup>&amp;</p>
-----	---	--

21<sup>st</sup> August, 2015 considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for B.P.Ed course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 05.05.2015 and hard copy on 28.05.2015, decided to Summarily reject the application as per clause 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground:-

- Failure to submit Print out of the application made online within 15 days of the submission of the online application.

As per the decision of SRC, a rejection order issued to the institution on **15.10.2015**.

On 19.01.2016 a letter was received by this office from the NCTE Hqrs regarding acceptance of hard copy of application up to 15.07.2015 as under:-

*"I am directed to refer to this office letter of even no. dated 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 conveying therein orders of Chairperson in paragraph 4 of the letter that 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 will be the last date for submission of hardcopy of the application for the academic session 2015-16.*

*Irrespective of the date of online submission. In this regard attention is invited to a subsequent letter dated 07<sup>th</sup> September, 2015, wherein under the last line of paragraph 1, the position as it exists in clause 7 of the Regulation 2014 has been indicated. It is hereby clarified that inclusion of this line was an inadvertant mistake therefore was not necessary and may be ignored. As such the directions of the Chairperson NCTE, as conveyed in this office vide above mentioned letter dated 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2014, extending the date of acceptance of the hardcopy of the applications for 2016-17, up to 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 is reiterated for compliance.*

The SRC in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. This is a reopened delayed submission case
2. BP for the proposed programme is not given.
3. BCC is not in format
4. Earmarking of land for B.P.Ed is not indicated.
5. Cause Composite inspection
6. Ask VT to Collect all relevant documents  
Exp. BP & BCC and also check on earmarking of lands.

As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection of the institution was conducted on 17.03.2016 and VT report was received on 28.03.2016.

The SRC in its 308<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> & 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the matter VT report and other relevant documents of the institution and decided as under:-

1. The University has not submitted BCC approved by the competent authority.
2. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 16.05.2016. The institution submitted reply to the Show cause notice on 03.06.2016.

The SRC, in its 317<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 considered the show cause notice reply and decided as under:-

1. In the Show Cause Notice, by a clerical error, some irrelevant issues were added. The Show Cause Notice is reviewed and the irrelevant entries at Sl. Nos. 6 & 7 are deleted.
2. They have submitted a proper Building Plan.
3. But, the BCC is still not in the prescribed format.
4. Issue Show Cause Notice again for BCC.

As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice issued to the institution 07.09.2016. The institution has submitted reply on 27.09.2016.

The SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting held during 16<sup>th</sup> – 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 considered the written representation and decided as under:-

**“Issue LOI for B.P.Ed ( 1 unit)”**

As per the decision of SRC, Letter of Intent was issued to the institution 29.11.2016.

**The institution has not submitted LOI reply till date.**

**The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-**

1. LOI for B.P.Ed (1 unit) was issued on 29.11.2016.
2. They have not cared to reply even by the extended dateline.

		<p>3. Reject the application. 4. Return FDRs, if any. 5. Close the file.</p>
33.	<p>SRCAPP3524 M.P.Ed 1 Unit Davangere University, Davangere, Karnataka</p>	<p><b>Davangere University, Plot No.1,26 &amp; 27, Bada Road, Tholahunase Village &amp; Post office, Davangere Taluk &amp; City, Davanagere District-577002, Karnataka</b> had applied for grant of recognition to <b>Davangere University College of Physical Education, Plot No.1,26 &amp; 27, Tholahunase Village &amp; Post office, Davangere Taluk &amp; City, Davanagere District-577002, Karnataka</b> for offering <b>M.P.Ed</b> course of <b>Two years</b> duration for the academic session <b>2016-17</b> under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on <b>22/06/2015</b>. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on <b>26/06/2015</b>.</p> <p>The application has been processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 04/07/2015 followed by Reminder-I on 06/10/2015 and Reminder -II on 14/12/2015.</p> <p>The Sub Clause (7) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under: <i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Building Plan to be submitted</li><li>2. Building Completion Certificate to be submitted</li><li>3. Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted</li><li>4. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents</li><li>5. Cause Composite inspection</li></ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC a composite inspection was conducted on 18.01.2016 and the Visiting Team reported as under:- "The VT team members visited the University College of Physical</p>

Education on 18.01.2016 to assess the Physical facility and infrastructural facilities and human resources to offer M.P.Ed 2 years course. In the interaction with the V.C and Registrar and concerned Physical Education Staff members, the VT members observed that the college is not having adequate no. of labs and also the equipments needed for M.P.Ed courses in the labs and relevant books even in the Library to satisfy the NCTE norms.

The authorities of the University has given a letter to the Regional Director, NCTE, Bangalore in this respect requesting for VT members visit in the month of March, 2016 so that they can equip the facilities and resources to offer M.P.Ed courses as per the NCTE norms.

The hard copy of the letter is enclosed."

The SRC in its 299<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 20<sup>th</sup>& 21<sup>st</sup> January,2016 considered the matter and decided to "*Bearing in mind the 3<sup>rd</sup> March dateline for issue of FRs, give time till 10 Feb 16*"

The Composite inspection of the institution was conducted on 17.03.2016 and VT report was received by this office on 28.03.2016.

The SRC in its 308<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup>& 30<sup>th</sup> March,2016 considered the VT inspection report and other relevant documents of the institution and decided to issue show cause notice as under:-

- The university has not submitted BCC approved by the competent authority.

Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 16.05.2016. The institution submitted reply to the Show cause notice on 03.06.2016.

The SRC, in its 317<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 considered the show cause notice reply and decided as under:-

1. *In the Show Cause Notice, by a clerical error, some irrelevant issues were added. The Show Cause Notice is reviewed and the irrelevant entries at Sl. Nos. 6 & 7 are deleted.*
2. *They have submitted a proper Building Plan.*
3. *But, the BCC is still not in the prescribed format.*

		<p><i>4. Issue Show Cause Notice again for BCC."</i></p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 04.11.2016. The institution has since submitted reply on 12.11.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 326<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 04<sup>th</sup> to 05<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the show cause notice reply and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. There is ref. in the BCC to a temporary roofing in second floor. This is not permissible.</b></li><li><b>2. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</b></li></ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 18.01.2017.</p> <p><b>The institution has not submitted Show Cause Notice Reply till date.</b></p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. The Show Cause Notice was issued originally on 16.05.2016. The corrected Show Cause Notice was issued on 18.01.2017.</b></li><li><b>2. They have still not given a proper reply.</b></li><li><b>3. We cannot wait indefinitely.</b></li><li><b>4. Reject the application</b></li><li><b>5. Close the file.</b></li></ol>
34.	SRCAPP1838 B.Ed (ODL) KSWU Bijapur, Karnataka	<p><b>KSWU Bijapur, Plot No.1, Dr.Ambedkar Circle, Bijapur Village, Post, Taluk and Town, Bijapur District – 586101, Karnataka had applied for grant of recognition to KSWU Bijapur, Khasara No.11A, 11B, 11K, 11H, Plot No. 45 258 124, Torvi Village, Bijapur Post, Taluk and City, Bijapur District – 586101, Karnataka for B.Ed course through open and Distance Learning mode of two years duration under section 14(1) of the NCTE act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 21/12/2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 28/12/2012.</b></p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 08.03.2013 / 09.05.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 10.04.2013.</p>

The University had replied to the deficiency letter on 07.06.2013, 14.06.2013 and 17.06.2013.

The SRC in its 248<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> -15<sup>th</sup> July, 2013 considered the reply of the Karnataka State Women's University vide letters dated 07.06.2013, 14.06.2013 and 17.06.2013 to the deficiency letter, and decided to write to the University to send the following documents:

1. NACC certificate to be submitted towards M.Ed course being run by the institution.
2. DEC clearance certificate to be submitted for B.Ed (ODL).

The institution by seeing the website they have submitted a reply, letter dated 18.07.2013 is received by this office on 23.07.2013.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 250<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 11-13<sup>th</sup> August, 2013 considered the reply of the institution to the letter dated 23.07.2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection for B.Ed-ODL course under section 14 (1) of NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

Further, the committee decided to ask University to submit DEC clearance Certificate for B.Ed.(ODL) and Self Learning Material.

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the University requesting for submission of DEC Certificate for B.Ed (ODL) and Self Learning material vide this office letter No. SRCAPP1838/B.Ed (ODL)/KA/2013-14/53624 dated 12.09.2013. Also as per the decision of SRC, a inspection was conducted to the University on 10.10.2013 and 11.10.2013.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 254<sup>th</sup> Meeting held during 25<sup>th</sup> to 27<sup>th</sup> October, 2013 considered the matter, VT report dated 10-10-2013 & 11-10-2013, and all other relevant documents of the institution, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to ask the University to furnish the following documents:

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		<p>1. A copy of the undertaking given to Tamilnadu Open University for agreeing to the condition for using its Self Learning Materials.</p> <p>2. A copy of the DEC clearance obtained for Self Learning Materials of Tamilnadu Open University.</p> <p>3. A fresh VCD.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the Registrar, Karnataka State Women University Vide F.SRO/SRCAPP1838/B.Ed(ODL)/KA/2013-14/55607 dated 21.12.2013.</p> <p>The institution has submitted its written representation on 28.04.2014.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 288<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2015 considered the matter, written representation from the institution vide letter dated 28.04.2014, decided as under:</p> <p><b>LOI for B.Ed-ODL with an intake of 500 students.</b></p> <p>As per decision of SRC, LOI was issued to the institution on 31.05.2015.</p> <p><b>The institution has not submitted LOI reply till date.</b></p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. LOI for B.Ed-ODL was issued on 31.05.15.</li> <li>2. They have not cared to reply even till date</li> <li>3. We cannot wait indefinitely.</li> <li>4. Reject the application</li> <li>5. Close the file.</li> </ol>
35.	<p>SRCAPP2999</p> <p>M.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Tumkur University, Tumkur, Karnataka</p>	<p><b>Registrar Tumkur University, BH Road, Tumkur Post &amp; Taluk, District-572103, Karnataka had applied for grant of recognition to Tumkur University, Plot Khasara No.36/1, 36/2, 36/3, 319, Tumkur Taluk &amp; Post, Tumkur District-572103, Karnataka for offering M.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.05.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 15.06.2015.</b></p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and</p>



Procedures) Regulations 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 24/06/2015, followed by Reminder-I on 18/02/2016 and Reminder-II on 18.04.2016.

The Sub-Clause (3) of Clause 5 of Regulations 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-

*The "(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."*

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as under:-

- Failure to submit Print out of the application made online within 15 days of the submission of the online application. (Online application dated 30.05.2015 hard copy received on 15.06.2015)

The Southern Regional Committee in its 291<sup>st</sup> meeting held during 20<sup>th</sup> & 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2015 considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for M.Ed Course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 30.05.2015 and hard copy on 15.06.2015, decided to Summarily reject the application as per clause 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground.

- Failure to submit Print out of the application made online within 15 days of the submission of the online application.

As per the decision of SRC, a rejection order issued to the institution on 15.10.2015.

On 19.01.2016 a letter was received from the NCTE Hqrs regarding acceptance of hard copy of application up to 15.07.2015, as under:-

*"I am directed to refer to this office letter of even no. dated 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 conveying therein orders of Chairperson in paragraph 4 of the letter that 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 will be the last date for*

*submission of hardcopy of the application for the academic session 2015-16. Irrespective of the date of online submission. In this regard attention is invited to a subsequent letter dated 07<sup>th</sup> September, 2015, wherein under the last line of paragraph 1, the position as it exists in clause 7 of the Regulation 2014 has been indicated. It is hereby clarified that inclusion of this line was an inadvertant mistake therefore was not necessary and may be ignored. As such the directions of the Chairperson NCTE, as conveyed in this office vide above mentioned letter dated 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2014, extending the date of acceptance of the hardcopy of the applications for 2016-17, up to 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 is reiterated for compliance.*

*This issues with the approval of the Chairperson NCTE."*

The SRC in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 19<sup>th</sup>-20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. This is a reopened 'delayed submission' case
2. BCC is not given.
3. Ask them to furnish.
4. Cause composite Inspection.
5. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the institution informing the conduct of inspection on 17.03.2016.

As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection was conducted on 26.03.2016 and visiting team report was received by this office on 28.03.2016.

The SRC, in its 308<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> & 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. Issue LOI for M.Ed (1 unit)
2. FDRs in joint account should be furnished.
3. Only if these are given on or before 02.05.2016 can issued Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17 academic year be possible.

As per decision of SRC, LOI was issued to the institution on 18.04.2016.

The SRC in its 323<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup>-18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. No reply to LOI received.
2. Remind them.
3. Time-limit upto 31.12.2016

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 28.11.2016

On 14.12.2016, a letter dated 06.12.2016 received by this office from the Registrar, Tumkur University Vishwavidyanilaya Karyalaya, B.H. Road, Tumku Karnataka as under:

*"With reference to the above cited subject, I would like to bring to your kind notice that, to begin the M.Ed and M.P.Ed. Courses in this University, we would like to make an Adhoc arrangement by appointing the qualified Guest Faculties as per our requirement of the Departments, the necessary action will be taken by this University to appoint permanent qualified Faculty/Staff through duly constituted Selection Committee and approved by the affiliating body as per the norms of NCTE. This is for your kind information and for further needful action."*

The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 19<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the Non-Submission of LOI reply Requesting time (2016-17 application) and decided as under:-

1. The LOI was issued on 18.04.2016.
2. They have still not sent a proper reply. Their proposal to start the course with Guest faculty is not acceptable.
3. We cannot wait indefinitely.
4. Issued Show Cause Notice for submission of a proper reply and an approved faculty list by 10.02.2017.
5. Put up in the meeting on 12.02.2017.

The institution has not submitted LOI reply and approved faculty list till date.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. LOI for M.Ed ( 1 unit) was issued on 18.04.16.
2. They have not cared to reply even till date
3. We cannot wait indefinitely.

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		<b>4. Reject the application</b> <b>5. Close the file.</b>
36.	SRCAPP14653  B.Ed  1 Unit  Global College of B.Ed, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh	<u><b>SRCAPP14653/B.Ed</b></u>  <b>BVSR Educational Society, Plot No-3-145-11-5, Prasanthnagar Street, Madanapalle Village and Post Office, Madanapalle City and Taluk, Chittoor District-517325 Andhra Pradesh</b> had applied for grant of recognition to <b>Global College of B.Ed, Plot/Khasara No. 377, Plot No-1, Pothabolu Village, Madanapalle Post, Taluk and City, Chittoor District-517325, Andhra Pradesh</b> for offering <b>B.Ed</b> course of <b>Two years</b> duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on <b>29/06/2015</b> . The institution submitted hard copy of the application on <b>13/07/2015</b> .  The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 21/07/2015, followed by Reminder-I on 08.10.2015 and Reminder-II on 10.12.2015.  The Sub Clause (7) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:  <i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</i>  The SRC in its 296 <sup>th</sup> meeting held during 15 <sup>th</sup> -16 <sup>th</sup> December, 2015 has considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution for B.Ed (SRCAPP14653) D.El.Ed (SRCAPP14654) along with hard copy of application and decided as under:-  <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. LUC, BCC is to be submitted</b></li><li><b>2. Built up area is inadequate for 2 programmes</b></li><li><b>3. Original FDRs to be submitted</b></li><li><b>4. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents</b></li><li><b>5. Cause Composite Inspection</b></li></ol> As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection the institution was conducted on <b>03.02.2016</b> and visiting team report is received by this office on <b>05.02.2016</b> .

SRC in its 302<sup>nd</sup> meeting held during 9<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the Visiting Team Report and other relevant documents and decided as under :-

- “ 1. *BCC is not in format*
2. *Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection* ”

On 19.02.2016, based on website information the institution has submitted a reply

The SRC in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> February 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Issue LOI for D.EEd (1 Unit).
2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished
3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible

1. Issue LOI for B.Ed. (1 Unit).
2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished
3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a LOI was issued to the institution on 22.02.2016.

The SRC in its 313<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 02<sup>nd</sup> – 03<sup>rd</sup> May 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The B.Ed case (no. 14645) there is no reply to LOI
2. Issue Formal Recognition for D.EEd (1 Unit) w.e.f. 2016-17 on the support of their existing B.Sc. course

The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The LOI was issued on 22.2.16.
2. They have not cared to submit any reply. We cannot wait indefinitely.
3. Issue Show Cause Notice for a proper reply by 10 Feb. 17.
4. Put up in the meeting on 12.2.17.

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was sent on 25.01.2017.

		<p>The institution has not submitted any Show Cause Notice reply till date.</p> <p><b>Remarks:</b></p> <p>Institution's D.El.Ed Course SRCAPP14645 was granted recognition on 02.05.2016.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. LOI for B.Ed ( 1 unit) was issued on 22.02.16.</li><li>2. They have not cared to reply even till date</li><li>3. We cannot wait indefinitely.</li><li>4. Reject the application</li><li>5. Close the file.</li></ol>
37.	<p>SRCAPP2643</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Chaitanya College of Education, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Adarsha Educational Society, Plot No.9-1, Nehru Nagar, Cumbam, Prakasam-523333, Andhra Pradesh applied for grant of recognition to Chaitanya College of Education, Plot No.121/1, Khasara No.4033/2010, Nehrunagar Street, Kandulapuram Village, Cumbum Taluk &amp; Post Office, Cumbum City, Prakasam District-523333, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed course of two years duration under section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Committee, NCTE online on 29.05.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 04.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition norms and Procedures) Regulations 2014 notified by NCTE on 28.11.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 12.06.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 25.08.2015 and Reminder-II on 27.10.2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course”.</i></p> <p>A letter to institution for furnishing information on 'Composite' character on 25.08.2015.</p> <p>The Scrutiny of Application for causing inspection was prepared and placed before SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> &amp; 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>FDRs not submitted.</b></li></ul>

- Apprise the applicant of these deficiencies for necessary action.
- Ask the VT to collect all relevant documents and check for removal of deficiencies.
- Cause composite inspection

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, inspection intimation letter was sent to the institution on 12.01.2016.

The SRC in its 301<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 05<sup>th</sup> – 06<sup>th</sup> February 2016 has considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Issue LOI for D.EEd-AI(1 Unit)
  2. For D.EEd (basic unit) and D.EEd-AI combined staff list should be produced in accordance with the norms given in 2014 Regulations.
  3. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.
  4. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible
- 
5. Issue LOI for B.Ed (1 Unit)
  6. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.
  4. Only if these are given on or before 7.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, LOI was sent to the institution on 11.02.2016.

The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> January 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The LOI was issued on 11.2.16.
2. They have not cared to submit any reply. We cannot wait indefinitely.
3. Issue Show Cause Notice for a proper reply by 10 Feb. 17.
4. Put up in the meeting on 12.2.17.

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was sent to the institution on 25.01.2017.

The institution has not submitted any Show Cause Notice reply till date.

**Remarks:**

**Formal recognition order was issued to the institution on 05.04.2016**

		<p>(SRCAPP2642) D.El.Ed-AI course.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. LOI for B.Ed ( 1 unit) was issued on 11.02.16.</li> <li>2. They have not cared to reply even till date</li> <li>3. We cannot wait indefinitely.</li> <li>4. Reject the application</li> <li>5. Close the file.</li> </ol>
38.	<p>SRCAPP2009</p> <p>B.P.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Gurukulam College of Physical Education, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Gurukulam Educational Society, Plot No.98/2, Main Road, Siddhavattam Village and Post Office, Siddhavattam City and Taluk, Kadapa District-516237, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Gurukulam College of Physical Education, Khasara/Plot No.98/2, main Road, Siddhavattam Village, Post Office and Taluk, Kadapa District-516237, Andhra Pradesh for B.P.Ed Course of One year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 31.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 31.01.2013/28.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 28.03.2013.</p> <p>Now the institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 23.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution to the Deficiency letter was duly considered by the SRC in its 246<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 02<sup>nd</sup> – 04<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ In the building completion certificate submitted by the institution, the office block is covered with Asbestos sheets, which is not permissible as per NCTE regulations 2009.</li> </ul> <p><u>8 (10) of regulations 2009 says:</u></p> <p>At the time of inspection, the building of the institution shall be complete in the form of a permanent structure on the land possessed by the institution in terms of sub-regulation (7) of Regulation 8, equipped with all necessary amenities and fulfilling all such requirements as prescribed in the norms and standards. The applicant institution shall produce the original completion certificate issued by the competent Government Authority or local body authority, approved building plan in proof of the completion of building and built up area and other documents to the visiting team for verification. No temporary structure or asbestos roofing shall be allowed in the institution, even if it is in addition to the</p>



prescribed built up area.

1. In building completion certificate & Affidavit submitted only one Sy.No. is shown i.e, 98/2, whereas in other documents Sy.Nos 98/2, 193/4, 195, 366/3 Bare shown, which are not tallying.
2. Notarized land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the educational purpose is not submitted. Proceedings of Revenue Divisional Officer not submitted for conversion of land from agricultural to educational purposes.
3. In the land usage certificate submitted, the content is not relevant; it only says "the land is suitable for educational purpose".

Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected & based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.P.Ed course.

Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution vide F.No.SRCAPP2009/B.P.Ed/AP/2012-13/52529 dated 28.06.2013.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs vide No.F.No.89-596/2013 Appeal/4<sup>th</sup> Meeting-2014 dated 28.04.2014 has stated as follows:

*"...the council noted that the appellant institution along with hard copy of the application had furnished a BCC dated 28.12.2012. In this certificate office block admeasuring 228.78 sq.mts was shown as "Asbestos roofed". This deficiency was not brought to the notice of appellant institution whereas other deficiencies were reported to appellant institution on 28.03.2013 for rectification. The appellant along with appeal memoranda has submitted an updated BCC which is dated 15.05.2013. In this BCC office block has been shown as RCC. Committee also noted that as per clause 8(10) of the regulations building of the institution shall be complete in the form of permanent structure at the time of inspection. In the present case no inspection has been made yet. Committee further noted that the appellant institution was not given a reasonable opportunity for making a written representation before refusing the recognition by issue of a Show Cause Notice. The Council therefore, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded back to SRC with a direction to issue Show Cause Notice and process further as per regulations.*

*After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the*

		<p>documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to issue show cause notice and take further necessary action as per regulations.</p> <p>Now therefore, the council hereby remands back the case of Gurukulam College of Physical Education, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as instructed above."</p> <p>The SRC in its 268<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 04<sup>th</sup> – 05<sup>th</sup> June, 2014, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p><b>"Process after notification of the new Regulations as per NCTE (Hqrs) instructions."</b></p> <p>Accordingly, a letter to the institution was sent on 19.12.2014 regarding submission of Willingness affidavit for the new NCTE Regulations, 2014 within 15 days from the date of issue of this letter.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted the willingness affidavit.</p> <p>Reminder 1 was sent to the institution on 20.04.2015 for the submission of Willingness affidavit for the new NCTE Regulations, 2014 within 21 days from the date of receipt of this letter.</p> <p><b>The institution has not submitted the willingness affidavit till date.</b></p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In spite of reminders, they have not given willingness affidavit to be governed by the 2014 Regulations .</li> <li>2. According to the Supreme Court order, no institution should be allowed to function under any other earlier Regulation.</li> <li>3. Reject their application</li> <li>4. Close the file.</li> </ol>
39.	<p>SRCAPP2077</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>Satyam College of Elementary</p>	<p>Satya Educational Service Society, D.No.11-36, Main Road, C.Gudipadu Village and Post Office, Chatrai Town and Taluk, Krishna District-521214, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Satyam College of Elementary Education, Plot/Khasara No.184/2, Tiruvuru Village and Post Office, Tiruvuru Taluk and Town, Krishna District-521214, Andhra Pradesh for D.El.Ed Course of two year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 30.12.2012 and</p>

<p>Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 04.01.2013.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 06.02.2013/26.03.2013 (Reminder). A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 26.03.2013. The institution has replied to the deficiency letter on 24.05.2013.</p> <p>The reply of the institution vide letter dt. 24-05-2013 to the Deficiency letter was duly considered by SRC in its 247<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup>-22<sup>nd</sup> June, 2013 and the reply is unconvincing and not satisfactory, deficiencies still persist as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The institution has not submitted valid minority certificate issued by the competent authority from the State Govt. as D.El.Ed is banned in the State of Andhra Pradesh for the academic session 2013-14.</li><li>2. Land documents submitted by the institution is not being duly certified by the Sub-registrar except for 1<sup>st</sup> page.</li><li>3. Original building completion certificate from competent Govt. authorized engineer is not submitted.</li><li>4. Encumbrance certificate submitted is in individual's name; ie, in the name of Jallepalli Parameswara Rao, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009.</li></ol> <p>Under the above grounds and with reference to the totality of information collected &amp; based on a collective application of mind, the committee decided as per NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of D.El.Ed-SRCAPP2077 course.</p> <p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 23.08.2013.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide the appellate authority order no. F.No.88-639/2013 Appeal/1<sup>st</sup> Meeting-2014 A82691 dated 06.02.2014 stating that</p> <p><i>"the council noted that the Minority Certificate dated 07.08.2013 issued by the National Commission for Minority Educational institution, Govt of India is acceptable. The Certificate issued by the sub- Registrar on stamp paper confirming that the land documents enclosed there to is furnished a notarized copy of the building completion certificate issued by the Assistant Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj. According to the provisions of NCTE Regulation 2009, the Original of the building completion certificate is required for verification at the time of inspection . it is seen from the encumbrance certificate dated 13.09.2013 enclosed to the appeal that the name of the society is appearing therein . In these circumstances, the council concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to process the</i></p>
---	---

*application further as per regulations. The appellant is directed to forward these documents submitted in appeal to the SRC.*

*After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing the council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to process the application further as per the Regulations. The appellant is directed to forward these documents submitted in Appeal to the SRC*

*Now therefore, the council hereby remands back the case of Satyam College of Elementary Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above."*

The Southern Regional Committee in its 264<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 1<sup>st</sup> -3<sup>rd</sup> March, 2014 considered the Appellate authority order dated 06.02.2014 and decided to process the application.

The SRC in its 283<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 02<sup>nd</sup> - 03<sup>rd</sup> March 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:

- Wherever SRO has sent letters to existing institutions new applications calling for such affidavits, a reminder may issue to ascertain their interest. In case of no response, withdraw recognition/ reject the application w.e.f. 2015-16.

Accordingly, a letter to the institution was sent on 19.12.2014 regarding submission of Willingness affidavit for the new NCTE Regulations, 2014 within 15 days from the date of issue of this letter.

The institution has not submitted the willingness affidavit.

Reminder 1 was sent to the institution on 20.04.2015 for the submission of Willingness affidavit for the new NCTE Regulations, 2014 within 21 days from the date of receipt of this letter.

The institution has not submitted the willingness affidavit till date.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. The institution has not given any Affidavit, in spite of our reminders, expressing willingness to be governed by the 2014 Regulations.
2. Reject their application
3. Close the file.

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

40.	SRCAPP2054  B.Ed  St. Arokia Annai College of Education, Dharmapuri, Tamilnadu	<p><b>Arokia Annai Trust, Sellipampatti Village &amp; Post Office, Palacode Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Pin-636809, Tamilnadu</b> applied for grant of recognition to <b>St. Aroka Annai College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 135/2A, 135/2C, 131/3B2, 131/3C2, Sellipampatti Village &amp; Post Office, Palacode Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Pin-636809, Tamilnadu</b> for <b>B.Ed</b> Course of one year duration under Section 14(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 29.12.2012 and physical application has been received in the office of SRC on 31.12.2012.</p> <p>The application was scrutinized and a copy of application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 22.01.2013 followed by reminder on 22.04.2013. A deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 22.04.2013.</p> <p>The Institution has not submitted reply to the deficiency letter even after stipulated period of 60 days.</p> <p>The SRC in its 250<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 11<sup>th</sup> – 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2013 has considered the matter, as the institution has not submitted reply for deficiency letter even after stipulated period of 60 days from the date of issue of deficiency letter and with reference to the totality of information collected &amp; based on a collective application of mind, the committee has decided as per clause 7(1) of NCTE Regulations 2009, to reject the application of the institution for recognition of B.Ed course.</p> <p><b>Accordingly, a rejection order was issued to the institution on 25.09.2013 along with the original FDR's.</b></p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs and the NCTE Appellate Authority in its order dated - 21/5/2014 has confirmed the order of SRC.</p> <p>Sri. K. Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate has sent court order in W.P.No.21118 of 2014, filed by Arokia Annai College of Education, dated 05.08.2014. The court order stated as follows:-</p> <p><b>ORDER:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>3. <i>Heard Mr.D.Bhaskar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, learned counsel appearing for Respondents.</i></li><li>4. <i>The Petitioner filed an application online on</i></li></ol>
-----	--	--

29.12.2012 for recognition to start a college offering B.Ed Course. The application was forwarded by NCTE to the State Government on 22.01.2013. After sending a reminder to the State Government on 22.04.2013, the NCTE pointed out certain deficiencies by their letter dated 22.04.2013.

5. Under Rule 7(1) of the NCTE regulations 2009, the Petitioner was obliged to remove the deficiencies within a period of 60 days from the date of communication of the deficiencies. This rule has also been held to be mandatory by the Supreme Court.
6. But, unfortunately, the petitioner claimed to have complied with the deficiencies, beyond the period of 60 days. Therefore, the second respondent passed an order dated 25.09.2013, rejecting the application for recognition on the ground of non-removal of deficiencies within the statutorily prescribed time limit.
7. As against the said order, the petitioner filed a statutory appeal. But, the appeal was dismissed on 21.05.2014. Therefore, the petitioner is before this Court.
8. There is no dispute about the fact that the petitioner did not inform the respondents about the removal of the deficiencies within 60 days. The petitioner claims to have removed the deficiencies only after the stipulated period. Therefore, the respondents cannot be found fault with.
9. For the academic year 2014-15, even the time for admission is now over. Therefore, if at all, the petitioner case can be considered only for the next academic year, namely, 2015-16.
10. Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the petitioner to make a fresh application online, within the due date, for consideration of their request for recognition for the academic year 2015-16. The NCTE shall process the application, conduct an inspection and pass orders sufficiently in advance, so that the last minute syndrome is avoided. No costs.

The Court order considered by the SRC in its 279<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup>

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

February 2015 and stated as follows:-

***"Advice the party to apply afresh, under the new Regulations, when NCTE(H'qrs) issued a notification inviting applications online".***

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 20.02.2015. In respect of this letter the institution has submitted its written representation on 06.04.2015 along with a copy of Court Order in Writ Petition No.21118 of 2014, stated as follows:-

***"With reference to the above subject, I would like to request the clarification regarding the new regulation 2014, as per the new regulation eligibility for starting the B.Ed college institution should be composite institutions, in this regard as directed us to apply fresh application for the next academic year, due to the notification of the new regulation, we are in puzzle that our application will consider under new regulation or old regulation, suppose if we applied under the new regulation eligibility exemption will be given or not, in this circumstance please instruct us for further procedure".***

The SRC in its 286<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 30 April 2015 considered the matter and it has decided as follows:-

**"Process subject to reply from the State Govt. revised the decision taken in meeting in the light of NCTE clarification dated 01.04.2015 to cause inspection. Ask VT to collect BCC and LUC issued by competent authority."**

The inspection of the institution was fixed between 10-15 May 2015.

Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 01.05.2015.

The VT members are submitted a letter dated 13.05.2014 received by SRC on 16.05.2015. In its letter stated as follows:-

***"....We the VT members visited the institution namely St.Arokia Annai College of Education, Sellampatti (Village & Post), Palacode Taluk, Dharmapuri District, Pin-636809 on***

13.05.2015.

*Unfortunately, we were able to find that, the management of St.Arokia Annai College of Education was not ready for inspection. In this regard, the management has given an undertaking stating that the time provided by the NCTE was too short that is why we are not prepared for inspection.*

*Infact, we the VT members could find out that, the building was incomplete. Moreover the first floor is in construction process. It is also found that, the ground floor has been using for running a school by name Arokia CBSE School.*

*Hence, due to the above facts, we the undersigned VT members are bringing the facts to your kind notice. Herewith we are enclosing an undertaking letter given by the management and few photographs (taken by our mobiles) (hard and soft copies) for your kind perusal and necessary action.*

The SRC in its 287<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> may, 2015 and the Committee considered the VT Report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to Show cause Notice under Section 14 of NCTE Act for the following:

- **Building is incomplete as per VT report. issue Show cause notice.**

As per the decision of the SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 16.06.2015

As directed a letter was issued to the District Collector, Dharmapuri District on 25.08.2015.

- **The institution has not replied to the Show cause notice till date.**
- **Not received reply from The District Collector, Dharmapuri District also.**

**The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-**

1. **Based on the VTI Report, a Show Cause Notice was issued, about building not being fully constructed, on 16.06.2015.**

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman



		<p>2. They have still not replied. 3. We cannot wait indefinitely 4. Reject the application 5. Close the file.</p>
41.	<p>SRCAPP3053</p> <p>B.P.Ed</p> <p>1Unit</p> <p>SRCAPP3009</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Dr. Sarvepalli Radha Krishnan And Ramanna College of Education, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Amma Education Society, Plot No.115/C, Pamur Road, Kandukur Village &amp; Post, Kandukur Taluk &amp; City, Prakasam District – 523105, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Dr.Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan And Ramanna College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.115/C, OV Road, Kandukur Village &amp; Post, Kandukur Taluk &amp; City, Prakasam District–523105, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.P.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30/05/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 04/06/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 12/06/2015 followed by Reminder-I on 06/10/2015 and reminder II on 15/12/2015.</p> <p><u>SRCAPP3009/D.El.Ed</u></p> <p>Amma Education Society, Plot No.115/C, Pamur Road, Kandukur Village &amp; Post, Kandukur Taluk &amp; City, Prakasam District – 523105, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Dr.Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan And Ramanna College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.115/C, OV Road, Kandukur Village &amp; Post, Kandukur Taluk &amp; City, Prakasam District–523105, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30/05/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 03/06/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014, notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 12/06/2015, followed by reminder I on 07/10/2015 and Reminder- II on 15/12/2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>“After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution</i></p>

*to commence the course”.*

SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 15<sup>th</sup> & 16<sup>th</sup> December 2015 considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution for B.P.Ed (SRCAPP3053) and D.El.Ed (SRCAPP3009) along with hard copy of applications and decided as under:-

1. *English version of LUC, BCC and EC to be submitted.*
2. *BP in the name of Teacher Education Institution is to be submitted.*
3. *Original FDRs to be submitted*
4. *Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents*
5. *Cause Composite Inspection*

As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection of the institution was conducted on 01.02.2016 and visiting team report was received on 05.02.2016.

The SRC in its 302<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> February 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **Fresh CD is required.**
2. **Documents OK**

Accordingly, letter was sent to the institution on 04.04.2016. The institution vide his letter dated 11/04/2016 submitted reply along with Fresh CD.

The SRC in its 309<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> April 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:


**Fresh CD submitted .CD Ok**

1. **Issue LOI for B.P.Ed (1unit)**
2. **FDRs in Joint account should be furnished**
3. **Only if these are given on or before 02.05.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible**

1. **Issue LOI for D.El.Ed (2 units)**
2. **FDRs in Joint account should be furnished**
3. **Only if these are given on or before 02.05.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible**

Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution on 14.04.2016. The institution has not submitted reply till date.

The SRC in its 324<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07<sup>th</sup> & 08<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 considered the matter and decided that *“Give further time till 31.12.2016.”*

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		<p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC letter was sent on 16.12.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> January 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The LOI was issued on 14.4.16.</b></li> <li>2. <b>They have not cared to submit any reply. We cannot wait indefinitely.</b></li> <li>3. <b>Issue Show Cause Notice for a proper reply by 10 Feb. 17.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Put up in the meeting on 12.2.17.</b></li> </ol> <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC a Show Cause Notice was sent on 25.01.2017.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted any Show Cause Notice reply till date in respect of both the course.</p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>LOI for B.P.Ed (1 unit) and D.El.Ed ( 2 units) on 14.04.2016.</b></li> <li>2. <b>They have not replied even now inspite of a reminder.</b></li> <li>3. <b>We cannot wait indefinitely.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Reject the applications.</b></li> <li>5. <b>Return FDRs, if any.</b></li> <li>6. <b>Close the file.</b></li> </ol>
42.	<p>SRCAPP14093</p> <p>M.Ed</p> <p>SRCAPP14092</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>Ravi Teja College of Education, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p><u>SRCAPP14092/D.El.Ed</u></p> <p><b>Raviteja Educational Society, Plot No. 1-4-5, Main Road, Besthavaripeta Village &amp; Post, Besthavaripeta Taluk, Prakasam District-523334, Andhra Pradesh</b> had applied for grant of recognition to <b>National B.Ed college, Khasra No. 132/3 ,Plot No.1-4-5, Main Road Street, Vandutla Village, Pusalapadu Post Office, Besthavaripeta Taluk &amp; City, Prakasam District-523 334, Andhra Pradesh</b> for offering <b>D.El.Ed</b> course of <b>Two</b> years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 27/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 08/07/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16/07/2015, followed by Reminder-I 02/09/2015 and Reminder-II on 03/11/2015.</p> <p>The Sub-clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of</p>

applications stipulates as under:

*"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decide that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".*

**SRCAPP14093/M.Ed**

Raviteja Educational Society, Plot No. 1-4-5, Main Road, Besthavaripeta Village & Post, Besthavaripeta Taluk, Prakasam District-523334, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to RaviTeja College of Education, Khasra No. 132/3, Plot No.1-4-5, Main Road Street, Vandutla Village, Pusalapadu Post Office, Besthavaripeta Taluk & City, Prakasam District-523 334, Andhra Pradesh for offering M.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 27/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 08/07/2015.

The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16/07/2015, followed by Reminder-I on 11/11/2015.

The Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-

*"(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."*

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as under:

- **No objection certificate from the affiliating body is not submitted.**

The SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> & 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 considered the matter and on careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, the Committee decided to issue show cause notice for

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

'Rejection' of the application on the following ground:

- **'Non-submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with hard copy of the application.'**

Accordingly, show cause notice was sent on 24.10.2015.

A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16/07/2015, and letter to the institution furnishing of information in support of 'Composite character' followed by Reminder I on 04/11/2015.

The institution submitted show cause notice reply on 15.11.2015. The matter was placed before SRC in its 295<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> - 30<sup>th</sup> November, & 01<sup>st</sup> December, 2015 considered the matter and decided "***NOC received, process.***"

The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> & 16<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:


1. ***Shifting inspection for B.Ed is to be conducted (APS02654), & NAAC certificate is to be produced***
2. ***Built up area is inadequate for 2 programmes.***
3. ***Original FDRs to be submitted***
4. ***Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents***
5. ***Cause Composite Inspection.***

As per decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent on 18.01.2016. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 06.02.2016 and VT report along with documents and CD were received on 29.02.2016.

The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> - 04<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the VT report and decided as under:

1. **The Built up area is only 3503 sq.mtrs.**
2. **They do not have proof of application to NAAC. Therefore, M.Ed cannot be considered.**
3. **In the 1503 sq.mtrs of building area available only one unit of D.E.Ed can be given.**

1. **Issue LOI for D.E.Ed (1 Unit)**
2. **FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.**
3. **Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.**
4. **Shifting of B.Ed is ratified (APS02654).**

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

The LOI was sent for D.El.Ed (SRCAPP14092) on 16.03.2016. The institution has not submitted reply even after the stipulated period.

The institution submitted written representation along with documents and stated as follows:

*"..... I bring to your kind notice that during process of application I had submitted NAAC application.*

*Hence, I request you to kindly consider M.Ed LOI for 2016-17 or at least 2017-18 batch."*

The SRC in its 324<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07<sup>th</sup> – 08<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

- 1.They have not responded to our communications.
- 2.for M.Ed there is an additional deficiency f inadequate built-up area.
- 3.Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection of the D.El.Ed application.
- 4.Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection of the M.Ed application for want of NAAC certification and for inadequate built-up area.

Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 16.12.2016.

The institution has submitted its reply along with relevant document on 09.01.2017. It stated as under:

The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> January 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

7. They have reported that built-up area has been augmented by 528.12 sq.mts. This needs to be inspected.
8. Collect 'fee' again and cause inspection to check the additional area constructed.
9. The D.El.Ed. case was also under Show Cause Notice . Ask VT to cause composite inspection of D.El.Ed.(1 unit) and M.Ed.(1 unit).

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institutions on 25.01.2017.

The institution has not submitted any written representation till date.

**The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-**

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Their application was for D.El.Ed (1 unit) and M.Ed (1 unit)</li> <li>2. There were deficiencies of inadequate built-up-area and non-submission of NAAC certificate.</li> <li>3. 'Fee' had to be collected for a fresh VT Inspection and Show Cause Notice for removal of the deficiencies.</li> <li>4. They have not responded.</li> <li>5. Reject their application.</li> <li>6. Close the case.</li> </ol>
43.	<p>SRCAPP14215</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed.</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Jenney's College of Education, Tiruchirapalli, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>SMT Rani Adaikalaraj Educational Charitable Trust, No. 7, Arokiasamy Pillai Street Road, Manigandam Village, Crawford Post, Srirangam Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City &amp; District-620009, Tamilnadu</b> applied for grant of recognition to <b>Jenney's College of Education, No. 53/6B4, 53/6B5, 52/1A, Kallikudi Street &amp; Village, Ramjeenagar Post, Srirangam Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City &amp; District-620009, Tamilnadu</b> for offering <b>B.A B.Ed</b> course for <b>four years</b> duration for the academic year <b>2016-17</b> under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on <b>28.06.2015</b>. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on <b>14.07.2015</b>.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A copy of application was sent to State Government recommendation on 21.07.2015. Reminder was sent on 05.04.2016.</p> <p>Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>"(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically alongwith the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."</i></p> <p>Sub- clause (2) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>"(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</i></p>

- a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;
- b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application."

Sub-Regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-

"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-

1. **The institution has not submitted hard copy of on-line application within 15 days as per the regulation 2014. (late by 2 days)**
2. **The institution has not submitted No Objection Certificate.**
3. **The applicant not signed every page of the hard copy of on-line application submitted by the institution.**

The SRC in its 291<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> to 21<sup>st</sup> August 2015, the committee considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for B.A.B.Sc. course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 28.06.2015 and hard copy on 14.07.2015, decided to *Summarily Reject the application* as per 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground:

- **The institution has not submitted hard copy of on-line application within 15 days as per the regulation 2014.**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC rejection order was issued to the institution on **15.10.2015**.

NCTE-Hqrs letter dated 14.01.2016 received on 19.01.2016 stating as follows:

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman



"...the directions of chairperson NCTE, as conveyed, in this office vide above mentioned letter dated 15.07.2014, extending the date of acceptance of the hardcopy of the applications for 2016-17, up to 15.07.2015 is reiterated for compliance."

The institution has submitted its written representation along with NOC issued by TNTEU dated 20.01.2016 received on 18.02.2016.

As per the direction the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> February 2016, the committee considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:

1. They have already B.Ed.
2. NOC is given.
3. They should indicate whether they want B.A.B.Ed or B.Sc.B.Ed.
4. BP, BCC and LUC are not furnished.
5. Cause Composite Inspection.
6. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents. Especially BP, BCC and LUC.
7. VT should check on contiguity of location of the programme.
8. VT should also ascertain whether they want BA.B.Sc or B.Sc.B.Ed.

As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 16.03.2016.

The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 26.03.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 28.03.2016

The SRC in its 308<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the VT inspection report and all other relevant documents of the institution and decided as under:

1. Issue LOI for B.A;B.Ed (2units)
2. FDRs in joint account should be furnished
3. Only if these are given on or before 02.05.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.

As per the decision of SRC, Letter of intent (LOI) was issued to the institution on 05.04.2016.

The institution has not replied to the LOI even after the stipulated period of

two months. (Till date).

The SRC in its 320<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> & 20 Sep, 2016 considered the matter and decide as under:-

- The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words, they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 05.04.2016.

As per the decision of the SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 15.10.2016 with the direction to submit reply before 31.12.2016.

The institution has submitted representation on 30.12.2016 stating as under:-

**"With reference cited to the above we got the LOI from SRC NCTE Bangalore. Regarding this matter we already submitted, a proposal for staff approval to the Tamilnadu Teacher Education University, Chennai still we are not getting reply from university. It is in process we are getting staff approval from TNTEU Chennai January 2017. We kindly request you to give us appropriate time extension for staff approval submission to start B.A, B.Ed course 2017-18".**

The same matter was placed before SRC in its 329<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> - 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 and the Committee considered and decided as under:-

1. LOI was issued in April 16.
2. They have still not given the reply. But, they have at least moved the TNTEU for approval of the faculty list.
3. Give them time till 10 Feb. 17.
4. Put up in the meeting on 12 Feb. 17.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 25.01.2017.

		<p>The institution has submitted a letter dated 10.02.2017, received through E-mail on 11.02.2017, stated as under:-</p> <p><i>"with reference to the above subject we would like to inform you that our application for staff approval is under processing before TNTEU, Chennai Due to the insatiability of Government and unavailability of vice chancellor, the processing of staff approval is getting delayed from the competent authority. The University Authority Assured us that they will issued the staff approval within 14<sup>th</sup> of February 2017.</i></p> <p><i>We here by assured you that once the staff approval is received that same will be submitted at your kind consideration. Hence we request to you your good self to kindly permit us for time limit upto February 15<sup>th</sup> for submission of reply."</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. LOIs for B.A.B.Ed( 2 units) was issued on 05.04.2016.</li><li>2. They have not yet given a substantive reply.</li><li>3. They want time till 15.02.2017.</li><li>4. Give time accordingly.</li><li>5. Put up on 22.02.17.</li></ol>
44.	SRCAPP15036 B.Sc.B.Ed 2 Units Gemgates College of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu	<p>Gemgates Educational Trust, Plot No.145, Gandhi Nagar Road &amp; Post, Attur Taluk Village, Taluk &amp; City, Salem District - 636109, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to Gemgates College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.11/2, Street No.11/2, Attavanai Kothampadi, Gandhi Nagar Post, Attur Taluk &amp; City, Salem District – 636109, Tamilnadu for offering B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed course for four years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2015. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 14.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter was sent to State Government for recommendation on 21.07.2015.</p> <p>The Sub- clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p>

*"(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.*

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-

- **NOC from affiliating body is not submitted along with application.**
- **Application is not signed by the applicant on every page of application as per Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014.**

The matter was placed before SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29-30 Sept, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for Non Submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with application.

Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 21.10.2015. Institution has not submitted reply even after 21 days of stipulated period.

The SRC in its 298<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 08<sup>th</sup>-10<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 considered the non reply from the institution to the show cause notice issued vide letter dated 21.10.2015 and it has decided as under:

- **Rejected for non-submission of reply to Show Cause Notice issued for non-submission of NOC.**

Accordingly rejection order was issued to the institution on 15.02.2016.

The SRC minutes dated 31.01.2016 decided as follows:

*"..keeping in mind the over-all public interest , the committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOC's and decided to reopen and*

*process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue".*

The institution has submitted NOC on 18.02.2016 and SRC considered the matter directly in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup>-20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 and it has decided as under:

1. They have UG/PG programmes
2. They want BA BED or B.Sc.B.Ed they should indicate their preference.
3. BP BCC are not given.
4. Cause Composite inspection.
5. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents, esp; BP & BCC; and, also check on their choice of the new programme to be offered.

Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 16.03.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 27.03.2016 and the VT report along with documents received on 28.03.2016.

The SRC in its 308<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> March 2016, the committee considered the VT report and other relevant documents and decided as under:

1. Issue LOI for B.Sc;B.Ed (2units)
2. FDRs in joint account should be furnished
3. Only if these are given on or before 02.05.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17 academic year be possible.

Accordingly, Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 05.04.2016 and Reminder to the State Government was sent on 05.04.2016.

**Institution has not submitted LOI reply till date.**

The SRC in its 320<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

- The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given

recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words, they have not suffered, any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 05.04.2016.

As per the decision of the SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 15.10.2016 before 31.12.2016.

On 29.12.2016, a letter received by this office on 02.01.2017 stating as under:-

**"Our Gem Gates College of Education got letter of intent from SRC NCTE on 05.04.2016 after that we apply to the Tamilnadu Teacher Education University on 07.06.2016 for getting staff approval for our institution. It's in process now. We are waiting for the same. We kindly request you to allow us to submit approval staff list with in February 2017.**

The same was placed before SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20 January, 2017, the Committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. They had taken action in June 16 itself to move TNTEU for approval of the faculty list.
2. Give them time till 10 Feb.
3. Put up in the meeting on 12 Feb.17.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 25.01.2017.

The institution has submitted a letter dated 09.02.2017, received through E-mail on 11.02.2017, stated as under:-

***"With reference to the above this is to inform you that our submission for staff approval is under processing in Tamil Nadu***

		<p><i>Teacher Education University, Chennai. The completion of process and receiving of staff approval will take upto February 13<sup>th</sup> 2017, So we will submit the staff approval as 14<sup>th</sup> of February 2017, Hence we request your good office kindly permit the time limit upto February 14<sup>th</sup> 2017 for submission of staff approval."</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. LOI for B.Sc. B.Ed ( 2 units) was issued on 05.04.2016</li> <li>2. They have not yet given a substantive reply.</li> <li>3. They want time till 14.02.17.</li> <li>4. Give time accordingly.</li> <li>5. Put up on 22.2.2017.</li> </ol>
45.	<p>SRCAPP3485</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed</p> <p>Sri Krishnaveni College of Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>TGM Educational Academy, Plot. No. 1-2, Tatineni Nagar, Poranki Village &amp; Post, Penamaluru Taluk, Vijayawada Town, Krishna District-521137, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Sri Krishnaveni College of Education, Plot No. 36/2, Tatineni Nagar, Poranki Village &amp; Post, Gannavaram Taluk, Krishna District-521137, Andhra Pradesh, for offering D.El.Ed course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee. NCTE through online on 17.06.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 18.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 06.07.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 06.10.2015 and Reminder-II on 29.10.2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decide that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</i></p> <p>The application was processed and placed before SRC in its 294<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 14- 16<sup>th</sup> November 2015, the committee considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The applicant must obtain affiliation from the concerned University for undergraduate liberal arts and Science</li> </ol>

- programmes and submit at the time of inspection.
2. The applicant has to choose either B.Sc B.Ed or BA. B.Ed
3. LUC not submitted,
4. Apprise the applicant of these deficiencies for necessary action.
5. Ask the VT to collect all relevant documents and check for removal of deficiencies.
6. Cause composite inspection.

As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 12.01.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 28.01.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 04.02.2016.

The SRC in its 302<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 09<sup>th</sup> – 11<sup>th</sup> February, 2016, the committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Issue LOI for B.Sc.B.Ed (1 unit)
2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.
3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.

Accordingly, Letter of intent was issued to the institution on 11.02.2016. The institution has submitted its reply on 02.03.2016.

The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> to 04<sup>th</sup> March, 2016, considered the LOI reply and decided as under:

1. One Lect (Socio/Phyl) is to be appointed as per norms.
2. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.
3. Eligible for recognition. But, because D.El.Ed has not been cleared, B.Sc;B.Ed also has to be held in abeyance on 'stand alone' considerations.

Accordingly, Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution for B.Sc.B.Ed for one unit on 31.03.2016. The deficiency letter was issued to the institution on 31.03.2016.

The institution has submitted its representation on 07.11.2016 requesting for B.A.B.Ed for one unit.

The SRC in its 325<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. NCTE (HQ) had subsequently clarified that the application for BA B.Ed/B.Sc B.Ed (2 units) can be treated as an application for B.AB.Ed (1 unit) and B.Sc. B.Ed (1 unit).
2. We can, therefore, consider their case now for B.AB.Ed (1 unit) also subject to availability of facilities.
3. Please examine the documents and report on the facilities available for considering permission for B.A B.Ed (1 unit).



As per SRC's decision the documents were processed and placed before the SRC in its 328<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2017. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. They had applied for B.A.B.Ed. & B.Sc. B.Ed. We have already recognized B.A.B.Ed.
2. NCTE (HQ) has now clarified that institutions can be given FR for B.A.B.Ed. and B.Sc.B.Ed. on the same application.
3. Built-up area available is adequate for both B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) and B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit).
4. Issue LOI for B.Sc. B.Ed.(1 unit).

Accordingly, Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 02.02.2017 for B.Sc.B.Ed (1 unit).

Now, the institution has submitted representation on 09.02.2017. It stated as under:

*"With reference to the above cited Ref.1 NCTE Sanctioned one unit of B.Sc.B.Ed (50 Seats) from the Academic year 2016-17.*

*We applied for B.Sc.B.Ed & B.A.B.Ed (50+50) and fulfilled all the Conditions for the said courses. But Recognition granted for B.Sc.B.Ed for 2016-17 Academic year.*

*As per the above ref.no. 2 we submitted letter for B.S.B.Ed (50 Seats) 1 unit.*

*As per above Ref. nO. 3 the NCTE issued LOI to our college, but mentioned B.Sc.B.Ed instead of B.A.B.Ed.*

*Therefore I hereby request you to rectify the error and Issue Fresh LOI with the Correction of B.A.B.Ed (50 Seats) 1 unit to start 2017-18 Academic year."*

**Note:**

In the SRC 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> – 04<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 it was decided to issue FR for B.Sc.B.Ed (1 unit). Accordingly, Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution for B.Sc.B.Ed (1 unit) on 31.03.2016. Now, in the SRC 328<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2017, it was decided as We have already recognized B.A.B.Ed. Issue LOI for B.Sc.B.Ed (1 Unit). Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution for B.Sc.B.Ed (1 Unit). Now, the institution is requesting to issue LOI for B.A.B.Ed (1 Unit).

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. FRs for D.El.Ed ( 1 unit) and B.Sc.B.Ed ( 1 unit) have issued.</li> <li>2. The request for B.A.B.Ed( 1 unit) was taken up for consideration. In the scrutiny, the assessment made that built-up area was adequate for B.A.B.Ed (1 unit) also was wrong. The built up area available is only 3034 sq.mtrs as against a requirement of 4500 sq.mtrs.</li> <li>3. The order for issue of LOI for B.Sc.B.Ed(1 unit) was an inadvertent error when it should have been B.A.B.Ed( 1 unit)</li> <li>4. But, correction of the that error will be of no purpose since built-up area is inadequate for B.A.B.Ed(1 unit) also.</li> <li>5. Cancel the LOI for B.Sc.B.Ed(1 unit) issued on 02.02.17.</li> <li>6. Close the file.</li> </ol>
46.	<p>SRCAPP14884</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Sree            Dattha Brindaavan Institute of Teach ers            Education, Mahbubnagar, Telangana</p>	<p>Vidyaranya Educational Society, Plot No. 7-374/8, TV Road, Vuyyuru Village &amp; P.O, Krishna District-521165, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition Sri Srinivasa College of Education, Khasara No931/2003, Plot No.7-374/B, Street No.7<sup>th</sup>, Vuyyuru Village, Taluk &amp; P.O, Krishna District-521165, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 21.06.2015. The institution had submitted hard copy of the application on 02.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt, was sent on 08.07.2015 followed by Reminder-I on 25.08.2015 and Reminder II on 15.10.2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 293<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup>-31<sup>st</sup> October, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Course can only be B.A. B.Ed or B.Sc., B.Ed. They has no BA; they have only B.Sc. therefore, we can consider this as an application for B.Sc. B.Ed.</li> <li>2. The land area shown in 2 acres. The LUC covers only 1.19 acres. There is no earmarking of course wise-area.</li> </ol>

3. The built up area was checked. That also has not programme wise earmarking. Details of classrooms, etc., are also not shown.
4. It is seen that nearly 2/3 of built up area has only A/c sheet roofing.
5. BP is not approved.
6. Affidavit is unsigned.
7. Inform the applicant of these deficiencies for necessary action.
8. VT shall look into these in particular besides other things. They should also collect all relevant documents.
9. Cause Inspection accordingly

As per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 12.01.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 28.01.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 04.02.2016.

The SRC in its 302<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 09<sup>th</sup> - 11<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the VT report and all other relevant documents and decided as under:

1. BCC is not in format.
2. No Video.
3. Choice is to be selected between B.A; B.Ed or B.Sc; B.Ed.
4. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

As per website information (302<sup>nd</sup> decision SRC), the institution submitted its reply along with relevant documents through email on 10.02.2016 and hard copy received on 11.02.2016.

The SRC in its 303<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> February 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Issue LOI for B.Sc; B.Ed (2 units)
2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.
3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible


Accordingly, Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 16.02.2016. The Institution has submitted LOI reply along with documents on 03.03.2016.

The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> - 04<sup>th</sup> March 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. In the light of the internal discussion within the Committee about the Common issue underlying all such cases, this case is taken up for reconsideration.

2. Issue Formal Recognition for B.Sc; B.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f. 2016-17.

Note as per summary:

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Service Certificate of Principal &amp; joining report to be obtained for our record.</li> <li>• Check website address.</li> <li>• One Asst.Prof (psy) is to be appointed.</li> </ul> <p>Accordingly, a deficiency letter was sent to the institution on 12.04.2016. Institution submitted its reply on 13.04.2016. Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution on 02.05.2016 for 2 units.</p> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <p><u>The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> – 04<sup>th</sup> March 2016, decided to issue FR for 1 unit. As per the direction of the then RD (Note Sheet no. 15) FR was issued to the institution for 2 units.</u></p> <p>The institution submitted representation on 08.11.2016 as under:</p> <p><i>"With reference to the subject cited we submitted the particulars earlier other than Teacher Education Programmes, under graduate (B.A/B.Sc/B.Com) and P.G Programme conducted by the VIDYARANYA EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY, VUYYURU and also we gave several requests for sanctioning B.A.B.Ed programe in our SRI SRINVASA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION. We are running B.A. Programe in U.G level and also we have sufficient staff, Inspection Committee also recommended B.A.B.Ed (II units) and B.Sc.B.Ed (II units) by observing accommodation and infrastructure, like labs and other facilities in the institution. KRISHNA UNIVERSITY, MACHILIPATNAM, A.P was also issued NOC for B.A.B.Ed Programme. But NCTE issued only B.Sc.B.Ed. programme with two units. But it is difficult to run only B.Sc.B.Ed. So please change B.Sc.B.Ed. two units into B.Sc.B.Ed. one unit, B.A.B.Ed one unit. Consider our request and give orders as early as possible."</i></p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The decision was to issue FR for B.Sc.B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f 2017-18.</li> <li>2. Issue FR only after obtaining the BP.</li> </ol>
47.	SRCAPP3532 D.El.Ed 1 Unit Raja Rajeshwari D.Ed College, Prakasam,	Deepthi Educational Society, Plot No-72/2, Giddalur Village & Post, Giddalur Taluk, Prakasam District-523357, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Raja Rajeshwari DED College, Plot No-72/2, Giddalur Village & Post, Giddalur Taluk, Prakasam District-523357, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed course of two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE

Andhra Pradesh	<p>through online on <b>22.06.2015</b> The institution submitted hard copy of the application on <b>29.06.2015</b>.</p> <p>The institution submitted No Objection Certificate dated <b>26.05.2015</b> issued by the Director SCERT, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh along with the hard copy of the application.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE(Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>A copy of application was sent to the State Government for recommendation on 08.07.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 08.10.2015 and Reminder-II on 05.12.2015 letter to institution for furnishing information on 'Composite' character on 10.10.2015.</p> <p>Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p> <p>The "(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. <b>While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.</b>"</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>The application is not duly signed by the applicant on all pages as per Sub-section(3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014</b></li></ul> <p>The SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> September 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p><b>"...The 1128 cases, in which digital signature are not available on each page in the applications received on-line, have to be seen as violating Regulations 5.3. of the 2014 Regulations. But it has to be recognized in this context that the said omission was due to a technical difficulty of there not being a provision in the on-line application system to upload digital signatures. In our opinion, it will not be correct to hold them responsible for not uploading</b></p>
----------------	--

*digital signatures when the system had no scope for such uploading because of a technical snag. Accordingly, we condone this omission and admit the applications for processing."*

A letter for Composite character as per Regulations, 2014 was sent on 08.10.2015. The institution submitted written representation on 05.11.2015.

The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup> December 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:

- Cause shifting inspection of the old B.Ed - APS07224
- Building Completion Certificate to be submitted
- Built up area inadequate for two programmes
- Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted
- Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents
- Cause Composite inspection

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent on 14.01.2016.

The SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> – 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

- The decision to cause inspection was not duly followed up.
- Constitute a VT; and, cause inspection after due notice.

The inspection of the institution was constituted through online on 14.12.2016.

The letter received from VT member on 16.01.2017. It's stated as under:

*"...With reference to your email, this is to inform you that when we have contracted Shri P.V. Tirupathi Reddy, Probably chairman of the institute ( Mobile no. 09391914989) and intimated him about inspection by visiting team, he replied that his institute is not willing to start D.Ed. course and he is going to close the institute. We have requested him to intimate the above to the regional director NCTE without any delay.*

*Hence we are informing you that inspection team has not inspected*

		<p><i>the institute as per your direction."</i></p> <p><b>Remarks:-</b> No Communication is received from the institution in this regard.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <p>1. The VT member has reported that the Management (through Shri.P.V.Tirupathi Reddy) has stopped the VT from going for the inspection saying that the institute had decided against starting the D.El.Ed course.</p> <p>2.1. Issue Show Cause Notice to the institution asking them to confirm that they have withdrawn their application for D.El.Ed</p> <p>2.2 They should also clarify whether they are shifting B.Ed or not. If they are, why did they halt the VT inspection? If they are not they will be vulnerable to withdrawal of recognition for B.Ed course still operating on leased land.</p>
48.	<p>SRCAPP3515 B.Sc.BEd  2 Units  Sri Srinivasa College of Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Vidyaranya Educational Society, Plot No. 7-374/8, TV Road, Vuyyuru Village &amp; P.O, Krishna District-521165, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition Sri Srinivasa College of Education, Khasara No931/2003, Plot No.7-374/B, Street No.7<sup>th</sup>, Vuyyuru Village, Taluk &amp; P.O, Krishna District-521165, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 21.06.2015. The institution had submitted hard copy of the application on 02.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 08.07.2015 followed by Reminder-I on 25.08.2015 and Reminder II on 15.10.2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 293<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup>-31<sup>st</sup> October, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p>

1. The Course can only be B.A. B.Ed or B.Sc., B.Ed. They has no BA; they have only B.Sc. therefore, we can consider this as an application for B.Sc. B.Ed.
2. The land area shown in 2 acres. The LUC covers only 1.19 acres. There is no earmarking of course wise-area.
3. The built up area was checked. That also has not programme wise earmarking. Details of classrooms, etc., are also not shown.
4. It is seen that nearly 2/3 of built up area has only A/c sheet roofing.
5. BP is not approved.
6. Affidavit is unsigned.
7. Inform the applicant of these deficiencies for necessary action.
8. VT shall look into these in particular besides other things. They should also collect all relevant documents.
9. Cause Inspection accordingly

As per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 12.01.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 28.01.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 04.02.2016.

The SRC in its 302<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 09<sup>th</sup> -11<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the VT report and all other relevant documents and decided as under:

1. BCC is not in format.
2. No Video.
3. Choice is to be selected between B.A; B.Ed or B.Sc; B.Ed.
4. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

As per website information (302<sup>nd</sup> decision SRC), the institution submitted its reply along with relevant documents through email on 10.02.2016 and hard copy received on 11.02.2016.

The SRC in its 303<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> February 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Issue LOI for B.Sc; B.Ed (2 units)
2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.
3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.

Accordingly, Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 16.02.2016. The Institution has submitted LOI reply along with documents on 03.03.2016.

The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> - 04<sup>th</sup> March 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. In the light of the internal discussion within the Committee



about the Common issue underlying all such cases, this case is taken up for reconsideration.

2. Issue Formal Recognition for B.Sc; B.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f. 2016-17.

Note as per summary:

- Service Certificate of Principal & joining report to be obtained for our record.
- Check website address.
- One Asst.Prof (psy) is to be appointed.

Accordingly, a deficiency letter was sent to the institution on 12.04.2016. Institution submitted its reply on 13.04.2016.

Formal Recognition order was issued to the institution on 02.05.2016 for 2 units.

Note:

The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> – 04<sup>th</sup> March 2016, decided to issue FR for 1 unit. As per the direction of the then RD (Note Sheet no. 15) FR was issued to the institution for 2 units.

The institution submitted representation on 08.11.2016 as under:

*"With reference to the subject cited we submitted the particulars earlier other than Teacher Education Programmes, under graduate (B.A/B.Sc/B.Com) and P.G Programme conducted by the VIDYARANYA EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY, VUYYURU and also we gave several requests for sanctioning B.A.B.Ed programe in our SRI SRINVASA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION. We are running B.A. Programe in U.G level and also we have sufficient staff, Inspection Committee also recommended B.A.B.Ed (II units) and B.Sc.B.Ed (II units) by observing accommodation and infrastructure, like labs and other facilites in the institution. KRISHNA UNIVERSITY, MACHILIPATNAM, A.P was also issued NOC for B.A.B.Ed Programme. But NCTE issued only B.Sc.B.Ed. programme with two units. But it is difficult to run only B.Sc.B.Ed. So please change B.Sc.B.Ed. two units into B.Sc.B.Ed. one unit, B.A.B.Ed one unit. Consider our request and give orders as early as possible."*

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. They had applied for B.Sc.B.Ed( 2 units). LOI was also issued for B.Sc.B.Ed ( 2 units).Issue of FR for B.Sc.B.Ed( 1 unit) was an obvious error,

		<p>albeit inadvertent. It was, therefore, corrected as FR for B.Sc.B.Ed ( 2 units ) on 02.05.2016.</p> <p>2. If they want B.A.B.Ed also, they may apply afresh whenever next NCTE invites applications.</p>
49.	<p>SRCAPP14534</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>Archana Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p><b>Archana Vignan Educational Rural Development Society, Plot No-20, 1st Street, Opp.K.K Palli Village, Rayachoty Post &amp; Taluk,Kadapa District – 516269, Andhra Pradesh</b> had applied for grant of recognition to <b>Archana Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Plot No-20, 4th Street, Rayachoty Village &amp; Post, Rayachoty Taluk, Kadapa District-516269 Andhra Pradesh</b> for offering D.El.Ed course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 29.06.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 13.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 22.07.2015, followed by Reminder I on 10.10.2015 and Reminder II on 30.11.2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><b><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</i></b></p> <p>The SRC in its 295<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> November and 1<sup>st</sup> December , 2015 has considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution in respect of D.El.Ed (SRCAPP14534) and D.El.Ed-A.I (srcapp14535) applications along with hard copy of application and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant made two application applications for D.El.Ed in one campus.</li> <li>2. Eligible for two units of D.El.Ed at a time.</li> <li>3. SRCAP14534 will be considered for 2 units.</li> <li>4. Show Cause Notice for closing SRCAPP14535</li> <li>5. Cause Composite Inspection</li> <li>6. Ask VT to particularly check on the deficiencies and collect all documents.</li> </ol>

As per the decision of SRC, a composite inspection was conducted on **29.01.2016** and visiting team report is received by this office on **08.02.2016**.

SRC 302<sup>th</sup> meeting of SRC 09<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup> & 11<sup>th</sup> February 2016 considered the matter and decided as under.

**SRCAPP14534-LOI –D.El.Ed-2 units**

1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed (2 units).
2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.
3. Only if these are given on or before 3. 3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17 academic year be possible.

**SRCAPP14535- show cause notice reply not submitted so far.**

Accordingly, Letter of intent was issued to the institution on 17.02.2016. The institution has submitted LOI reply on 03.03.2016.

The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>th</sup> to 04<sup>th</sup> March 2016, considered the LOI Reply & decided on under.

- Issue Formal Recognition for D.El.Ed (units) w.e.f.2016-17.

A letter to the institution sent on 18.04.2016 regarding submission of following documents. Records does not provide the basis of such comments.

- Staff list for the proposed course, affidavit & photo graphs of infrastructural facilities are not uploaded in the website.

The institution has not submit any reply.

The SRC in its 324<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07<sup>th</sup> & 08<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 considered the matter & decided as under.

1. In all these 3 cases, the Formal Recognitions that were decided for issue, may be cancelled.
2. The institutions have not cared to reply to our letters. Let us now issue show cause notice for rejection of the application altogether.

		<p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 16.12.2016. The institution submitted its reply on 30.12.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 328<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2017 considered the reply and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The reply is satisfactory.</li> <li>2. Show Cause Notice is cancelled.</li> <li>3. Close the case (No. 14534).</li> <li>4. The D.El.Ed-AI case (No. 14535) was rejected in the 309<sup>th</sup> meeting.</li> </ol> <p><b>NOTE:</b></p> <p>Submitted for the order that in 306<sup>th</sup> meeting, SRC has decided to issue FR for SRCAPP 14534-D.El.Ed (2 units). Due to the website deficiency the letter was issued. During 328<sup>th</sup> meeting the reply to the show cause notice was consider and decided as <u>"The reply is satisfactory."</u> However in decision Sl.No. 3 it is mention <u>"Close the case."</u></p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In the decision recorded at the 328th meeting, the entry " issue FR for D.El.Ed (2 units) w.e.f 2017-18" was omitted. Insert it as point 2.A after the decision " Show Cause Notice is cancelled."</li> <li>2. Close the file.</li> </ol>
50.	<p>SRCAPP3011</p> <p>B.Ed-DE</p> <p>Institute of Distance Education University of Madras, Chennai, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>Institute of Distance Education, University of Madras, Plot No. 133/137, Wallajah Road, Chepauk Village and Taluk, Triplicane Post, Chennai City and District-600005, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to Institute of Distance Education University of Madras, Khasara No.3173, Plot No. 133/137, Chepauk Village and Taluk, Triplicane Post, Chennai City and District-600005, Tamilnadu for offering B.Ed-Distance mode of two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.05.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 22.06.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 25.06.2015, and Reminder-I on 11.11.2016.</p> <p>Sub-clause (2) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>*(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</i></p>

- (a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;
- (b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application".

Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-

*"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."*

Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-

*"(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."*

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-

- The institution has not submitted hard copy of application within 15 days of online submission
- The application is not duly signed by the applicant on all pages as per Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014.

The SRC in its 291<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> -21<sup>st</sup> August, 2015 considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for B.Ed (DE) course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 30.05.2015 and hard copy on 22.06.2015 decided to **Summarily Reject** the application as per clause 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground:

- The institution has not submitted hard copy of application within 15 days of online submission.

As per the decision of SRC, rejection order was issued to the institution 13.10.2015.

An email dated 18.01.2016 was received from NCTE Hqs on 18.01.2016 with request to send original records of the institution along with comments on the appeal.

Accordingly, a letter was sent to NCTE Hqs on 03.02.2016.

Letter dated 14.01.2016 was received on 19.01.2016 from NCTE Hqs regarding Acceptance of hardcopy of applications for 2016-17. The letter stated that *"I am directed to refer to this office letter even no. dated 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2015 conveying therein orders of Chairperson in paragraph 4 of the letter that 15<sup>th</sup> July 2015 will be the last date for submission of hardcopy of application for the academic session 2015-16, irrespective of the date of online submission. In this regard, attention is invited to a subsequent letter dated 7<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 wherein under the last line of paragraph 1, the position as it exists in clause 7 of the Regulations 2014 has been indicated. It is hereby clarified that inclusion of this line was an inadvertent mistake, therefore was not necessary and may be ignored. As such, the directions of the chairperson NCTE, as conveyed in this office vide above mentioned letter dated 15<sup>th</sup> July 2014, extending the date of acceptance of the hardcopy of the applications for 2016-17, up to 15<sup>th</sup> July 2015 is reiterated for compliance"*

The SRC in its 300<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 considered the matter and decided as follows:

*"..keeping in mind the over-all public interest , the committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOC's and decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue".*

**The University has not submitted No Objection Certificate.**

The matter was placed before SRC in its 304<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016, The Committee considered matter and decided as under:

1. BP is not approved by competent authority.
2. BCC to be submitted.
3. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.
4. Cause Composite Inspection.

As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 17.03.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.03.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 28.03.2016.

The SRC in its 308<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> -30<sup>th</sup> March 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

- Details of study centres not given.

Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 16.08.2016, The institution has not submitted reply till date.

