ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Gour Malda College of Education, Vill.–Kamalpur, Kalambari, Meherapur, Mothabari, Malda, West Bengal dated 08/10/2019 is against the Order No. ER-275.14.88/APE00889/B.Ed./2019/61489 dated 02/09/2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, granting recognition for one unit for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “no reply of SCN received on the following ground and the stipulated time period has already been over. The submitted faculty comprises of 1+14 instead of 1+15 required under NCTE Regulations, 2014. Hence, faculties are insufficient to run 100 intake of B.Ed. course. The intake for B.Ed. course be reduced from 100 to 50 from the academic session 2020-21 with information to the institution and all concerned.”

AND WHEREAS Sh. Asraful Hoque, Secretary and Md. Ansar Ali Mandal, Treasurer, Gour Malda College of Education, Vill.–Kamalpur, Kalambari, Meherapur, Mothabari, Malda, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/11/2019. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “We have completed faculties as per NCTE norms and standards i.e. 1+15 approved from the concerned affiliating body/ university. We were unable to fill 01 faculty of fine art (Visual Art) due to the process of appointment of this faculty. A current approved faculty list and previous list is attached here with for consideration of our appeal.”

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that by issue of impugned order dated 02/09/2019 E.R.C. had reduced the intake of B.Ed. course from two units to one unit on the grounds that appellant institution was found short of one faculty. The reduction in intake has been ordered to be effective from academic year 2020-21.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has been able to rectify the deficiency. Appellant during the course of appeal hearing on
26/11/2019 submitted copy of a resolution dated 17/09/2019 issued by West Bengal University of Teacher Training, Education Planning & Administration conveying approval to a panel consisting of a Principal and 16 Assistant Professors selected for appointment. Appellant with its appeal memoranda had also submitted list, pertaining to session 2019-21, containing the names of Principal, 15 faculty and a librarian duly countersigned by Registrar of affiliating university.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit the list of faculty (1 + 15) to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter after receiving the list of faculty required to be submitted by appellant within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter after receiving the list of faculty required to be submitted by appellant within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Gour Malda College of Education, Vill.–Kamalpur, Kalambari, Meherapur, Mothabari, Malda, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Gour Malda College of Education, Vill. – Kamalpur, Kalambari, 1768, Meherapur, College Road, Mothabari, Malda – 732207, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.
F.No.89-400/E-138101/2019 Appeal/36th Mtg.-2019/26th November, 2019
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002
Date: 13/12/2019

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Department of Physical Education, Vinaya Bhavana, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, Surul, Birbhum, West Bengal dated 14/10/2019 is against the Order No. ER-275.14.7/APE00697/B.P.Ed./2019/61472 dated 02/09/2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that “faculty list comprises 1+9 instead of 1+16 as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 for running 100 intake of B.P.Ed. course. Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from academic session 2020-21.”

AND WHEREAS Prof. Sagarika Bandyopadhyay, Principal & HOD, Department of Physical Education, Vinaya Bhavana, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, Surul, Birbhum, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/11/2019. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “Intake capacity for B.P.Ed. course of the Department of Physical Education, Vinaya Bhavana, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan is 50 since it started in 2007, which was duly accepted and recorded by the NCTE vide its letter no.ER/184.12.5/ERCAPE0697/B.Ed.2015/31147 dt. 09.04.2015. Under the circumstances, it was deemed reasonable to furnish the particulars of 1+9 permanent faculty members only. However, a fresh list with particulars of all 1+16 faculty members have already been submitted to ERC, NCTE duly signed by the Registrar, Visva-Bharati vide letter no.VIN-09/57/2019-2020 dtd.14.07.2019.”

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that Department of Physical Education under the Visva Bharti, Santi Niketan was granted recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. course in the year 2007 with an intake of 50 students under the
NCTE Regulation of 2006. Appeal Committee noted that after the NCTE Regulation, 2014 came into force a revised recognition order dated 30/05/2015 was issued and the institution was allowed to have a unit of 50 students. Appeal Committee noted that in NCTE Regulation, 2014 the duration of B.P.Ed. course was mentioned as two years and unit size was also increased to 100 with 2 sections of 50 each. So as per NCTE Regulation, 2014 basic minimum intake for which recognition can be granted has to be 100. Since the appellant institution by issue of revised recognition order dated 30/05/2015 was allowed to continue with an intake of 50 seats, the appellant institution had continued with 1 + 9 faculty.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that as per NCTE Regulation, 2014 (Appendix – 7) Column – 5, following academic faculty is required for conducting B.P.Ed. course with an intake of one basic unit of 100 students.

1. Principal 1
2. Associate Professors 2
3. Assistant Professors 6
4. Assistant Professors 3 (Part time – if needed)
5. Sports Trainer 3 (Part time)
6. Yoga Trainer 1 (Part time)
7. Dietician 1 (Part time)

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had submitted to ERC a list of faculty approved by affiliating body and this list contains the names of Principal and faculty which is more than adequate considering the Norms and Standards mentioned in the NCTE Regulation, 2014. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter and making appropriate amendments keeping in view that duration of the B.P.Ed. course is two years and one basic unit is for 100 students. Appellant institution is required to submit to ERC an exclusive list of faculty for B.P.Ed. course within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.
AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter and making appropriate amendments keeping in view that duration of the B.P.Ed. course is two years and basic unit is for 100 students. Appellant institution is required to submit to ERC an exclusive list of faculty for B.P.Ed. course within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Department of Physical Education, Vinaya Bhavana, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, Surul, Birbhum, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Department of Physical Education, Vinaya Bhavana, Visva-Bharati, L.R. Plot Nos. 2064/2507 & 2508, 2391/2512, 2390-93 etc. Santiniketan, Surul (104), Birbhum – 731235, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.
F.No.89-403/E-138417/2019 Appeal/36th Mtg.-2019/26th November, 2019
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002
Date: 13/12/2019

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Narayana Teachers Training Institute, Makarwali Station Road, Ajmer, Rajasthan dated 17/10/2019 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-15103/257th (Part-3) Meeting/2016/160308 dated 14/10/2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for D.EI.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution was given show cause notice vide letter dt. 07.12.2015 with direction to submit the reply within 30 days. The institution did not submit any reply of show cause notice within stipulated time."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Naveen Chaursaia, Director, Narayana Teachers Training Institute, Makarwali Station Road, Ajmer, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/11/2019. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "In 2015 when NCTE invited applications Director office refused to give NOC stating State ban as reason aggrieved by this institution approached the Hon'ble High Court and Court directed them to issue NOC."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution has filed a S.B. Civil Writs No. 5204/2019 in the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan Bench at Jaipur and the Hon’ble Court vide its order dated 13/03/2019 issued directions to the petitioner to address a comprehensive representation to the respondents within two weeks. In case, a representation is so addressed within the aforesaid period, the state respondents are directed to consider and decide the same within 6 weeks.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that in the S.B. Civil Writ No. 5204/2019 petitioner institute has made the Elementary Education Department, Rajasthan a party and NCTE was not impleaded. As such the orders of Hon’ble Court are not relevant for NCTE.
AND WHEREAS appeal dated 17/10/2019 preferred by appellant is against the refusal order dated 13/10/2016 and the impugned order was on the ground that appellant institution had failed to submit reply to a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 07/12/2015. NRC by referring to the judgement in W.P. (C) no. 3231/2016 and C.H. 13803/2016 in the case of Rambha College of Education V/s NCTE suggested to the appellant institution to approach Appeal Committee by its letter dated 13/11/2019. Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 14/10/2016 issued by NRC was on the ground that appellant institution did not submit any reply to Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 07/12/2015. Appeal Committee is therefore, constrained to decide that N.O.C. dated 11/04/2019 submitted by appellant at this stage cannot be accepted as compliance of S.C.N. dated 07/12/2015. The N.O.C. of affiliating body as per Clause 5 (3) of NCTE Regulation, 2014, is required to be submitted along with application. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution had not even preferred appeal under Section 18 of the Act within 60 days of the issue of impugned order dated 13/10/2016. Delay of 3 years in preferring appeal and issue of N.O.C. by the affiliating body 3 years and 10 months after the appellant had submitted its online application is not condonable. Appellant is however, free to apply afresh as and when NCTE issues notification inviting fresh application for the course. Appeal Committee decided not to accept the appeal on delay grounds.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded not to accept the appeal on delay grounds. Hence the appeal is not admitted.

(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Director, Narayana Teachers Training Institute, 1449, Makarwali, Makarwali Station Road, Ajmer – 305004, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
F.No.89-405/E-138492/2019 Appeal/36th Mtg.-2019/26th November, 2019
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/2019

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Anandapur Anchalik Training College, Fakirpur, Anandapur, Keonjhar, Orissa dated 17/10/2019 is against the Order No. ER-275.14.43/OR-S/E-3/96 & ERCAPP1849/B.Ed./2019/61436 dated 28/08/2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Principal is not appointed. Only four faculty members as against the requirement of 1 (Principal) + 15 (lecturers) un NCTE Regulations, 2014 and subsequent amendment dated 09.06.2017 for running 100 intake of B.Ed. course. One guest faculty appointed is not accepted. Building plan is not submitted. Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021."

AND WHEREAS Dr. Sri Kanta, Principal, Anandapur Anchalik Training College, Fakirpur, Anandapur, Keonjhar, Orissa presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/11/2019. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that there is a regular principal (copy enclosed). As per letter no. 5503 dt. 30.09.2019 of the Director, Teacher Education and SCERT, Odisha, Bhubaneswar, the School and Mass Education Department, Govt. of Odisha has taken steps for filling up vacancies of faculty in all Teacher Education Institutions. The guest faculty has already been disengagement from July 31, 2019. Building plan is submitted duly signed by the appropriate authority.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant College which is a government institution was issued a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 18/05/2019 for submitting compliance to the Norms and Standards laid down by NCTE. Appellant
submitted reply dated 10/06/2019 but it was found that appellant institution was
deficient in so far as it did not appoint required number of faculty on regular basis.

AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of appeal hearing submitted copy of
the building plan but was still found deficient in so far as appointment of faculty on
regular basis is concerned. Appellant however, stated that it had disengaged the
guest faculty from academic year 2019-20.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee considering the fact that appellant institution
has not appointed required number of faculty as per NCTE Regulation, 2014 decided
to confirm the impugned withdrawal order dated 28/08/2019.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents
on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee
concluded to confirm the impugned withdrawal order dated 28/08/2019.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Anandapur Anchalik Training College, 5475/7379 & 5476, Fakirpur,
   Anandapur, Keonjhar – 758022, Orissa.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education
   & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli,
   Bhubaneshwar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Orissa,
   Bhubaneswar.
ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Dhemaji P.G.T. College, Padma Nath Gohain Boruah Road, Dhemaji, Assam dated 30/09/2019 is against the Order No. ER-274.14.30/(ASS-S/E-7/96)B.Ed./2019/61430 dated 28/08/2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “1st show cause notice u/s 17(1) issued on 21.02.2019, followed by final show cause notice dated 16.05.2019 and the institution is still deficient on the following grounds:- Faculty list is not as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. Part-time/guest faculty are not accepted. Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021.”

AND WHEREAS Dr. Budhindra Boruah, President and Sh. Gajen Chutia, Representative, Dhemaji P.G.T. College, Padma Nath Gohain Boruah Road, Dhemaji, Assam presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/11/2019. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “the problem of faculty deficiency as noted by the ERC, NCTE for the reason of withdrawal of recognition has been met. The faculty who served this college on ad-hoc part-time and contractual basis earlier, they are now serving on regular basis. One faculty has joined recently after completion of his leave period.”

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was recognised for conducting B.Ed. course with an intake of 50 seats. The impugned order of withdrawal made effective from academic year 2020-21 was issued on the ground that the faculty list submitted by appellant in response to a Show Cause Notice (SCN) 16/05/2019 was not as per NCTE Regulation, 2014.
AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 26/11/2019 submitted before the Appeal Committee a list containing the names of the Principal and 11 lecturers approved by Dibrugarh University on 11/09/2019. Appellant also stated that all these faculty approved by affiliating body has been appointed on regular basis.

AND WHEREAS considering the submissions, appellant is required to submit the list of faculty approved by affiliating body with evidence of their appointment on regular basis to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting after the appellant submits required documentary evidence to them within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting after the appellant submits required documentary evidence to them within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dhemaji P.G.T. College, Padma Nath Gohain Boruah Road, Dhemaji, Assam to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

(Sanjay Awasthi)  
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Dhemaji P.G.T. College, 369, 370, Padma Nath Gohain Boruah Road, Dhemaji – 787067, Assam.  
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.  
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.  
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Assam, Dispur.
WHEREAS the appeal of Manu Oxford Teachers Training College, Nawalgarh, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan dated 20/10/2019 is against the Order No. 7-15/NRC/NCTE/Returning of Application/Sr.No.-1131/Raj/2009/71681 dated 17/03/2009 of the Northern Regional Committee, thereby returning the application for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the following grounds that “the NCTE Hqtrs. Has independently decided to reiterate the decision already taken by NCTE not to grant recognition for B.Ed./STC/Shiksha Shastri course to any institution in the State of Rajasthan for the academic session 2009-10 and to return all the applications along with processing fee and documents to the institution concerned.”

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had filed a S.B. Civil Writ Petition no. 2270 of 2019 in the Hon’ble High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan Bench at Jodhpur and the Hon’ble High Court by its order dated 27/03/2019 had set aside the order dated 31/12/2018 and further ordered that claim of the petitioner shall be decided afresh as per eligibility conditions in terms of Act of 1993 and the Regulations of 2014. The stand of the State of Rajasthan shall also be kept into consideration.

AND WHEREAS Sh. Manumahendra, Secretary, Manu Oxford Teachers Training College, Nawalgarh, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/11/2019. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “controversy settled by the Appellate Authority, in the similar matter while disposing of the appeal u/s 18 of NCTE Act, 1993, the appellate authority of NCTE vide order No. 89-488/E-9740/2017 Appeal/17th Meeting-2017 dt. 27.11.2017 titled “J.B.M. College of Education” directed the NRC to process further the application
on the ground that "...Appeal Committee noted that the appellant applied in 2012, there was no ban by the State Government. Further the Appeal Committee is of the view that the blanket general ban imposed by the State Government can be taken into account by NCTE only before issuing any notification inviting applications for teacher education course in a particular State for the prospective academic year(s), applications are invited, the Regional Committee has no right to reject it on grounds of ban imposed subsequently by the State Government."

AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 26/11/2019 submitted copy of a Court order dated 18/10/2019 in W.P. Case no. 1855/2019 filed up Param Hans Education Society Vs. NCTE. Appeal Committee noted that this case referred to was in context of applications for recognition of courses filed by petitioners in 2012 whereas in this case appellant had filed its application in 2007 which was returned alongwith processing fee in the year, 2009 even before the NCTE Regulation, 2009 came into force.

AND WHEREAS the relevant regulatory file of the N.R.C. is not available. The Committee noted that the submission of the appeal has been delayed by almost nine years beyond the period of sixty days prescribed under the Appeal Rules. The Committee noted that according to the provisions of Rule 10 of the NCTE Rules, 1997, any person aggrieved by an order made under Section 14, Section 15 or Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 may prefer an appeal to the Council within sixty days of issue of such orders. According to the Proviso to Rule 10, an appeal may be admitted after the expiry of the said period of sixty days, if the appellant satisfies the Council that he had sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the period of limitation of sixty days.

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that the impugned letter of the NRC returning the application of the appellant was issued in the year 2009 and it is not an Order issued under any one of the Sections of the NCTE Act, 1993 mentioned in para 4 above. Additionally, the appellant inordinately delayed making the appeal. The
The appellant has not given any reason whatsoever for the inordinate delay. The Committee further noted that, a plain reading of the appeal reveals that the submissions made therein have no relevance to the contents of the impugned letter of N.R.C. dated 17/03/2019.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, in view of the position stated in above paras, decided not to condone the delay in submission of the appeal. Hence the appeal is not admitted. Appellant is however at liberty to apply afresh as and when NCTE issues notification inviting fresh applications for the course.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Committee concluded not to condone the delay in submission of the appeal. Hence the appeal is not admitted. Appellant is however at liberty to apply afresh as and when NCTE issues notification inviting fresh applications for the course.

(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Manu Oxford Teachers Training College, Nawalgarh, Jhunjhunu – 333042, Rajasthan.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Govt. Teachers Training College, Main Road, Phulbani, Kandhamal, Orissa dated 16/10/2019 is against the Order No. ERC/274.1.14/APE00961/B.Ed./2019/61349 dated 22/08/2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “1st Show Cause Notice u/s 17(1) issued on 20.04.2018. Final Show Cause Notice u/s 17(1) issued on 18.05.2019. Faculty list comprises 1 (Principal/HOD)+4 (lecturers) out of which 03 lecturers on part-time, which is not adequate as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 and subsequent amendment dated 09.06.2017. In view of the above, the Committee decided as under:- Recognition granted to B.Ed. course of the application bearing Code No. APE00961 is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021.”

AND WHEREAS Dr. Sudan Charan, Principal, Govt. Teachers Training College, Main Road, Phulbani, Kandhamal, Orissa presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/11/2019. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “Government has taken steps for recruitment of teaching faculties as per NCTE Norms.”

AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 26/11/2019 submitted that all the teacher education institutions in the State of Odisha are Government institutions and appointment of faculty in these institutions is done as per policy of State Government and recruitment is done on the basis of selections made through Public Service Commission. It is a time-consuming process. Appellant also apprised Appeal Committee that deficiency in the academic faculty is proposed to be removed by engagement of guest faculty.
AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that ever since the issue of revised recognition order dated 31/05/2015 under NCTE Regulation, 2014 and issue of two Show Cause Notices dated 20/04/2018 and 18/05/2019, the appellant institution, which is a Government College, did not appoint faculty as per Norms and Standards for B.Ed. course. Appeal Committee considers that appointment of faculty possessing requisite qualification and experience with the approval of affiliating body is utmost essential for smooth conducting of the course. Since the appellant institution has failed to comply with the NCTE Regulations even after being given two Show Cause Notices, Appeal Committee decided to confirm the withdrawal order dated 22/08/2019.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the withdrawal order dated 22/08/2019.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, Govt. Teachers Training College, 34, Main Road, Phulbani, Kandhamal – 762001, Orissa.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Orissa, Bhubaneswar.
WHEREAS the appeal of College of Teacher Education, Sector-21, Rourkela, Sundargarh, Orissa dated 15/10/2019 is against the Order No. ER-275.14.33/(ORS/E-9/96 & APP1868)/B.Ed./2019/61433 dated 28/08/2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “faculty list is not as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. 01 (Principal) + 15 (regular faculty) required for running 100 intake of B.Ed. course as per NCTE Regulations, 2014, whereas institution appointed 01 Principal I/c and 05 guest faculties, which is not accepted. Total built-up area mentioned in the building completion certificate as 10406 sq. ft. i.e. 966.74 sq. mts. which is less than required 2000 sq. mts. as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 for running 100 intake of B.Ed. course. Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021.”

AND WHEREAS Dr. Basantamanjari Ray, Principal, College of Teacher Education, Sector-21, Rourkela, Sundargarh, Orissa presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/11/2019. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “As per the letter no. 5503 dt. 30.09.2019 of the directorate & SCERT, Orissa, Bhubaneswar, the Govt. is taking steps to fill up the vacancies of faculties and other staffs. Now the built-up area of this institution vertically is more than 2000 sq. mts.”

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted the submission made by appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 26/11/2019. Appellant informed that there is no private college in Odisha and Principal has already taken up the matter with SCERT and State Government authorities for filling up the vacancies. Guest faculty
engaged for the session 2018-2019 are automatically disengaged and at present for 2019-20 there is no guest faculty. Appellant also informed that total built up area has been increased to 2625 sq. mts. which includes a Girl's Hostel.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that ever since the issue of revised recognition order dated 31/05/2015 under NCTE Regulation, 2014, the appellant institution has not been able to appoint faculty required for an intake of 100 seats as per Norms and Standards (Appendix 4) of NCTE Regulation, 2014. Even on the date of appeal hearing the appellant did not have the required number of faculty. Appeal Committee considers that appointment of faculty possessing requisite qualifications and experience with the approval of affiliating body is utmost essential for smooth conducting of the course. Since the appellant institution has failed to comply with the NCTE Regulations, even after getting two Show Cause Notice (SCNs), Appeal Committee decided to confirm the withdrawal order dated 28/08/2019.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the withdrawal order dated 28/08/2019.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, College of Teacher Education, 132 P, Sector-21, Rourkela, Sundargarh, Orissa - 769001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Orissa, Bhubaneswar.
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
Hans Bhawan, Wing II, 1, Bahadurshah Zafar Marg, New Delhi - 110 002

Date: 13/12/2019

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of DAV College of Teacher Education, Ashok Nagar, Kumbha – II Road, Koraput, Orissa dated 15/10/2019 is against the Order No. ER-274.14.38/(OR-S/E-17/96 & ERCAPP1865)/B.Ed./2019/61178 dated 05/08/2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “faculty list comprises 1+6 instead of 1+15 as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021.”

AND WHEREAS Dr. Pradeep Ku. Danga, Principal, DAV College of Teacher Education, Ashok Nagar, Kumbha – II Road, Koraput, Orissa presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/11/2019. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that it was submitted that “Necessary step have been taken by the Government of Orissa for appointment of required no. of faculty to this institution as per NCTE Norms.”

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted the submission made by appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 26/11/2019. Appellant informed that there is no private college in Odisha and Principal has already taken up the matter with SCERT and State Government authorities for filling up the vacancies. Guest faculties engaged for the session 2018-2019 are automatically disengaged and at present 2019-20 there is no guest faculty.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that ever since the issue of revised recognition order dated 31/05/2015 under NCTE Regulation, 2014, the appellant institution has not been able to appoint faculty required for an intake of 100 seats as
per Norms and Standards (Appendix 4) of NCTE Regulation, 2014. Even on the date of appeal hearing the appellant did not have the required number of faculty. Appeal Committee considers that appointment of faculty possessing requisite qualifications and experience with the approval of affiliating body is utmost essential for smooth conducting of the course. Since the appellant institution has failed to comply with the NCTE Regulations, even after getting two Show Cause Notice (SCNs), Appeal Committee decided to confirm the withdrawal order dated 05/08/2019.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the withdrawal order dated 05/08/2019.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Principal, DAV College of Teacher Education, Ashok Nagar, Koraput, Kumbha – II Road, Koraput – 764020, Orissa.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Orissa, Bhubaneswar.
WHEREAS the appeal of Rabindra Bharati University, B.T. Road, Sinthee, Kolkata, Calcutta, West Bengal dated 18/10/2019 is against the Order No. ER-274.14.103/(WB-S/N-2/2000)/B.Ed./2019/61285 dated 20/08/2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “no reply of SCN on the following grounds received and the stipulated time period has already been over. Faculty list is not in accordance with the NCTE prescribed proforma. Blue-print of building plan duly signed by Govt. Engineer not submitted. Building completion certificate is not signed by Govt. Engineer. FDRs in joint mode are not submitted. Website not maintained and updated. In view of the above, the Committee decided as under: Recognition granted to B.Ed. course is withdrawn under section 17(1) of NCTE Act, 1993 from the academic session 2020-2021.”

AND WHEREAS Dr. Subir Maitra, Registrar, Rabindra Bharati University, B.T. Road, Sinthee, Kolkata, Calcutta, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 26/11/2019. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was stated that “Faculty list was submitted earlier in separate format, but now the faculty list in accordance with the NCTE prescribed proforma is submitted. Earlier plan of classrooms and other sections allotted for B.Ed. programme was submitted duly signed by University engineer, but now original blueprint of building plan duly signed by executive engineer, PWD, Government of West Bengal, has been submitted. Now building completion certificate duly signed by executive engineer-i, PWD, North Calcutta Division, Govt. of West Bengal, has been submitted. Two separate FDRs under the names of (i) RBU B.Ed. endowment fund for Rs. 50,0000/- and (ii) RBU B.Ed. reserve fund for Rs. 7,00000/- have been made in SBI, RBU branch for a period
of 5 years each as per NCTE directives and documents regarding the same have been submitted. Website is now maintained and updated, URI for the page is http://www.rbu.ac.in/department/department-of-education."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant along with its appeal memoranda and submissions made during appeal hearing on 26/11/2019 submitted copies of (i) building plan (ii) FDRs, (iii) list of faculty signed by Registrar, Rabindra Bharti University and (iv) print out of website page.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution which itself is a university is conducting B.Ed. programme since 1985 and first recognition to it was granted by NCTE in 2001. Appellant institution inspite of being an old institution is required to comply with the revised Norms and Standards in so far increasing the duration of course, requirement of qualified faculty as per Norms and Standards for an intake of 100 seats, adequate built up area suitable for conducting classes, labs, library etc. is concerned. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution for its B.Ed. course has 1 Head of Department, 5 faculty appointed on regular basis. Appellant institution has additionally appointed four contractual and four guest faculty which were considered by ERC as to be not in accordance with the Norms and Standards which lay down that there shall be 16 full time faculty members. Obviously the contractual faculty and guest faculty is not covered under the definition of full time faculty which is interpreted as faculty appointed on regular basis with effective pay scales as prescribed by the U.G.C. or concerned State Government. Appeal Committee noted that revised recognition order dated 29/05/2015 under NCTE Regulation, 2014 was conditional subject to the condition that institution shall ensure that required number of academic staff duly approved by affiliating body should always remain in position and the institution shall comply with the various other Norms and Standards prescribed in the NCTE Regulations as amended from time to time.

AND WHEREAS in the present case, Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution which itself is the affiliating body has not been able to submit evidence of
possessing required built up area and fulltime faculty as per Norms and Standards. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 20/08/2019.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned order of withdrawal dated 20/08/2019.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

(Sanjay Awasthi)
Member Secretary

1. The Registrar, Rabindra Bharati University, 56A, B.T. Road, Sinthee, Barrackpur Trunk Road, Kolkata, Calcutta – 700050, West Bengal.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar - 751012.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.