

By Regd. Post/Speed Post

F. NRC/NCTE/F-3/UP-1338/2005/3637

ORDER

WHEREAS, the institution, namely, **Navyug Mahavidyalya, Ratanpur, Bari Sahijan, District-Sultanpur, Uttar Pradesh** vide its covering letter dated 31.12.2003 has made application to Northern Regional Committee (in short NRC) under section 15(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 seeking permission to start a new programme of training in teacher education i.e. Bachelor of Physical Education (B.P.Ed.) from the academic session 2004-2005 with annual intake of two units (100 students). In para 7.1 of the application form it is stated that the institution is running B. Ed. course of one-year duration with an annual intake of 100 seats.

2. AND WHEREAS, NRC in its 90th meeting held on 22nd and 23rd April, 2005 has considered the entire matter including, VTR of the inspection team, and noted the following deficiencies vis-à-vis NCTE norms: -

- (i) The institution does not have accreditation from NAAC as required in the Regulations of NCTE vis "No institution shall be permitted to apply for enhancement of its intake over and above the basic unit as indicated in the Appendices 3 to 14 of these regulations and for starting a new course of training in teacher education under sub section (1) of Section 15 of NCTE Act, 1993 unless: (a) It has been granted permanent recognition and has continuously run teacher training programme or course for a period of three years, and (b) it has accredited itself with the National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) with grade of B+ on a nine point scale developed by NAAC".
- (ii) The accommodation for laboratory was under preparation at the time of inspection.
- (iii) The details of number of books available for a existing course as well as the number of books added for the proposed additional course is not furnished by the institution.
- (iv) Facilities for health education, anatomy lab, psychology lab and anatomy gymnasium lab are not provided in the physical education resources.
- (v) The details of teacher appointed for the existing B. Ed. Programme was not furnished by the institution.

The Committee, therefore, decided that an opportunity be given to the institution to make written representation before passing an order refusing permission. Pursuant thereof, letter dated 10 May, 2005 was sent to the institution pointing out the above deficiencies. The said letter was replied by the institution vide its communication dated 07.06.2005.

3. AND WHEREAS, the reply of the institution was placed before NRC in its 94th meeting held on 21st to 23rd July, 2005. After having considered the entire matter, the Committee noted that the deficiencies pointed out still exists, hence it decided to refuse permission to start new course of B.P. Ed.

4. AND THEREFORE, in terms of above decision, permission to the institution for new course of B.P.Ed is hereby refused.

Cont.. 2