The SRC in its 323<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as follows:

1. BCC is not yet given.
2. Duly approved BP is also not yet given.
3. Details of study centres are also not given.

4. Other details listed in Cl.9, Appendix 10 of the Regulations are not given.
5. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

As for the decision of the SRC, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution 30.11.2016. Reply to the Show Cause Notice was received from the institution on 26.12.2016.

The SRC in its 326<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 04<sup>th</sup> & 05<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under :-

1. BCC is not in the prescribed format.
2. Same photocopy of BP is submitted for B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. without any apportionment, demarcation, etc. details.
3. Details of clause 9, appendix-10 of Regulations are still not given.
4. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

As per the decision of the SRC, a show cause Notice was issued to the institution on 18.01.2017.

The institution has submitted representation on 10.02.2017 and stating as under:-

Sl. No	Deficiency Pointed out in the Show Cause Notice	Reply of the institution	Details of the documents submitted	Remarks
1	Details of clause 9, appendix-10 of Regulations are still not given.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. They have also to give the details as required in the clause 9, appendix-9 of the NCTE Regulations (cited in the earlier show cause Notice dated 30.11.2016).</li> <li>a. Preparation of the project documents with details about the scope of the programme, fee structure, enrolment, faculty, learning resources, study enrolment, faculty, learning resources, study centers with facilities and tutors/ counselors, estimated expenditure for development and implementation of the programme, norms of payment to study centers and resource persons, additional facilities, resources to be provided to study centres and monitoring and supervision of the programme.</li> <li>b. Approval of the appropriate university body or state government for launching the programme.</li> <li>c. preparation of curriculum (Course-wise and unit wise structure) including scheme of</li> </ol>	<p>The preparation of project documents is under processing.</p> <p>The copy of the recommendation letter issued by the Government of Tamilnadu is enclosed herewith.</p> <p>The preparation of curriculum structure and the scheme of examinations are under processing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The study material will be prepared SLM format as suggested by Distance Education Council/</li> </ul>	Copy of letter (Ms) No.447, Higher Education (H1) Department, dated 13.10.2015 is submitted

			<p>evaluations/ examination and support services, duly approved by the University.</p> <p>d. Preparation of the self-learning materials in print and non-print, duly certified by the Distance Education Council (DEC) Bureau.</p> <p>e. Under taking the identified study centers in a prescribed format ensuring strict observations of D.El.Ed norms for the study centers.</p> <p>f. Initiation of staff selection process such as advertising, screening interviewing and offering appointment to the selected candidates.</p>	<p>Bureau</p> <p>Not applicable, since the course will be conducted in the Head Quarter only.</p> <p>The staff selection process is awaiting for the nomination of Voice-chancellor.</p>	
2	BCC is not in the prescribed format.	2. They have still not sent the approved BCC.	<p>No prescribed format for the BCC (BCC) is available in the Gazette and Public Notice of the NCTE.</p> <p>However, it is to state that the University of Madras is a state University &amp; Executive Engineer of the University is the competent authority to issue BCC on behalf of</p>	BCC not submitted.	
3	Same photocopy of BP is submitted for B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. without any apportionment, demarcation, etc. details.	3. Same photocopy of BP is submitted for D.El.Ed and B.Ed with no details of appointment and earmarking.	The appointed Building plan allocated for B.Ed and D.El.Ed course separately are now submitted.	The institution has submitted photo copy of the BP, not approved by the competent authority.	
<p><b>Remarks :-</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Copy of letter (Ms) No.447, Higher Education (H1) Department, dated 13.10.2015 is submitted.</li> <li>• BCC not submitted</li> <li>• The institution has submitted photo copy of the BP, not approved by the competent authority.</li> </ul>					



		<p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The BP with details of convenience apportionment and earmarking of built-up area has to be given duly approved by the Competent authority. Only a photocopy has been repeated.</li> <li>2. BCC format is given in the SRC website. Building Completion Certificate should be given in that format duly approved by the competent authority.</li> <li>3. Project documents and study material should be ready before the recognition can be given. Issue a letter accordingly.</li> </ol>
51.	<p>SRCAPP14229</p> <p>D.El.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>SRCAPP14841 B.Ed-1 Unit</p> <p>Nandi Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p><b><u>SRCAPP14229-D.El.Ed</u></b></p> <p>Nandi Educational Society, Plot No. 21/452/Z/5, By pass Road, Nandyal Village and Post Office, Nandyal Taluk and Town, Kurnool District - 518501, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition Nandi Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Plot/Khasra No. 45, Plot No. 245/2 and 247/A1, Street No.6, Viswanathapuram Village ,Mydukur Taluk and Post Office , Mydukur Town, Kadapa District – 516172 Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 29/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 10/07/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014, notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16/07/2015, followed by Reminder-I on 17/11/2015 and Reminder-II on 14/12/2015.</p> <p><b><u>SRCAPP14841/B.Ed</u></b></p> <p>Nandi Educational Society, Plot No. 21/452/Z/5, By pass Road, Nandyal Village and Post Office, Nandyal Taluk and Town, Kurnool District - 518501, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition Nandi College of Education, Plot/Khasra No. 45, Plot No. 245/2 and 247/A1, Street No.6, Viswanathapuram Village ,Mydukur Taluk and Post Office , Mydukur Town, Kadapa District – 516172 Andhra Pradesh for offering B.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 30/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 10/07/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014, notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A</p>

letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16/07/2015, followed by Reminder-I on 17/11/2015 and Reminder-II on 13/12/2015.

The Sub Clause (7) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:

***"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".***

The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting has considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:

- 1. English version of the land document to be submitted**
- 2. Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted**
- 3. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents**
- 4. Cause Composite inspection**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 18.01.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 23.02.2016 and the VT Report along with documents received on 25.02.2016.

The SRC in its 305<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> – 27<sup>th</sup> February 2016, considered the matter and decided as under.

- 1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed(1 unit)**
- 2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished .**
- 3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.**

- 1. Issue LOI for B.Ed(1 unit)**
- 2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished .**
- 3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.**

Accordingly, LOI was issued to the institution for both courses on 26.02.2016. The institution has not submitted reply till date.

The SRC in its 324<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07<sup>th</sup> & 08<sup>th</sup> December, 2016

		<p>considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. They want only one unit of D.El.Ed. Issue a corrigendum accordingly in the LOI for D.El.Ed.</li> <li>2. The built up area was wrongly assessed to be adequate for 2 units of B.Ed. It is now seen to be adequate for only one unit. Issue a corrigendum accordingly in the LOI for B.Ed.</li> </ol> <p><b>Remarks:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As per decision of 305<sup>th</sup> meeting LOI was issued for D.El.Ed and B,Ed courses 1 unit only.</li> <li>• In 324<sup>th</sup> meeting agenda (Non submission of LOI reply) was wrongly prepared, stating that decision of 305<sup>th</sup> meeting as issue LOI for D.El.Ed (2 units) and LOI for B.Ed (2 units), also a remark was put up stating that "the institution vide affidavit had requested for 1 unit of D.El.Ed. VT also shows 1 unit, but LOI decided for 2 units and issued and As per VT report, the total b.u.a is 3073.47 sq.mts which is sufficient only for 1 unit of D.El.Ed and 1 unit of B.Ed".</li> <li>• Based on the agenda the decision was that issued corrigendum LOI for B.Ed and D.El.Ed for one unit. This has to be brought to the notice of SRC and it has to be rectified.</li> </ul> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The two LOIs were for 1 unit only. The reference to 2 units in the agenda note for the 324th meeting was an error. No corrigendum need issue because the institution has not been impacted by the error.</li> <li>2. The LOI for B.Ed(1 unit) and D.El.Ed(1 unit) were issued on 26.02.2016</li> <li>3. No reply has been received so far.</li> <li>4. We cannot wait indefinitely.</li> <li>5. Reject the applications</li> <li>6. Return the FDRs, if any.</li> <li>7. Close the file.</li> </ol>
52.	<p>SRCAPP2016 30201</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed 1 Unit</p>	<p>Dr. P. N. Educational and Charitable Trust, Panduthakaranpudur Village, Karur-Salem Bye pass, Manmangalam Taluk, Karur City &amp; District-639006, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to Arasu College of Education for Women, Panduthakaranpudur Village, Karur-Salem Bye pass, Manmangalam Taluk, Karur City &amp; District-639006, Tamilnadu for offering</p>

	<p>B.Sc. B.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>Ponkalamman College of Education, Karur, Tamilnadu</p>	<p><b>B.A.B.Ed.,B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course of four years duration for the academic year 2017-18</b> under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2016. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 13.07.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.08.2016 followed by Reminder I on 12.10.2016 and Reminder II on 11.11.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p>
<p>53.</p>	<p>SRCAPP2016 30198</p> <p>B.A.B.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>B.Sc.B.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>Arasu College of Education for Women, Karur, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed.,B.Sc.B.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>As per the direction, the application was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and the same was placed before the Committee.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327th Meeting held during 19th &amp; 20th January, 2017 considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NOC received</li> <li>2. Title is there. Land area is adequate.</li> <li>3. They have to clarify whether they want B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Sc. B.Ed.(2 units) or B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) + B.Sc. B.Ed.(1 unit).</li> <li>4. LUC is there.</li> <li>5. EC is not in original. Shows land is mortgaged.</li> <li>6. BP is approved. Built-up area shown 2543.19 sq.mts.</li> <li>7. BCC is approved. Built-up area shown is 2017.02 sq.mts. It is not clear whether the building is common for the existing B.Ed.(2 units)-APS08517 and this application for B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed.(SRCAPP2016). If it is the same, then, the built-up area is inadequate. They must clarify the position.</li> <li>8. FDRs – not given.</li> <li>9. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</li> </ol> <p>Accordingly, a Show Cause Notice was sent to the institution through online mode on 20.01.2017.</p> <p>The institution submitted reply to the Show Cause Notice along with</p>

relevant documents in hard copy on 27.01.2017 and in online on 30.01.2017.

SRC in its 329th meeting held during 6th to 7th February, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under :-

1. *In this case, a lot of work remains to be completed.*
2. *This case is not at all likely to materialize for consideration or issue of FR before 3.3.2017.*
3. *The agenda note is also incomplete. Their reply to our Show Cause Notice is also not complete.*
4. *Put up with a complete agenda note in April.*

The institution has submitted additional documents as Show Cause Notice Reply in hard copy on 07.02.2016.

The Show Cause Notice reply of the institution is resubmitted as under :-

Sl. No	Deficiency Pointed out in the Show Cause Notice	Reply of the institution	Remarks
1	They have to clarify whether they want B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.Sc. B.Ed.(2 units) or B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) + B.Sc. B.Ed.(1 unit).	We need B.A. B.Ed (1 unit) + B.Sc.B.Ed (1 unit)	As stated by the institution
2	EC is not in original. Shows land is mortgaged.	Now. We submit EC in original dated 24.012017 and also Tamil version is translated	Two encumbrance certificates submitted Date 24.01.2017 Issued by Sub Registrar, Velayuthampal

		into English, the same certified by notary public and commissioner of oaths. The land is free from encumbrance	<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>ayam</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sy. Nos.</td> <td>867,261,261/A 22</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location</td> <td>Punjai Kadambankuri chi</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Period</td> <td>01.01.2005 to 22.01.2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Extent</td> <td>1 acre</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Land in the name of</td> <td>Kothai N.P.Chairman, Dr.P.N. Educational &amp; Charitable Trust</td> </tr> </table> <p>Two original ECs are submitted.</p> <p>The transaction dated 08.02.2012 wherein the title deed is deposited with corporation Bank is not reflected in the EC submitted along with the notice reply.</p>		ayam	Sy. Nos.	867,261,261/A 22	Location	Punjai Kadambankuri chi	Period	01.01.2005 to 22.01.2017	Extent	1 acre	Land in the name of	Kothai N.P.Chairman, Dr.P.N. Educational & Charitable Trust
	ayam														
Sy. Nos.	867,261,261/A 22														
Location	Punjai Kadambankuri chi														
Period	01.01.2005 to 22.01.2017														
Extent	1 acre														
Land in the name of	Kothai N.P.Chairman, Dr.P.N. Educational & Charitable Trust														
3	BP is approved. Built-up area shown 2543.19 sq.mts.	This built up area 2543.19 is exclusively used for B.A.B.Ed(1unit) +B.Sc. B.Ed (1 unit+ 4 year integrated	As stated by the institution  The Building Plan is for the proposed course .												

		4	BCC is approved. Built-up area shown is 2017.02 sq.mts. It is not clear whether the building is common for the existing B.Ed.(2 units)- APS08517 and this application for B.A.B.Ed/ B.Sc.B.Ed (SRCAPP2016). If it is the same, then, the built-up area is inadequate. They must clarify the position.	Building Completion Certificate and Building photos are submitted	Building Completion Certificate as detailed below is submitted.	
					Date	25.01.2017
					In the name of	Arasu College of Education for Women
					Issued by	Assistant Engineer, Panchayat Union Karur
					Sy.No.	261/A22 (old) 261/A22C( new)
					Location	Panduthakar anpudur
					Purpose	B.A.B.Ed,B.S c.B.Ed
					Built up area	2543.19 sq.mtrs
					Type of Roofing	RCC
					4	FDRs - not given
<p><b>Note :</b></p> <p>1. The institution has requested for B.A.B.Ed ( 1 unit) and B.Sc.B.Ed( 1 unit)</p>						

2. The institution has submitted Show Cause Notice Reply through hard copy on 27.01.2017 and in online mode on 30.01.2017. The institution has submitted additional documents in reply to the Show Cause Notice on 07.02.2017

**Remarks.**

1. The transaction dated 08.02.2012 wherein the title deed is deposited with corporation Bank is not reflected in the EC submitted along with the notice reply. As per the EC land is in the name of Chariman, Dr.P.N.Educational & Charitable Trust, Kannur.
2. The institution has submitted a Building Completion Certificate dated 25.01.2017 showing a built up area of 2543.19 sq.mtrs for proposed B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed course is submitted.
3. The institution in its reply in respect of SRCAPP201630201 has stated that
  - (a) APS08321 and SRCAPP201630201 go together for the composite status of Ponkalamman College of Education, APS08517 and SRCAPP201630198 go together for the composite status of Arasu College of Education.
  - (b) Ponkalamman College of Education and Arasu College of Education for Women are having a separate building in the same Sy.No. 261/A22C.

**Note :**

- (1) The institution has submitted the same land documents for both Arasu College of Education for Women and Ponkalamman College of Education.
- (2) Two Building Completion Certificates submitted for Ponkalamman College of Education are similar to two Building Completion Certificates submitted in respect of Arasu College of Education for Women. The built up areas reflected are same.

A comparative statement of Show Cause Notice replies received in



respect of Arasu College of Education and Ponkalamman College of Education is enclosed

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. These two cases have many things in common, same location, same Sy. No.s, same type of building, the 2 units linked in a L-shaped structure, same existing courses (i.e B.Ed , 2 units), same proposed courses (i.e B.A.B.Ed 1 unit + B.Sc.B.Ed - 1 unit).
- 2.1 But, EC (dt 24.1.17) is differently shown. For one the no is 104 and for the other it is 105. Why should there be two different ECs for the same Sy.No.s, it is not clear.
- 2.2 There is one EC covering Sy.No. 867 for which there are no documents. The relevance of this EC needs to be explained.
3. The built-up area required in each case is 5000 sq.mtrs ( 2000 sq.mtrs for B.Ed 2 units + 1500 sq.mtrs for B.A.B.Ed - 1 unit + 1500 sq.mtrs for B.Sc.B.Ed - 1 unit)
- 4.1 The built-up area shown to be available for Arasu College is only 2543.19 sq.mtrs which is very inadequate. They cannot make up by additional construction because the Building Plan permits only 2543.19 sq.mtrs of built-up area.
- 4.2 The built-up-area shown to be available for the Ponkalamman case is 4560.21 sq. mtrs (2543.19 +2017.02 sq. mtrs). This is inadequate against the requirement of 5000 sq. mtrs. But, it is not clear wherefrom the extra 2017.02 sq. mtrs come since both the building units are shown to be of the same size and shape. In this case also, they cannot make up for the deficit through addl. construction because the Building Plan permits only 2543.19 sq. mtrs of built up area.
5. According to NCTE(HQ), we cannot give more than 2 units of B.Ed to a TEI since that is the stipulation in the Regulations. Both these TEIs already have 2 units of B.Ed. Whether the 2 units of B.Ed in the 2 integrated courses proposed will add to the already existing 2 units or not is not clear. We may have to seek clarification form NCTE(HQ).
- 6.1 All in all, these two cases are too complex. Various legal and

		<p>documentary issues need to be resolved. We will have to get a composite inspection organized with a detailed list of points for verification by VT.</p> <p>6.2 Cause such a composite inspection.</p> <p>7. Since the computer programme reportedly is not amenable for issuing such guidelines to VT</p> <p>members, RD is advised to write separate letter to the VT members giving guidelines strictly according to the minute of the SRC.</p>
54.	Letter from NCTE, Hqrs.	<p>NCTE Hqrs. vide letter no.No.F.63-6/2015/NCTE/Legal/45419 dt.09.11.2016 has forwarded a copy of judgment order dt. 08.09.2016 in Civil Appeal No. 9193/2016 arising out of SLP No.22637/2015 titled L.B.S B.Ed College Vs. State of Rajasthan and others passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. The concluding para of the Judgment reads as under:</p> <p><i>"As we find from the aforesaid authorities as well as the Regulations framed by the NCTE, the State has a say, may be a limited one. We are inclined to use the word 'limited' because the State's say is not binding on the NCTE. However, the NCTE is required to take the same into consideration, for the state has a vital role to offer proper comments supported by due reasoning. It needs no special emphasis to say that final authority rests with the NCTE. It is the clear legal position.</i></p> <p><i>In course of hearing, we have been apprised that the NCTE has granted recognition to some of the institutions. As the recognition has already been granted, the controversy with regard to the said institutions shall stand closed. Needless to say, in future, whenever an application is received under the Regulations and the judgments of this Court and the State shall remain bound by the principles set out herein above. Needless to say, the NCTE shall take into consideration the recommendations and views of the State despite the fact that it has the final say."</i></p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and noted the matter.</p>

55.	Raja Rammohan Educational and Society Welfare Trust	<p>A court order dated 20.01.2017 received on 06.02.2017 from the Hon'ble High Court of Hyderabad in W.P.No.5029 of 2016 &amp; W.P.M.P.No.6424 of 2016 filed by Dasari Seetha Naidu W/o. D.Suresh Babu, Occ. Retd. Principal, R/o. H.No. 31-8-12, GI. Ashwarya Flat, Maruthi Nagar, Andhra Bank Street, Vijayawada, Krishna District.</p> <p>The Court Order Stated as under:</p> <p><b><i>"No representation on behalf of the respondents.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>According to learned counsel for the petitioner, though petitioner retired on 31.07.2014, so far pension and retirement benefits are not paid.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>According to the learned counsel in terms of the orders issued by the government in G.O. Ms. No. 14 dated 20.02.2010, the State Rules would apply in respect of pension, gratuity and other retirement benefits for the employees drawing UGC pay scales on par with the State Government employees as revised from time to time by the State Government. Thus, petitioner is entitled to the retirement benefits as applicable to the employees of the State Government.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Pending disposal of the writ petition, the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent is directed to determine pension and gratuity as per the A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980 and release the benefits to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible, preferably within two (2) months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>With the above directions, the W.P.M.P., is disposed of."</i></b></p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and noted the matter.</p>
-----	---	--

56.	Letter from NCTE Hqrs.	<p><b>A letter from NCTE Hqrs No.49-3/2016/NCTE/Dated/10.02.2017, received on 10.02.2017 regarding clarification on certain points with regard to NCTE Regulations, 2014 in respect of four year integrated course TEI regarding.</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>The letter reads as under;</b></p> <p>I am directed to refer to your letter No. NC/NCTE/MS/2016/165549 dated 23.01.2017 on the subjected cited above.</p> <p>In this connection it is submitted that the points raised in the letter received from the RD, NRC for clarification alongwith NCTE reply are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Whether 4 year integrated course can be offered by a stand alone TEI, offering a single programme of teacher education, in view of the provision in the regulation that such a course can be offered only to a 'composite-institution; as per definition in clause 2(b) of the Regulation 2014.</b></li></ol> <p>The NCTE HQ. clarified that this issue needs to be resolved as per clarification given earlier vide letter dated 7<sup>th</sup> April 2016. In this letter, we clarified that in view of another provision in clause 8(1) of the Regulations that all standalone Teacher Education Institutions need to gradually move towards becoming 'composite-institution; the 4 year integrated course can also be given to a Teacher Education institution offering a single teacher education programme, provided the affiliating university agrees to regulate the BA/B.Sc./ component of the integrated programmes, as per university norms.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>2. Whether application for more than one teacher programme</b></li></ol>
-----	------------------------	---

**simultaneously can be considered by RC.**

Earlier, it has been categorically clarified vide NCTE letter dated 2.2.2016 that such applications can be considered simultaneously. However, final recognition to TEI shall be issued only if both the applications meet the requirement and are approved by RC for recognition. There is no bar on simultaneous processing of the application but final recognition order shall be issued if only it results in recognition of TEI with two programmes. Thus the process should not result in creation of a stand alone TEI offering a single Teacher Education Programme.

**3. Whether expansion/additional intake may be allowed in case of DPSE D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. Programme to existing TEI's.**

The NCTE clarified vide NCTE letter dated 8.12.2016 that intake defined for B.Ed. programme under the Norms is, "there shall be a basic unit of 50 students with a maximum of two units." In view of this provision no additional intake be allowed to any TEI for B.Ed. course, beyond two units. The matter was clarified accordingly.

**However, provisions of intake for DPSE and D.El.Ed. programme is little different. In these cases only two units are allowed initially. However, Government institutions shall be sanctioned a maximum intake of four units subject to fulfillment of other requirements. No indication for a maximum number of units has been provided in the norms for these two courses for self financed institutions.**

**Hence in case of DPSE and D.El.Ed. increase in number of units beyond two basic units may be considered in a composite institution (as per clause 2(b) of NCTE Regulation, 2014) and also**

		<p>taking into account the need for additional intake in the context of overall requirement in the State, in addition to other infrastructure requirement including those for existing units as per instant regulation.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Noted</li><li>2. Give copies of the NCTE circulars to all Members.</li><li>3. The clarification regarding - 4 year integrated course requires review. Shri. Chaturvedi i.e U.S(NCTE), will pursue with HQ.</li><li>4. The clarification regarding addl. intake in D.P.S.E/D.El.Ed/B.Ed covers too many variations of the 3 courses .SRO to put up a comparative tabular chart.</li></ol>
57.	APS04366 TTC  Government Teacher Training Institute, Ernakulam, Kerala	<p>Government Teacher Training Institute, Cheruvattoor Post, Nellikuzhi Village, Kothamangalam, Ernakulam District -686691, Kerala submitted application for grant to recognition TTC course on 24.08.2005.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was carried out on 24.04.2007 to verify the essential documents as per the NCTE Regulations, Human Resources, infrastructural and instructional facilities provided by the institution.</p> <p>The SRC in its 134<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> – 31<sup>st</sup> may 2007 and 1<sup>st</sup> June 2007, on careful perusal of the original file of the institution VT Report, Video CD, Written representation from the institution and other related documents, Act of NCTE, 1993, Regulations and guidelines from time laid on the table of the Committee, the Regional committee noted that the following deficiencies;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The space in the building is Inadequate.</li><li>• The equipment in Science lab, Psychology lab., and E.T.Lab. are not adequate.</li><li>• The Library has to be strengthened.</li></ul> <p>Accordingly, Notice was issued to the institution on 14.06.2007 the institution submitted its written representation on 10.07.2007 which was</p>

placed before SRC in its 139<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 6<sup>th</sup> to 8<sup>th</sup> August 2007. The Committee decided to grant conditional recognition.

Conditional recognition letter was issued to the institution on 09.08.2007. Since the institution has not submitted any reply, one more letter was issued to the institution on 24.09.2008 the institution has not submitted written representation even after a lapse of ten months.

The matter was brought before the SRC in its 168<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2008. SRC decided to issue show cause notice under section 14 of NCTE Act, 1993 providing 21 days of time for submitting the written replies/compliance. Accordingly show cause notice was issued to the institution on 06.02.2009.

The institution has submitted written representation on 20.02.2009, which was placed before SRC in its 171<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 16<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> March, 2009. The Committee decided to issue show cause notice on the following deficiencies;

- The built up space provided for proposed course is not adequate. The details of built up space available with approved building plan, building completion to be submitted for proposed course.
- As per reply to the notice institution is not owning any building to house Teacher Training institute.
- The institution has Rs. 120 lakhs for purpose of construction of new building. As per regulations of NCTE 2007 para 8(10) states that "At the time of inspection, the building of the institution shall be complete in the form of permanent structure on the land possessed by the institution in terms of Regulation 8(7) equipped with all necessary amenities and fulfilling all such requirements as prescribed in the norms and standards. The applicant institution shall produce the original completion certificate, approved building plan in proof of completion of building and structure/asbestos roofing shall be allowed."
- The vouchers for purchases of equipment in Science, Psychology, E.T. and Library books to be submitted for verification.

Accordingly, show cause notice was sent to the institution on 09.04.2009. The institution submitted show cause notice reply along with

documents on 10.08.2009.

The SRC in its 181<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup> August 2009 and decided to withdraw the Conditional Recognition immediately for the following reasons:

1. The institution stated in reply to show cause notice that the building to be constructed by the Panchayat body and the Secretary Rural Development Commission office informed that an estimate of Rs. 15 lakhs sanction is getting administrative and technical sanction for obtaining fund from RIDF. In view of above the Committee observed that the institution has not constructed building and in the existing building, built up space is not adequate to run the proposed TTC course.
2. The institution has not submitted Annexure-I, II and III and also the teaching regular faculty are not appointed for the proposed course.

In the view of the above, the Committee decided to withdraw the Conditional Recognition immediately.

Accordingly, withdrawal of Conditional Recognition order for TTC course was issued to the institution on 03.09.2009.

Institution has preferred an appeal against the withdrawal order dated 03.09.2010 to the Appellate authority, NCTE New Delhi the Appellate Authority considered the appeal and remanded back to the SRC for issue of revised order for withdrawing the recognition of the institution for D.Ed with prospective effect.

The SRC on careful perusal of the Appellate Authority order dated 05.01.2010 remanding back the case for issue a revised order treating the institution as recognised and withdrawing the recognition with prospective effect, the SRC decided that modification of refusal order be issued subject to condition that no fresh admissions shall be made after issuance of modification order. The withdrawal take effect from academic session 2010-11.

As per the decision of SRC modified refusal order was issued to the



institution on 04.03.2010.

On 19.07.2010, a copy of the Court order in W.P.No. 21311/2010 was received by this office from the advocate, Dr.Abraham P. Meachinkara, filed by the state of kerala before the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala at Eranakulam.

**The Court Order is as under:**

**Admit. Urgent notice. There will be an interim stay as prayed for, for two months and the petitioners are permitted to admit students for TTC Course in the Government TTI, Cheruvattoor. The petitioners will take earnest efforts to see that all the infrastructural facilities as directed by the National Council for Teacher Education are provided at the earliest.**

**post after two months**

Accordingly, brief of the case was sent to the advocate on 26.07.2010.

The institution has submitted representation on 20.06.2015 and 09.12.2015 requesting to reinstate the permanent Recognition for D.Ed (TTC) course. A letter was issued to the institution on 12.07.2016 informing the institution recognition cannot be reinstated as it has already been withdrawn by SRC vide order dated 04.03.2010.

On 07.02.2017 the office has received a court order dated 17.12.2016 in W.P(C)No.21311 of 2010 which is as under:

The Government runs a Teacher Training Institute at Cheruvattoor Post, Nellikuzhi Village, Kothamangalam, Eranakulam District. In the course of time, the National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE), the first respondent, exercising its powers under section 14(3) (b) of the National council for Teacher Education Act, 1993 ('the Act') issued Ext.P20: it withdrew the recognition granted to the petitioner Teacher Training Institute for the academic year 2010-11. It also issued consequential directions. Aggrieved, the Government filed this writ petition.

2. This court on 09.07.2010 stayed the de-recognition because the state represented that it had already allotted sufficient funds and that, within six months, it would cure the

		<p>deficiencies, if any. It would thus comply with the Act. The stay granted on 09.07.2010 has continued, &amp; continues to this day, much beyond six months, however.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>3. The learned counsel for the respondents has fairly submitted that given the efflux of enormous time, nothing survives. Even going by the state's stance, initially it only needed six months' time to comply with the statutory conditions, avers the learned counsel, so the matter obviates any adjudication. According to him, the writ petition could be closed, leaving it open for the respondent authorities to re-inspect the institute and proceed further under law.</li><li>4. The learned Government pleader has concurred with the learned Standing counsel's Suggestion.</li><li>5. Given the passage of time and changed circumstances, I set aside Exts.P15, P19 and P20. I leave it open for the respondent officials to inspect the petitioner institute and proceed under law if any deficiencies are, still, found.</li></ol> <p>This writ petitions is disposed of as above. No order on costs.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Court order is noted .</li><li>2. Cause inspection for D.El.Ed (1 unit)</li><li>3. Ensure adherence to 2014 norms and standards.</li><li>4. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</li><li>5. Put up in April.</li></ol>
58.	APS03122 D.Ed Al-Ameen Urdu Training Institute, Belgaum, Karnataka	<p>Al-Ameen Urdu Training Institute, 1<sup>st</sup> Cross, Azad Nagar, Belgaum District-Karnataka was granted recognition for D.Ed course on 26.12.2000 with an intake of 30 students and D.Ed-AI with an intake of 20 students on 16.12.2004. Thus making an annual intake of 50 students with a condition that the institution shall shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition ( in case the course is started in rented premises).</p>

NCTE, Hqrs vide its letter dated 30.06.2010 has forwarded a copy of the inspection report dated 22.05.2010 conducted under section 13 of NCTE Act. As there are deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report, NCTE, Hqrs has requested SRC to initiate action against the institution under section 17 of the NCTE Act immediately.

The SRC in its 194<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 21-22 July 2010 considered the report and decided to issue show cause notice. Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 31.08.2010. The institution has submitted written representation on 27.09.2010.

The SRC in its 198<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 24<sup>th</sup> – 25<sup>th</sup> November, 2010 considered the VT report and all the relevant documentary evidence and it was decided to issue show cause notice for the following reasons:

1. The institution was granted conditional recognition in temporary premises to run D.Ed-AI course on 27.12.2004 with a condition that it should shift to its own permanent premises in 3 years. i.e. on or before 26.12.2007. The institution is still running in temporary premises and not shifted to new premises even after a lapse of stipulated 3 years i.e. after lapse of time period of more than six long years.
2. The institution was granted conditional permission on 27.12.2001 in a temporary premises with condition for the basic unit of D.Ed and hence the lapse of nine years now.
3. The above temporary premises where the management is running D.Ed (AOS00032) and D.Ed-AI (APS03122) courses has a total built up area of 3000 sq.ft which is grossly inadequate as per NCTE norms.
4. The same building is shared for PUC and High School, which is not as per NCTE norms.
5. The institution has stated that the building is still under construction.

Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 11.01.2011. The institution has submitted its written representation on 14.02.2011.

The SRC in its 201<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 22-23 February 2011 considered the reply submitted by the institution and found the reply to be unsatisfactory and unconvincing and decided to withdraw recognition for

D.Ed (AOS00032) and D.Ed-AI (APS03122) with effect from 2012-13, in order to enable the on-going batch of students in D.Ed course, if any, to complete their course.

Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 31.03.2011 for D.Ed course (AOS00032) and D.Ed-AI(APS03122). The institution has filed appeal under section 18 of NCTE Act, before the Appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi against the withdrawal order of SRC.

The Appellate Authority in its order dated 06.07.2011 confirms the order appealed against.

The NCTE-SRC has received emergent notice in W.P.no. 65275 of 2011 filed by Al-Ameen Progressive Educational Society, Belgaum. A letter along with brief of the institution was sent to the advocate on 15.09.2011.

A letter was received from Shri.M.B.Kanavi, Advocate & Central Govt. Standing Counsel, No.55/1, 2<sup>nd</sup> Cross, Rajatagiri, Dharwad-580004, Karnataka for filing vakalath and objection statement in W.P.No.65275 of 2011 filed by Al-Ameen Progressive Educational Society, Belgaum, Karnataka against NCTE before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka Circuit bench at Dharwad. Accordingly a letter was addressed to the advocate on 03.01.2012.

This office letter dated 13.04.2012 has sent the affidavit and vakalath duly signed by the Regional Director and the advocate was requested to vacate the interim stay granted to the institution and defend the case in the interest of SRC, NCTE, New Delhi and Union of India.

But, so far there is no communication from the advocate regarding the action taken in the W.P.No.65275 of 2011.

In the meantime, a letter is received from the institution dated 12.05.2014 regarding the admission of students for the academic year 2013-14. Since the institution was already withdrawn recognition vide this office order dated 31.03.2011, it is noticed from the letter that the institution is functioning because of interim stay of the Hon'ble court and the application for vacation of stay signed and sent to you on 13.04.2012 has not been effected.

		<p>The advocate was also requested to bring to the notice of Hon'ble Court that the institution has not availed the opportunity of preferring appeal before the appellate authority as per section 18 of NCTE Act.</p> <p>Hence, a letter to the advocate was prepared with request to inform the action taken regarding the vacation of stay granted to the institution.</p> <p>A letter received by this office from Shri. M.B.Kanavi, Advocate &amp; Central Govt., Standing Counsel, on 13.01.2017 as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“With reference to the above I write to state that, this matter has come up for hearing before the Hon'ble High Court on 06.01.2017. After hearing the matter the Hon'ble Court has directed the NCTE to produce the Inspection Report within two weeks in respect of the College were in the deficiencies were pointed out.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Hence it is requested to send the Inspection Report conducted by the NCTE in respect the Petitioner College in order to file and comply the order of the Hon'ble Court.”</p> <p><u>Note:</u>A letter along with inspection report conducted by NCTE Hqrs, U/s/13 on 22.05.2010 was sent to Advocate on 25.12.2017 for defending the case in Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka at Dharwad Bench.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and noted the matter.</p>
59.	<p>SRCAPP673</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Guru Sai Diploma Elementary Education, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Sri Gayathri Educational Society, Plot No. 42, Near Police Station Road, Bestavaripeta Village and Post, Bestavaripeta City and Taluk, Prakasam District-523334, Andhra Pradesh had submitted an online application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE on 25.09.2011 and physical application on 29.09.2011 for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 students at Guru Sai Diploma Elementary Education, Plot/Khasara No. 42, 9<sup>th</sup> Ward, Bestavaripeta Village and Post, Bestavaripeta City and Taluk, Prkasam District-523334, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>Complaint of Shri. M.Venkateshwara Reddy against 1.Guru Sai Diploma Elementary Education, Plot Khasra No. 42, 9<sup>th</sup> Ward, Bestavaripeta City and Taluk,Prakasam District, 2.Guruswami Diploma Elementary Education, Plot/Khasra No.42,Main Road, Chetticherla Village , Bestavaripeta,Taluk and Post, Praksam District – 523334 and 3.Yeshwant College of Teacher</p>

Education, Chetticherla Village, Bestavaripeta, Andhra Pradesh.

A complaint letter dated 29.09.2014 was received by this office from Shri.M. Venkatesh wara Reddy alleging that Guru Sai Diploma Elementary Education, Bestavaripeta, (SRCAPP673) Guruswami Diploma Elementary Education, Plot/Khasra No.42, Main Road, Chetticherla Village, Bestavaripeta, Taluk and Post, Praksam District - 523334 (SRCAPP675) and Yeshwanth College of Education, Chetticherla Village, Bestavaripeta(APS07736) are being run in the same premises of 1000 sq.mts along with a ITI and a school. All colleges are seen without staff, infrastructure and students. Colleges are running against the guidelines issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India - Take immediate action to disaffiliate and derecognize in the Public Interest - regarding

The complainant had stated as under:-

"I invite your kind attention to the subject cited above, the following societies have been granted colleges as per the details given below.

Sl. No.	Name of the College	Society	Place where originally college	Place where college runs now.
1	Guru Sai Diploma Elementary Education, Bestavaripeta(SRC APP673) dated 25 <sup>th</sup> - 27 <sup>th</sup> June,2012	Sri Gayathri Educational Society	Khasra No. 42, Bestavaripeta Village and Post	Khasra No. 42, Bestavaripeta Village and Post
2	Guruswami Diploma Elementary Education, Chetticherla Village, Bestavaripeta Taluk and Post (SRCAPP675 dated 25 <sup>th</sup> - 27 <sup>th</sup> June, 2012)	Vignana Educational Vocational & Rural Development Society	Khasra No. 42 (Originally 45 as 42 is a Temple land and theatre exists ) Chetticherla Village (Pusalapadu Revenue Village)	Khasra No. 42, Bestavaripeta Village and Post
3	Yeshwanth College of Teacher Education, Chetticherla Village, Bestavaripeta	Sri Sai Educational Society	Khasra No. 42 (Originally 45 as 42 is a Temple land and theatre exists ) Chetticherla Village	Khasra No. 42, Bestavaripeta Village and Post

All the said institutions have been running in a building belonging to a school and ITI. All institutions are run at Khasra No. 42, Bestavaripeta Village & Post

though they are supposed to run at places where they should run as per the records. It is against the guidelines issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India based on Justice Verma Commission.

There is no staff in all three colleges. For B.Ed course only 20 students attend out of 100, all other 80 are from West Bengal and Orissa. They attend only for exams.

For D.Ed course only 12 students attend for both colleges.

Hence I request you to take immediate action to de-affiliate and de-recognize in public interest.

The complainant had submitted the complaint in an affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper along with a photocopy of the Aadhar Card.

The complainant had submitted the following documents:-

1. Photographs of the building showing the name plates of the Gurusai D.ED College, Vaswanth Concept School and Yashwanth B.Ed College together at the same location.
2. A photocopy of statement of Encumbrance Certificate dated 27.09.2014 for a period of 15 years (01.01.1999 to 26.09.2014) is submitted.

The SRC in its 274<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 30<sup>th</sup> -31<sup>st</sup> October 2014, considered the matter, complaint from Shri. M. Venkateshwara Reddy, against

1. Guru Sai & Guruswami Diploma in Elementary Education and had - advised Southern Regional Office to request SCERT to enquire and advise.
2. Yeshwanth College-requested the University concerned to enquire and advise.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the Registrar, Acharya Nagarjuna University, with a request to enquire and advise on the Complaint matter on 05.01.2015.

A letter was also addressed to the Director, SCERT with a request to enquire and advice on the Complaint matter on 05.01.2015.

On 20.04.2015, a letter was received from Sri. M.Venkateshwara Reddy regarding Withdrawal of Complaint dated 29.09.2014, against Guru Sai Diploma Elementary Education, Guru Swamy Diploma Elementary Education and Yeswanth College of Education, Bestavaripeta, Prakasam District. In his letter has stated that:-

\*I.M. Venkateswara Reddy here with stating that the date 29.09.2014 given a complaint against above said Institutions. Now there is no

problems regarding the above Institutions. So, I here with withdrawing the Complaint which was filed by me on the above said Institution.

Therefore I, pray your authority not to take any further action against Guru Sai Diploma Elementary Education, Guru Swamy Diploma Elementary Education and Yeswanth College of Education, Bestavaripeta, Prakasam District. My Aadhar Card No.is 659039570201"

The SRC in its 290<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 10<sup>th</sup> & 11<sup>th</sup> July 2015 considered the matter, complaint matter, and decided as under:

1. Director (SCERT) has assured to check why no reply has been sent and report the facts.
2. Remind the University.
3. Put up again in the 291<sup>st</sup> meeting.

As per decision of SRC 290<sup>th</sup> meeting a letter was addressed to the Director, SCERT on 04.11.2015

On 27.11.2015, a letter was received by this office from the Secretary & Correspondent Guru Sai D.El.Ed College, Bestawaripeta Village and Mandal, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh is as under:-

"I submit that Sri M. Venkateswara Reddy, Cheticherla Village Bestavaripeta Mandal, Prakasam District had made false complaint against of our institutes 1. Yaswanth College of Education, 2. Guru Sai D.El.Ed College, 3. Guru Swamy D.El.Ed college owing to personnel grudges against us.


The Registrar of Acharya Nagarjuna University allotted one man committee and verify all the records and infrastructure of Yaswanth College of Education according to the complaint. The committee decided all the information mentioned in the complaint and the committee feels that this complaint is dubious and hence it may be ignored.

Sri M. Venkateswara Reddy himself had written a letter (enclosed) to the Regional Director withdrawing his complaint. It is further submitted that this institution has been granted 4 years affiliation order after all inspection and verification of the records by the department of School Education.

Hence in view of the facts mentioned above I request you to drop the further proceedings."

The institution has submitted the following:-

1. 4 years affiliation copy

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman



		<p>2. Complaint withdrawal letter of M.V Venkateswar Reddy. 3. Enquiry report from the Registrar of Acharya Nagarjuna University.</p> <p>The SRC in its 297<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> -28<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p><b>Request for withdrawal is accepted.</b></p> <p><b>Close the case.</b></p> <p>A letter received from the NCTE hqrs on 11.11.2016 regarding Complaint made by Sh.D.Madhu against Guru Sai D.El.Ed College and Gowthami D.El.Ed College, V &amp; P – Bestawaripera, Dist. Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh. It stated as under:</p> <p><i>"I am directed to state that a complaint of Sh.D.Madhu dated 16.11.2012 was forwarded to you vide this office letter dated 09.01.2013 for enquiry and to submit a report. However, there was no response from your end. A copy of the above complaint is again sent herewith for necessary action. A copy of reply received from each of the colleges vide their letter dated 09.09.2015 is also enclosed.</i></p> <p><i>You are required to furnish action taken report/status report along with copies of all relevant documents within 15 days from the receipt of this letter positively to MS, NCTE."</i></p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We are all busy with disposal of cases w.r.t the 03.03.2017 dateline.</li> <li>2. Put up in April 17</li> </ol>
60.	<p>SRCAPP1883</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>2 Units</p> <p>Kumaran College of Education, Vellore, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>Sarbar Educational Trust, Plot No.535, MaraiMalaiadigal Street, Kangeyanallore Village, Gandhinagar East Post Office, Vellore Taluk &amp; District-632006, Tamilnadu had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to Kumaran College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.3091/13B2, Melmonavoor Village, Abdullapuram Post Office, Vellore Taluk &amp; District-632010, Tamilnadu. The recognition was granted to the institution on 21.02.2014.</p> <p>The institution has submitted affidavit as per regulations 2014. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 17.03.2015.</p>

On 24.10.2014 a letter received from TNTEU regarding starting of new college in the name and style of Kumaran College of Education, Vellore District for the academic year 2014-2015 only.

A complaint letter dated 07.07.2015 received from Shri K. Saravanan, 260, Tajpuasalai Arcot, Vellore-632501, Tamilnadu on 10.07.2015 along with original affidavit and document. In the affidavit stating as follows:

*"I K. Saravanan, residing at No.260, Tajpurasalai, Arcot, Vellore-632501. I have written this complaint affidavit about Kumaran College of Education, functioning at Plot/Khasara No.309/13B2, Melmonavoor Village, Abdullapuram Post Office, Vellore-632010, offering Bachelor of Education (B.Ed) program. This College is not functioning in independent land and building. There are two other institutions functioning in the same land and building namely 1) Tamilaga Industrial Training Institute (ITI) approved by directorate General of Employment and Training (DGET), Ministry of skill Development and Entrepreneurship, SharmShaktiBhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi offering vocational programme in National Council for Vocational Training (NCVT). 2) Tamilaga Industrial School, approved by Directorate of Employment and Training, Alandur Road, Guindy, Chennai-600032 Tamilnadu. This institute also offering Vocational programs of State Council for vocational training (SCVT). These two institutes successfully functioning for the past 20 years. Every year more than 500 students are studying in these institutes. If you have any doubts in this matter you can write to the competent authorities and ask them to clarify whether the institutes have got the approval from them. Now, they use the same land and building and got the approval from you to conduct Bachelor of Education (B.ED) Programme. But there is no separate land and building to start new college of education according to the NCTE regulation 2014. This institute was not fulfill the norms and standards of NCTE. The institute is not have sufficient land to start new B.Ed College. This institute's adjacent properties are belongs to third parties. There is no single pies of vacant land belongs to the management Institute. So that is not possible to fulfill the sufficient land norms of NCTE. Then how can they got the approval from you to start new B.Ed institute. So the doubt will arise whether they shows some fabricated*

documents to get approval from you. The institute is not having proper classrooms, laboratories, infrastructure, instructional facilities and qualified faculty members. Hence I requesting you to view this matter seriously and take necessary action against the management to withdrawal of recognition of Kumaran College of Education from the academic year 2015-16. We already sent petitions along with document proof regarding in this matter to Dr. G.Viswanathan, the Vice –Chancellor, Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, Chennai, Tamilnadu, but he didn't take any action against the college .Dr.G.Viswanathan got Rs.20,00,000/- as bribe from management of the college and leave them to admit the students in to B.Ed degree course for 2014-15 year . This year 2015-16 also Dr.Viswanathan got Rs.20,00,000/- as bribe from management of the college and leave them to admit the students into B.Ed degree course. Now they are publishing advertisement in leading news papers to admit the students in vocational courses and B.Ed degree in same campus. That's why we are forward this petition to you. Herewith we enclosed the original newspaper as proof. We hope you to view this matter seriously and take necessary action against the management to withdraw of recognition of Kumaran College of Education from the academic year 2015-16 at your earliest".

The institution has filed court case in WP.No.22782 of 2015 in the Honorable High Court of Tamilnadu. It is prayed that

*"..this Honb'le court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Declaration or any other appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of a writ of Declaration declaring Clauses 2(b), 8(1), 8(11), 9, 10 and 11 of National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 and Clauses 1,2,1, 3.1, 4.1,5.1,5.2,6 of Appendix-IV of National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition, norms and Procedures) Regulations 2014 as null and void unenforceable, invalid arbitrary unconstitutional and pass any such further or other order or orders as this Honb'le Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice".*

The SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> -30<sup>th</sup> September, 2015

considered the complaint letter dated 07.07.2015 and advised Southern Regional Office to

- **Send the complaint to the TNTEU for comments.**

The institution addresses a letter 07.08.2015 to the Registrar, TNTEU was received by SRC on 10.08.2015 along with documents regarding explanation for action initiated towards non grant of continuation of affiliation for the academic year 2015-2016 for Kumaran College of Education, Abdullapuram, Vellore District-grievance.

In the meantime, a court notice in WP.No. 32707 of 2015 received from Hon'ble High court of judicature at Madras on 24.10.2015. Accordingly, a letter along with brief of the case was sent to the advocate on 13.11.2015. A letter was received from advocate Shri. K Ramakrishna Reddy on 23.11.2015 with request to correspond with Mr. P.R Gopinath, regarding WP.No.No.32707 of 201.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter to the Registrar, TNTEU was sent on 17.11.2015. The University has submitted its comments on 27.11.2015 along with documents. The letter stated as follows:

*"Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Bangalore has sought the comments from this university on the petition dated 07.07.2015 received from Shri.K. Saravanan, 260, Tajpuasalai, Arcot,Vellore-632501. As the copy of the same petition was already received by this University and actions were already initiated on the said complaint along with two other complaints with the similar subjects, the details of the same are now furnished below.*

*2. Based on the recognition granted to the Kumaran College of Education, Vellore District by the SRC-NCTE on 21.02.2014, this University has granted a conditional affiliation for the academic year 2014-2015 along with three conditions. Out of three conditions the said college has fulfilled only one but not fulfilled the remaining two for the past one year.*

*3. Under the above condition, a complaint dated 01.04.2015 was received in this office on 05.05.2015 from the '2014-2015 batch B.Ed Students' of the said college. The copy of the similar complaint sent to*

the Government of Tamilnadu by the petitioner was also received in this office through the Government. The abstract of the complaint is:

- a. Kumaran College of education is functioning in the industrial Training Institute (ITI) building and there is no separate land and buildings for Kumaran College of education.
- b. Laboratory and other facilities are meant only for ITI courses and not for B.Ed degree programme.
- c. Inadequate infrastructure for conducting B.Ed degree programme.
- d. Complainant has attached a Photograph as evidences for their complaint that both the ITI and Kumaran College of Education are functioning in the same land and building.

4. This university has issued show cause notice on 07.05.2015 to the Kumaran College of Education, Vellore District by enclosing the copy of the complaint to offer their explanation on the said complaint. In turn, the said Kumaran College of Education has submitted their explanation on 13.05.2015. in which the Chairman of the said college has attached the copy of the recognition granted by the NCTE and the copy of the conditional affiliation granted by this University and stated that they are having recognition from the government of India and affiliation from the Government of Tamilnadu for Kumaran College of Education in the same land and Buildings. Further stated that the complaints made in the petition are totally false and requested to close the petition. But, later, in the reference 5<sup>th</sup> cited the same college has agreed that both the college of education and it is are functioning in the same building. Hence, there is a contradiction in the explanation offered by the college in different dates.

5. This University has confused a two member's surprise visit commission to ascertain the factual position of the complaint against the said college. Accordingly, the surprise visit commission their report to the university as detailed below.

"The management is running two of their institutions in the same land and building, namely Tamilnadu industrial Training Institute and Tamilaga Industrial School. The management has used the land and building for the B.Ed degree Programme also. Further, it is observed that the Kumaran College of Education is

*lacking the basic infrastructure facilities including class rooms, laboratories and library which are essential for running the B.Ed programme.*

*The inspection commission has enquired in to the complaint lodged by the B.Ed student of the academic year 2014-2015 and found that the complaint is true by considering above observations and the inspection commission suggest the university authorities to initiate suitable action against the Kumaran College of Education, Vellore District.*

*6. The copy of the complaint against the said college dated 07.07.2015, on which the SRC is now seeking comments from this university was received from Mr.K. Saravanan, 260, Tajpurasalai, Arcot Vellore-632501 on 10.07.2015. The content of the complaint in the previous petition dated 01.04.2015 and in the present petition dated 07.07.2015 are almost same. The highlights of the complaint dated 07.07.2015 are as follows:*

- a. Kumaran College of Education is functioning along with two more ITI institutions approved by NCVT, Guindy in the same building and land.*
- b. Including B.Ed two more institution functioning in the same building and land, but got approval from the authorities.*
- c. This institute has not fulfilled the norms and standards of the NCTE, particularly no sufficient land.*
- d. Doubt raised that the college has got approved by producing fabricated documents.*
- e. Request to withdraw recognition from the academic year 2015-16.*
- f. Petitioner has stated that the he has already made complaint to the university, but the university has not taken action by receiving bribe.*

*7.The allegation of the petitioner, Mr. Saravanan in "6-f" supra that he has already mad complaint against the said college to this University, but the University has not taken any action by receiving bribe, is totally false and fabricated, since the University has not received anu such petition from Mr. Saravanan prior to his petition dated 07.07.2015*

instead, a systematic process has already been initiated against the said college by this university based on the petition dated 01.04.2015 received in this office on 05.05.2015. Actually, the surprise inspection commission caused inspection at the said college prior to the petition received from Mr.K.Saravanan . Hence, the allegations of Mr.K.Saravanan that this university has not taken any action by receiving bribe is utter false, baseless and motivated.

8. Based on the findings of the surprise inspection commission held on 06.07.2015, the remaining allegations listed above are found to be true. Further,, the news paper cutting in respect of the advertisement given by the Kumaran College of Education calling for applications to B.Ed degree programme and also for admission to various ITI courses in ggteh same premises confirmed the above allegation. Apart from this, the photograph of the college building clearly confirms that the B.Ed degree programme is being offered along with ITI courses in the same building and land.

9. Hence, as said college is functioning in the same building where industrial training are functioning in violation of clause 8(4) (ii) of the NCTEC Regulations, this University has addressed the Kumaran College of Education, Vellore District again on 08.10.2015 and requested them to submit the relevant documents issued by appropriate authorities in respect of land & buildings used for offering B.Ed degree programme and also land & buildings used for industrial Training Institutes separately.

10.In response to this University letter dated 08.10.2015, the said college has submitted a reply letter dated 23.10.2015, in which, the management has stated that the NCTE Regulations does not restrict to function college of Education and Industrial Training in the same building. The relevant portion of their letter reproduced below:

*"the NCTE regulations does not forebear or especially restrict the functioning of other Educational Institution of a different programme being conducted simultaneously in the premises where the B.Ed Training course are conducted"*.

Further, the said college has not submitted any documents in respect of

well demarcated land used for offering B.Ed degree programmes as given in clause 8(4) (ii) of the NCTE Regulations. But the a copy of the building plan was submitted. This building plan was signed by the Engineer on 11.07.2007 and the plan was approved by the authority on 13.12.2006. Actually, the society was registered on 18.07.2011 and the sale deeds for the land was registered on 13.12.2012. As per the documents produced by the said college, the building plan was approved by the competent authority before purchasing the land.

11. As explained above, the said college is functioning in the building where the Industrial Training Institute are functioning. Further, as the contradictions existed in the documents submitted by the said college, in respect of date of registration of Society, date of sale deed for land, date of preparation of building plan and the date of approval of the plan by the authority, this University is not able to process the application of the said college for grant of continuation of provisional affiliation from the academic year 2015-16.

12. In the meantime, the management of the said college has approached the Hon'ble High court with writ petition No:32707 of 2015 against the University's letter dated 25.07.2015, in which the NCTE, new Delhi and SRC /NCTE, Bangalore are 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent respectively. Further, the said college has already filed a writ petition no.22782 of 2015 challenging certain clauses of new regulations,2014. Both the writ petitions are pending before the Hon'ble High court Chennai.

Under the above circumstances, it obviously appears that the said college is not functioning in accordance with the Clause 8(4) (ii) of the NCTE's Regulations, 2014, since both the Kumaran College of Education and two more ITI institutions are functioning in the same building as per the two members inspection committee report followed by the letter dated 23.10.2015 of the said College. However, this subject matter is submitted to the SRC/NCTE to take final decision and based on the final decision of the SRC-NCTE, the pending application of the said college in this University for grant of continuation of Provision application will be taken up for disposal.

The SRC in its 297<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> – 28<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the complaint matter and it has decided as under:



		<p>Obtain specific information on the following points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>Sy.Nos involved.</li><li>Area of the land in reference.</li><li>Does it meet the requirement of the T.E.I.</li><li>Is it clearly earmarked for the B.Ed programme.</li><li>Does the Society / College have clear title to the land in reference.</li></ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 20.01.2016.</p> <p>In the meantime, the complainant has submitted written representation/ (complaint letter) through affidavit on 13.01.2016 along with relevant documents.</p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC in its 299<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 20-21<sup>st</sup> January, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and decided that</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>The institution has to submit properly land details. Remind them.</li><li>Forward a copy of the supplementary complaint to the Institution for comments.</li></ol> <p>Meantime the institution has submitted a copy of the Court order dated 09.12.2015 in W.P.No.32707 of 2015 and M.P.No.1 of 2015 filed by Kumaran College of Education, Vellore. The court order stated as follows:</p> <p><i>The petitioner, which is a Teacher Training Institute has come forward to file this writ petition challenging the order dated 15.07.2015, passed by the fourth respondent to show cause as to why appropriate action shall not be initiated based upon the prime-facie findings mentioned therein.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><i>Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned counsel appearing for the respondents 1 to 4.</i></li><li><i>The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that anonymous complaints have been given by the fifth respondent to the respondents 1 and 2, who in turn directed the fourth</i></li></ol>
--	--	--

respondent to look into the matter and take appropriate action. After considering the letter of the fifth respondent dated 07.07.2014, an order was passed by the first respondent on 28.10.2015 treating the complaint as closed and therefore, no further action is required in the matter.

4. The learned counsel for the respondents 1 & 2 submitted that after the letter dated 28.10.2015 another communication has been sent by the second respondent to the respondents 3 and 4 dated 12.11.2015 seeking comments about the said complaints.

5. The learned counsel for the respondents 3 and 4 submitted that prima-facie case is made out on the alleged irregularities. He submitted that the reply given by the petitioner would be considered in a proper perspective while passing the final order. He further submitted that particulars have been sought for by letter dated 08.10.2015 from the petitioner in respect of details of land and buildings under use for offering B.Ed Degree programme, apart from other documents pertaining to the Industrial Training Institute.

6. By way of reply, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that letter of the respondent dated 08.10.2015 has also been replied on 23.10.2015 along with the relevant documents.

7. Admittedly, the order impugned is only a show cause notice and the petitioner is stated to have given its reply. Thus, it is for the respondents 3 and 4 to take appropriate decision by considering the relevant materials. While doing so, the scope and applicability of the letter of the first respondent dated 28.10.2015 has to be taken into consideration by the respondents 3 and 4 and also, the communication of the second respondent dated 12.11.2015.

8. Accordingly, the respondents 3 and 4 are directed to pass appropriate orders after considering the above said correspondences and other relevant materials if any, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

9. *The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly, Consequently, connected Miscellaneous petition is also closed.*

*No costs.*

The SRC in its 301<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 05<sup>th</sup> -06<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the court order and **noted the matter.**

A letter was sent to the institution on 04.02.2016 regarding decision of SRC 299<sup>th</sup> meeting along with a copy of supplementary complaint. The institution has submitted its written representation on 17.03.2016 along with documents.

*"1. In continuation to the above subject matter if Ref. (i) communication calling for explanation enclosing copy of the supplementary complaint dated 06.01.2016. of Mr.K.Saravanam, I have perused the contents of the notice and the enclosed text of the letter forwarded by Mr.K.Saravanan.*

*2. At the outset, I submit that the contents of the affidavit of Mr.K.Saravanan is imaginative and exhibits the intent of the individual, only to tarnish the image of the institute, when the terms of the norms governing the grant of recognition by the NCTE, and land requirements has been satisfied by the Management at the time of making an application for recognition before the authority.*

*3. However, on the basis of an anonymous complaint made by one Mr.K.Saravanan whose existing is unknown to this Management seems to be make wild and imaginative allegations which do not bear even an iota of truth which could be inferred by common prudence, particulars, when the management had taken sufficient care to comply with the norms of the NCTE as well as the concerned University to have the affiliation for administration of the B.Ed Course of study, pursuant to which recognition of affiliation was grant by the authorities concerned for the years 2014-2015.*

*4. An anonymous complaint had been made out presumably by a competitor of the B.Ed College of Education by using a fictitious name and address, only to create a doubt and disturb the*

process of conditional affiliation and the recognition enjoyed by the Management. It is seen from the contents of the affidavit that the said complaint contains all wrong information which are thoroughly imaginative and bereft of an element of truth.

5. We kindly inform the authority that the affidavits made by K.Saravanan were not notarized. Also the proof of the return of speed post sent to K.Saravanan is enclosed for your kind understanding that there is no such person.

6. We kindly inform that NCTE head quarters, New Delhi was closed the complaint made by K.Saravanan. The closing letter given by NCTE head quarters is again enclosed herewith for your kind understanding.

7. All of sudden, now I received a copy of complaint on dated 06.01.2016 in the name of one K.Saravanan in the mode of affidavit to your authority calling for acting to the complaint made then.

8. As I have lodged a complaint against the letter which had been made in a fictitious person named K.Saravanan in Arcot Taluk police station on 04.03.2016 which is under investigation for further criminal action under the provisions of law.

Hence, the same is prayed to be ignored and the authorities may grant the benefit of appropriate consideration on the basis of the explanation made by the authority at the time of grant of approval.

So, I humbly request the honorable authority not proceed further in the matter on the basis of the false contents said affidavit."

The SRC in its 309<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> -14<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 considered the institution reply and it has decided that **"Please ask the institution to specifically reply to the queries raised in SRC letter dated 20.01.2016"**.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016.

In the meantime, the complainant (Shri K. Saravanan) has submitted written representation along with original affidavit of Rs.20- and relevant documents. The affidavit stating as follows:

*".....Now I enclosed herewith an true copy of the sale deed No: 14882/2012, 14884/2012, parent sale deed No. 46/1996, copy of the encumbrance certificate as proof of fabricated sale deed of Kumaran College of Education. Here I came to mention the following deficiencies also you have to take in to the count to withdrawal of recognition of Kumaran College of Education from the academic year 2015-16.*

1. *In the true Copy of the sale deed No. 46/1996, page No. 2 row No: 1 to 6 says the sale deed executed on 15.03.1996 between 1) K. Thamilarasi, W/O, N. Krishnamoorthy residing at 3/316, maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Town Extension, Vellore District and 2) C. Arjunan, s/o CHINNAPPA (late) residing at Pillaiyar Koil street, Shenbakkam Village, Vellore District. Page No. 3 row no. 1 to 7 says C. Arjunan was sold his property to K. Thamilarasi Sy.No. 309/13 extent 0.11.1/4 cents (or) 4905 sq.ft) (or)455.68 sq.mts. So K. Thamilarasi purchased Sy.No. 309/13 extent 0.11.1/4 cents (or) 4905 sq.ft (or) 455.68 sq.mts only by means of sale deed 46/1996/*
2. *In the true copy of the sale deed No. 14882/2012 page no. 2, para 1 & 2 says the sale deed executed on 13<sup>th</sup> day of December 2012 (13.12.2012) between 1) K. Thamilarasi,, W/O, N. Krishnamoorthy residing at 535, maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District and 2) Sarabar Educational Trust represented by its founder and chairman K. Ezhil Mohan Raj S/o N. Krishnamoorthy residing at 535, maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District in this deed page no. 5 Schedule of Property K. Thamilarasi was sold her entire property Sy.No. 309/13 extent 0.11.1/4 cents (or) 4905 sq.ft (or) 455.68 sq.mtr which she was purchased from C. Arjunan by a sale deed 46/1996. So she doesn't have single cent of land in her hand.*
3. *On the same day 13<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2012 (13.12.2012) one more sale deed No. 14884/2012, page No. 2, para 1 & 2 was executed between 1) K. Thamilarasi, W/O, N.*

Krishnamoorthy residing at. 535, maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District and 2) Sarabar Educational Trust represented by its founder and chairman K. Ezhil Mohan Raj S/o N. Krishnamoorthy residing at 535, Maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District, in this deed page no:8 Schedule of Property K. Thamarasi was sold her property Sy.No. 309/13 extent 0.21 (or) 9156 sq.ft (or) 850.61 sq.mts here she said in the same deed No. 14884/2012 page no. 4 para 2 she selling the property which purchased from C. Arjunan by sale deed dated 21.12.1995 registered as documents NO. 46/ 1996 of Book1, on 15.03.1996 in the office of the District registrar, Vellore. K. Thamarasi was sold her entire property sy.no. 309/13 extent of 0.11.1/4 cents (or) 4905 sq.ft (or) 455.68 sq.mtr which she was purchased from C. Arjunan by a sale deed 46/ 1996. So she doesn't have single cent of land in her hand then how she can able to sale another 0.21 (or) 9156 sq.ft (or) 850.61 sq.mtr in the same Sy.No. 309/13 shown same sale deed 46/1996 as parent document.

4. Hence in view of the above its clearly states that sale deed No. 14884/2012 dated 13.12.2012 was fabricated one. If you want to clarity, you can write to the District Registrar, District Registrar Office, Veppamara Street, Velappadi, Vellore Dt-632004. He will tell you the truth about the sale deed.
5. Here the management fails to fulfill the land requirement as per the NCTE regulation 2014 for Kumaran College of Education. So how can they able to show separate land for 1) Tamilga ITC, 2) Tamilaga Industrial School which is running in the same land and building.
6. The Kumaran College of Education and the tamilaga ITC institutes are publishing combined advertisement in the daily news papers to admit the students for this academic year 2016-17. So they accept themselves the both institutes are running in the same land and building. I request you don't allow the management to admit the students in Kumaran College of Education in to B.Ed degree program for this academic year 2016-17. Herewith I enclosed the advertisement new paper as proof.
7. I was sent a complaint petition on 19.05.2016 to 1) the

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

District Collector, Vellore District Collector Office, Sathuvachari, Vellore-632009. 2) the District Registrar, District Register office, Veppamara Street, Velappadi, Vellore Dt.-632004 to verify the grounds of registered sale deed No. 14884/2012 and also I asked them take necessary action as per law. That petition is under processing with above two officers.

The above said information is clearly stated that the management was cheated the NCTE and TNTEU to get approval to start new B.Ed degree program in the name of Kumaran College of Education. Hence I request you to stop the admission and withdraw the recognition of Kumaran College of Education from the academic year 2016-17.

The SRC in its 315<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup>-18<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 the deferred the matter.

Meantime, in response to this office letter dated 20.05.2016 the institution has submitted its reply on 21.06.2016.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 320<sup>th</sup> Meeting held during 16<sup>th</sup> to 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 considered the matter, reply of the institution to this office letter dated 20.05.2016 and decided as under:-

Put up in the next meeting with allegation wise comments .

As per the decision of SRC , the reply submitted by the institution to the queries raised in SRC letter dated 20.01.2016 is detailed as under :-

Sl. No	Queries raised in SRC letter dated 20.01.2016.	Reply of the institution	Remarks
1	Sy.Nos involved	Our details against your queries like survey numbers involved, area of the land in	The following land documents are submitted by the

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		2.	Area of land in reference	reference are given below for your understandings.				institution	
				Sl. No.	Document No. & Date	Survey No	Total area in Sq.Mts	Registered certified copy of the Land documents:	Submitted
				1	1488/2/2012	309/13B2	455.68 Sq.Mts	Type of title deed i.e. sale deed/lease deed (Govt./Pvt.)/gift deed	a) Sale deed b) Sale deed c) Sale deed d) Sale deed
				2	1488/3/2012	309/12E	567.07 Sq.Mts		
				3	1455/8/2012	309/12D	648.08 Sq.Mts	Date of registration of land	a) 13.12.2012 b) 13.12.2012 c) 13.12.2012 d) 13.12.2012
				4	1884/2012	309/13	850.61 Sq.Mts	Land registered in the name of	a) Sarabar Educational Trust b) Sarabar Educational Trust c) Sarabar Educational Trust d) Sarabar Educational Trust
						Total	2521.44 Sq.Mts		

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman



					<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Sy.No.s</td> <td>a) 309/12 D b) <u>309/13</u> c) 309/12 E d) <u>309/13</u> (old),3 09/13B 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>location</td> <td><b>Melamona voor Village</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Extent of land</td> <td>a)648.08 sq.mtrs b)<u>850.61</u> <u>sq.mtrs</u> c)567.07 sq.mtrs d)<u>455.68sq.</u> <u>mtrs</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total</b></td> <td><b>2521.44</b> <b>sq.mtrs</b></td> </tr> </table>	Sy.No.s	a) 309/12 D b) <u>309/13</u> c) 309/12 E d) <u>309/13</u> (old),3 09/13B 2	location	<b>Melamona voor Village</b>	Extent of land	a)648.08 sq.mtrs b) <u>850.61</u> <u>sq.mtrs</u> c)567.07 sq.mtrs d) <u>455.68sq.</u> <u>mtrs</u>	<b>Total</b>	<b>2521.44</b> <b>sq.mtrs</b>		
Sy.No.s	a) 309/12 D b) <u>309/13</u> c) 309/12 E d) <u>309/13</u> (old),3 09/13B 2														
location	<b>Melamona voor Village</b>														
Extent of land	a)648.08 sq.mtrs b) <u>850.61</u> <u>sq.mtrs</u> c)567.07 sq.mtrs d) <u>455.68sq.</u> <u>mtrs</u>														
<b>Total</b>	<b>2521.44</b> <b>sq.mtrs</b>														
					<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>Change of land use certificate</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Issued by</b></td> <td>Tahsildhar , Vellore</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Date</b></td> <td>18.12.2012</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Sy.No.s</b></td> <td>309/12D,3 09/13 309/12 E,309/13 (old),309/1 3B2</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Extent</b></td> <td>2521.44 sq.mtrs</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Change of land use certificate</b>		<b>Issued by</b>	Tahsildhar , Vellore	<b>Date</b>	18.12.2012	<b>Sy.No.s</b>	309/12D,3 09/13 309/12 E,309/13 (old),309/1 3B2	<b>Extent</b>	2521.44 sq.mtrs
<b>Change of land use certificate</b>															
<b>Issued by</b>	Tahsildhar , Vellore														
<b>Date</b>	18.12.2012														
<b>Sy.No.s</b>	309/12D,3 09/13 309/12 E,309/13 (old),309/1 3B2														
<b>Extent</b>	2521.44 sq.mtrs														

				<b>Location</b>	Melmonavoor Village, Vellore, Tamil Nadu
				<b>Purpose</b>	Non agricultural purpose
3	Does it meet the requirement of the T.E.I	--			Yes, As per Regulations, 2014, the institution must have a site area of 2500 sq.mtrs for offering the B.Ed course
4	Is it clearly earmarked for the B.Ed programme	--			The institution has submitted a copy of the FMB Sketch highlighting the site, No.s specified above as earmarked area.  The institution vide its letter dated 20.06.2016 has stated that it is for B.Ed programme.
5	Does the Society / College have clear title to the land in reference.	--			The institution has submitted 4 sale deeds executed in favour of Sarabar Educational Trust
<p>The following is observed from the file :</p> <p>(1) The complaint of Shri. Saravanan received 07.07.2015 was addressed to the Chairperson NCTE, and copies to SRC, NCTE and TNTEU</p> <p>(a) The institution has submitted a letter dated 12.11.2015 of NCTE-</p>					

Hqrs addressed to the institution in respect of the complaint of Shri.K.Saravanan stating as under :-

*" I am directed to refer to your letter mentioned under reference at S.No. (i) on the above subject and to inform that the reply furnished by you in respect of this complaint is tenable and considered. Hence , this complaint is treated as closed at this stage and no further action is required in the matter."*

(b) TNTEU in its reply to this office letter dated 17.11.2015 has stated that *it obviously appears that the said college is not functioning in accordance with the Clause 8(4) (ii) of the NCTE's Regulations, 2014, since both the Kumaran College of Education and two more ITI institutions are functioning in the same building as per the two members inspection committee report followed by the letter dated 23.10.2015 of the said College. However, this subject matter is submitted to the SRC/NCTE to take final decision and based on the final decision of the SRC-NCTE, the pending application of the said college in this University for grant of continuation of Provision application will be taken up for disposal.*

(2) The complainant vide his letter on affidavit dated 05.01.2016 has alleged as under in respect of the two sale deeds dated 13.12.2012 executed in Sy.No. 309/13 between the same vendor and Vendee :-

*On the same day 13<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2012 (13.12.2012) one more sale deed No. 14884/2012, page No. 2, para 1 & 2 was executed between 1) K. Thamilarasi, W/O, N. Krishnamoorthy residing at. 535, maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District and 2) Sarabar Educational Trust represented by its founder and chairman K. Ezhil Mohan Raj S/o N. Krishnamoorthy residing at 535, Maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District, in this deed page no:8 Schedule of Property K. Thamilarasi was sold her property Sy.No. 309/13 extetn 0.21 (or) 9156 sq.ft (or) 850.61 sq.mts here she said in the same deed No. 14884/2012 page no. 4 para 2 she selling the property which purchased from C. Arjunan by sale deed dated 21.12.1995 registered as*

		<p><i>documents NO. 46/ 1996 of Book1, on 15.03.1996 in the office of the District registrar, Vellore. K. Thamilarasi was sold her entire property sy.no. 309/13 extent of 0.11.1/4 cents (or) 4905 sq.ft (or) 455.68 sq.mtr which she was purchased from C. Arjunan by a sale deed 46/ 1996. <u>So she doesn't have single cent of land in her hand then how she can able to sale another 0.21 (or) 9156 sq.ft (or) 850.61 sq.mtr in the same Sy.No. 309/13 shown same sale deed 46/1996 as parent document.</u></i></p> <p><b>Note :-</b> The main allegation of the complainant is about fulfillment of land requirement as per NCTE regulations 2014 as the institution is running Tamilga ITC and Tamilaga Industrial school in the same land and building .</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. We are all busy with disposal of cases w.r.t the 03.03.2017 dateline.</li><li>2. Put up in April 17</li></ol>
61.	SRCAPP624 B.Ed Dhanalakshmi College of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	<p>Sri Dhanalakshmi Education Development Society, P.No. 380, 381, Muppalla Village, Ipur Taluka, Guntur District-522661, Andhra Pradesh had submitted an application to the SRC-NCTE for grant of recognition to Dhanalakshmi College of Education, P.No. 380, 381, Muppalla Village, Ipur Taluka, Guntur District-522661, Andhra Pradesh for B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition was granted to the institution on 26.07.2012.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification of new Regulations, 2014 and seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>On 27.01.2015, the institution submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the Committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per the Regulations 2014, a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 13.05.2015 with an annual intake of two basic units of 50 students each with a condition that the institution has not maintained/revalidated the Fixed Deposited Receipts towards Endowment and</p>

Reserve Funds.

The Institution submitted Original FDRs as per Regulations, 2014 on 30.06.2015 and other documents, as per Revised Recognition order on 30.05.2015.

The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> May 2016, decided as under:

***"For cases of B.Ed (2 Units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, has been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.***

***Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of Waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspections can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Report can be considered along with examination of the documents.***

***Regional Director is authorised to initiate action accordingly. The institution concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for Submission to the VTs."***

As per the decision of SRC, Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 14.06.2016.

The institution submitted written representation on 23.06.2016 stated as follows:

***"This is bring to your notice that revised provisional recognition order (RPRO) dated 13.03.2015 issued to this institution for conducting B.Ed programme of 2 years duration with an annual intake of 2 units for the academic year 2015-16. Later we applied for recognition of D.Ed-AI course (SRCAPP 2397) for the academic year 2016-17 and composite inspection was conducted on 21.01.2016 for the proposed programme of D.Ed-AI (SRCAPP2397) and existing programme of D.Ed (SRCAPP767) and B.Ed (SRCAPP624). FR was also granted for D.Ed-AI.***

***At the time of inspection the VT noted the built up area available is 4545 Sq.mt which is adequate to run both B.Ed, D.Ed & D.Ed-AI as per latest norms of NCTE 2014.***

***Now I request you madam, please exempt inspection for B.Ed course and issue recognition order from 2016-17 onwards with an intake of 2 units (100 intake) for conducting 2 years B.Ed course."***

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

The SRC in its 318<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 8<sup>th</sup> & 9<sup>th</sup> August, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **Built up area is adequate for B.Ed (2 units). Fresh inspection is not necessary.**
2. **Faculty list-original is not given.**
3. **Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.**

Before issuance of Show Cause Notice, as per the website information, the institution submitted its reply on 16.08.2016 as under:

Sl. No	Deficiency pointed by SRC	Institution written representation	Remarks	
1.	Built up area is adequate for B.Ed (2 units). Fresh inspection is not necessary	The institution in its reply stated that "...in the above reference SRC NCTE given show cause notice for submission of original faculty approved list. Now I am submitting Original Faculty Approved List duly approved by Registrar, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur.	The institution submitted approved Faculty list.	
			Faculty list approved/not approved	Approved
			Whether approved on each page or not	Yes
			No. of faculty as per norms of the course	18 Faculty
2.	Faculty list-original is not given	Hence I request you please grant recognition for B.Ed Course with two units as early as possible."	Designation of the approving authority	Registrar
			Date of approval	20.05.2015

As per decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 30.09.2016.


A letter from NCTE Hqrs dated 27.10.2016, has been received on 07.11.2016, as under:

*"I am directed to refer to this office letter cited above and to state that action taken report has not been received from your end. A copy of the complaint dated 04.05.2012 and a reply dated 28.08.2015 received from Dhanalakshmi College of Education, A.Muppala (A.P).*

**2. It is requested that this case may be place before SRC and ATR**

		<p><i>may be submitted to MS, NCTE within 30 days from the receipt of this letter positively. A reply in the matter may also be furnished to the complaint."</i></p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. The faculty list is in order.</b></li><li><b>2. Issue fresh FR, under the 2014 Regulations, for B.Ed ( 2 units) w.e.f 2016-17.</b></li></ol>
62.	APS02441  B.Ed  Al-Mahmood B.Ed College, Shimoga, Karnataka	<p><b>Al-Mahmood Education Society, Shimoga, Karnataka</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Al-Mahmood B.Ed college, RML Nagar, Shimoga-577202, Karnataka</b> for <b>secondary (B.ED)</b> course of <b>one</b> year duration from the academic session <b>2005-06</b> with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students and was granted recognition on 16.02.2006.</p> <p>The institution has been granted recognition for B.Ed course in temporary premises with a condition to shift to permanent premises within period of three years time limit from the date of recognition as per NCTE regulations 2002 notified in 18.11.2002, were considered.</p> <p>The matter of shifting premises from temporary to permanent premises for B.Ed course in the State of Karnataka was placed before SRC in its 176<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup> – 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2009. The committee decided to issue notice under section 17 of NCTE Act and call all documents of new premises/building. Accordingly a letter was issued to the institution on 28.03.2013.</p> <p>A letter dated 04.04.2013, received by this office from the institution is as under:-</p> <p>"I would like to bring to your kind notice that no letter have been written to your good self requesting to inspect the new premises as stated in your letter. The Al-Mahmood B.Ed College is functioning in its own specious building since 2006. Hence the question of shifting to new premises does not arise. Therefore I request you kindly to check your letter once again to ascertain the name of College that has requested to inspect the premises. The above mentioned letter is enclosed for your kind reference."</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letter was issued to the institution regarding notification new Regulaitons 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revise norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p>

		<p>On 27.01.2015 the institution has submitted the affidavit for offering course with an intake of 100 students.</p> <p>A revised order was issued to the institution on 20.05.2015 for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students ( two basic units of 50 each).</p> <p>On 18.12.2015, the institution has submitted a representation as under:- “I write to submit the following for your kind and favourable consideration. In our earlier letter dated 24.01.2015, we had opted for 2 units of B.Ed programme as per the norms of NCTE from the next academic year. In our letter dated 19.10.2015, we have requested you to accord approval for only one unit for our college. But so far we have not received any communication from your esteemed office in this regard. I therefore request you to kindly accord and consider one unit of B.Ed programme for our Mahamood B.Ed College, Shimoga ( F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2005-2006/5463 dated 16.02.2006) from the academic year 2015-16 and oblige. I also request you kind intimate this matter to the Central Admission Cell, Bangalore for further needful action.”</p> <p>On 14.01.2016, the institution has submitted another representation for an intake of 50 students.</p> <p>The institution has submitted a written representation on 20.01.2016 which is as follows:</p> <p>“With reference to above cited subject, I would like to bring the following information for your kind notice.</p> <p>As per the reference no 2 we are submitted the affidavit seeking permission to grant annual intake of 2 unit ( 100 students) ans as per reference no ( 24-01-2015) you are also issued recognition order by sanctioning 2 unit ( 100 students). Further we re-submitted the affidavit for 1 basic unit ( Ref.NO. 19.10.2015 your office inward no. 160726 on 30.10.2015). We have requested to accord approval for only 1 basic unit for our college, but so far we have not received any communication from your esteemed office in this regard, we also requested you to intimate this matter to central admission cell, Bangalore ( Ref No. 15.12.2015).</p>
--	--	---

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman



		<p>But now centralized admission cell (CAC) allotted 100 students i.e. 2 basic unit for our college, come under Kuvempu University.</p> <p>We are already approached CDC of Kuvempu University and CAC, Bangalore in this regard and they directed to approach you, for further action and clarification.</p> <p>Hence we are requesting you, to give direction to CAC, Bangalore to allot students for 1 (one) basic unit of 50 (Govt. Intake 25) students and oblige.</p> <p>We are requesting you, to take suitable action in this regard immediately, as students are coming for admission."</p> <p>The SRC in its 301<sup>st</sup> meeting held during 15<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup> February, 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Change may be permitted.</b></p> <p>As per the decision SRC, a corrigendum was issued to the institution for one unit on 05.04.2016.</p> <p>On 13.04.2016, a letter is received by this office from the Principal, Al. Mahamood B.Ed College, R.M.L.Nagar, Shimoga, is as under:-</p> <p>"With reference to above I write to submit the following for your kind perusal and urgent needful action. In response to your letter cited under ref(3) we have submitted a requisition and Affidavit on 24.01.2015 requesting for 2 units of B.Ed programme. In response to our request the NCTE has issued order dated 20.05.2015 approving 2 basic units in B.Ed programme as per the norms of NCTE from the academic year 2015-16. Due to some unavoidable circumstances we have submitted requisitions dated : 19.10.2015 and 15.12.2015 requesting for approval of only one basic unit instead of two basic units. But the NCTE did not considered our request and directed to continue with two basic units for the year 2015-16 only. And posted two units in GIS Data of NCTE on 30.11.2015. In this connection I personally met your goodself on 09.02.2016 at your office and explained the situation and</p>
--	--	---

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

your goodself accepted our request and directed to continue with two basic units during 2015-16 only, based on your order dated 20.05.2015, the CAC has allotted 34 candidates under the government quota and 42 students took admission under the management quota and college started functioning from 22.02.2016.

In view of the facts explained above I request you kindly to order for two basic units of B.Ed programme during this academic year ( 2015-16) only and from next academic year (2016-17) we will opt for ONE basic unit. The corrigendum dated 05.04.2016 issued from your office allowing ONE basic unit in B.Ed course during this academic year will adversely effect the students who have already admitted in the college based on your order dated 20.05.2015. So I once again request your goodself to consider our humble reque3st and accord two units during this academic year only and ONE basic unit of B.Ed from the next academic year."

The SRC in its 321<sup>st</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 considered the request of the institution and decided as under:-

**"We should use this opportunity to verify the basic facts with respect to documents in all such RPRO cases many of which relate to very old FRs issued by us. R.D. may collect- Land deds; LUC; EC; BP; BCC; FDRs; and, Faculty Lists and get them processed by office so that we can critically scrutinize the facts and take appropriate decisions about issue of new FRs". "**

Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 19.10.2016.

The institution has submitted written representation on 12.01.2017 which is as under:-

**"With reference to above cited subject I am submitting the document related to our college with reference to your letter dated on 19.10.2016.**

**I requesting you to sanction 1 unit of B.Ed course in place of 2 units and oblige"**

The institution submitted the following documents:-		
Documents	Details of the documents	
<b>DETAILS OF LAND DOCUMENTS:-</b>	Registered certified copy of the Land document: Submitted/Not submitted (Whether in English or Regional language) (Whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	The land document certified by the sub registrar is submitted
	Date of Registration of Land	11.02.1992
	Land registered in the name of	Between the Hon.Secretary, Al-Mahamood Education Society (R), New Town, Bhadrawathi, on one part and the Urban Development Authority, Shimoga, represented by its commissioner, on the other part.
	Type of title deed i.e sale deed/lease deed(govt./pvt/gift deed)	<b>Lease-cum -Sale Agreement.</b>
	Survey No/Plot No./Khasara No.	<b>Not mentioned</b>
	Extent of Land in each Sy.No./Plot No./Khasara No.	<b>3720 sq.mtrs</b> <b>Sy. No. not mentioned in the land document</b>
<b>NOTARIZED COPY OF LAND</b>	Name of the Society/Trust/Institut	<b>Not submitted</b>

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

<b><u>USE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>	ion	
	Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	
	Extent of diverted land	
	Purpose of diversion	
	Date of issue	
	Name & designation of the issuing authority	
<b><u>NOTARIZED COPY OF ENCUMBRANCE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>	Name of the Society/Trust/Instit ion	Secretary, Al- Mahamood Education Society
	Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	Khata No. 2466 / 2466/1 Shimoga
	Search for the period	01.04.2014 - 15.06.2015
	Extent of land	1) 36.20 x 91.80 2) 36.20+23.50/2 X 7.00+19.60/2 = 3720. sq.mtrs
	Any mortgage as per EC	Nil
	Date of issue	16.06.2015
	Name & designation of the issuing authority	Sub Registrar, Shimoga
<b><u>BLUE PRINT/NOTARIZE D COPY OF BUILDING PLAN SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>	Name and address of Society/Trust/Instit ion	Secretary, Al- Mahamood Education Society (R), Shivamoga
	Plot area/land area	3368.84 sq.mtrs
	Total built-up area	Total area of Existing G.F, F.F, S.F - 1342.23 sq.mt 2. proposed SF : 23.68 X 10.31 = 244.14 sqm

			Total =1586.37 sq.mt
		Date of approval	Not mentioned
		Name and designation of approving authority	Not approved by the competent authority
<b><u>NOTARIZED COPY OF THE BUILDING COMPLETION CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>		Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	<b>Not submitted</b>
		Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	
		Total site area	
		Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	
		Type of Roofing	
		Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	
		Date of issue	
		Name & designation of the issuing authority	
<b>FDRs Details</b>			
	<b>Rs. 5.00 Lakhs Endowment Fund</b>	<b>Rs. 3 lakhs Reserve Fund</b>	
<b>Course</b>	<b>B.Ed</b>	<b>B.Ed</b>	
<b>FDR No.</b>	414860	279330	
<b>Whether in single or joint A/c</b>	Joint A/c	Joint A/c	
<b>Date of issue</b>	19.01.2015	19.01.2015	
<b>Date of Maturity</b>	25.06.2019	27.06.2019	
<b>Name of issuing Bank</b>	State Bank of Mysore	State Bank of Mysore	
	<b>Photocopy submitted</b>		
<b>Faculty list</b>	Faculty list	Seal and signature of	

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>approved/not approved</td> <td rowspan="5">the affiliating body is not reflected in all pages of the faculty list and also the institution has not submitted faculty list along with photographs.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Whether approved on each page or not</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No. of faculty as per norms of the course</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Designation of the approving authority</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Date of approval</td> </tr> </table>	approved/not approved	the affiliating body is not reflected in all pages of the faculty list and also the institution has not submitted faculty list along with photographs.	Whether approved on each page or not	No. of faculty as per norms of the course	Designation of the approving authority	Date of approval
approved/not approved	the affiliating body is not reflected in all pages of the faculty list and also the institution has not submitted faculty list along with photographs.							
Whether approved on each page or not								
No. of faculty as per norms of the course								
Designation of the approving authority								
Date of approval								
		<p><b>Remarks:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The institution submitted Lease-cum-Sale Agreement and Sy. No. not mentioned in the land document.</li> <li>2) Land Use Certificate not submitted.</li> <li>3) Photocopy of the Building Plan not approved by the competent authority.</li> <li>4) Building Completion Certificate not submitted.</li> <li>5) Seal and signature of the affiliating body is not reflected in all pages of the faculty list and also the institution has not submitted faculty list along with photographs.</li> </ol> <p><b>Note:-</b></p> <p>As per MIS the institution is not running any other courses</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The order for reduction from 2 units to 1 unit has been issued. Therefore, there is no urgency.</li> <li>2. Several documents are missing obtain them all and put up together in April, 17 along with other RPRO cases.</li> </ol>						
63.	<p>APS01928</p> <p>B.Ed.</p> <p>JSS Institute of Education, Bellary, Karnataka</p>	<p>JSS Institute of Education, Huvina Hadagali, Holagundi Road, Bellary District-583219, Karnataka had submitted application for offering B.Ed course on 31.12.2003. The institution was granted recognition by SRC for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students vide order dated 01.12.2004.</p> <p>The institution vide letter dated 01.10.2009 submitted a proposal for</p>						

		<p>shifting of premises along with Rs.40,000/- towards shifting fee. Accordingly, inspection was carried out on 21.10.2010.</p> <p>The SRC in its 198<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 24-26 November, 2010 considered the VT report and decided to issue Show cause Notice. Accordingly, show cause notice was issued on 03.01.2011. The institution submitted written representation on 27.01.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 201<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 22<sup>nd</sup>-23<sup>rd</sup> February, 2011 considered the written representation, VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to issue final Show Cause Notice. Accordingly, Final show cause notice was issued to the institution on 23.03.2011. The institution submitted written representation on 12.04.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 204<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> April 2011 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to issue Final show cause notice. Accordingly, Final show cause notice was issued to the institution on 30.05.2011. The institution submitted its written representation on 09.06.2011.</p> <p>The SRC in its 207<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 23<sup>rd</sup> June, 2011 considered the VT report of the institution, reply of the institution to the show cause notice and other related documents along with the original file of the institution, and decided that shifting of B.Ed (APS01928) course to new/permanent premises is permitted. Permission is hereby accorded by SRC to JSS institute of Education, Huvina Hadagali, Holagundi Road, Bellary District-583219, Karnataka to shift the premises to the new location <u>/building at S.No.684-G, P. O. Box No. 1, Near Gadidurgamma Temple, Holagundi Road, Huvinahadagali -583219, Bellary District, Karnataka</u></p> <p>Accordingly, shifting order was issued to the institution on 22.07.2011.</p> <p>On 17.01.2015, an affidavit from the Principal of the institution dated 19.01.2015 was received by this office for adherence of Regulations, 2014. The revised recognition order was issued to the</p>
--	--	--

institution on 16.05.2015 with an intake of 100 students (two units of 50 each).

The institution submitted compliance to RPRO on 30.10.2015 alongwith documents.

The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup> & 28<sup>th</sup> may, 2016 decided as under:-

*"For cases of B.Ed (2 units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, had been issued. We have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July, 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issue w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admissions in time.*

*Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of waiting for that action to be complete for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT inspection can straightaway be order. VT inspection Reports can be considered along with examination of the documents.*

*Regional Director is authorized to initiate action accordingly. The institutions concerned may be alerted about such action so that the y will be prepared to receive the visiting team they may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved faculty lists for submission to the VTs.*

As per the decision of SRC, inspection letter was sent to the institution on 13.06.2016.

Accordingly, the institution submitted inspection fee of Rs. 1,50,000/- on 09.08.2016.

A letter dated 19.11.2016 has been received from the institution on 21.11.2016 is as under:-

*"With reference to the above we would like to bring the following information to your kind self. We have received the mail from SRC-NCTE, Bengaluru the visiting team will visit our college in between 22-10-2016 to 11-11-2016 to assess the infrastructure, available for additional Unit. But till date VT Members have not informed the date of Inspection*



*Meanwhile, the government of Karnataka has issued notification for the admission for B.Ed. Course. Enquires for the course are not very encouraging comparing to last year. Hence, we felt that it is not viable to offer the course with two units in our college. Therefore, we would like to restrict the admission for this year to only one unit.*

*In view of the above scenario, we would like bring to your kind notice that one unit of intake (50 Students), will be done in the ensuing year. The fact has been intimated to the central admission cell, Bengaluru, Government of Karnataka, and the Vijayanagara Srikrishna Devaraya University, Ballari as well.*

*Kindly consider our request and oblige. "*

The SRC, in its 324<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 07<sup>th</sup> & 08<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 consider the request for one unit and decided as under:-

1. No inspection is required in this case.
2. Return the fee if already paid.
3. The request for reduction from 2 units to one unit is accepted.
4. Ask them to submit all relevant documents by 30.12.2016.
5. Process; and, put up.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to institution on 16.12.2016.

On, 29.12.2016, a letter along with documents received by this office is as under:-

“With reference to the above subject herewith enclosed relevant original documents and other records this is for your kind perusal and information.

**The institution has submitted the following documents:**

<u>REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE</u>	Date of Regn. and in the name of	Not submitted
<u>DETAILS OF</u>	Registered	Certified copy of RTC

		<b>LAND DOCUMENTS:-</b>	certified copy of the Land document: Submitted/Not submitted (Whether in English or Regional language) (Whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	the Sale Deed submitted.	submitted	
		Date of Registration of Land		10.02.2004	08.07.2016	
		Land registered in the name of		JSS Mahavidyapeetha, Mysore,	JSS Mahavidyapeetha, Mysore,	
		Type of title deed i.e sale deed/lease deed(govt./pvt/gift deed)		Sale Deed	RTC submitted	
		Survey No/Plot No./Khasara No.		684/G	684	
		Extent of Land in each Sy.No./Plot No./Khasara No.		22.68	22.68	
		<b>AFFIDAVIT:-</b>	Sy.No	684 G		
			Location	Huvinahadagali Bellary, Dt		
			Extent	91318.11 (22.68 acres)		
			Built up area	8491.83 Sq.Mtrs		
<b>NOTARIZED COPY OF</b>	Name of the Society/Trust/Inst	JSS Mahavidya Peetha Holagundi,				

		<b>LAND USE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</b>	stitution	
			Survey/Plot/Khas ara No. and location	684/G Holagundi, Gramapanchayath, Ittigi Hobli. Huvinahadagali Tq.
			Purpose of	Education purpose
			Date of issue	16.08.2004 & 3.11.2016
			Name & designation of the	Assistant Commissioner & Rural development Huvinahadagali
		<b>NOTARIZED COPY OF ENCUMBRANC E CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</b>	Name of the Society/Trust/Inst itution	JSS Mahavidya Peetha
			Survey/Plot/Khas ara No. and location	(684) 684D Holagundi Village
			Search for the period	01.04.2014 – 17.10.2016
			Extent of land	<b>Not mentioned</b>
			Any mortgage as per EC	Nil
			Date of issue	18.10.2016
			Name & designation of the issuing authority	Sub-Registrar Office, Huvinahadagali
			<b>BLUE PRINT/NOTARIZED COPY OF BUILDING PLAN SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</b>	Name and address of Society/Trust/I nstitution
		Plot area/land		981669.76 Sqft- (91318.11

<p><b><u>NOTARIZED COPY OF THE BUILDING COMPLETION CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u></b></p>	Total built-up area	Area statement –Phase-I 91287.22 Sqft (8491.85 Sqmt)			
		Area statement –Phase-II 40807.33 Sqft (3796.03 Sqmt)			
	Date of approval	-----			
	Name and designation of	Secretary, grama panchayath Holagundi,			
	Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	JSS Mahavidya Peetha Mysore,			
	Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	Sy.No.684 G, Holagundi, Gramapanchayath, Ittigi Hobli. Huvinahadagali Tq.			
	Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course		<b>Area</b>	<b>RCC Roofing</b>	
		Ground Floor.	Sq.Ft.	14679.7	5
		First Floor	Sq.Ft.		
		Second Floor	Sq.Ft.		
Third Floor		Sq.Ft.			
Type of Roofing	RCC				
Area of Multipurpose Hall	1220.55 Sq.ft				
Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Education Institution				
Date of issue	03.11.2016				

	Name & designation of the issuing authority	Assistant Executive Engineer, PRE Sub-division, Huvinahadagali Bellary Dt,		
<b>FDRs Details</b>				
	Rs. 2.00 Lakhs	Rs. 5.00 Lakhs	Rs. 5.00 Lakhs	
Course	B.Ed	B.Ed	B.Ed	
FDR No	374750	375043	375044	
Whether in single or joint A/c	Single a/c	Single a/c	Single a/c	
Date of issue	17.09.2016	21.07.2015	21.07.2015	
Date of Maturity	17.09.2021	21.07.2020	21.07.2020	
Name of issuing Bank	State Bank of Mysore	State Bank of Mysore	State Bank of Mysore	
Staff list	Photo copy of the teaching staff & Non teaching faculty list approved by the Vijayanagara Sri Krishnadevaraya University vide dated 19.08.2015 & 05.12.2016 submitted.			
<b>Remarks:-</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Society registration certificate are not submitted.</li> <li>• Total extent is not mentioned in the EC.</li> </ul>				
<b>Note : As per VT Report dated 26.10.2010, the institution is running</b>				

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		<p>its own building &amp; the built up area for B.Ed is 22103.02 Sq.ft &amp; for D.Ed is 18547.53 Sq.ft.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. All documents are in order.</li><li>2. Reduction from 2 units to one unit has already been approved on 16.12.16. Issue the approval if not already done.</li><li>3. The area of multipurpose hall is less. Ask them to increase and report.</li></ol>
64.	APS02283 B.Ed JSS Institute of Education, Chamarajanagar, Karnataka	<p>J.S.S Mahavidyapeetha, Dr. Sri. Shivarathri Rajendra Circle, Mysore-570004, Karnataka had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to J.S.S. Institute of Education, Chamarajanagar. Pin -571313, Karnataka for Secondary (B.Ed) course of one year duration from the academic session 2004-05 with an annual intake of 100 students and was granted recognition on <u>30.11.2004</u>. With shifting condition.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letter was issued to the institution for submission of affidavit expressing adherence to Regulations, 2014. The institution submitted its written representation along with affidavit on 20.01.2015.</p> <p><b>Revised recognition order was issued to the institution on <u>16.05.2015</u> with an intake of 100 students.</b></p> <p>The institution submitted written representation on 19.11.2016, as under:-</p> <p>With reference to the above we would like to bring the following information to your kind self. We have received a message from the Visiting Team about their visit to our College on 15<sup>th</sup> or 16<sup>th</sup> of November, 2016 to assess the infrastructure an available for additional Unit but, <u>we have not received</u> any prior intimation about their visit from your office so far.</p> <p>Meanwhile, the Government of Karnataka has issued notification for the admission of B.Ed Course. Admission and also enquires for the course are not very encouraging. Hence, we felt that it is not viable to offer the course with two units in our college. Therefore, we would like to restrict the admission for this year, to only one unit.</p>

The above information is also communicated to the Visiting Team requesting them to cancel their visit. They have agreed to this request and advised us to intimate the same to your office.

In view of the above scenario, we now inform your goodself that admission for only one unit of intake (50 students), will be done from the ensuing year. This fact has also been intimated to the Central Admission Cell, Government of Karnataka, and the University of Mysuru as well.

Kindly consider our request and oblige.

The SRC, in its 324<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 07<sup>th</sup> & 08<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 consider the request for one unit and decided as under:-


1. No inspection is required in this case.
2. Return the fee if already paid.
3. The request for reduction from 2 units to one unit is accepted.
4. Ask them to submit all relevant documents by 30.12.2016.
5. Process; and, put up.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to institution on 16.12.2016.

On, 29.12.2016, a letter along with documents received by this office is as under:-

“With reference to the above subject herewith I am sending the following original documents for your kind perusal.

1. Certified copy of Land documents in the name of the Institution/ Society.
2. Blue print of building plan duly approved by govt Authority.
3. Building Completion in original from the govt Authority in the prescribed format
4. Notarised copy of Land usage certificate from the concerned govt authority.
5. Encumbrance certificate in original from the competent govt authority.
6. Original FDR's of Endowment fund 5 lakhs and Reserve fund 7 lakhs (4 lakhs and 3 lakhs) in joint account of a scheduled bank for a period of 5 years.
7. Affidavit on Rs.100/- stamp paper in the prescribed format with notary attested.

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

8. Approved Teaching faculty list.		
<u>The institution has submitted the following documents:</u>		
<u>REGISTRATI ON BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE</u>	Date of Regn. and in the name of	Not submitted
<u>DETAILS OF LAND DOCUMENT</u> S:-	Registered certified copy of the Land document: Submitted/Not submitted (Whether in English or Regional language) (Whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	A copy of the Sale Deed land document is submitted
	Date of Registration of Land	02.12.1946
	Land registered in the name of	Shree Shivarathri Rajendra Swamiji of Suttur Matta Suttur Village, Nanjanagud Taluk,
	Type of title deed i.e sale deed/lease deed(govt./pvt/gift deed)	Sale Deed



		Survey No/Plot No./Khasara No.	1. 390/3 2. 300 3. 391/6 4. 390/1 5. 391/1 6. 391/3 7. 391/5 8. 390/2 9. 391/7 10. 391/2 11. 390
		Extent of Land in each Sy.No./Plot No./Khasara No.	1. 1 acres 25 guntas 2. 1 acres 27 guntas 3. 3 acres 27 guntas 4. 3.27 guntas 5. 00.38 6. 1. acres 3 guntas 7. 00.23 guntas 8. 1 acres 32 guntas 9. 3 acres 12 guntas 10. 27 guntas 11. 3 acres 4 guntas
	<b><u>AFFIDAVIT:-</u></b>	Sy.No	Plot No.870/813 Khasara No. 2721/46-47-2847/69-70
		Location	Chamarajanagara
		Total land area	13233.221
		Built up area	1653.65
		Extent	03 acres 27 guntas
	<b><u>NOTARIZED COPY OF LAND USE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/ NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>	Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	JSS Mahavidya Peetha Chamarajanagara
		Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	Sy No: 387,388,390,391,392
		Extent of diverted land	Not mentioned
		Date of issue	26.10.2016

		Name & designation of the issuing authority	Commissioner City Municipal Council, Chamarajanagara <b>(LUC is in Regional Language)</b>
<b><u>NOTARIZED COPY OF ENCUMBRANCE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/ NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>	Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	JSS Mahavidya Peetha Mysore	
	Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	Assessment No. 870/813	
	Search for the period	13.02.2014 – 18.10.2016	
	Extent of land	Not mentioned	
	Date of issue	27.10.2016	
	Name & designation of the	Sub Registrar, Chamarajanagar,	
	Name and address of	JSS Institute of Education at Chamarajanagar	
<b><u>BLUE PRINT/NOTARIZED COPY OF BUILDING PLAN SUBMITTED/ NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>	Sy.No.& location	Not mentioned	
	Plot area/land area	Not mentioned	
	Total built-up area	Ground floor area - 1653.65 Sqm First floor area- 1653.65 Sqm Total Built up area 3307.3 Sqm	
	Date of approval	-----	
	Name and designation of approving authority	Commissioner Chamarajanagar & Assistant Executive Engineer, Chamarajanagar	
	Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	JSS Mahavidya Peetha, Mysore	
<b><u>NOTARIZED COPY OF THE BUILDING COMPLETION</u></b>	Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	Sy No: 387,388,390,391/1,Assessment	

<b>CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/ NOT SUBMITTED</b>		No.870/813		
		Location not mentioned		
	Type of Roofing	Not mentioned		
	Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Education purpose		
	Date of issue	02.11.2016		
	Name & designation of the issuing authority	Assistant Executive Engineer. Chamarajanagar		
<b>MINORITY CERTIFICATE:-</b>	-----			
<b>Fixed Deposit Receipt</b>	Submitted	<b>Original Submitted</b>	<b>FDR</b>	
	Amount	4 lakhs	3 lakhs	5 lakh
	FDR No.	374753	226673	372994
	Renewed from	17.09.2016 to 17.09.2021	01.08.2012 to 30.07.2017	30.12.2013 to 30.12.2013
	Tenure of FDR	5 years	5 years	5 years
	Bank name	State Bank of	State Bank of Mysore	State Bank of
<b>Faculty list</b>	<b>Faculty list not approved by the affiliating body. Details of the principle not mentioned in the faculty list.</b>			
<b>Remarks:-</b>				

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Photo copy of the building plan submitted but Survey No &amp; location, extent of land are not mentioned in the building plan.</li><li>• Photo copy of the land use certificate submitted. But total extent not mentioned.</li><li>• Society Registration certificate and Bye-Laws not submitted.</li><li>• Copy of Encumbrance Certificate submitted in Regional Language. As per EC Sy.No. &amp; Total extent of land not mentioned in EC.</li><li>• As per BCC asbestos roofing not mentioned and &amp; original BCC is not submitted.</li><li>• Photo copy of the LUC submitted but total built up area not mentioned. As per LUC in regional language and not in the prescribed format.</li><li>• Approved Faculty list not submitted.</li><li>• Affidavit of the institution in the prescribed format along with Sy. No. not mentioned.</li></ul> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. There are many gaps in the documents given.</li><li>2. 1 Issue Show Cause Notice as per the deficiencies cited in the agenda note.</li><li>2.2 The Sy.Nos. shown in EC do not feature in the land document.</li></ol>
65.	APS01657 B.Ed 1 Unit Sri Manjari Hanumanthappa College of Education, Davangere, Karnataka	<p>Sri Hoyasala Vidya Samsthe, Davanagere, Karnataka had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Sri Manjari Hanumanthappa College of Education, DCM Township, PB Road, Davanagere-577003, Karnataka</b> for <b>Secondary (B.Ed) Course of one year duration</b> from the academic session <b>2004-05</b> with an annual intake of <b>100</b> students and was granted recognition on <b>25.11.2004</b> with condition of Shift to its own premises/ building within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started in rented premises)</p> <p>A letter dated 05.10.2007 was received by this office from the institution on 18.06.2008 which is as under:-</p> <p>“We would like to bring to kind notice that as per your conditions</p>

stipulated in the recognition order, being unable to move on to permanent premises even on completion of a given period of time, " The Hoysala Vidya Samsthe, DCM Township, wishes to run their Educational Institutions viz. 1) Sri Manjari Hanumanthappa College of Education and 2) Sri Hoysala D.Ed College in the rental building. So the society has now got the same temporary premises on The Lease Basis. The said property has been registered in the sub registrar office Davangere, Davangere District, Karnataka State."

An affidavit from the Sri Manjari Hanumanthappa College of Education, Davangere, Karnataka State dated 23.01.2015 was received by this office on 29.01.2015. A Provisional revised order was issued to the institution on 29.05.2015.

On 30.07.2015, a letter with required necessary documents dated 27.07.2015 was received from the Secretary, Sri Hoysala Vidya Samsthe, Davangere District, Karnataka State stating as under:-

"Our College Sri Hoysala Vidyasamsthe (R) Sri Manjari Hanumanthappa College of Education, D.C.M. Township, P.B.Road Davangere-577003, Karnataka State, is running in the same premises since 2004 in the same building which is possessed on 29 years and 11 months lease basis. The Present premises which is on lease to us has sufficient space for the conducting the classes and all other required facilities for the Teacher Education. We have enclosed all the necessary copies of the documents.

The present college has not been shifted to new premises so far, because the whole land and building is taken on 29 years and 11 months lease of which the copy is enclosed for your information with this letter along with others."

The SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held during 29<sup>th</sup> -30<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution and stated as under:-

1. *Private lease is not allowed.*
2. *Withdraw recognition.*

On 27.10.2015, a letter dated 26.10.2015 is received by this office from

the Secretary, Sri Hoysala Vidya Samsthe, Nerlige, Davangere District, Karnataka is as under:-

" With reference to the subject and reference referred above (website information) we would like to bring to your kind information that our college Sri Manjari Hanumanthappa College of Education D.C.M Township, P.B. Road Davangere-577003, Karnataka State, run by Sri Koysala Vidya Samsthe (R), Nerlige, Davanagere District in Karnataka, which is a private scheduled caste (SC) Vidya Samsthe. This college has started in 2004-05 for the poor, SC & ST students to provide educational facilities to them without any monitory benefits in the social interest with all infrastructure facilities with central approval and recognition so far. As per your website news our college recognition is withdrawn.

Sir now we are in the position of required land. The whole entire property has been registered in the name of Sri Hoysala Vidya Samsthe (R), Nerlige, Davanagere Taluk and District, Karnataka State. We enclosed registered deed and the registered copy translated from Kannada to English. The same information is given to you with all necessary documents for the continuation of recognition of our college.

Kindly permit us to continue the college with your recognition continuation and we pray you sir renew our college recognition which is held up at present moment. There for your requested for continuation of recognition to our college. We hope you will do the needful and oblige."

The Southern Regional Committee in its 293<sup>rd</sup> meeting held during 29<sup>th</sup> & 31<sup>st</sup> October, 2015 Considered the matter, letter dated 26.10.2015 from the institution and advised Southern Regional Office as under:

- Ask them to submit the Transfer Deed,

On 16.11.2015, based on website information, the institution submitted a reply as under :

The Southern Regional Committee in its 08<sup>th</sup> & 10<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 considered the matter, reply from the institution dated 16.11.2015 and decided as under:

1. Records verified.
2. Norms are seen to have been fulfilled.
3. Restore recognition
4. Cause composite inspection after 30 May 2016 to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations.

A Restore Recognition order issued to the institution on 03.02.2016.

On 31.12.2014 letter was issued to the institution on affidavit expressing adherence to Regulation 2014. The institution has submitted its written representation along with affidavit on 29.01.2015.

The Provisional revised order issued to the institution on 29.05.2015 with an intake of 100 students. The institution has submitted a written representation on 08.02.2016 which is as under:-

*" with reference to the subject cited above, I would like to bring to your notice that our management has decided to take only one unit. So we kindly pray for the Sanction of one unit to our college (APS01657). We again request you Sri /Madam to confirm a sanction of only one unit for our college (one unit of 50 students). We hope you will do the needful and oblige. "*

The SRC in its 322<sup>nd</sup> meeting held during 20<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup> October, 2016 considered the request of the institution and decided as under:-

1. "Request for reduction of intake from 2 units to one unit is accepted.
2. Ask them to submit all relevant documents for processing the case for issue of a new FR under the 2014 Regulations for B.Ed ( 1 unit).
3. If documents have already been received process and put up."

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to institution on 6.11.2016.

On 19.12.2016, a letter along with documents received by this office is as under:-

"With reference to the subject cited above, we would like to submit of all necessary documents for one unit B.Ed course

as per regulations 2014.

We have already submitted all the relevant documents as per the NCTE regulation 2014. We have enclosed affidavit copy. We hope you will do the needful and oblige."

The institution has submitted the following documents:

<b>REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE</b>	Date of Regn. and in the name of	04.10.1989 Sri Hoysala Vidya Samsthe (R)
<b>DETAILS OF LAND DOCUMENTS:-</b>	Registered certified copy of the Land document: Submitted/Not submitted (Whether in English or Regional language) (Whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	Certified copy of the land document submitted.
	Date of Registration of Land	26.10.2015
	Land registered in the name of	Sri Hoysala Vidya Samsthe (R)
	Type of title deed i.e sale deed/lease deed(govt./pvt/gift deed)	Gift Deed
	Survey No/Plot No/Khasara No.	Old Door No.91-80 and new dor no. 298/1
	Extent of Land in	6000 sq.ft



	each Sy.No./Plot No./Khasara No.	
<b><u>AFFIDAVIT:-</u></b>	Sy.No	Plot No: 298/1
	Location	Davanagere Village & District, Karnataka
	Built up area	1551.84 sq.mtrs
	Extent	2500 sq.mtrs
<b><u>NOTARIZED COPY OF LAND USE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>	Name of the Society/Trust/Ins titution	<b>Not submitted</b>
	Survey/Plot/Khas ara No. and location	
	Purpose of diversion	
	Date of issue	
	Name & designation of the issuing authority	
<b><u>NOTARIZED COPY OF ENCUMBRANCE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>	Name of the Society/Trust/Ins titution	Sri Hoysala Vidya Samsthe (R)
	Survey/Plot/Khas ara No. and location	Old Door No.91-80 and new dor no. 298/1 Davanagere District, Karnataka
	Search for the period	28.10.2015 - 28.10.2015
	Extent of land	6000 sq.ft
	Any mortgage as per EC	Nil
	Date of issue	28.10.2015
	Name & designation of the issuing authority	Senior Sub-Registrar Office, Davangere
	<b><u>BLUE</u></b>	Name and

		<u>PRINT/NOTARIZED COPY OF BUILDING PLAN SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u>	address of Society/Trust/Ins titution	Samsthe (R)	
			Plot area/land area	814.44 smtr	
			Total built-up area	1551.84 smtr	
			Date of approval	-----	
			Name and designation of approving authority	Executive Engineer, PWD & IWTD Division, Davanagere	
		<u>NOTARIZED COPY OF THE BUILDING COMPLETION CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u>		Name and address of Society/Trust/Ins titution	Dr. Y.Ramappa S/o Late Yalappa Nerlige (post), Davanagere Taluk, Davanagere District, Karnataka
				Survey/Plot/Khas ara Nos. and location	298/1
				Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	G.F. 517.28 sq.mts F.F. 517.28 sq.mts S.F 517.28 sq.mts  <b>Total built up ara :1551.84 sq.mts</b>
				Type of Roofing	RCC
				Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Education Institution
Date of issue	2004				
Name & designation of the issuing authority	Engineer				

FDRs Details		
	Rs. 5.00 Lakhs Endowment Fund	Rs. 7.00 Lakhs Endowment Fund
Course	Not submitted	Not submitted
FDR No		
Whether in single or joint A/c		
Date of issue		
Date of Maturity		
Name of issuing Bank		
Faculty list	Faculty list approved/not approved	Faculty list approved by Registrar, Davangere University, Shivagangothri, Karnataka

**Remarks:-**

1. Land Use Certificate approved by the competent authority is not submitted.
2. Original FDRs of 5 lakhs and Rs 7 lakhs are not submitted.
3. Building Completion Certificate approved by the competent authority is not submitted.
4. BCC is not signed by the authorized Engineer.
5. Photocopy of the BP is submitted, wherein the signature of the PWD Engineer is not visible.
6. This case will be stand alone case.
7. Institution has submitted photocopy of EC.
8. Land document ( Regional language) and EC reads the extent land area as 6000 sq.ft. Noticed that the translated version of the land document is corrected by hand to read the extent land area as 6000 sq.ft.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. There are many gaps in the documents given.
2. Land area is shown to be only 6000 sq.ft. This is far less than what is prescribed.

3. Issue Show Cause Notice citing all the deficiencies identified.		
66.	APS03393 B.Ed Jagadguru Panchacharya College of Education, Gadag, Karnataka	<p>The Southern Regional Committee grants recognition to Jagadguru Panchacharya College of Education, Gadag-582101, Karnataka for Secondary course of one year duration from the academic session 2000-2001 with an annual intake of 100, students and was granted recognition on 14.08.2000.</p> <p>A letter dated 08.03.2002 was received by this office from the Administrator, J.P.College of Education, Gadag on 11.03.2002 regarding request to sanction of 100 intake capacity for the academic year 2001-2002 and also requested to approve the admission of all 50 students of the Management Quota for the year 2001-2002.</p> <p>The SRC in its 45<sup>th</sup>, 46<sup>th</sup>, and 47<sup>th</sup> meetings considered the matter and observed that the institution has not made admissions in the Government quota and the six excess admissions were made only in the management quota above the limit fixed by NCTE i.e. NCTE has fixed the intake to 88 and management in total has made 94 admissions.</p> <p>The SRC has also noted that the renewal of recognition for the academic session 2001-2002 was considered only after the performance Appraisal Reports were received and as such the communication regarding fixing of the intake by SRC was also intimated late. Considering all the above aspects, SRC decided to restore the intake to the institution up to the extent of regularizing the six excess admission made during the academic session 2001-2002.i.e. intake has been restored from 88 to 94 for the academic session 2001-2002.</p> <p>The performance appraisal report for the academic year 2001-2002 &amp; 2002-2003 is received by this office from the institution on 28.03.2002 &amp; 29.01.2003 respectively.</p> <p>On 19.06.2015, the institution has submitted an affidavit for offering B.Ed course and the revised order was issued to the institution on 31.05.2015. The institution has submitted reply to the revised order to this office on 27.10.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup>&amp; 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 considered the reply of the revised order and decided as under:-</p>

"For Cases of B.Ed ( 2 units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, had been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f 2016-17 to enable them to make admissions in time.

Action to check the documents in these cases ( about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspection can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Reports can be considered along with examination of the documents.

Regional Director is authorized to initiate action accordingly. The Institutions concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for submission to the VTs."

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC a VT letter was issued to the institution on 13.06.2016.

On 16.07.2016 a letter dated 13.07.2016 is received by this office from the Secretary, J.P.College of Education, Gadag, Karnataka is as under:-

"I am pleased to inform you that, Management has decided to opt one Basic Unit of 50 students from the next academic year 2016-17 and onwards and also I have already submitted Affidavit along with declaration regarding to opt one basic unit of 50 students ( vide our office letter No. 1 copy enclosed). But you have sanctioned 2 basic units of 50 students.

So, I humbly request that kindly grant one basic unit of 50 students to our college from the next academic year 2016-17 and onwards. Please cancel the NCTE inspection.

I hope and trust that you will do the needful and oblige. "

The SRC in its 321<sup>st</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 considered the request of the institution and decided as under:-

"We should use this opportunity to verify the basic facts with respect to documents in all such RPRO cases many of which relate to very old FRs issued by us. R.S. may collect- Land deeds; LUC; EC; BP; BCC; FDRs; and Faculty Lists and get them processed by office so that we can critically scrutinize the facts and take appropriate decisions about issue of new FRs."


As per decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 15.10.2016. The institution has submitted reply on 07.11.2016 is as under:-

"With reference to the above, I am submitting herewith the following documents in Xerox regarding opt one unit of B.Ed course in place of Two Units as required by your office:

1. Land deeds.
2. Land Use Certificate.
3. Encumbrance Certificate.
4. Building Plan.
5. Building Completion Certificate.
6. FDRs Certificate i.e. 5,00,000 and 7,00,000 respectively.
7. Faculty list approved by the Karnataka University Dharwad."

The institution has submitted the following documents:

<u>REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE</u>	Date of Regn. and in the name of	Not submitted
<u>DETAILS OF LAND DOCUMENTS:-</u>	Registered certified copy of the Land document: Submitted/Not submitted (Whether in English or Regional language) (Whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	Registered certified copy of the land document submitted in regional language.  (English version of the land document not

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

			submitted)	
		Date of Registration of Land	22.09.1981	
		Land registered in the name of	Karnataka Vidya Vardhaka Samithi, Gadag	
		Type of title deed i.e sale deed/lease deed(govt./pvt/gift deed)	Sale deed dated 22.09.1981 submitted	
		Survey No/Plot No./Khasara No.	426/1	
		Extent of Land in each Sy.No./Plot No./Khasara No.	2 acres 30 guntas	
	<b>AFFIDAVIT:-</b>	Sy.No	<b>Not submitted</b>	
		Location		
		Built up area		
		Extent		
	<b>NOTARIZED COPY OF LAND USE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</b>	Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	Karnataka Vidya Vardhaka Samithi, Gadag	
		Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	426/1B Betageri, Gadag	
		Purpose of diversion	Education purpose	
		Date of issue	30.04.2001	
		Name & designation of the issuing authority	Commissioner, Town Development Officer, Gadag, Betgeri	
		<b>NOTARIZED COPY OF</b>	Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	J.P.College of Education,

		<b><u>ENCUMBRANCE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>	Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	426/1B
			Search for the period	01.04.2014 – 19.06.2015
			Extent of land	2 acres 30 guntas
			Any mortgage as per EC	Nil
			Date of issue	20.06.2015
			Name & designation of the issuing authority	Senior Sub Registrar, Gadag
				Photocopy of the Encumbrance certificate submitted in regional language
		<b><u>BLUE PRINT/NOTARIZE D COPY OF BUILDING PLAN SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>	Name and address of Society/Trust/Institut ion	Not mentioed
			Plot area/land area	2 acres 30 guntas
			Total built-up area	1575.00 sq.mtrs
			Date of approval	----
			Name and designation of approving authority	Not approved by the competent authority
		Photocopy of building plan is submitted. Original blue print of the building plan is not submitted		
<b><u>NOTARIZED COPY OF THE BUILDING</u></b>	Name and address of Society/Trust/Institut ion	Not submitted		



<b><u>COMPLETION CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED</u></b>	Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	
	Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	
	Type of Roofing	
	Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	
	Date of issue	
	Name & designation of the issuing authority	
	<b><u>MINORITY CERTIFICATE:-</u></b>	-----
<b>FDRs Details</b>		
	Rs. 5.00 Lakhs	Rs. 7.00 Lakhs
	<b>Endowment Fund</b>	<b>Endowment Fund</b>
<b>Course</b>	<b>B.Ed</b>	<b>B.Ed</b>
<b>FDR No</b>	a/c No: 143091001029	A/c No:89072515663
<b>Whether in single or joint A/c</b>	Single a/c	Joint a/c
<b>Date of issue</b>	08.03.2016	27.05.2016
<b>Date of Maturity</b>	08.03.2017	27.05.2017
<b>Name of issuing Bank</b>	Kotak Mahindra Bank	Karnataka Vikas Grameena Bank
<b>Website of the institution</b>	-----	

		Faculty list	Faculty list approved/not approved	Photocopy of the faculty list submitted is not approved by the competent authority.
			Whether approved on each page or not	
			No. of faculty as per norms of the course	
			Designation of the approving authority	
			Date of approval	
<p><b>Remarks:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Registered certified copy of the land document submitted in regional language. English version of the land document is not submitted.</li> <li>2. Affidavit is not submitted.</li> <li>3. Photocopy of the Encumbrance certificate submitted in regional language.</li> <li>4. Photocopy of building plan is submitted is not approved by the competent authority. Original blue print of the building plan is not submitted.</li> <li>5. Building Completion Certificate is not submitted.</li> <li>6. FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh and 7 lakh is submitted. FDR of Rs. 5 lakh is not kept in joint a/c. And both FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh and Rs 7 lakh is kept only for one year. FDRs must be for 5 years terms.</li> </ol> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There are many gaps in the documents given.</li> <li>2. Issue Show Cause Notice citing all the deficiencies identified</li> </ol>				
67.	APS08947 B.Ed 2 Units Sri Venkateswara College of Education,	Sri Venkateswara Rural and Urban Development Society, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh had submitted an application in the name of Sri Venkateshwara College of Education, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh for grant of recognition for B.Ed course on 13/08/2007.	The application was processed and recognition was granted for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 23/10/2007 at Darsi	

Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh	<p>Village, Addanki Road, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh.</p> <p>The institution submitted written representation along with DD of Rs.50000/- stating as under:</p> <p><i>"our institution Sri Venkateshwara College of Education (B.Ed) was sanctioning at the address:- Darsi Village, Addanki Road, Darsi Mandal, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh the college was functioning in the 30 years lease premises.</i></p> <p><i>Now our management has purchased own land and has constructed new building for the purpose of B.Ed college, hence we propose to shift the college to new premises address; podili Road, Rajampalli Village &amp; Post, Darisi Mandal, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh the distance between old campus to new campus is 05 K.M. only.</i></p> <p><i>In this regard we are hereby submitting formal application along with documents and D.D for Rs. 50.000/- bearing No. 041856 dated 21/03/2013 seeking permission from SRC for shifting our Sri Venkateshwara College of Education (B.Ed) to new and own campus".</i></p> <p>The matter was placed before SRC's 242<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 14-15<sup>th</sup> April, 2013 and the committee decided to re-submit in the next SRC meeting.</p> <p>The SRC in its 244<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 09<sup>th</sup> – 11<sup>th</sup> May, 2013 considered the institutions letter dt. 21.03.2013 seeking permission for shifting to new permanent building and decided to issue show cause notice to the institution to submit the relevant documents before causing shifting inspection of the institution under NCTE Act.</p> <p>Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 20/07/2013. The institution has submitted its written representation on 08.08.2013</p> <p>The SRC in its 254<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup>-27<sup>th</sup> October 2013, has</p>
-----------------------------	---

considered the matter reply of the institution to the show cause notice vide letter dated 08-08-2013 and all relevant documents and decided to **cause shifting inspection** at the new premises under NCTE Act to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the existing programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

Accordingly, shifting inspection of the institution was fixed between 28<sup>th</sup> November to 2<sup>nd</sup> December 2013, the same was intimated to the institution on 22.11.2013.

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 30.11.2013 and the VT Report received in the office of SRC on 09.12.2013.

The SRC in its 257<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup> – 22<sup>nd</sup> December, 2013, considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Permit shifting of B.Ed.
2. Issue separate notice asking for status on D.Ed shifting.

As per SRC decision, shifting order was issued to the institution on 29.01.2014.

The staff list was submitted by Acharya Nagarjuna University on 15.01.2014.

The SRC in its 273<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 30<sup>th</sup> September & 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2014, considered and noted the matter.

On 31.12.2014 letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revise norms and standards before 31.10.2015.

Accordingly, as per the Regulation 2014 a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on **26.05.2015** with an annual intake two basic

units of 50 students each.

The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> May 2016, decided as under:

*"For cases of B.Ed (2 Units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, has been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.*

*Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of Waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspections can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Report can be considered along with examination of the documents.*

*Regional Director is authorised to initiate action accordingly. The institution concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for Submission to the VTs."*

As per the decision of SRC, Inspection intimation letter was sent to the institution on 22.06.2016.

The institution has submitted written representation on 17.10.2016, stating as under:

*"Reference to the above, it is bring to your kind information that aVT member has informed that composite inspection will be conducted for B.Ed course of our institution, Sri Venkateshwara College of Education, Rajamapalli(Vil), Darsi.*

*Whereas, composite inspection for our institution was conducted on 16.01.2016 during the additional course application for D.El.Ed course vide SRCAPP3603. Composite inspection for both*

existing B.Ed course application D.El.Ed course was conducted. Further, SRC has also granted recognition to our D.El.Ed course vide order No. F.SRO/SRCAPP2603, D.El.Ed.AI/2016/86512 Dt: 05.04.2016 (copy enclosed)

It is to humbly submit that our institution is having adequate built up area as per Regulations 2014. We are having total built up area of 4045.20 sq.mts and have allotted 1985.10 sq.mts for B.Ed and 1980.10sq.mts for D.El.Ed course. The infrastructural and instructional facilities were verified by the VT team of SRC during the inspection on 16.01.2016 and also recorded in the VT report. We humbly request that the same may also be verified with our records already submitted and also we have provided all documents to the inspection team during the visit of D.El.Ed course.

Under the circumstance explained above, we earnestly request your self to cancel the proposed inspection for B.Ed course and continuation of recognition order for B.Ed course may please be issued to our institution and oblige".

Remarks:

One of the VT members did not accept the order. The visit cannot be held. Other VT member were not appointed due to confusion on account of court cases / clarification another from HQ about decision in such RPRO cases.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. Total built-up area available is 4045 sq.mtrs.
2. They say they have given 1985 sq.mtrs for B.Ed and 1980 sq.mtrs for D.El.Ed. This is not adequate. They should give 2000 sq.mtrs to each.
3. According to their reply D.El.Ed and D.El.Ed-AI have shifted to the new site.
4. After obtaining confirmation about built-up-area allocation, issue fresh FR, under 2014 Regulations, for B.Ed ( 2 units) at the new address.

68.	APS00233  B.Ed  Sri Radha Krishna College of Education, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh	<p><b>Sri Radhakrishna College of Education, Palakonda Revenue Division, Vaddadivari Street, Palakonda Srikakulam Dt. Andhra Pradesh</b> had submitted an application to Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition for starting B.Ed Course of one year from the academic session <b>2002-03</b> with an annual intake of <b>120</b> students and was granted recognition on <b>26.03.2003</b> from the academic session <b>2002-2003</b> for annual intake of <b>100</b> students with a condition that the institution shall shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (In case the course is started in rented premises.)</p> <p>On 22.09.2014, this office received a letter from the secretary, Sri Radhakrishna College of Education requesting for returning of Original FDR's for renewal purpose. Hence the Original FDR's are returned to the institution for renewal purpose on 22.09.2014.</p> <p>On perusal of the file, it is observed that a letter was addressed to the institution regarding shifting to permanent premises on 25.05.2009. On 23.06.2009 the institution had submitted certain documents pertaining to shifting of premises to permanent building.</p> <p>Further, there is no correspondence available regarding proposal of shifting of premises to permanent building.</p> <p>The SRC in its 273<sup>rd</sup> meeting held during 30<sup>th</sup> September &amp; 1<sup>st</sup> October, 2014 considered the matter, letter dated 23.06.2009 from the institution decided and advised Southern Regional Office to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Collect updated information and documents.</b></li><li><b>2. Process after notification of new regulations,</b></li></ol> <p>Accordingly, a letter seeking consent on the willingness of the institution for considering their application as per Regulations 2014 was sent to the institution on 19.12.2014.</p> <p>In response to this office letter dated 19.12.2014, the institution has submitted a reply on 24.12.2014, along with relevant documents.</p> <p>Accordingly, which was placed before SRC in its 278<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 the committee considered the matter and it was decided to <b>affidavit not received, Process if affidavit is received.</b></p> <p>Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 18.02.2015. The institution has submitted willingness affidavit as per regulations 2014 on 10.03.2015 which was placed before SRC in its 284<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 09<sup>th</sup>-10<sup>th</sup> April, 2015 considered the matter and it was decided to <b>1. Issue RPRO with the standard the position 2. Process for causing inspection after.</b></p>
-----	--	---

Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 26.05.2015 with the condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its formal recognition order dated 26.03.2003.

Accordingly, as per the decision of the SRC, the documents was processed and placed before the SRC in its 317<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Title deed is there shows land area is adequate.
2. LUC not given.
3. EC is in order.
4. BP is in order. But, it shows that built-up area is adequate for only one unit of B.Ed.
5. BCC not given.
6. Original FDRs not given.
7. Faculty list – not given.
8. Fee – only 50,000/- paid.
- 9.1. Collect balance of fee and cause inspection.
- 9.2. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.
- 9.3. Ask VT to assess adequacy of facilities for B.Ed (2 units).

The institution has submitted representation on 24.01.2017. It stated as under:

*"Most respectfully, I U.Ramulu, Secretary and correspondent of Sri Radha Krishna College of Education submit the following lines for your being consideration.*

1. *As we are unable to manage two basic units, our college runs only one basic unit of 50 students.*
2. *APSCHE, Hyderabad and Dr. B.R.Ambedkar University, Srikakulam have also given permission to run only one basic unit of 50 students.*
3. *In the meanwhile, we have received a phone and mail message in connection with the inspection by the esteemed NCTE members.*
4. *Further, we also submit that we have made correspondence through phone and letter in order to request you to postpone the inspection.*
5. *Viewing the above points, I humbly request you sir to issue a permanent order to run on only one basic unit with an intake of 50 seats forever."*

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. They want to reduce from 2 units to 1 unit. Built up area is bound



		<p>to be adequate.</p> <p>2. There is , therefore, no need of inspection.</p> <p>3. Permit reduction from 2 units to 1 unit.</p> <p>4. Obtain for verification , LUC, BCC, FDRs and latest Faculty List.</p>
69.	<p>APS00325</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>Ganapathy College of Education, Warangal, Telangana</p>	<p>Ganapathy College of Teacher Education, Parkal Revenue Division P.O, Parkal, Warangal District-506163, Telangana had submitted an application to the SRC-NCTE for grant of recognition for B.Ed Course of one year duration and it was granted recognition on 03.03.2003 with a condition that <b>the institution shall shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (In case the course is started in rented premises).</b></p> <p>Letter was issued to the institution on 02.09.2009 for non maintenance of Norms and Standards.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>The Institution submitted written representation on 31.10.2015 along with documents for New Regulation.</p> <p>The institution submitted written representation on 08.07.2016 and stated as follows:</p> <p><b>We, Ganapathy College of Education, Parkal, Warangal, humbly submit that, as we have given consent for 2 units of B.Ed, Programme as 2 units from the academic year 2016-17 due to some unavoidable circumstances. Hence, we request the authorities to make it as one unit from the academic year 2016-17 onwards to run the Programme successfully.</b></p> <p>The institution submitted written representation along with affidavit on 14.07.2016 and stated as follows:</p> <p><b><i>We have running B.Ed course with an intake of 100 seats from the academic year 2002-03, as per the NCTE-2014 regulations, the authorities have issued recognition orders accordingly, but we have not yet received any orders from your office, we have already submitted the affidavit for fulfilling the conditions as per the revised norms through this office letter dated 02.02.2015. Now, the affiliating authorities Kakatiya University authorities are instruct to submit the order for sending our college name in the EdCET-2016 list. Hence, I request your authorities to kindly provide us the revised Recognition orders. However I am enclosing a fresh affidavit for this effect for your consideration.</i></b></p> <p>The SRC in its 320<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> September, 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p>

1. They want to reduce from 2 units to 1 unit at this stage, we cannot make any alterations in the order for 2016-17. Their request is accepted w.e.f 2017-18.
2. The intake is reduced from 2 units to 1 unit w.e.f 2017-18.

**Note:**

So far the institution is not granted Revised Recognition order as per new NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution has submitted affidavit only on 14.07.2016.

The SRC in its 321<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> – 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. They say, they had given the Affidavit in 2015 itself. We have not received. We can accept the affidavit given now but only for prospective consideration.
2. In the meanwhile, without any RPRO, if they had unilaterally decided to continue the course during 2015-16 and 2016-17, they will have to be responsible for the (legal) status of the course for that period.
3. We can collect documents, scrutinize, then consider their reply w.r.t the RPRO now issued, cause inspection and process for issue of FR, under 2014 Regulations, w.e.f 2017-18.

Accordingly, a letter conveying the decision of the SRC 321<sup>st</sup> meeting sent to the institution on 18.11.2016.


The institution has submitted representation on 27.12.2016. It stated as under:

*"This has reference to the NCTE Notification for implementing New Regulations, 2014, we have submitted the Affidavit for fulfilling the certain conditions prescribed as per the council's decision for granting recognition orders with an annual intake of 100 seats for offering B.Ed., course with the two years duration of the courses at the Ganapathy College of Education, Parkal, Warangal (Dist) from the academic year 2015-16. But we have not obtained orders from council. During the current academic year i.e. 2016-17 the affiliating authorities have instructed us to submit the revised recognition orders so that we came to your office and again submitted the affidavit as per the NCTE Norms. Meanwhile, the affiliating body taken a common decision to restrict the admissions with only single unit i.e. 50 only for all colleges under Kakatiya University. As we have sufficient faculty and also fulfilled the all the norms and standards as per the NCTE I request your office authorities to kindly issue the Revised Recognition Orders for offering B.Ed., course with one unit only from the academic year 2016-17 so as enable us to get admissions for the current academic year. I may kind your attention that the final*

		<p><i>phase of admissions in B.Ed., course for the academic year 2016-17 in our Telangana State are in progress.</i></p> <p><i>I may once again request your authorities to kindly provide us the Revised Recognition Orders for offering B.Ed., course with one unit during the academic year 2016-17."</i></p> <p><b>Note:</b></p> <p>The SRC in its 321<sup>st</sup> meeting decided to collect the documents. Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 18.11.2016. The institution has submitted approved photocopy of Faculty List on 04.10.2016. <u>The institution has not submitted other documents.</u></p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Their request for reduction from 2 units to 1 unit was accepted w.e.f 2017-18. The request is to change it to be w.e.f 2016-17. Issue accordingly.</li><li>2. Collect all documents as required for verification.</li><li>3. Only thereafter can we consider issue of fresh FR under 2014 Regulations.</li></ol>
70.	APS03966  D.Ed  Auxilium Teacher Training Centre, Ernakulam, Kerala	<p>Auxilium Teacher Training Centre, Kidangoor P.o, Angamaly-683591, Ernakulam, Kerala for D.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 students and was granted recognition on on 18.09.2007 with a condition that the institution shall shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the institution is started in rented premises.</p> <p>On 03.11.2016 a letter dated 30.10.2016 received from the institution regarding suspension of the D.Ed course in Auxilium ITE, Kidangoor , Angamaly, Kerala stating as under:-</p> <p>"This is to inform you that we have asked the Kerala Government through DDE, Ernakulam to suspend the D.Ed course for three years due to certain difficulties that we are facing. Please find enclosed the following letters".</p>

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Letter to DDR, Ernakulam</li> <li>2. Letter of DDE, Ernakulam to Govt of Kerala.</li> </ol> <p><b>Note:- for closure/ withdrawal of Recognition the institution is required to submitted through on-line.</b></p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Request for closure is accepted subject to fulfillment of all formalities</li> <li>2. Withdraw recognition for D.El.Ed ( 1 unit) w.e.f 2016-17.</li> <li>3. Return FDRs, if any. after ensuring completion of all formalities .</li> <li>4. Close the file</li> </ol>
71.	<p>APS07567</p> <p>B.Ed 2 Units</p> <p>Tagore College of Education, Mahabubnagar, Telangana</p>	<p><b>Rural Health &amp; Educational Development Society, Mahabubnagar, Andhra Pradesh</b> has submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to <b>Tagore College of Education, H.No.5-11-23B, Teachers Colony, IEEJA (Post &amp; Mandal), Gadwal, Mahabubnagar-509127, Andhra Pradesh</b> for B.Ed course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition was granted to the institution on 25.07.2007.</p> <p>The institution submitted its written representation on 12.02.2014 along with relevant documents regarding shifting from rented building to own premises.</p> <p>The SRC in its 262<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup> -19<sup>th</sup> February, 2014, considered the matter, request of the institution for shifting inspection, and decided that</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>SRO to obtain all relevant documents (including Approved Staff list) relating to the new premises, examine and, to be put up.</i></li> <li>2. <i>The institution to be informed to pay 50,000/- towards the Inspection fee."</i></li> </ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the institution on 26.02.2014. The institution has not replied to the letter till date.</p> <p>The institution submitted the following documents along with affidavit to process the application as per NCTE Regulation, 2014 on 08.01.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 278<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 considered the matter, affidavit &amp; documents submitted by the institution vide letter dated 08.01.2015, and decided to serve Show Cause Notice.</p> <p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 27.02.2015.</p>

		<p>The institution has submitted its reply on 12.03.2015 along with relevant documents.</p> <p>The SRC in its 284<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 9<sup>th</sup> to 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2015 considered the matter and decided to "<b>Cause inspection.</b>"</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC , the inspection of the institution was scheduled during 23<sup>rd</sup> to 25<sup>th</sup> April, 2015 and the same was intimated to the institution on 20.04.2015.</p> <p>An e-mail received by this office on 23.04.2015 from the institution regarding postponement of shifting inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, a revised order was issued to the institution on 26.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 30.10.2015 along with some relevant documents and stated as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>".....i am submitting the additional facilities provided to such as a) additional built up area b) additional infrastructure, c) additional Staff d) Land document e) encumbrance certificate f) Land usage certificate g) Building plan and other facilities as per NCTE, Regulation 2014 towered Tagore College of Education leeja (Post &amp; Mandal), Alampur (Tq), Mahabubnagar District, Telangana State."</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 315<sup>th</sup> meeting SRC held on 17<sup>th</sup> &amp; 18<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 considered the matter &amp; decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Title-Deed is there. But, the sy. Nos. in that do not tally with the sy.nos. in BP and LUC.</li><li>2. According to BP, built up area is adequate.</li><li>3. EC and BCC have not been given.</li><li>4. Original FDRs and latest faculty list have not been given.</li><li>5. Collect fee and cause inspection.</li><li>6. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.</li></ol> <p>As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 13.07.2016</p> <p>An email received on 28.11.2016 Registrar Palamuru university enclosing letter Lr.No.127/PU-ACAD/2016-17 Date -25.11.2016.</p> <p><b>"With reference to the subject cited above, the university could not give annual affiliation 2015-16 to Tagore College of Education as it could not satisfy the NCTE latest norm which specifies requirements of 3,000 sq mt. land 2,000 sq mt building for 2 units (50+50=100) strength. The correspondent has requested the</b></p>
--	--	--

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

university to consider for annual affiliation for 2016-17 for 1 unit (50 Nos.) for the existing 2603 sq.mt land 1504 sq.mt building. As sanction of units and requirement norms will be specified by the NCTE, the university requests you to clarify whether the request of the correspondent can be considered or not.

Institution submitted letter date 23.11.2016. The institution has submitted representation on 16.12.2016, it stated as under:


*"With reference to the above cited subject I would like to submit that the TAGORE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION(APS07567/B.Ed/AP), syno:917,H.NO.5-11-23B,Teacher's colony, leeja village and mandal, jogulamba gadwall District, Telangana state-509127,is functioning in its own Building with recognition of SRC-NCTE authorites from 2007-08.*

*During the year February-2014, the management have requested for permission to shift the college to suitable new own Building, so as to run the college with (02) units mean while willingness also submitted for 2 units of B.Ed course. Accordingly The SRC-NCTE have directed to the college to submit the details of Building, labs staff, Furniture etc. Along with inspection processing Fee for sending of Visiting Team.*

*In this regard it is submit that the present college is function in its own Building ie sy 917,H.No.:5-11-23B,Teacher's colony,leeja village, But the proposals submitted to SRC-NCTE a typographical/clerical mistake is accoured but,it is notice later "Instead of shifting of college to new own buildinhgt it is typed as rented building to own new building" The management is expressing sorry for the mistake and begs for apology and excuse once again the matter is regretted.*

*While this being so, It is in view of the demand of 2 years B.Ed course and fulfillment of other conditions of NCTE-2014 rules the management have deiced to drop the shifting proposal, and cause inspectylon. Also decided to run the college with one unit in the existing own building only for the year 2016-17.*

*Now, Palamuru University, Mahabub nagar, Telangana state authorities are insiting for permissions order of SRC-NCTE to run the college with one unit(50 student), in the above circumstances and facts I request the SRC-NCTE authorities to issue permission orders to Tagore college of Education, SYNO917,HNO:5-11-23B,Teacher's colony, laaja village and mandal, jogulamba*

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

gadwall District, Telangana state-509127 to run B.Ed course of 2 years duration with one unit(50 students) only instead of two units".

The institution vide its letter dated 02.01.2017 again requesting for one unit along with relevant documents, stating as under:


*"With reference to the above cited subject I would like to submit that the TAGORE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION(APS07567/B.Ed/AP),syno:917,H.NO.5-11-23B,Teacher's colony, leeja village and mandal, jofulamba gadwall District, Telangana state-509127,is functioning in its own Building with recognition of SRC-NCTE authorites from 2007-08.*

*During the year February-2014, the management haw requested for permission to shift the college to suitable new own Building, so as to run the college with (02) units mean while willingness also submitted for 2 units of B.Ed course. Accordingly The SRC-NCTE have directed to the college to submit the details of Building, labs staff, Furniture cte. Along with inspection processing Fee for sending of Visiting Team.*

*In this regard it is submit that the present college is function in its own Building ie sy 917,H.No.:5-11-23B,Teacher's colony,leeja village, But the proposals submitted to SRC-NCTE a typographical/clerical mistake is accoured but,it is notice later "Instead of shifting of college to new own buildinhgt it is typed as rented building to own new building" The management is expressing sorry for the mistake and begs for apology and excuse once again the matter is regretted.*

*While this being so, It is in view of the demand of 2 years B.Ed course and fulfillment of other conditions of NCTE-2014 rules the management have deiced to drop the shifting proposal, and cause inspectyion. Also decided to run the college with one unit in the existing own building only for the year 2016-17.*

*Now, Palamuru University. Mahabub nagar, Telangana state authorities are insiting for permissions order of SRC-NCTE to run the college with one unit(50 student), in the above circumstances and facts I request the SRC-NCTE authorities to issue permission orders to Tagore college of Education, SYNO917,HNO:5-11-23B,Teacher's colony, laaja village and mandal, jogulamba gadwall District, Telangana state-509127 to run B.Ed course of 2*

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		<p><i>years duration with one unit(50 students) only instead of two units".</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 328<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The request for reduction of B.Ed (2 units) to B.Ed (1 unit) is accepted. Issue revised FR accordingly.</li> <li>2. As regards, the request for shifting, obtain all documents and process.</li> <li>3. Put up in the meeting in April.</li> </ol> <p><b>NOTE:</b></p> <p>Academic year was not mentioned for issue of Revised FR.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The reduction from 2 units to 1 unit approved on 31.1.17 will be w.e.f 2016-17.</li> </ul>
72.	<p>SRCAPP2016 30086</p> <p>B.Ed-AI</p> <p>J.N.N Teacher Training College, Thiruvallur, Tamilnadu</p>	<p>Alamelu Ammal Educational Trust, Kannigaipair Village, No. 90, 'Ushaa Garden', Uthukottai Taluk, Tiruvallur Town and District – 601102, Tamilnadu applied for grant of recognition to J.N.N. Teacher Training College, Kannigaipair Village, 90, Ushaa Garden, Uthukottai Taluk, Kannigaipair Town, Thiruvallur District – 601102, Tamilnadu for offering B.Ed-A.I course of two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 31.05.2016. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 07.06.2016.</p> <p>As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.</p> <p>As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Ed-A.I course in the State of Tamilnadu.</p> <p>As per the direction, the application has been scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and documents and placed before SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 19<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017. The Committee considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Change of course cannot be approved by SRC.</li> </ol>



2. NOC not given by the affiliating body.
3. Hard copy not signed on every page.
4. **Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.**

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued through online mode on 20.01.2017

**The institution has submitted a reply through e-mail on 10.02.2017 and in online mode on 11.02.2017 which is as under :-**

Sl. No	Deficiencies pointed out in Show Cause Notice	Reply of the institution	Remarks
1	Change of course cannot be approved by SRC.	"During our online application, due to technical error, we had applied for B.Ed course by mistake instead of B.A.B.Ed and B.Sc.B.Ed ( Integrated Course). After the submission of the online application, I made an in-person written request to SRC, Bangalore to kindly change the course from B.Ed (Bachelor of Education) to B.A.B.Ed.B.Sc.B.Ed( integrated course). Through Show Cause Notice (Show Cause Notice), the SRC has mentioned that it cannot approve the request.	The institution has requested for <b><u>change of the course from B.Ed (Bachelor of Education) to B.Sc.B.Ed &amp; B.A.B.Ed ( Integrated course)</u></b>

			<u>I once again kindly request the benevolent high office of the SRC to kindly reconsider and accept our request for change of the course from B.Ed (Bachelor of Education) to B.Sc.B.Ed &amp; B.A.B.Ed (Integrated course)."</u>		
		2	NOC not given by the affiliating body.	NOC from the affiliating body is enclosed.	The institution has submitted NOC dated 31.01.2017 issued by the Registrar, Tamilnadu Teacher Education University for B.Ed degree programme for the academic year 2017-18  NOC submitted is not for the additional -intake programme.
		3	Hard copy not signed on every page.	-	The institution has submitted copy of the application duly signed by the applicant on all pages.
<p>Remarks :</p> <p>1. The institution has made another request for <u>change of the course from B.Ed (Bachelor of Education) to B.Sc.B.Ed &amp;</u></p>					

		<p><b><u>B.A.B.Ed ( Integrated course)</u></b></p> <p>2. The institution has submitted NOC dated 31.01.2017 issued by the Registrar, Tamilnadu Teacher Education University for <b>B.Ed degree programme</b> for the academic year 2017-18 and not for B.Ed-A.I programme.</p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. They have repeated the request for change of course.</li><li>2. We have to repeat the legal position that SRC does not have the authority to approve such a change of course.</li><li>3. Reject the application.</li><li>4. Close the file.</li></ol>
73	<p>SRCAPP14749</p> <p>D.El.Ed-AI 1 Unit</p> <p>Holy Faith College of Diploma in Education, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Holy Faith Minority Educational Society, Plot No.40/6, Pendurthi Road, Gangasani Agraharam Village, Gandigundam Post, Anandapuram Taluk, Vishakhapatnam City and District-531173, Andhra Pradesh applied for grant of recognition to Holy Faith College of Diploma in Education, Plot No. 40/6 Gangasani Agraharam Village, Gandigundam Post, Anandapuram Taluk, Visakhapatnam City and District-531173, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed-AI course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 06/07/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 14/07/2015 Followed by recommendation on 28/08/2015 and reminder II on 10/12/2015.</p> <p>The Sub Section (7) of Section 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><b><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</i></b></p> <p>The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> -16<sup>th</sup> December, 2015</p>

considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:

1. Building Completion Certificate Society registration certificate and Bye-laws of the Society to be submitted
2. Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted
3. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents
4. Cause Composite Inspection.

Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 10<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 the same was intimated to the institution on 12.01.2016.

In the meantime, the institution has submitted its written representation on 19.01.2016 and stating as follows:

*"... our letter dated 19.05.2015 for requesting to increase in seats we wish to inform that we are under finishing works of college buildings to start 2<sup>nd</sup> Unit of 50 students in the existing college. Due to delay in getting permission from local authorities for additional construction process and we are not ready for additional unit for the inspection. We note to communicate our readiness in a short time after completion of the infrastructure. Kindly Oblige".*

As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 23.01.2016 and the VT Report along with documents received in the office of SRC on 27.01.2016.

The SRC in its 300<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> & 31<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 consider the matter & decided as under.

1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed –AI (1 unit)
2. For D.El.Ed (basic unit) and D.El.Ed –AI combined staff list should be produced in accordance with the norms given in 2014 regulations.
3. FDRs in joint account should be furnished.
4. Only if these are given on or before 03.03.2016 can academic year be possible.

Accordingly, Letter of intent was issued to the institution on 02.02.2016. The institution submitted LOI reply on 23.05.2016.

The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> & 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 considered t

		<p>matter &amp; decided as under.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Issue Formal Recognition for D.El.Ed -AI (1 unit) w.e.f. 2017-18.</b></li></ul> <p>A letter was sent to the institution on 01.06.2016 regarding fulfilling the following deficiency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Original FDRs in joint account to be submitted before issue of FR.</b></li></ul> <p>The institution did not reply to the letter.</p> <p>The SRC in its 322<sup>nd</sup> meeting 20<sup>th</sup> &amp; 21<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. 6 cases are involved.</li><li>2. In 3 cases, deficiencies have not been rectified. Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection of the applications seeking recognition.</li><li>3. In 3 cases, deficiencies have been rectified but beyond the time-limit prescribed by Supreme Court for 2016-17.</li><li>4. We had earlier decided to give them FR for 2016-17. In view of (3) above, we have to amend that to grant of Formal Recognition for 2017-18.</li><li>5. Issue accordingly.</li></ol> <p>Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 08.11.2016. The institution has not submitted reply till date.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> &amp; 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. No reply has still been received to the Show Cause Notice .</li><li>2. We cannot indefinitely wait for reply or rectification of deficiencies.</li><li>3. <b>Reject the 3 applications. Cancel the LOIs.</b></li><li>4. Return FDRs, if any.</li><li>5. Close the file.</li></ol> <p><u>Remarks:</u></p>
--	--	--

In the 327<sup>th</sup> meeting the decision was given as "Reject the 3 applications. Cancel the LOIs."

Whereas the status of three applications are as follows:

Sl.No	Application Code	Name of the Institution	Status
01	SRCAPP14749/ D.El.Ed	Holy Faith College of Diploma in Education, Visakhapatnam District	SRC in its 327 <sup>th</sup> meeting decided to reject the application. Rejection order prepared and put up.
02	SRCAPP15086/ BSc.B.Ed	GL College Four Year Integrated BSc.BEd BA.Bed Degree, Nalgonda District	SRC in its 327 <sup>th</sup> meeting decided to issue show cause notice. Show cause notice was sent on 01.02.2017.
03	SRCAPP3576/ B.Ed	Sadulla Hussain College of Education, Guntur District	SRC in its 328 <sup>th</sup> meeting decided to issue FR w.e.f 2017-18. FR sent on 03.02.2017.

**NOTE:**

SRC in its 322<sup>nd</sup> meeting considered the table agenda and decided to 3 cases FR and 3 cases Show Cause Notice . Accordingly, issued FR for 3 cases and Show Cause Notice for 3 cases.

Later in 327<sup>th</sup> & 328<sup>th</sup> meeting each Show Cause Notice file was processed/put up separately before the SRC.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. Reject the application, as already decided, in the case of the Holy Faith College.
2. The decision to cancel LOI in the case of GL College of Education

		<p>was not correct. It was subsequently changed to issue of Show Cause Notice . Show Cause Notice was issued on 01.02.2017 giving 21 days time. Put up on 22.02.2017.</p> <p>3. In the case of Sadulla College, as per decision in the 328th meeting, FR for B.Ed w.e.f 2017-18 has already been issued. It has to be clarified that the general decision taken in the 327th meeting to "cancel LOIs and reject the application" will not apply to this case.</p>
74.	<p>SRCAPP2261</p> <p>B.Ed.M.Ed 1 Unit</p> <p>Department of Education, Visakhapatnam Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Andhra University, No.80/15, Waltair Road &amp; Village, AU Campus Post, Visakhapatnam Taluk &amp; City, Visakhapatnam District – 530003, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Department of Education, No.80/15, Waltair Village, AU Campus Post, Visakhapatnam Taluk &amp; City, Visakhapatnam District – 530003, Andhra Pradesh for offering B.Ed.M.Ed course of three years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on 09.05.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 22.05.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition norms and Procedures) Regulations 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.</p> <p>A letter was sent to State Government for recommendation on 08.06.2015 followed by reminder on 12.11.2015.</p> <p>Sub clause (3) of clause 5 of the Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p> <p><b><i>"(3)The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application".</i></b></p> <p>Sub clause (2) of clause 7 of the Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p> <p><b><i>"(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-</i></b></p> <p>(a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the NCTE Rules</p>

		<p>1997 on or before the date of submission of online application.</p> <p>(b) Failure to submit printout of the applications made online along with Land documents As required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within 15 days of the submission of online application"</p> <p>Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 read as under:-</p> <p>"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application".</p> <p>On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>The institution has not submitted certified copy of registered land documents.</b></li><li>2. <b>The institution has not submitted processing fee as per regulation 2014.</b></li></ol> <p>SRC in its meeting 291<sup>st</sup> on 20<sup>th</sup> &amp; 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2015 decided to summarily reject the application. As per the direction the matter is again placed before SRC for reconsideration.</p> <p>As per application the type of institution mentioned as Government. (land document not submitted)</p> <p>The SRC in its 293<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 29-31<sup>st</sup> October 2015, the committee considered the matter, advised Southern Regional Office to <b>reopen the case and process.</b></p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><b><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to</i></b></p>
--	--	--



**commence the course".**

The application was processed and placed before SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 15-16<sup>th</sup> December 2015, the committee considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:

1. **Building Completion Certificate to be submitted**
2. **Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted**
3. **Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents**
4. **Cause Composite inspection**

As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 11.01.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 21.01.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 29.01.2016.

The SRC in its 301<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 05<sup>th</sup> & 06<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the VT report and decided as under:

1. **LOI for B.Ed & M.Ed (Integrated) ( 1 unit)**
2. **For M.Ed (basic unit) and M.Ed-AI combined staff list should be produced in accordance with the norms given in 2014 Regulations.**
3. **FDRs in Joint account should be furnished .**
4. **Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.**

Accordingly, LOI was sent on 12.02.2016. The institution submitted LOI reply along with documents on 03.03.2016.

The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> – 04<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **The staff list is vague in the sense that the entire 'faculty' is drawn from the M.Ed course. What will happen to the M.Ed course ? It will be necessary for the University to show he course wise faculty position.**
2. **Obtain accordingly,**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, letter was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016. The institution has not submitted any reply.

		<p>The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> &amp; 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the matter and decided to issue show cause notice for the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The University has not cared to reply to our letter dated 20.5.2016.</li> <li>2. We cannot wait indefinitely. Issue a Show Cause Notice for reply latest by 10 Feb.17 failing which we may have to consider cancellation of the LOI.</li> <li>3. Put up on 12 Feb. 17.</li> </ol> <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC show cause notice was issued on 25.01.2017.</p> <p>The institution has not submitted reply till date.</p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No rely has still been received from the applicant to our LOI.</li> <li>2. Cancel the LOI.</li> <li>3. Reject the application.</li> <li>4. Close the file.</li> </ol>
75.	<p>SRCAPP3567</p> <p>D.El.Ed-AI 1 Unit K.Seshachala College of Education, Chittoor District-517583, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Sri Balaji Educational Society, Plot No-11-110, NGO Colony, Puttur Village &amp; Post, PUttur Taluk, Chittoor District-517583, Andhra Pradesh had applied for grant of recognition to Seshachala Teacher Training Institute, Plot No-67, Kanakampalem Village, Puttur Post &amp; Taluk, Chittoor District-517584, Andhra Pradesh for offering D.El.Ed-AI course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 24.06.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 01.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 11.07.2015, followed by Reminder I on 06.10.2015 and Reminder II on 26.12.2015</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the</i></p>

***institution to commence the course".***

The SRC in its 297<sup>th</sup> meeting considered the application of the institution for proposed M.Ed course (SRCAPP3566) and D.El.Ed-A.I course (SRCAPP3567) . documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under :-

**(1) M.Ed Course (SRCAPP3566)**

*"Non-submission of NOC. Can not be condoned,  
Reject"*

**(2) D.El.Ed-A.I (SRCAPP3567)**

- 1. They have D.El.Ed and B.Ed already.*
- 2. New application for M.Ed has been given Show Cause Notice for non-submission of NOC.*
- 3. The land for all the courses is in the name of an individual.*
- 4. EC is also in the name of an individual.*
- 5. BCC is not given.*
- 6.1. Summarily reject D.El.Ed.*
- 6.2. Summarily reject the M.Ed application also.*
- 7. Reopen the cases of B.Ed and D.El.Ed for issue of Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition."*

As per the decision of SRC, with respect to M.Ed course **a rejection order was issued to the institution on 22.01.2016 for non submission of NOC.**

**In the case of D.El.Ed-A.I application, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution for the above mentioned points on 29.01.2016 instead of rejection order.**

As per the decision of 297<sup>th</sup> meeting of SRC, Show Cause Notices were prepared in respect of the existing D.Ed (APS09422) and B.Ed (APS07452) courses.

The application of M.Ed course was reopened as per the decision of 300<sup>th</sup> meeting of SRC to reopen all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs as per the Hqrs directive.

The SRC in its 303<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the application of M.Ed course and decided as under:-

- 1. Contiguity of M.Ed with B.Ed to be clarified .**

2. **Cause composite inspection**
3. **EC in the name of the Society is needed**
4. **Built up area as per BP is inadequate for M.Ed**

On 16.02.2016, the Secretary, Sampathi Educational Society requested for change of management from Sri Balaji Educational Society to Samapathi Educational Society, the Secretary, Sampathi Educational Society and also requested for shifting the place of existing D.El.Ed and B.Ed courses run by Balaji Educational Society to Kankampalem Village, Puttur, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh where two new applications have made by Balaji Educational Society for D.El.Ed-A.I (SRCAPP3567) and M.Ed (SRCAPP3566) courses.

The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 01<sup>st</sup> to 04<sup>th</sup> March, 2016, considered the request of the institution and decided as under:-

- “1. Too many things are being packaged in one proposal.
2. Change of management – they must comply with requirements fully.
3. Before that, the proposal to shift can not be considered.
4. In the result, the new proposals will also have to be deferred”

On 11.04.2016, a letter was received by this office from the Correspondent, Seshachala College of Education is as under:-

*“I bring to your kind notice that our college was inspected by NCTE Visiting Team members namely Prof. Periaswamy and Prof. Ekbote on 06.03.2016. But the decision was not given to our college in 308<sup>th</sup> Emergent meeting of SRC-NCTE. As the other colleges which was conducted by the above said team was given decision on 308<sup>th</sup> meeting. So kindly take necessary action in this regard. Herewith, I am enclosing the copy of inspection video CD for your perusal.”*

The SRC in its 309<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 12<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

- “1. Call and find out from the earlier VT Members, reasons for not submitting VT report.
2. If necessary initiate action against VT members who visited earlier.
3. If not cause Re inspection.
4. If VT report is obtained, present it to the committee on 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2016.”

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

As per the decision of SRC a composite inspection was conducted on 07.03.2016 and the Visiting team report was received by this office on 29.04.2016 for M.Ed (SRCAPP3566) course.

The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 27<sup>th</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> May 2016 considered the Visiting Team Report and relevant documents and decided as under:-

1. The application is from Balaji Educational Society,
2. During the VT inspection, the information given and documents supplied are about some other society. This is not acceptable.
3. Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection."

As per decision of SRC a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 02.06.2016 for M.Ed (SRCAPP3566) course. The institution has not submitted any reply even after the stipulated period.

The SRC in its 325<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 considered the non submission of Show Cause Notice reply and decided as under:

1. Show Cause Notice, as directed, has already been issued in the other case (no. 3566). Await reply.
2. In this case (no. 3567) no VT inspection has been done. Cause Inspection.
3. When the VT Inspection Report is received, report both 3566 and 3567 together.

Now, the institution submitted its written representation and stating as follows:

*".....i am requesting you that our college has already inspected by NCTE visiting team by Prof. Periyasamy and Prof. Ekbote. But, we have received a mail stating that inspection will be carried out between 13.01.2017 to 31.01.2017. We have not received the previous decision. So, kindly pursue the matter and help us."*

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. The D.ElEd-A.I(1 unit) case has not yet been inspected.
2. A composite inspection was ordered and conducted on 07.03.2016. But, the V.T. submitted a report only for M.Ed. There was nothing about D.El.Ed.
3. The decision to order a fresh VT inspection was, therefore, correct. There is no duplication. Clarify to them. Express regret for the confusion caused by the previous VT. Ask them to cooperate with the V.T.
4. In the last VT Inspection, the College gave all information about a

		<p>wrong society . Ask them to be careful this time to supply correct information.</p> <p>5. Ask the VT to proceed with the inspection.</p>
76.	<p>SRCAPP14726</p> <p>B.P.Ed-</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Chenna Keshava College of Physical Education, Rangareddy, Telangana</p>	<p>Sri ChennaKeshava Educational Society, Plot No.4-5-25, Alibagh Road, Vikarabad Village and Post Office, Vikarabad Taluk and City, Rangareddy District-501101, Telangana had applied for grant of recognition to Chenna Keshava College of Education, Plot/Khasara No. 43/A/1, Burugupally Village, Vikarabad Post Office and Taluk, Vikarabad City, Rangareddi District-501101, Telangana for offering B.Ed course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 14/07/2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 23/07/2015, followed by Reminder I on 08/10/2015 and Reminder II on 08/12/2015.</p> <p>The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:</p> <p><b><i>"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".</i></b></p> <p>The SRC in its 296<sup>th</sup> held on 15<sup>th</sup>-16<sup>th</sup> Dec, 2015 has considered the</p>

77.	SRCAPP14683  B.Ed  1 Unit  Chenna Keshava College of Education, Rangareddy, Telangana	<p>documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Encumbrance Certificate to be submitted</li><li>2) Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted</li><li>3) Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents</li><li>4) Cause Composite inspection</li></ol> <p>Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 10<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 the same was intimated to the institution, and VT members on 16.01.2016.</p> <p>As per the direction of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 29.01.2016 and the VT Report along with documents received on 03.02.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 301<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 05<sup>th</sup> &amp; 06<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 considered the VT report and decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Issue LOI for B.Ed (1 Unit)</li><li>2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.</li><li>3. Only if these are given on or before 7.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.</li></ol> <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, LOI was sent on 11.02.2016. The institution submitted its reply along with faculty list and other documents on 03.03.2016.</p> <p>The SRC in its 306<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 01<sup>st</sup> – 04<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 considered the matter the decided as under:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In the light of the internal discussion within the Committee about the Common issue underlying all such cases, this case is taken up for reconsideration.</li><li>2. Issue Formal Recognition for B.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f 2016-17.</li></ol> <p>Deficiency was point out by the SRC in as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• One Asst. Professor in Sociology &amp; Philosophy is to be appointed.</li></ul> <p>As per decision of SRC deficiency letter was sent on 12.04.2016. The</p>
-----	---	--

institution submitted its reply on 26.05.2016.

**Accordingly, Formal Recognition Order was issued on 12.04.2016.**

Now, the institution submitted its written representation through e-mail on 12.02.2017 and stating as follows:

*".....we are herewith submitting request for withdrawal of application for establishment and seeking grant of recognition/permission for conducting a course in Teacher Education titled B.Ed and B.P.Ed (Chenna Keshava College of Education and Chenna Keshava College of Physical Education). For your kind notice that we are unable to process, arrange for inspection and run the course because the Telangana State Govt. Education Policy is not supporting practically, till now there was no Govt. Orders is passed and issued for B,Ed course which is sanctioned for the academic year 2016-17 from your office. Kindly acknowledge the same and consider our request to stop processing our application and return our FD receipts original as early as possible."*

**NOTE:**

The institution not applied through online for closure and not submitted necessary documents.

The Committee considered the request of withdrawal of applications and decided as under:-

1. The applicant has expressed inability to run the B.Ed and B.P.Ed courses because of the State Govt.'s Policy that does not suit their convenience.
2. FR for B.Ed(1unit) has already been issued. They have requested for withdrawal of this recognition. Their request is accepted. Withdraw recognition for the B.Ed (1 unit) course (SRCAPP14683) w.e.f 2016-17 after completion of all formalities.
3. In the B.P.Ed(1 unit) case (SRCAPP14726) we had ordered VT Inspection. In view of their request, the VT inspection is cancelled. The request for withdrawal of application is accepted. The application is rejected as withdrawn
4. Return the FDRs.
5. Close the 2 files.



78.	SRCAPP376  D.El.Ed  CMR Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Rangareddy, Andhra Pradesh	<p><b>Malla Reddy Educational Society, Plot No.294, Comssary Bazaar Road ,New Bowenpally Village, Secunderabad Post, Bowenpally Taluk, Hyderabad City, Ranga Reddy District,Pin-500011, Andhra Pradesh</b> had submitted an online application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE on 28.09.2010 and physical application on 18.10.2010 for grant of recognition for D.El.Ed course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 students at <b>CMR Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Sy No.648 Plot/Street No.563, Gundia Pochampally Village, Dundigal Post, Gundia Pochampally Taluk, Secunderabad Ranga Reddy District-500055,Andhra Pradesh</b> and was granted recognition on 04.09.2012 from the academic session <u>2012-2013</u>.</p> <p>A court notice received from Honb'le High court Andhra Pradesh in WP.No.18704 of 2013 on 26.07.2013. Accordingly, a letter was sent to the Advocate Shri K. Ramakanth Reddy on 06.08.2013. A court order in W.P.M.P No.22869 of 2013 in WP.No.18704 of 2013 dated 02.07.2013 was received from Honb'le High court of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad and the court order stating as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>".....therefore, the purported stand taken by the State Government to deny affiliation for the academic year 2013-2014 is prima facie not tenable. For the foregoing reasons, there shall be interim Direction".</i></p> <p>A letter has been received from Shri. Gopal Reddy, Director, SCERT, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad on 25.2.2014 and 26.2.2014, enclosing a letter from Commissioner and Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh to Regional Director, SRC, NCTE, Bangalore dated 23.12.2013 to communicate the order passed on the detailed report submitted to NCTE, Bangalore in respect of (41) D.Ed colleges who have made admissions during 2012-13 at their on in violation of admission rules and to take necessary action as per NCTE rules.</p> <p>The SRC in its 268<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup>-5<sup>th</sup> June 2014 considered the letter dated 25.02.2014 &amp; 26.02.2014 from Shri Gopal Reddy, Director, SCERT, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, letter dated 23.12.2013 and decided to <u>issue Show Cause Notice</u> for withdrawal of recognition for the violations of Regulation 8 (12) of 2009 and 3 (3) of the Norms and Standards for D.El.Ed course, 2009, as reported by the affiliating body in respect of 41 D.Ed colleges who have made admissions during 2012-13 at their own in violation of admission rules issued by the State Govt. of A.P and the committee decided to issue show cause notice.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 07.08.2014. The institution has submitted a written representation on 27.08.2014 along with some court orders and relevant documents.</p> <p>The SRC in its 273<sup>rd</sup> meeting held on 30<sup>th</sup> September &amp; 01<sup>st</sup> October, 2014 considered the considered the reply of the institution vide letter dated 27.08.2014. Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh order dated 20.03.2013,</p>
-----	---	---

04.03.2014, & 12.06.2014 decided that, the Law is clear on this issue. The Supreme Court has also given specific directions. Once 'recognition' is given by NCTE, the affiliating body shall affiliate. If they have any problem, they have to take it up with NCTE. In this case, the High Court has also reiterated this position. In their reply to our show cause notice, the institution has clarified that all the actions were taken in compliance of the High Court order. The State Government and the SCERT will, therefore, be well advised to comply with the High Court order.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the School Education Department on 07.11.2015.

A letter was sent to the Director SCERT on 07.12.2015 regarding continuation of affiliation for the academic year 2015-2016.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 22.07.2015 along with DD of Rs. 1,50,000/- bearing no.516522 dated 21.07.2015, online application for shifting of premises and some documents with requesting to shift the building from Maisammaguda, Gundlipochampally medchal Mandal, Rangareddy to Kompally Medchal Mandal, Rangareddy District.

A letter received from the institution on 10.05.2016 stating as under:

*"this is kind reminder requesting you to make inspection for shifting CMR Institute of Elementary Teacher Education (D.El.Ed) premises which was submitted to your good on 01.09.2015.*

*The next academic year is going to start very soon. Therefore to avoid impediments in this regard I request you to kindly make the inspections as early as possible.*

Note: The institution has submitted another written representation on 01.09.2015 along with Land documents, BCC, BP and affidavit for Sy No 126 at Kompally in favour of Malla Reddy Educational Society, which is not matching with earlier submitted shifting proposal.

The SRC in its 314<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> -28<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 considered the matter and it has decided as under:

1. This is a case of request for shifting.
2. Land document given is of a different Society. (It is the same as that given in Sl.No.16).
3. Issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition.

As per the decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 07.06.2016. The institution has submitted its written representation on 07.06.2016 along with document.

The SRC in its 320<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> September 2016, considered

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

the matter and decided as under:

- **The title deed has unattested over writings. Ask them to submit the clear original document or its certified copy**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 30.09.2016.

The institution submitted written representation on 26.09.2016. its stated as under:

*"... C.M.R. Institute of Elementary Teacher Education (D.El.Ed) has applied for shifting of its premises from Gundlapochampally to Kompally on 01.09.2015 and enclosed all the required documents for your kind perusal.*

*Whereas in Decision of 320<sup>th</sup> SRC meeting at SRC NCTE Bangalore Held on 19 & 20<sup>th</sup> September 2016 has directed us to submit certified copy of land documents.*

*Now I am herewith enclosing the certified copy of Malla Reddy Educational Society land documents (Document No: 6415 of 2015) certified from the component authority for your kind perusal. Kindly consider the case."*

The institution submitted original certified copy of land document submitted.

In meantime, the institution submitted its written representation on 27.10.2016 & 06.12.2016. It's stated as under:

*"...This is kind reminder requesting you to make inspection for shifting C.M.R Institute of Elementary Teacher Education (D.El.Ed) premise which was submitted to your good on 01.09.2015.*

*The next academic year is going to start very soon. Therefore to avoid any impediments in this regard I request you to kindly make the inspections as early as possible.*

*Herewith enclosing the shifting details of the college once again."*

The SRC in its 326<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 04<sup>th</sup> – 05<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Title is clear.
2. Land area required is 2428 sq.mtrs. They have 3035 sq.mtrs.

3. LUC not given.
4. Latest EC is required.
5. BP is not legible.
6. BCC is not approved by competent authority.
7. Renewed FDRs are required.
8. Latest approved faculty list is not given.
9. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was sent to the institution on 13.01.2017.

The institution has submitted its Show cause notice reply along with document on 03.02.2017. It's stated as under:

Sl. No.	Deficiency pointed by SRC	Institution written representation	Remarks	
1	Land Area is requires is 2428 Sq.Mtrs. They have 3035 Sq.Mtrs.	"C.M.R. Institute of Elementary Teacher Education (D.El.Ed) have 3035 Sq.Mtrs. Fulfilling the norms of NCTE 2014."	The institution has submitted Land Document	
			Registered certified copy of the Land document: Submitted/Not submitted (Whether in English or Regional language) (Whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	The institution submitted settlement deed in English version
			Date of Registration of Land	15.07.2015
			Land registered in the name of	In favour of Malla Reddy Educational Society
			Type of title deed i.e sale deed/lease	Settlement Deed

			deed(govt./pvt/gift deed)	
			Survey No./Plot No./Khasara No.	Sy.No126
			Extent of Land in each Sy.No./Plot No./Khasara No.	Ac.0.30 gts or 3630 sq.yrds
2	LUC not given	"LUC is enclosed for your kind reference."	<b>The Institution has submitted Land Use Certificate</b>	
			Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	Malla Reddy Educational Society
			Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	Sy.No.126
			Extent of diverted land	3630 sq.yards
			Purpose of diversion	Educational Purpose
			Date of issue	30.07.2015
			Name & designation of the issuing authority	Revenue Divisional Officer.
3	Latest EC is required	"Latest EC is enclosed for your kind reference"	<b>The Institution has submitted EC</b>	
			Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	Malla Reddy Educational Society
			Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	Sy.No.126 - Kompalle
			Search for the period	01.01.1988 to 31.01.2017 ( 29 years)
			Extent of land	3630 sq.yds
			Any mortgage as per EC	No
			Date of issue	01.02.2017
			Name & designation of the issuing authority	Joint Sub-Registrar
4	BP is not legible	"Original Building Plan is enclosed for your kind reference and it is	<b>The Institution has submitted BP</b>	
			Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Malla Reddy Educational Society
			Whether Building	

			Approved by Executive Officer of Kompally Gram Panchyath, Telangana State Govt."	Plan is for the proposed institution/course or also for some other TEI/course	-----	
				Plot area/land area	3630 sq.yds	
				Total built-up area	37600 sq.ft or 3500 sq.mts	
				Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	-----	
				Name and designation of approving authority	Executive Officer Panchayat Secretary	
5	BCC is not approved by competent authority	"An approved BCC is enclosed for your kind reference. Approved by Assistant Executive Engineer, Panchyath Raj Dept, Shankarpally(M).R.R. Dist, Telangana State."	<b>The Institution has submitted BCC</b>			
			Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Malla Reddy Educational Society, Rep. by Chairman Sri.Ch.Malla Reddy s/o Malla Reddy Sy.No.59, Jaya nagar colony, Bowenpally, Secunderabad.		
			Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	Sy.No.126- Kompally,		
			Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course		Area	Roofing
				GF	7532 sq.ft	7532 sq.ft
				FF	7532 sq.ft	7532 sq.ft
				SF	7532 sq.ft	7532 sq.ft
				TF	7532 sq.ft	7532 sq.ft
				FF	7532 sq.ft	7532 sq.ft
				<b>Total</b>	<b>37660 sq.ft</b>	<b>37660 sq.ft</b>
			Type of Roofing	Not mentioned		
			Purpose for	Educational Purpose		

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

				which building is being used/proposed to be used	
				Date of issue	03.10.2016
				Name & designation of the issuing authority	K.Krishna Reddy Assistant Executive Engineer.
	6	Renewed FDRs are required	"Original FDRs have been deposited in your good office and acknowledgment from your good office Dated:14/10/2010 is hereby enclosed for your kind reference. The updated bank statements fulfilling Rs.5 Lakhs towards Edowment Fund and Rs.7 Lakhs towards Reserve Fund are herewith submitted for your reference. (Copy of FDRs Enclosed)	The institution has submitted Photocopy of FDRs.	
				<b>D.El.Ed</b>	
				Rs.5 lakhs Endowment Fund	Rs.3 lakhs Reserve Fund
				Original/photocopy of the FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs + 3 lakhs	Photocopy submitted
				Whether in single or joint A/c	Joint A/c
				Date of issue	19.09.2010
				Date of Maturity	19.09.2015
				Name of issuing Bank	Bank of Maharashtra
				If already Rs. 5 lakhs + 7 lakhs submitted in joint A/c, whether additional FDR of Rs. 3 lakhs in joint A/c is submitted in original/photo copy	

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		<p>7 Latest approved Faculty lists not given.</p> <p>"Latest approved Faculty List for D.El.Ed is hereby enclosed and Attested by Director SCERT Telangana State (The Affiliating Body)"</p>	<p>The institution has submitted photocopy of Faculty list. Approved by Director of SCERT.</p>
<p><b>Remarks:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As per revised norms the institution has to submit FDR for Rs.7 lakhs of Rs.5 lakhs whereas, they have submitted photocopy of Rs. 5 lakhs of Rs. 3 lakhs.</li> </ul> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Their reply to our Show Cause Notice covers all points except the FDR. They have to give FDRs for Rs. 7 lakhs and 5 lakhs.</li> <li>Cause inspection.</li> <li>Ask VT to collect the FDRs.</li> </ol>			
79.	<p>SRCAPP14786</p> <p>M.Ed-</p> <p>1 Unit</p> <p>Little Rose College of Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Elite Educational Society, Plot No. 5-4, Main Road, Venkatayapalem Village, Draksharama Post, Ramachandrapuram Taluk, East Godavari District-533262, Andhra Pradesh has applied for grant of recognition to Little Rose College of Education, Plot No. 5-4, Main Road, Venkatayapalem Village, Draksharama Post, Ramachandrapuram Taluk, East Godavari District-533262, Andhra Pradesh for offering M.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 13.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter was sent to State Government for recommendation on 22.07.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 02.01.2017.</p> <p>Sub-clause (2) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-</p>	



"(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-

**(a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;**

**(b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. "**

Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-

*"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."*

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-

- The institution has submitted photocopy of notarized English version of land documents. Original registered land documents to be submitted.
- The hard copy of application is not duly signed by the applicant on every page, as per Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014.
- The institution has submitted photocopy of Provisional NOC, Registrar sign is not legible.

The SRC in its 291<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 20<sup>th</sup>-21<sup>st</sup> August, 2015 considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for M.Ed course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 30.06.2015 and hard copy on 13.07.2015, decided to summarily reject the application as per clause 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground.

- The institution submitted photocopy of notarized English version of land documents. Original registered land documents to be submitted.

		<p>Accordingly, rejection order was issued to the institution on 20.10.2015.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs vide The Appellate Authority order F.No.89-316/2016 Appeal/3<sup>rd</sup> Meeting-2016 dated 18.04.2016 remanded the case to SRC, as under:</p> <p><i>"....the committee noted that the order dated 20.10.2015 refusing recognition to the appellant institution is on the ground that the institution has submitted photocopy of notarized English version of land documents. the refusal order is further suggestive that "Original registered land documents to be submitted" it is also pertinent to note that the appellant institution is already recognized for conducting 2 units (50 seats each) of B.Ed course and copy of recognition order dated 19.05.2015 was enclosed with the hard copy of application. The appellant also furnished a copy of sale deed registered in year 2001 to prove that the appellant society held the land on ownership basis as on the date of application. Appeal committee observed that language used by SRC Bangalore in the refusal order dated 20.10.2015 was suggestive for the appellant institution to submit original registered land documents whereas NCTE Regulations, 2014 prescribe that copy of registered land documents are to be submitted. appeal committee therefore, decided to remand back the case to SRC for acceptance of the copy of registered land documents and further process the application. Appellant is required to submit authenticated copy of registered land documents to SRC within 15 days of the receipt of Appeal orders.</i></p> <p><i>AND WHEREAS, after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC Bangalore for acceptance of the copy of registered land documents, translation of which is already available. Appellant is required to submit authenticated copy of the registered land documents to SRC Bangalore within 15 days of the receipt of appeal orders.</i></p> <p><i>NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of little Rose College of Education, East Godavari, A.P. to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.</i></p> <p>The SRC in its 311<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> April 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:</p>
--	--	--

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

		<p><b>1.Cause Inspection</b>  <b>2.Ask VT to collect all documents</b>  <b>3.According to the time-limit extended by the Supreme Court, 2 May 2016 is the last date for issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17. All concerned should be advised of this position so that they can take advantage of the extended time-limit even if necessary by foregoing normal 'notice periods'.</b></p> <p>Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, inspection intimation letter was sent to the institution on 25.08.2016. The VT inspection was ordered and VT member were approved. However, the inspection has not been consideration.</p> <p>The SRC in its 327<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> &amp; 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The fact that the institution did not co-operate with the VT has not been reported. Resubmit on 31 Jan 2017.</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Remarks:</b></p> <p><b>Little Rose College of Elementary Education (SRCAPP3474/D.El.Ed-AI), SRC in its 329<sup>th</sup> meeting decided to issue show cause notice.</b></p> <p><b>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. It is not clear whether the VT Inspection took place or not. Find out correct position from the VT Members and report in the next meeting on 22.02.17.</b></li> </ol>
80.	<p>APS00227</p> <p>B.Ed-2 Units</p> <p>Little Rose College of Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh.</p>	<p>Elite Educational Society, Draksharama East Godavari District- 533 262, Andhra Pradesh submitted an application for grant of recognition to <b>Little Rose college of Education, Rajamundry Revenue division, Draksharama East Godavari dist- 533 262, Andhra Pradesh</b> for B.Ed course of one year duration for the academic year 2002-03 and Recognition was granted to the institution vide SRC order dated <b>10.02.2005 (as per recognition order)</b> with a condition to shift the institution to its own permanent premises within 3 years from the date of recognition.</p> <p>Regarding shifting of premises as per Regulation 2002 to permanent structure within three years from the date of issue of recognition in Andhra Pradesh, the</p>

		<p>matter was placed in 178th Meeting of SRC held on 13<sup>th</sup>-14<sup>th</sup> July, 2010 wherein the committee observed from records of the institution that the institution was accorded recognition in the rented premises and they had not yet shifted to the permanent premises/building. In view of non-shifting of colleges to permanent building the committee decided to issue show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act and obtain shifting fees and other documents for causing inspection for shifting.</p> <p>As per decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued to the institution to submit essential documents on 07.10.2009</p> <p>The institution had not responded to the Show Cause Notice and not submitted fee for Shifting.</p> <p>The SRC in its 201<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 22<sup>nd</sup>-23<sup>rd</sup> February, 2011 considered the matter and decided to withdraw recognition of Little Rose College of Education Rajamundry Revenue Division, Draksharama, East Godavari Dist-533262, Andhra Pradesh which failed to shift to permanent premises within the prescribed time limit of three years as per the norms, and also they had failed to respond to Show Cause Notice issued by SRC, NCTE long back on 07.10.2009.</p> <p>Accordingly, the recognition accorded to Little Rose College of Education, Rajamundry Revenue Division, Draksharama, East Godavari District-533 262, Andhra Pradesh to B.Ed course was withdrawn vide order dated 07.04.2011.</p> <p>A court order was received from Hon'ble High Court of A.P. in W.P.No.10685 of 2011 dated 19.04.2011 stating that</p> <p><i>".....this writ petition is disposed of in the same terms as in the order passed in W.P.No.22090 of 2008 and batch of writ petitions dated 11.08.2009. petitioners are granted four weeks' time to file statutory appeal under section 18 of the NCTE Act and the appellate authority shall receive the appeal by treating the same as an appeal filed within the limitation and dispose of the same after hearing both the parties in accordance with law. Till such time, the impugned orders dated 07.04.2011 shall remain suspended. No order as to costs."</i></p> <p>Aggrieved by the withdrawal order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE-Hqrs and the appellate authority in its order No. F.No. 89-227/2011Appeal/5<sup>th</sup> meeting -2011 dated 03/08/2011 stated as under:-</p> <p><b>After perusal of documents, memorandum of appeal, VT report and after considering oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council reached the conclusion that there was adequate ground to accept the appeal and reverse the SRC's order dated 07-04-2011 with direction to the SRC to conduct an inspection of the institution and take necessary action thereafter. Accordingly, the appeal is accepted and the order of the SRC dated 07-04-2011 is reversed.</b></p>
--	--	--

**The Council hereby reverses the Order appealed against"**

The SRC in its 211<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 21<sup>st</sup> -23<sup>rd</sup> September 2011, considered the Appellate/Council order dt. 03.08.2011 and decided to cause shifting inspection at the premises Under Section 17 of NCTE Act. The institution was directed to submit shifting inspection fee of Rs. 40,000/-.

As per direction of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 09.11.2011 regarding submission of inspection fee.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 25.11.2011 enclosed Demand Draft of Rs.40,000/- bearing No.656883 vide dated 18.11.2011.

It was observed from the file that after receipt of the written representation along with DD of Rs.40,000/- submitted by institution and not taken into account and not placed before SRC for further taking necessary action so far.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 14.10.2013 and requesting to withdraw the name of our college i.e. S.No.1194 from the list of recognition withdrawn college for your website related to Andhra Pradesh.\*

(As per MIS data, it shows the recognition status is Withdrawn).

The SRC in its 254<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup>-27<sup>th</sup> October 2013, considered the Hon'ble High Court Order dated 19.04.2011, wherein the Hon'ble High Court suspended the withdrawal of recognition order vide letter dated 07.04.2011, advised SRO to remove the entry from the website. Further, it is observed that the institution has paid only Rs.40,000/- towards inspection fee, the balance of Rs.10,000/- is to be collected from the institution towards inspection. Southern Regional Office to examine all the relevant documents of the institution.

The institution has submitted Rs.50,000/- towards inspection fee on 08.01.2014 (DD.No.950750, 950752 dated 30.12.2013)

The SRC in its 261<sup>st</sup> Meeting held on 09<sup>th</sup> - 10<sup>th</sup> February, 2014 considered the Appellate authority order dated 17/08/2011, decided to **cause inspection of the institution, after getting the Duly filled in Questionnaire along with all the necessary documents for land, building approval and completion certificate from a competent Govt. Engineer and other relevant documents.**

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the Institution on 28.02.2014 with direction to submit the relevant documents and the Institution has submitted its reply on 08.05.2014 along with relevant documents.

The SRC in its 268<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> - 5<sup>th</sup> June, 2014, considered the matter, Letter from the institution dated 08.05.2014, and directed **Southern Regional Office to put up after notification of the New Regulations as per NCTE (H.Q) instructions.**

		<p>A letter seeking consent on the willingness of the institution for processing of their application as per Regulations 2014 was sent to the institution on 19.12.2014.</p> <p>On 12.01.2015, in response to this office letter dated 19.12.2014, the institution has submitted an affidavit on Rs.100/- stamp paper expressing their willingness for the application to be processed as per Regulations, 2014. The documents submitted by the institution were examined and placed before the Committee in its 278<sup>th</sup> Meeting.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 278<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 considered the matter, affidavit submitted by the institution along with the documents vide letter dated 12.01.2015, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to Cause Inspection of the said institution.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, the inspection of the institution was scheduled during 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2015 and the same was intimated to the institution on 28.01.2015.</p> <p>The inspection of the institution was conducted on 03.02.2015 and VT Report was received by this office on 12.02.2015.</p> <p>The Southern Regional Committee in its 280<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 12<sup>th</sup> – 14<sup>th</sup> February, 2015 Considered the matter, VT report dated 03.02.2015, VCD of the institution, and all relevant documentary evidence and decided to serve Show cause Notice.</p> <p>As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice is issued to the institution on 17.03.2015. The institution has submitted written representation on 17.03.2015.</p> <p>SRC in its 285<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup> April, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Issue notice for latest approved staff list in the prescribed format. Check in particular Asst. Prof (Phy Education) &amp; Asst Prof (Fine Arts).</b></p> <p>Accordingly Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 14.05.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 19.05.2015 with a condition that the a notice has been issued to the institution for submission of staff list on 14.05.2015.</p> <p>Now, the institution has submitted written representation on 18.11.2015 along with staff list approved by Adikavi Nannaya University and stated as follows:</p> <p><i>The SRC in its 317<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 Considered the matter</i></p>
--	--	---

& decided as under:

1. All formalities were completed. We had only to check the latest Faculty list.
2. Faculty list is in order.
3. 1.Original FDR for Rs. 4 lakhs more have to be obtained.
- 3.2. Obtain FDR accordingly and issue permission for shifting B.Ed (2 units)
4. Issue revised Formal Recognition for B.Ed (2 units) at the new address.

Accordingly, a letter to the institution conveying the decision of 317<sup>th</sup> meeting was sent on 26.09.2016.

Now, the institution has submitted representation along with original FDR is of Rs.4 lakhs on 4.11.2016., it stated as under.

*"In the reference cited above mention the 4 Lakhs Rupees Fixed Deposit Receipt in original sending instructed by your office. We take FDR Rs.4,00,000 in state Bank of India Draksharama. The F.D.R No Is 36225016339 Dated 02-11-2016.*

*Now we submit the original FDR to your office. So please issue the revised formal Recognition for B.Ed 2 units as early as possible".*

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. All formalities have been satisfactorily completed in this RPRO case.
2. FR, afresh under the 2014 Regulations, for B.Ed(2 units) has also been issued.
3. Permit shifting.
4. Amend the FR to indicate the new address.

81.	APS04730  D.Ed,  Little Rose College of Elementary Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	<p><b>Elite Educational Society, East Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh</b> had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee for grant of recognition to <b>Little Rose College of Elementary Education, Draksharama Mandal, East Godavari District-533262, Andhra Pradesh</b> for conducting <b>D.Ed</b> course of <b>Two years</b> duration. The recognition was granted to the institution on <b>21.08.2012</b> with an annual intake of <b>50(fifty)</b> students from the academic session <b>2012-2013</b>.</p> <p>A letter vide Lr.No.SE-12023/5/2015-PROG2SECT-SEDEPT dated 06.08.2015 received from School Education (PROG.II) Department Government of Andhra Pradesh on 24.08.2015 and 22.09.2015 regarding Kum DoddaSarojini Devi, D/o Late DoddaSubba Rao, D.Ed student of Jerimia College of Education (D.Ed) Burugupudi, Koukonda(M) Rajahmundry, East Godavari District-permitted Kum.DoddaSarojini Devi, D.Ed candidate as a special case ratification orders along with a copy of latter dated 02.06.2015 from Education department. The letter states under:</p> <p><i>".. National Council for Teacher Education (SRC), Bangalore granted recognition to Little Rose Elementary Education, Venkatayapalem, Draksharama, E.G District for conducting D.Ed Course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students from the academic session 2012-13 in the reference 1<sup>st</sup> cited. Accordingly Govt of Andhra Pradesh granted affiliation to the said College.</i></p> <p><i>In the reference 2<sup>nd</sup> read above (copy enclosed), the Commissioner of School Education, A.P. Hyderabad/Chairman, Diploma in Elementary Education Common Entrance Test (DEECET), has stated that, Initially Kum. D.SarojiniDevi, was allotted a D.Ed seat through DEECET counseling 2014 in Jerimiah College of Education, Burugupudi, Korukonda (M), Rajahmundry, East Godavari and subsequently, on her request, she has been permitted to join in the nearby college to her residence i.e., Little Rose Elementary Education, Venkatayapalem, Draksharamam, E.G Dist, as 51<sup>st</sup> candidate for the 2014-16 batch as she is suffering from "CANCER" as a special case. Hence, the Commissioner of School Education, A.P Hyderabad Chairman, Diploma in Elementary Education Common Entrance Test (DEECET), has requested to ratify the said action.</i></p> <p><b>3. I, therefore, request you to ratify the action of the Commissioner of School Education, A.P. Hyderabad</b></p>
-----	---	---



*/Chairman, Diploma in Elementary Education Common Entrance Test (DEECET), in having permitted Kum.D. Sarojini Devi, D.Ed students of Jermaiah College of Education, BurugupudiKorkonda (M), Rajahmundry, East Godavari to join in Little Rose Elementary Education, Venkatayapalem, Draksharama, E.G District as 51<sup>st</sup> candidate to study two year D.Ed course for the 2014-16 batch as a special case".*

The SRC in its 293<sup>rd</sup> meeting deferred the matter.

In the meantime, another letter dated 09.11.2015 received from Government of Andhra Pradesh School Education (PROG.II) Department on 28.12.2015 and stating as follows:

*"I invite your attention to the reference 3<sup>rd</sup> cited wherein you have been requested to ratify the action of the Commissioner of School Education, AP Hyderabad/Chairman, Diploma in Elementary Education Common Entrance Test (DEECET), in having permitted Kum.D. Sarojini Devi, D.Ed student of Jermaiah College of Education, Burugupudi, Korukonda (M), Rajahmundry, East Godavari to join in Little Rose Elementary Education, Venkatayapalem, Draksharama, E.G. District as 51<sup>st</sup> candidate to study two years D.Ed course for the 2014-16 batch as a special case. Orders of NCTE (SRC) is still awaited.*

*2. I therefore, once again request you to ratify the said action of the Commissioner of School Education, A.P. Hyderabad / Chairman, Diploma in Elementary Education Entrance Test (DEECET).*

*3. An early action is solicited in the matter".*

**NOTE:**

Agenda was prepared in 316<sup>th</sup> meeting, and not considered in the meeting.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. This is a request from the A.P.Govt. for increasing the basic unit size from 50 to 51 in the D.El.Ed course for the 2014-16 batch as a special case.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. The request is compassionate as it is for the benefit of a cancer patient.</li><li>3. Unfortunately, the SRC does not have the Legal authority to alter the basic unit size.</li><li>4. Advise them to approach the NCTE(HQ) as only the Council can relax the regulations.</li></ol>
82.	APS07418  B.Ed- 1 Unit  Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Nalgonda, Telangana	<p><b>Kavitha Memorial Educational Society, Khammam, Telangana</b> had submitted application for <b>B.Ed</b> course of two year duration with an annual intake of 100 students at <b>Kavitha Memorial College of Education, 19<sup>th</sup> Block, Thirumala Complex, Gandhi Nagar, Devarkonda Village &amp; Mandal, Nalgonda District-508248, Telangana</b> and was granted recognition on 20.08.2007.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014, a letter was issued to the institution regarding notification of new Regulations, 2014 and seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised norms and standards before 31.10.2015</p> <p>The institution submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 100 students on 27.01.2015.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, a revised order was issued to the institution on 12.05.2015 with an annual intake of two basic unit of 50 students each with a condition that the institution is running in rented premises.</p> <p>The institution submitted its representation on 24.09.2015, in its reply stated as follows:</p> <p><b><i>This is submit a few issues for your kind notice and orders. Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District has established under Kavitha Memorial</i></b></p>

*Educational Society, Khammam and NCTE granted permission vide order No.F.SRO/NCTE/B.Ed/2007-2008/8145, dt.20.08.2007, code APS07418 to run B.Ed., programme.*

*The college is running in Leased accommodation due to financial implications, the society could complete only half of the building and promise you that the college will be shifted into permanent buildings during 2015-16 academic year. The Mahathma Gandhi University has denied affiliation to our college i.e, Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District, Telangana State for the academic year 2015-16 vide reference 1<sup>st</sup> cited though the NCTE has granted recognition to the college for the academic year 2015-16 for an intake of 100 students of two basic units of 50 vide reference 3<sup>rd</sup> cited, for that we are always thankful to the NCTE.*

*In view of the above circumstances, we request you to kindly intimate /advise Mahathma Gandhi University, Nalgonda district that the NCTE has already granted permission to Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District for the academic year 2015-16 in leased accommodation with a condition that college has to shift to the permanent buildings in 2015-16 academic year itself, hence, the Mahathma Gandhi University may issue provisional affiliation to the college only for the academic year 2015-16 by taking and affidavit from the college.*

*We request you to advise the Mahathma Gandhi University to issue provisional affiliation to Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District for the academic year 2015-16 as the NCTE has already granted permission to the college for the academic year 2015-16 in lease accommodation.*

The SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> – 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The legal position is that so long as NCTE recognition exists, Universities shall give affiliation to the institutions. It is our responsibility to get conditions like 'shifting' implemented. We are taking appropriate action to enforce the conditionalities until action in such cases is completed,

Universities may be requested to continue to affiliate the institutions.

2. In all conditional recognition cases, we should issue Show Cause Notice for de-recognition for non-observance of conditionalities. The concerned affiliating Universities may be informed that we are taking action to enforce the conditionalities.

Meantime, the institution submitted representation on 24.09.2015 regarding change of the society (Management) along with original DD of Rs. 1,50,000/- bearing No.964296 dated 06.08.2015 and documents, it stated as follows:

*"With reference to the subject and reference cited, this is to bring to your kind notice that the two letters dispatched to you vide reference 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> cited have returned to the college by the courier due to wrong address written on the envelope by our office administrative assistant. I express my regrets for mistake caused in this regard and apologies for the same.*

*Once again I am forwarding the above two letters to you along with the same DD of Rs.1,50,000 towards change of the management from Kavitha Memorial Educational Society, Khammam to Uday Sai Educational Society, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District and also shifting of premises from temporary accommodation to the permanent buildings in Devarakonda, Nalgonda itself vide block No.20, H.No.288/914.*

*Submission of online application in NCTE web portal for change of management under column e-governance is not getting up opened and the same issue was brought to the notice of NCTE Bangalore & New delhi (Mr.Pawan Bairagi) and we were advised to bring it to the notice of Member Secretary, New Delhi to modify the website. Hence, we hereby submitting the application in hard copy along with land documents, building Plan, staff approval list for 2014-15 and we request the NCTE, Bangalore for approval of change of management and shifting of premises".*

A letter was sent to the institution conveying decision of 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting, on 06.10.2015.

The documents were processed and agenda was placed before SRC in its 315<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> June, 2016 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under:

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman

1. *They have to shift premises.*
2. *But, before that they have changed the management. All the documents submitted now are in the name of the new management.*
3. *The 'management' issue has, therefore, to be settled before the 'shifting' matter can be considered.*
4. *Ask them to fulfill the formalities prescribed for change of management and apply separately for order thereon.*
5. *Only, thereafter, can this shifting matter be taken up for further action.*

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 12.07.2016 asking them to fulfill the formalities for change of management by submitting an application along with document as under:

- a) Resolution of the society
- b) NOC from the Registrar of Societies or Trust
- c) NOC from the affiliating bodies.

An e-mail was received from Advocate Ramakanth Reddy on 18.07.2016 along with W.P.No.23473 of 2016 filed by Kavitha Memorial Educational Society. Accordingly, letter was sent along with the brief of the institution on 18.07.2016.

On 21.07.2016, an e-mail was received from the Advocate, Shri. Ramakanth Reddy along with a copy of the Counter to be filed in W.P.No.23473/2016. A duly signed counter affidavit was sent to the advocate on 26.07.2016.

An e-mail received from the advocate on 27.07.2016 and 28.07.2016 along with the court order in W.P.No.23473 of 2016 and stated as follows:

***"Kavitha College case was heard by the Hon'ble High Court today. The Hon'ble Court directed the SRC to conduct inspection on 30.07.2016 and submit report by Tuesday 02.08.2016 before the Court at 10.30 AM.***

***In view of the peculiar circumstances inspection was considered by me and the cut-off date fixed by Hon'ble Court and NOC will also be mentioned".***

The matter was placed before SRC in its 317<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> and 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The Court Order is noted.
2. In the circumstances of the case, for us, this is an unimplementable order.
3. Unless the formalities relating to change of management are completed, there can be no VT inspection because all documents are in favour of the new society.
- 4.1. The 'peculiar circumstances' cited by the Counsel are of his own creation.
- 4.2. If he cannot approach the court again on this matter, he should held us to get it done by another Lawyer.

An e-mail was received from the institution on 01.08.2016 and stated as follows:

*"The Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda, Nalgonda Dt, Telangana was supposed to be inspected by NCTE on 30.07.2016 at the new premises (block no 20, H-no-288/9/4, dindi road) of Uday Sai Educational Society to whom the society is being transferred. As per the Hon'ble High Court of AP & TS. WP no 23473 of 2016, The SRC NCTE is directed to depute a team for inspection of the premises from where 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner (Kavitha Memorial College of Education) is proposed to be run and complete the inspection on 30.08.2016 and file in the court by 02.07.2016.*

*In view of the direction of the Hon'ble High court, the Management of the Kavitha Memorial College of Education and Uday Sai Educational society has arranged all infrastructural facilities like the premises, all relevant labs, faculty including principal for inspection at the new premises i.e (block no 20, H-no-288/9/4, dindi road) and waited till 7.00 PM on 30.07.2016 but no official of NCTE has come for inspection and no one has contacted us. We tried to contact the SRC NCTE office over telephone but no one has responded and we left at 7.00 PM. We request you to kindly look into the issue and solve it at the earliest to enable the college to get affiliation from MG university for this academic year as the college has already lost last year affiliation".*

Meanwhile, an e-mail was received from the advocate Sri. Ramakanth

		<p>Reddy, on 10.08.2016 alongwith draft <u>Vacate Stay Petition and counter affidavit</u>.</p> <p>An e-mail was received from the NCTE-Hqrs on 15.08.2016 regarding approval of Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>Accordingly, counter affidavit was sent to the advocate Sri. K. Ramakanth Reddy on 16.08.2016.</p> <p>A court notice was received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P.No. 23473 of 2016 dated 04.08.2016, on 12.08.2016, stating as under:</p> <p><i>"Registry shall issue notice to 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to show cause why proceedings of contempt court shall not be initiated against 1<sup>st</sup> respondent for prima facie willful violation of the undertaking given before this court on 27.07.2016.</i></p> <p><i><u>The 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent is also directed not to insist on the petitioners producing NOC from the Affiliating Body and the State Government as per the letter dt. 12.07.2016 for the purpose of considering the issue of transfer of management of the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner-college.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>1<sup>st</sup> respondent is also directed to consider petitioners' application dt. 16-09-2015 within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order since already much time has elapsed since its submission and since prima facie the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent in its proceeding dt. 12.07.2016 does not appear to have applied its mind to the contents of the application dt. 16.09.2015 made by the petitioners.</u></i></p> <p><i>Post on <u>18.08.2016</u> for admission of contempt case."</i></p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"><p><i>now, another letter received from the institution on <u>16.08.2016</u> stating as under:</i></p><p><i>" we, the Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District, humbly submit a few issues for your kind consideration. Kavitha Memorial Educational Society and Uday Sai Educational Society are mutually agreed <u>and passed resolutions to transfer the management of Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District to Uday Sai College of Education under Uday Sai Educational Society, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District.</u></i></p></div>
--	--	---

**The Kavitha Memorial Educational Society has paid the prescribed fee of Rs. 1.50.000/- (Rupees one lakh fifty thousand only) to the SRC, NCTE towards change of management and shifting of the premises to block No. 20, H.No. 288/9/4, Dindi Road, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District vide application dt. 16.09.2016.**

**Now we request you to kindly depute your officials to inspect the premises at block No. 20, H.No.288/9/4, Dindi Road, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District of Uday Sai College of Education under Uday sai Educational Society to whom the management of Kavitha Memorial College of Education is being transferred after your inspection. Please inspect the premises at the earliest to enable the college to get affiliation for this academic year from Mahatma Gandhi University, Nalgonda as the college has already lost last year affiliation.**

The advocate sent a letter seeking extension of time for placing the order before SRC. Accordingly, a signed application was sent to the advocate on 18.08.2016 informing that the matter will be placed in the 319<sup>th</sup> meeting to be held on 30<sup>th</sup>-31<sup>st</sup> August & 01<sup>st</sup> September, 2016 for decision.

The SRC in its 319<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 30<sup>th</sup>-31<sup>st</sup> August, 2016 considered the court order and institution's written representation dated 16.08.2016 and decided as under:

1. Let us cause inspection as directed by the High Court without conceding the applicant's request for change of management
2. The applicant will have to fulfill all the legal requirements for allowing change of management, transfer of title to properties as well as adhere to norms and standards
3. Issue orders for VT inspection
4. Inform the lawyer accordingly

Accordingly, an e-mail was sent to the Advocate conveying the decision of SRC 319<sup>th</sup> meeting on 09.09.2016.

As per the decision of SRC, VT assigned through online procedure. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 13.09.2016 and VT report along with CD and Pen drive received on 16.09.2016.

The documents were processed and placed before SRC in its 320<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> - 20<sup>th</sup> September 2016. The Committee considered



the matter and decided as under:

1. Change of Management and shifting case B.Ed ( 1 unit)
2. Land document is in order
3. EC is in order
4. LUC is in order
5. BP is in order
6. BCC is in order. Built up area is adequate for one unit.
7. FDRs date expired and in the name of Kavitha Memorial College of Education,
8. Faculty list not given
9. Issue Show Cause Notice for latest faculty list.
10. Regarding change in management they should give required documents
11. The institution has requested for only one unit of B.Ed. Withdraw recognition for the 2<sup>nd</sup> unit w.e.f 2016-17.

A Vacate Stay Petition in W.P.No. 23473 of 2016 was received from Advocate Sri. Ramakanth Reddy on 23.09.2016.

Duly signed Vacate Stay Petition in respect of W.P.No. 23473 of 2016 filed by Kavitha Memorial Educational Society was sent to the Advocate Sri. Ramakanth Reddy on 23.09.2016.

Before issuance of show cause notice, as per the website information the institution submitted its reply along with Faculty List on 26.09.2016.

The SRC in its 321<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> & 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 considered the show cause notice reply and decided as under:

**This case is needlessly getting complicated. Two issues are involved;**

- (i) Change of management; and, (ii) permission to shift.

We have been insisting on completion of formalities relating to (i) because permission to shift can be issued only thereafter since the title to properties at the new site belongs to the new society.

Instructions issued by the NCTE(HQ) clearly stipulate that RCs should obtain the following documents before permitting change of management : (i) Resolutions of two societies involved (ii) NOC of the Registrar of Societies ( of the State Govt. concerned); and,(iii) NOC from the affiliating body .Accordingly, we had issued notice to the applicant.

The applicant has now drawn our attention to the Court-

order dated 04.08.2016 which specifically directs us not to insist on NOC from the State Government (i.e Registrar of Societies) and the affiliating body. In view of this specific direction from the Court, let us not insist on the instruction received from NCTE(HQ).

In the result, and for the reasons given above, permit change of management from Kavitha Memorial Educational Society to Udai Sai Educational Society.

Thereafter, for processing further the case for shifting issue a Notice to the new society (with a copy to the old society) for submission of original FDRs in joint account with R.D (SRO) and for the latest approved Faculty List.

**Keep our lawyer informed for purposes of Court Case.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC change of Management Order, show cause notice and letter to the Advocate were sent on 19.10.2016.

In the mean time, Court Order was received from the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, SUO MOTU CC No. 1525 of 2016 and W.P.No. 23473 of 2016 dated 29.09.2016 received on 18.10.2016 stating as under:

**"Heard counsel for the petitioner and Sri. K.Ramakanth Reddy, counsel for respondent No.1.**

Having regard to the minutes of the 321<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Southern Regional Committee of the first respondent held on 28.09.2016 and 30.09.2016, subject to the petitioner furnishing FDRs by the new society and the latest approved list, the first respondent shall grant recognition to the petitioner No.2-College at its next meeting whenever it is held.

**Post on 21.10.2016 in the Motion list".**

The Udai Sai Education Society has submitted show cause notice reply along with FDR of Rs.5 lakhs and copy of letter dated 15.10.2016 from the Registrar, Mahatma Gandhi University, Nalgonda District, Telangana, renewing the faculty list of 1 + 9 for 2016-17, on 09.11.2016.

The Society submitted another representation along with FDR of Rs. 7 lakhs.

The SRC in its 325<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 considered the show cause notice reply and decided as under:

1. The Court had directed us to consider their case for recognition after they submit FDRs and the latest approved Faculty list.
2. They have given the FDRs.
3. The Faculty list submitted is not in format given in the NCTE Regulations.
4. Issue show cause notice accordingly.

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC show cause notice was issued on 03.01.2017.

**The institution submitted 1 + 9 faculty list approved by Mahatma Gandhi University, Nalgonda on 26.12.2016 in NCTE format.**

An e-mail received from the institution on 30.01.2017, 06.02.2017 and 10.02.2017 and stating as follows:

*".....the Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda, Nalgonda District, has humbly submit that the following a few issues to your kind notice and perusal.*

- *A show cause notice No. F.SRO/APS07418/B.Ed/TS/2016-17/90937, dt.03.01.2017 has been issued to the college against minutes of meeting held SRC/NCTE, 325<sup>th</sup> dt. 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2016 for submission of approved faculty list as per NCTE format. The college has submitted the approved faculty list as per the format to SC/NCTE on 23.12.2016 vide reference 3<sup>rd</sup> cited.*
- *The college issue has not been taken up either in 326<sup>th</sup> or in 327<sup>th</sup> & 328<sup>th</sup> meetings of SRC/NCTE. It is also unfortunate that the college name did not taken place even in the meeting of 329<sup>th</sup> SRC/NCTE held on 06<sup>th</sup> & 07<sup>th</sup> February, 2017.*
- *It is also submit that the college has lost its 2015-16 academic year due to the process of change of management and shifting of the premises ultimately the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh and Telangana has interfered in the matter, subsequently the inspection was done by the officials of NCTE in the month of September 2016 for change of management as well as shifting of the premises.*

- The college has submitted new FDR's for an amount of Rs. 12 lakhs in the month of November, 2016 as per the show cause notice issued by SRC/NCTE.
- However, the college has received one more show cause notice dated 03.01.2017 vide reference 1<sup>st</sup> cited stating that the college has to submit faculty approved faculty list as per SRC/NCTE format. The college has submitted approved faculty list as per the prescribed format of NCTE on 23.12.2016 vide reference 3<sup>rd</sup> cited.
- The second phase counseling of TS-Ed-CET-2017 is being held in the February, 2017. We request the NCTE to issue Recognition to the college immediately for change of management and shifting of premises so as to enable the college to be get university affiliation and to be listed in the second phase counseling without foregoing one more academic year ie., 2017-18.

In view of the above facts and figures, we request the authorities of NCTE to issue Recognition to Kavitha Memorial College of Education, Devarakonda for change of its management from Kavitha Memorial Educational Society, Khammam to Udai Sai Educational Society, Devarakonda and shifting of the college to new premises bearing No. Block No. 20, H.No. 288/9/4, Devarakonda Village & Mandal, Nalgonda District, Telangana."

Remarks:

Change of Management Order was issued on 19.10.2016.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. Change of management has already been approved on 19.10.2016.
2. All formalities for shifting have been completed satisfactorily. Only the Faculty List remains to be rectified.
3. Issue permission for shifting.
4. In the faculty list, there are 2 infirmities

		<p>(i) The Principal is over aged and should be changed. (ii) One Asst. Prof. (MPA) is required</p> <p>5. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.</p> <p>6. Issue the revised FR only after the Faculty List is rectified.</p>
83.	<p>AOS00425</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>Sri CRR College of Education, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p>Sri CRR College of Education, Opp. To S.P. Bunglow, N.H. 5, Eluru – 534007, West Godavari District, Andhra Pradesh was granted recognition for offering B.Ed course vide order dated 18.09.2001 with an annual intake of 160 students.</p> <p>On 31.12.2014 letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revise norms and standards before 31.10.2015.</p> <p>On 31.01.2015, the institution has submitted the affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 160 students.</p> <p>The SRC in its 276<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 decided to issue provisional recognition orders to the existing institutions and the committee also decided to maintain a check list of such cases for verification in October/November and for causing inspection.</p> <p>Accordingly, as per the Regulation 2014 a revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 06.05.2015 with an annual intake three basic units of 150 students each with an condition that the institution has not maintained/revalidated the Fixed Deposited Receipts towards Endowment and Reserve Funds.</p> <p>The institution has submitted original FDRs on 29.06.2015 and the same was returned to the institution on 29.06.2015.</p> <p>Now, an e-mail received from the institution on 05.12.2016 forwarding a copy of letter along with copy of Affidavit. The letter stated as under:</p> <p><i>"I would like to inform you that we have started B.Ed., Course in the name of Sir C.R.R College of Education, Eluru during the year 1984. The NCTE gave recognition with an annual intake of 150 students from the academic year 2015-16. But during the year 2015-16 only 65 candidates admitted in our college. So, we the management of Sir C.R.R College of Education has decided to reduce from three basic units (150 students) to two basic units (100 students). Kindly accept our request for the reduction of</i></p>

		<p><i>intake from three basic units to two basic units.</i></p> <p><i>For this purpose I am herewith sending an affidavit for your kind perusal."</i></p> <p><b>Remarks:</b></p> <p>The institution has submitted the affidavit for two units.</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The request for reduction from 3 units to 2 units of B.Ed is accepted.</li> <li>2. Issue withdrawal of recognition to the 3rd unit w.e.f 2016-17.</li> <li>3. Issue revised FR accordingly. Other conditions remain the same.</li> </ol>
84.	<p>SRCAPP14090</p> <p>B.Ed</p> <p>SRCAPP14091</p> <p>D.El.Ed</p> <p>Universal College of Education, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh</p>	<p><b>B.Ed (SRCAPP14090)</b></p> <p>B. Guruswamy Educational Society, Plot No.6, Dibbala Road, Ongole Village &amp; Post Office, Ongole Taluk &amp; City, Prakasam District -523001, Andhra Pradesh. had applied for grant of recognition to Universal College of Education, Plot /khasara No.132, Ongole Street, Ongole Village &amp; Post Office, Ongole Taluk &amp; City, Prakasam District-523001, Andhra Pradesh. for offering B.Ed course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 27.06.2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 08.07.2015.</p> <p>The application was processed as per NCTE(Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter was sent to the State Government for recommendation on 16.07.2015.</p> <p>Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-</p> <p><i>The "(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."</i></p>

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as under:-

- ***Non submission of NOC along with the on-line application or submission of NOC separately after 15 July will amount to violatio of the Regulations. In such cases, therefore, show cause notice should be issued for rejection of the application.***

SRC in its 292<sup>nd</sup> meeting held during 29<sup>th</sup> to 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 considered the matter and decided to issue notice to the institution .As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 24.10.2015

On 12.11.2015, the institution submitted a reply to the Show Cause Notice along with a copy of the NOC issued by Registrar, Acharya Nagarjuna University.

SRC in its 295<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> to 1<sup>st</sup> December, 2015 considered the reply of the institution and decided to process the application.

The application of the institution was processed and placed before the Committee.

**D.El.Ed(SRCAPP14091)**

**B. Gurusreddy Educational Society, Dibbala Road, Ongole Village & Post Office, Ongole Taluk & City, Prakasam District -523001, Andhra Pradesh** had applied for grant of recognition to **Universal D.Ed College, Plot/Khasara No.132, Ongole Street, Ongole Village & Post office, Ongole Taluk & City, Prakasam District -523 001, Andhra Pradesh** for offering **D.El.Ed** course of **two** years duration for the academic year **2016-17** under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **27.06.2015** The institution submitted hard copy of the application on **08.07.2015**.

The application was processed as per NCTE(Recognition Norms and

Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter was sent to the State Government for recommendation on 16.07.2015.

Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-

The "(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. ***While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application.***"

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as under:-

- ***No Objection Certificate from the affiliating body is not submitted***

SRC in its 291<sup>st</sup> meeting held during 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2015 considered the matter and decided to issue Show Cause Notice to the institution. As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institutin on 21.10.2015.

On 12.11.2015, the institution submitted reply to the Show Cause Notice along with a copy of the NOC issued by Director, SCERT, Andhra Pradesh for D.El.Ed course.

SRC in its 295<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 28<sup>th</sup> to 1<sup>st</sup> December, 2015 considered the reply of the institution and decided to process the application.

The application of the institution was processed and placed before the Committee

SRC, in its 297<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 27<sup>th</sup> -28<sup>th</sup> December, 2015 considered the matter and documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application in respect of both the applications and decided as



under:-

1. **Title to land is there. Land area adequate.**
2. **BCC is there. Built-up area is inadequate.**
3. **Other documents are in order.**
4. **Original FDRs to be collected.**
5. **Cause Composite Inspection for B.Ed and D.El.Ed.**

On, 26.12.2015, a letter from the Universal College of Education, post phonement-Raju Complex, Dibbala Road, Ongole, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh was received as under:-

*" I bring to your kind notice that as composite college we had applied B.Ed & D.El.Ed in one campus, but due to un avoidable circumstances' construction is not completed.*

*Hence I request you to kindly post phone B.Ed inspection after march 2016. We are ready for D.El.Ed inspection. Kindly consider our appeal. "*

The Southern Regional Committee in its 298<sup>th</sup> meeting held during 9th to 10<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

***The request for delaying B.Ed inspection cannot be accepted. Applications for B.Ed and D.El.Ed support each other. Dropping one will make both stand-alone cases. Ask them to accept joint inspection or risk rejection of both the cases.***

The decision of SRC was conveyed to the institution vide letter dated 03.02.2016 for B.Ed and 21.01.2016 for D.El.Ed.

In the meantime, inspection of the institution was fixed and inspection letter was sent to the institution on 17.03.2016 for both B.Ed and D.El.Ed courses.

On 25.03.2016, the VT members have enclosed a letter of the institution requesting postponement of inspection as under:-

*"With reference to the 1<sup>st</sup> cited above our society made an application for grant of recognition to composite courses for the academic year 2016-17 along with all the relevant documents and fee prescribed by NCTE*

*We may know that NCTE has allotted Visit Team to inspect our*

proposed College Universal College of Education and also we received the intimation from VT Members regarding the visiting of our proposed institution

*Unfortunately, we are unable to face the inspection at this moment due to some unavoidable circumstances. Hence, we are requesting you sir, please postpone of our college inspection for 3 months."*

The SRC in its 309<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> April 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

- **Time given up to Sept 2016 on understanding that the institution facing risk of loosing one year viz 2016-17.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a letter was sent on 11.05.2016. The institution has not submitted any reply till date.

The SRC in its 324<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 07<sup>th</sup> & 08<sup>th</sup> Decemerm, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. VT inspection was twice postponed already w.r.t. their request.
2. They have now not responded to our letter.
3. **Let us write again giving the date(s) of inspection and cause inspection.**

Inspection of the institution was scheduled through online and VT scheduled fixed between 27.12.2016 to 17.01.2017 and VT members accepted the request on 28.12.2016 and 03.01.2017.

An e-mail received by the institution on 12.02.2017 and stating as follows:

**".....I bring to your kind notice that previously VT members response is very poor. Hence, I request you to kindly appoint fresh VT members to our college at the end of this month sir.**

**We are waiting for their verification to our college premises at the**

		<p>end of this month sir."</p> <p>An e-mail received from the VT Member on 12.02.2017 and stating as follows: ".....three times dates were fixed in consultation with Universal College of Education but did not respond, therefore if fresh date is given it may be inspected."</p> <p>The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. They have been very recalcitrant in consistently blocking VT Inspections. Even in the latest case, as reported by the VT Member, the cases had to be altered again and again. And, now, they have gone incommunicado.</li><li>2. We cannot go on putting up with such prevarication.</li><li>3. Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection.</li></ol>
--	--	--

  
(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman