**Decisions of 356th Meeting of SRC-NCTE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Brief Description</th>
<th>Remarks of SRC0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Confirmation of Minutes of 355th Meeting of SRC 31st, January, 2018</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Action Taken Report (ATR) on of 354th Meeting of SRC 18th &amp; 19th, January, 2018</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Members: Dr. M.P. Vijayakumar, Smt. M.Deepika

(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman
### Consideration of LOI reply, SCN reply, Non submission of reply: (Volume-1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 | APS08997   | M.Ed       | Kittoor Rani Chennamma PG College, Belgaum, Karnataka | KA       | 1. Their reply is seen. They have just repeated their earlier contentions.  
2. We can not accept appointment of Temporary or Guest faculty; they have to be regular recruits.  
3. SRC has no power to issue conditional FRs or relax the norms.  
4. Their contention about PG college becoming a School (Department) of education of the (new) Rani Chenamma University is accepted. So a Principal will not be required; only a Dean will be required. But, there is no Dean also.  
5. We can not indefinitely wait for deficiencies to be rectified.  
6. Reject the application.  
7. Close the file |
| 2 | SRCAPP2016 30123 | M.P.Ed | SPM's College of Physical Education, Belgaum, Karnataka | KA       | 1. Their reply is seen. It is satisfactory.  
2. All the deficiencies have been rectified.  
3. Issue FR for M.P.Ed (1 unit) w.e.f. 2018-19 |
| 3 | SRCAPP2947 | B.Ed       | Bhagiratha College of Education, Chithradurga, Karnataka | KA       | 1. Their reply is seen. It is satisfactory.  
2. All deficiencies, except FDRs not being in joint account have been rectified.  
3.1. Collect FDRs in joint account.  
3.2. Thereafter, issue FR for B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2018-19 |
| 4 | SRCAPP14884 | BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed | Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teachers Education, Mahbubnagar, Mahbubnagar, Mahbubnagar,Mahbubnagar | TS       | 1. The case is seen again for a possible review.  
2. There is no problem about BSc.B.Ed. The FR for BSc.B.Ed (2 units) w.e.f. 2018-19 |

**Members:** Dr. M.P. Vijayakumar, Smt. M.Deepika

(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman
3.1. There has been an erroneous order about BA.B.Ed (2 Units) [case no.30065]. On a point of fact, it was a case of rejection of a pending application. By mistake our decision referred to it as retrospective restoration of recognition.

3.2. This error, apparent on the face of the record is now rectified in review. Our decision dated 31.1.18 stating “Also, restore recognition for BA.B.Ed (2 units) retrospectively” is rescinded. Instead, it is now decided to reopen the (erroneously) rejected application and order for the BA.B.Ed (2 Units) case to be processed. Since there has already been a composite inspection no fresh VTI will be required.

4. Put up on 23.2.18.

5. SRCAPP2016 30198 BA.B.Ed 1 Unit BSc.B.Ed 1 Unit Arasu College of Education for Women, Karur, Tamil Nadu TN

1. This is a case hit by a Technical glitch. We feel, it will be unfair to penalise the applicant for our ‘software problem’.

2.1. In this case, we had ordered a VT Inspection. The applicant wanted time. Time was given. But, they made no effort to get the inspection revived.

2.2. Consequently, we ordered suo motu revival.

2.3. But, the computer would not accept a repeat order for a VT Inspection.

3. The case has been held up because of this...
4.1. We feel, it will be in the interest of justice to proceed "off-line" to get the inspection done.

4.2. The problem in that course of action will be about getting names of the VT Members from the National Panel.

4.3. SRO may write to NCTE (HQ), explain the circumstances and request for off-line allocation of VT Members as a special case.

5. Process and put up after VT Inspection.

6. SRCAPP2016 30201 BA.B.Ed 1Unit BSc.B.Ed 1 Unit Ponkampilamman College of Education, Karur, Tamil Nadu TN

1. This is a case hit by a Technical glitch. We feel, it will be unfair to penalise the applicant for our ‘software problem’.

2.1. In this case, we had ordered a VT Inspection. The applicant wanted time. Time was given. But, they made no effort to get the inspection revived.

2.2. Consequently, we ordered suo motu revival.

2.3. But, the computer would not accept a repeat order for a VT Inspection.

3. The case has been held up because of this technical problem.

4.1. We feel, it will be in the interest of justice to proceed "off-line" to get the inspection done.

4.2. The problem in that course of action will be about getting names of the VT


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>SRCAPP2016</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>State/Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>SRCAPP2016</td>
<td>BA.B.Ed</td>
<td>Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Rangareddy, Telangana</td>
<td>TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30132</td>
<td>1 Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BSc.B.Ed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B.Ed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>SRCAPP2016</td>
<td>M.P.Ed</td>
<td>School of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Kannur, Kerala</td>
<td>KL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30085</td>
<td>1 Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>SRCAPP2016</td>
<td>B.P.Ed</td>
<td>School of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Kannur, Kerala</td>
<td>KL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30082</td>
<td>1 Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>SRCAPP2016</td>
<td>B.Ed-Al</td>
<td>Sri Vidya College of Education, Virudhnagar, Tamil Nadu</td>
<td>TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30071</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. SRO may write to NCTE (HQ), explain the circumstances and request for off-line allocation of VT Members as a special case.

5. Process and put up after VT Inspection.

- 1. Their reply is seen.
- 2. They have requested for more time to secure and furnish the documents.
- 3. Time is given till 31.3.18.
- 4. TISS may note that the Supreme Court prescribed dateline for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19 is 3.3.18

- 1. They have still not given reply to the LOI issued by us on 22.2.17.
- 2. We can not wait indefinitely for a reply.
- 3. Reject the application.

- 1. They have still not replied to the LOI issued by us on 22.12.17.
- 2.1. They are entitled to time up to 22.2.18.
- 2.2. But, they should note that the Supreme Court prescribed time-limit for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19 is 3.3.18.

Members: Dr. M.P. Vijayakumar, Smt. M.Deepika

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 11  | SRCAPP201630129 | M.Ed 1 Unit | Government College of Education, Vellore, Tamil Nadu | TN | 1. They have still not given reply to the LOI issued by us on 02.8.17.  
2. We can not wait indefinitely for a reply.  
3. Reject the application.  
| 12  | SRCAPP14137    | D.Ed-El-AI 1 Unit | Mother College of Education, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh | AP | 1. They have not replied to our SCN dated 15.1.18.  
2.1. They had time till 5.2.18.  
2.2. Nevertheless, we can wait for a few days more.  
3. Put up on 23.2.18. |
| 13  | SRCAPP201630135 | B.Ed-M.Ed 1 Unit | Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Rangareddy, Telangana | TS | 1. They have not yet replied.  
2. In their linked case (no.SRCAPP201630132) they have sought more time to furnish the documents.  
3. We can give more time in this case also upto 31.3.18.  
4. TISS should note that the Supreme Court time-limit to issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19 is 3.3.18. |
| 14  | SRCAPP3266     | B.P.Ed 1 Unit | M.J.Samuel College of Physical Education, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh | AP | 1. They have not replied to our SCN dated 15.1.18.  
2. It is noteworthy that they have not been cooperating to let the VT Inspection ordered by us to take place.  
3. Put up on 23.2.18. |

Members: Dr. M.P. Vijayakumar, Smt. M.Deepika

(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman
| No. | SRCAPP3110 | B.Ed | 2 Units | Ramanujan College of Education, Medak, Telangana | TS | 1. They have not replied to the LOI-Notice issued by us on 21.6.17.  
2.1. We can not wait indefinitely for their reply.  
2.2. They should note that the Supreme Court prescribed time-limit for issue of FR w.e.f 2018-19 is 3.3.18.  
3. Put up on 23.2.18 |
|-----|------------|------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|----|---|
| 16. | SRCAPP14762 | M.Ed | 1 Unit | St.Andrews College of Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh | AP | 1. They have not cooperated to let the VT Inspection ordered by us to be conducted.  
1.2. On the Contrary, they have consistently been blocking the VT Inspection.  
2. This kind of recalcitrance is not acceptable.  
3. Reject the application.  
4. Close the case. |
| 17. | SRCAPP201630163 | D.El.Ed | 2 Units | Ayesha College of Education, Nizamabad, Telangana | TS | 1. The 2 Courses –B.Ed (2 units) and D.El.Ed (2 Units) have been given FRs by us. They are functioning.  
2. The Telangana govt-which had earlier approved the faculty list-has subsequently raised objections about 4 Faculty Members.  
3. The Institution has given a detailed explanation to say that there is no duplication or duplicity.  
4. We may send this reply to the Director (SCERT), Telangana, for Verification and Comments.  
5. Put up when the reply is received or 2.4.18 whichever is earlier. |

Members: Dr. M.P. Vijayakumar, Smt. M.Deepika

(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>SRCAPP Code</th>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 18. | SRCAPP2016 30064 | M.Ed 1 Unit | Azim Premji University, Bangalore, Karnataka | KA | 1. Their reply is seen.  
2. They have given documents to show that the said deficiencies are no longer there.  
3. Issue FR for M.Ed. (1 Unit) w.e.f. 2018-19 |
| 19. | APS07494 | B.Ed 2 Units | University College of Education, Ananthpur, Andhra Pradesh | AP | 1. Their reply is seen.  
2. They have removed the deficiencies in the Faculty list.  
4.1. The M.Ed case is still hanging loose. It is not enough for them to say that they have discontinued the course. They have to seek our permission; and, after permitting closure we will have to formally withdraw the recognition given.  
4.2. Pursue accordingly in the M.Ed file. Issue SCN to them. |
| 20. | SRCAPP14623 | B.Ed | Palamoor Educations, Mahabubnagar, Telangana | TS | 1. Their reply is seen. It is incomplete.  
2.1. The Government of Telangana had listed 4 specific cases of duplication of Faculty Members.  
2.2. The applicant has not replied to this allegation at all.  
3. Ask the Palamoor College to give a specific reply to this allegation. |
| 21. | SRCAPP2016 30057 | B.P.Ed 1 Unit | Mangalore University, Dakshina Kananda District, Karnataka | KA | 1. They have not replied to our notice dated 5.1.18.  
2. Issue SCN for rejection. |
| 22. | SRCAPP2016 30105 | BA.B.Ed BSc.BEd 2 Units | Kongunadu Integrated College of education, Namakkal, | TN | 1. Their reply to our SCN is seen.  
2.1. They want further time to get Faculty list approval from TNTEU. |

Members: Dr. M.P. Vijayakumar, Smt. M.Deepika

(S. Sathyam)  
Chairman
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Tamil Nadu</th>
<th>2.2. Give time till 31.3.18.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1. They should note that the Supreme Court prescribed time-limit for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19 is 3.3.18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Put up on 1.3.18.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23. APS09642

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B.Ed-DE 500 Students</th>
<th>Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Ananthapur, Andhra Pradesh</th>
<th>AP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Their reply dt 5.2.18 to our SCN dated 16.1.18 is seen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Their reply is satisfactory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. All the deficiencies have been removed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1. Issue a formal order accordingly under the 2014 Regulations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
356th Meeting of SRC
06th, February, 2018

Members: Dr. M.P. Vijayakumar, Smt. M.Deepika

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman