



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)  
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-204/E-368971/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**  
**APPLSRC202514975 / (C-8763)**

Aligarh Muslim University, 43/1, Malappuram Centre, Elamkulam, Cherukara Post, Perinthalmanna, Malappuram, Kerala-679340	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Faisal K.P., Director</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, SRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Aligarh Muslim University, 43/1, Malappuram Centre, Elamkulam, Cherukara Post, Perinthalmanna, Malappuram, Kerala-679340** dated 04.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / SRC / 2526202404252342 / KERALA / 2024 / REJC / 535** dated 20.01.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide dated 27.12.2024 with a direction to submit reply within 15 days. The institution has not furnished reply in respect of the Final Show Cause Notice issued on 27.12.2024 and the stipulated time period is over."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Faisal K.P., Director of Aligarh Muslim University, 43/1, Malappuram Centre, Elamkulam, Cherukara Post, Perinthalmanna, Malappuram, Kerala-679340** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "This is with reference to your email dated 20/01/2025, regarding the rejection of our application under clause 14/15(3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993, citing non-receipt of our reply to the Final Show Cause Notice issued on 27/12/2024. In this regard, we would like to bring to your attention that our institution had submitted a detailed reply addressing all objections raised in the Final Show Cause Notice. The reply was sent via email to the official email address of the Southern Regional Committee (src@ncte-india.org) on 06/11/2024, well within the stipulated time frame. A copy of the email communication, including the reply and supporting documents, is enclosed for your kind perusal. There appears to have been some oversight or technical issue resulting in non-receipt or non-acknowledgement of our submission. Please review our reply and reconsider the decision communicated in your rejection order dated 20/01/2025. Our institution remains committed to addressing any further clarifications or requirements that may arise. We would greatly appreciate an

opportunity to resolve this matter as soon as possible. Please let us know if there are any additional steps we need to take in this regard.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 30.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the SRC vide order dated 20.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 04.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted a detailed reply addressing all objections raised in the Final Show Cause Notice. The reply was sent via email to the official email address of the Southern Regional Committee with supporting documents. The appellant institution in addition to submission made in the Appeal Report submitted the details of UG and PG programme in Science and Social Science as per DPR, a copy of approval for starting the B.A.L.L.B. programme and MBA programme, copy of land documents attested by the competent authority, copy of the Completion Certificate, copy of the Building Plan, copy of the Mutation certificate, copy of the Non-Encumbrance Certificate.

The Appeal Committee took note of the decision of the General Body of Council in its 65<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 which approved the extension of timeline for transition of 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. into ITEP before the start of the academic session 2026-2027, in place of the earlier deadline of 2025–2026, as notified in the Gazette Notification dated 30.01.2024.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a “multidisciplinary institution” as defined under clause “(ca) ***“multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.”***”

The Committee also referred to the “Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,” dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

***If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:***

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.***
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.***

**The collaboration will be subject to the following:**

- (i) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.***

- (ii) ***The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.***
- (iii) ***The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.***
- (iv) ***The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.***
- (v) ***One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.***
- (vi) ***Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)***
- (vii) ***NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.***

In light of these regulatory frameworks and NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, the Committee reviewed the claim of the appellant institution and observed that it has submitted explanations and documentation purporting to address the shortcomings highlighted in the SRC's impugned order dated 20.01.2025. The Committee also noted the legal position laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein the Court directed that subsequent documents submitted by the appellant must be taken into consideration by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating an appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 20.01.2025 and remand the matter to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) for re-examination. The SRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The SRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated

15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the SRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 20.01.2025 and remand the matter to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) for re-examination. The SRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The SRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the SRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### **Copy to: -**

1. The Principal, Aligarh Muslim University, 43/1, Malappuram Centre, Elamkulam, Cherukara Post, Perinthalmanna, Malappuram, Kerala-679340.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Annex II, Government Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala-1.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-230/E-369807/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLWRC202515150**

Baby Happy Modern Degree College, 06, Abohar Bypass Road, Hanumangarh Junction, Rajasthan-335512	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. Vikram Singh, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Baby Happy Modern Degree College, 06, Abohar Bypass Road, Hanumangarh Junction, Rajasthan-335512** dated 18.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202402231695 / RAJASTHAN / 2024 / REJC / 1645** dated 20.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "(i) The institution has uploaded bank statement indicating the transaction of the salary / remuneration to its teaching staff. However, it cannot be ascertained from the uploaded documents whether the institution is paying salary to its staff as per Central/State Government pay scales in accordance with the norms and standards of NCTE. (ii) The institution has uploaded registered corrigendum from Registrar Office Hanumangarh regarding the land document with the changed name of the society name is Baby Happy Modern Shiksha Samiti. (iii) The institution is not offering multidisciplinary course as per Regulation No.NCTE-Regl011/80/2018-MS(Regulation)-HQ dated 26.10.2021, Clause 7(i) of NCTE Amended Regulations, 2022 issued vide NCTE's Notification F.No.NCTE-Regl012/13/2021-Reg. Sec.-HQ dated 04.05.2022 and Public Notice No.NCTE-Regl022/16/2023-Reg. Sec-HQ dated 22/16/2023-Reg.SecHQ dated 5.2.2024."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Mr. Vikram Singh, Principal of Baby Happy Modern Degree College, 06, Abohar Bypass Road, Hanumangarh Junction, Rajasthan-335512** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Because the institution/Appellant has duly uploaded the bank statement indicating the transaction of the salary/ remuneration to its teaching staff. The Appellant state that the institution has been duly paying the salary of the Teaching staff as per the affiliating University norms. (D) The institution/Appellant humbly submit that the parent society i.e Baby Happy Modern School Shiksha Samiti was registered in 1974 and is



running various courses under its umbrella by the name of "Baby Happy Modern Degree College". As the word School in the name of Samiti was causing confusion regarding the institution, a resolution for excluding the expression "school" was passed by the governing body of the Samiti by the resolution dated 26.11.2015. In furtherance of the resolution passed by the Samiti, the Registrar of the Societies issued certificate for the name change vide certificate dated 18.12.2015. The Registrar office Hanumangarh also issued corrigendum regarding land documents excluding the word school from the name of the Samiti. (E) Because the institution/Appellant is a multidisciplinary institution as parent society Baby Happy Modern Shiksha Samiti under its aegis is running degree college by the name of "Baby Happy Modern Degree College". That the 4 year integrated programme (BA.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed) is also run under the name of Baby Happy Modern Degree College, hence the institution is a multidisciplinary institution as per Regulation No.NCTE-Regl011/80/2018-MS(Regulation)-HQ dated 26.10.2021, Clause 7(i) of NCTE Amended Regulations, 2022 issued vide NCTEs Notification F.No.NCTE-Regl012/13/2021-Reg. Sec.-HQ dated 04.05.2022."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 02.03.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 20.05.2025.

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025, held online on 04.07.2025, considered the appeal filed by Baby Happy Modern Degree College against the refusal of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), communicated vide order dated 20.05.2025.

The Committee perused the Appeal Report, documents available on record, and heard the oral submissions advanced during the meeting. It was noted that the appellant institution had submitted its application for grant of recognition for ITEP on 02.03.2024. The appellant submitted that the parent society i.e Baby Happy Modern School Shiksha Samiti was registered in 1974 and is running various courses under its umbrella by the name of "Baby Happy Modern Degree College". As the word School in the name of Samiti was causing confusion regarding the institution, a resolution for excluding the expression "school" was passed by the governing body of the Samiti by the resolution dated 26.11.2015. In furtherance of the resolution passed by the Samiti, the Registrar of the Societies issued certificate for the name change vide certificate dated 18.12.2015. The Registrar office Hanumangarh also issued corrigendum regarding land documents excluding the word school from the name of the Samiti. It was further submitted that the institution had complied with the affiliating University's norms regarding salary disbursal and had uploaded relevant bank statements showing payment to teaching and non-teaching staff.

The appellant also claimed that it qualifies as a multidisciplinary institution, asserting that its parent society, Baby Happy Modern Shiksha Samiti, is already running Baby Happy Modern Degree College, where a 4-year integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. programme is being conducted. Accordingly, the institution argued that it forms part of a multidisciplinary higher education institution.

The Appeal Committee took note of the decision of the General Body of Council in its 65<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 which approved the extension of timeline for transition of 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. into ITEP before the start of the academic session 2026-2027, in place of the earlier deadline of 2025–2026, as notified in the Gazette Notification dated 30.01.2024.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a "multidisciplinary

institution” as defined under clause “(ca) **“multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.”**

The Committee also referred to the **“Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,”** dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

***If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:***

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.***
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.***

**The collaboration will be subject to the following:**

- (a) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.***
- (b) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.***

- (c) ***The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.***
- (d) ***The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.***
- (e) ***One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.***
- (f) ***Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)***
- (g) ***NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.***

In light of these regulatory frameworks and NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, the Committee reviewed the claim of the appellant institution and observed that it has submitted explanations and documentation purporting to address the shortcomings highlighted in the WRC's impugned order dated 20.05.2025. The Committee also noted the legal position laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein the Court directed that subsequent documents submitted by the appellant must be taken into consideration by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating an appeal:

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further

decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### **Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, Baby Happy Modern Degree College, 06, Abohar Bypass Road, Hanumangarh Junction, Rajasthan-335512.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-134/E-363215/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLNRC202515016**

RSD College, 1279/2-1280-1281/2, Outside Makhu Gate, Ferozepur City, Ferozepur, Punjab-152002	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Daljit Singh, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, NRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **RSD College, 1279/2-1280-1281/2, Outside Makhu Gate, Ferozepur City, Ferozepur, Punjab- 152002** dated 16.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / NRC / 2526202402191455 / PUNJAB / 2024 / REJC / 455** dated 08.04.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The registered land documents uploaded by the institution are not certified by the competent authority. As per the translated copy, it is observed that it is a trust deed and not a land document. Since the institution has not uploaded the English translated copy of the land documents, the plot no. / khasra no. cannot be verified with the Jamabandi uploaded. The Mutation Certificate is not uploaded. However, the institution has now uploaded a certificate issued by the Circle Patwari regarding ownership of the land for the plot no./khasra no. 1279/2, 1280, 1281/2 i.e. Ram Sukh Dass College. The institution has not uploaded the Land Use Certificate. However, the institution has uploaded a certificate issued by the Circle Patwari to the effect that the institution building is built on the land in the name of College on which the said institution is running. Plot no./Khasra no. is not mentioned in the building plan. The total area earmarked for the programme is not mentioned. As per the plan, the Multipurpose Hall and Library is shown as single room mentioning the size 8904 sq. ft. Building Safety Certificate issued by the Competent Government Authority is not uploaded. The institution in its reply has stated that they have applied for the Building Safety Certificate and will be produced as and when received. Fire Safety Certificate issued by the Competent Government Authority is not uploaded. The institution in its reply has stated that they have applied for the Fire Safety Certificate and will be produced as and when received. Certificate to the effect that the building is differently abled friendly issued by the Competent Government Authority is not uploaded. The institution in its reply has stated that they have applied for

the Certificate and will be produced as and when received. Building Completion Certificate issued by the Competent Government Authority is not uploaded. The institution in its reply has stated that they have applied for the Building Completion Certificate and will be produced as and when received.”

## **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Daljit Singh, Principal of RSD College, 1279/2-1280-1281/2, Outside Makhu Gate, Ferozepur City, Ferozepur, Punjab- 152002** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “(i) As the college is established in pre independence era (i.e.1921), the land documents to be translated and then applied to the concerned departments at the time of Show Cause Notice. (ii) As the college is established in pre independence era (i.e.1921), the basic documents required to obtain for the issuance of mutation certificate had to be translated and then applied to the concerned department at the time of Show Cause Notice. (iii) land use certificate is available. (iv) The basic document land documents to be translated and then applied to the concerned departments at the time of Show Cause Notice and now it is available. (v) Building safety certificate is available. (vi) Fire safety certificate is available. (vii) Certificate of building is differently abled friendly is available. (viii) Building completion certificate is available.”

## **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**



The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Northern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 02.03.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the NRC vide order dated 08.04.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025 before the Appeal Committee and decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held online on 04.07.2025, considered the appeal filed by RSD College, 1279/2-1280-1281/2, Outside Makhu Gate, Ferozepur City, Ferozepur, Punjab-152002, against the refusal of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), conveyed vide order dated 08.04.2025.

The Appeal Committee perused the Appeal Report, documents available on record, and oral submissions made during the meeting. It was noted that the institution had submitted its online application for grant of recognition for ITEP on 02.03.2024. The appellant submitted that, being an institution established in the pre-Independence era (1921), essential land-related documents were originally in an older format requiring translation and certification. This process delayed their submission in response to the Show Cause Notice. However, the institution has now submitted the relevant land use certificate, building safety certificate, fire safety certificate, certificate confirming accessibility for differently abled persons, and the building completion certificate.

Additionally, the appellant submitted an affidavit clarifying that the college was granted recognition by NCTE for the B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. programme in 2017-18 under the name "RSD (Ram Sukh Das) College." The same nomenclature appears in the original recognition order dated 09.09.2017 and corrigendum dated 14.09.2017, which were also sent to all concerned stakeholders, including the affiliating university and the

State Government. A certificate from the competent revenue authority addressing land ownership and mutation issues has also been provided for verification.

The Appeal Committee took note of the decision of the General Body of Council in its 65<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 which approved the extension of timeline for transition of 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. into ITEP before the start of the academic session 2026-2027, in place of the earlier deadline of 2025–2026, as notified in the Gazette Notification dated 30.01.2024.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a “multidisciplinary institution” as defined under clause “(ca) ***“multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.”***

The Committee also referred to the “Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,” dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

***If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:***

- (i) *The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.*
- (ii) *A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.*

**The collaboration will be subject to the following:**

- (a) *Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.*
- (b) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.*
- (c) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.*
- (d) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.*
- (e) *One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.*
- (f) *Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)*
- (g) *NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.*

In light of these regulatory frameworks and NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, the Committee reviewed the claim of the appellant institution and observed that it has submitted explanations and documentation purporting to address the shortcomings highlighted in the NRC's impugned order dated 08.04.2025. The Committee also noted the legal position laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein the Court directed that subsequent documents submitted by the appellant must be taken into consideration by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating an appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 08.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) for re-examination. The NRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The NRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the NRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 08.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) for re-examination. The NRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The NRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the NRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant**

institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, RSD College, 1279/2-1280-1281/2, Outside Makhu Gate, Ferozepur City, Ferozepur, Punjab- 152002.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Punjab, Vidya Bhawan, Block E, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-Punjab-160062.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-144/E-363882 & 145/E-363880/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLWRC202515007 & APPLWRC202515000**

Lokmanya Tilak Teachers Training College, 795, Dabok Choraha, Tehsil Mavli, Udaipur, Rajasthan-313022	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>No one appeared</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Lokmanya Tilak Teachers Training College, 795, Dabok Choraha, Tehsil Mavli, Udaipur, Rajasthan-313022** dated 28.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202404232182 / RAJASTHAN / 2024 / REJC / 608** dated 06.02.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025- 2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

No one from **Lokmanya Tilak Teachers Training College, 795, Dabok Choraha, Tehsil Mavli, Udaipur, Rajasthan-313022** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "(i) Clarification on NAAC Accreditation We understand that our uploaded NAAC accreditation certificate (valid from 2017–2022) had expired at the time of application submission. However, the circumstances leading to this situation were beyond our control. Our university has consistently maintained a strong academic record and was previously accredited with an 'A' Grade under NAAC standards. The delay in NAAC reaccreditation was primarily due to COVID-19 disruptions, which caused significant postponements in the NAAC process. Even after normal operations resumed, it has preventing us from initiating the process. Additionally, our institution had planned to apply under the new Binary Accreditation System of NAAC, which aligns better with our

institution's strengths and compliance with educational standards. Since this system introduces a more holistic approach that we already adhere to, we believed this would be the most appropriate accreditation framework for us. We are in continuous communication with NAAC authorities, and the reaccreditation process is in progress. We anticipate completing all formalities at the earliest. (ii) Clarification on NIRF Ranking As a deemed-to-be university with a primary focus on regional and tribal education, our priority has been inclusive and accessible education rather than national rankings. Despite this, our institution has consistently excelled in academic excellence, teacher training, and social impact, as evident from our longstanding contribution to teacher education in Rajasthan. JRNRV has been consistently participating in the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) to showcase our academic excellence and institutional growth. While we have been making continuous efforts to enhance our performance, due to certain factors, we have not yet secured a position within the top 100 rankings. However, we remain committed to improving our academic standards, research output, infrastructure, and overall institutional performance. With collective efforts from our faculty, students, and administration, we strive to achieve higher recognition in the coming years. 3. Our Contribution to Teacher Education in Rajasthan Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth has been a pioneering institution in teacher education, particularly in the tribal and rural regions of Rajasthan. Our commitment to excellence is demonstrated by: Our long-standing role in uplifting education in underserved areas and producing highly qualified teachers. The availability of a wide range of teacher education programs, including: B.Ed., M.Ed. and Ph.D. in Education Specialized teacher training programs to address regional and pedagogical needs. Request for Consideration Given the above clarifications, we kindly request the Western Regional Committee to reconsider our ITEP application and allow us an opportunity to fulfill the necessary accreditation formalities at the earliest. We remain fully committed to maintaining quality education and aligning with the NCTE's vision for teacher training excellence. We sincerely appreciate your time and consideration and look forward to a favorable resolution. With Regards, Registrar Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth, Udaipur."



### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 24.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 06.02.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 04.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee noted that the WRC has refused the recognition of the appellant institution vide order dated 06.02.2025 against which the appellant institution has preferred an appeal dated 28.03.2025. The Appeal Committee examined the documents submitted along with the appeal, and further noted the following material deficiency:

- (i) The institution did not fulfil the minimum 10-point threshold under the shortlisting criteria, as approved by the Council in its 60<sup>th</sup> General Body Meeting, which is a mandatory requirement for processing applications under the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) for the academic session 2025–2026. The same was duly notified by the Council vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024.

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above grounds. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 06.02.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 06.02.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

**IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 06.02.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Lokmanya Tilak Teachers Training College, 795, Dabok Choraha, Tehsil Mavli, Udaipur, Rajasthan-313022.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.**



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)  
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-150/E-365179/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**  
**APPLWRC202515028**

Nirmala College of Education, 44 45 46 49 50 51 52 95 96 104 105 108, Prem Nagar, Dewas Road, Chandessari, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh-456664	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Prof. Kirti Diddi, Academic Director</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	04.07.2025
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	20.08.2025

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Nirmala College of Education, 44 45 46 49 50 51 52 95 96 104 105 108, Prem Nagar, Dewas Road, Chandessari, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh-456664** dated 25.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202402131277 / MADHYA PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 277** dated 12.04.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The institution had not uploaded the reply of First Show Cause Notice dated 14.05.2024 and Final Show Cause Notice dated 11.01.2025 within the stipulated time."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Prof. Kirti Diddi, Academic Director of Nirmala College of Education, 44 45 46 49 50 51 52 95 96 104 105 108, Prem Nagar, Dewas Road, Chandessari, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh-456664** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "(i) The delay in procedural compliance of submitting the replies through the portal occurred due to an unintentional oversight. However, upon receiving both, the initial as well as final Show Cause Notices from NCTE, the institution responded within the stipulated timelines via official email of NCTE (wrc@ncte.india.org), in good faith and with full intent to comply, believing it to be the appropriate mode of communication. The reply to the first Show Cause Notice was submitted on 1st June 2024, which was within the originally specified time limit of 21 days. However, the Refusal Order mentioned it as 15 days. The reply to the Final Show Cause Notice was submitted on 26th January 2025, within the stipulated 15-day period. All relevant documentary evidence supporting these submissions is uploaded in the following section. It was only after receiving the Final Show Cause Notice, that, the institution became aware that responses were required to be submitted through the official NCTE online portal. This lack of awareness led to the responses not

being uploaded online within the stipulated time, despite the institution acting diligently to respond in a timely manner. The institution sincerely regrets this technical lapse and assures that it was neither deliberate nor a case of non-compliance, but a genuine administrative error arising from procedural misinterpretation. Immediate internal corrective measures have been taken to ensure future compliance with all portal-based procedures.

(ii) Institutional Multidisciplinary Framework – Explanation & Evidence

Nirmala College of Education, Ujjain, a stand-alone teacher education institution, and NC Nirmala College, Ujjain, a multidisciplinary co-educational PG degree college offering undergraduate and postgraduate courses in Arts, Science, Commerce, and Management, are both governed by the same managing society — The Diocese of Ujjain. In accordance with the vision of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, the Managing Society has implemented integrated academic planning, collaborative teaching, and infrastructure sharing across both institutions. The multidisciplinary framework supporting this integration is detailed below:

1. Academic Collaboration and Faculty Sharing In alignment with NEP 2020 and NCTE's emphasis on multidisciplinary learning: Professors from the PG multidisciplinary college regularly deliver subject-specific lectures in Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry, Zoology, and Botany to B.Sc. B.Ed. students. This ensures enhanced interdisciplinary academic exposure, strengthening both subject knowledge and pedagogical depth.
2. Cultural, Co-curricular, and Social Engagement Joint extracurricular and co-curricular activities are regularly organized, with participation from students of various academic backgrounds. Supported by geotagged photographs – Flag A NSS and NCC units of both colleges function in tandem, contributing to social outreach, national integration, and cross-disciplinary engagement.
3. Cross-Enrolment and Academic Enrichment B.Sc. B.Ed. students also enroll in parallel diploma programs offered by the PG college, as permitted by the affiliating university. Students have shared access to: Science laboratories Library and reading facilities Sports and recreational areas Skill-development classes, including Spoken English, Computer Literacy, and Competitive Exam Preparation

Evidence provided under Flag B

4. Regulatory Compliance and NEP Implementation These practices are a direct reflection of our institution's active compliance with: NCTE Regulations 2022, and NEP 2020 mandates, especially those

concerning the creation of inclusive, multidisciplinary environments. While our college currently functions as a stand-alone Teacher Education Institution (TEI), we operate in close academic integration with our multidisciplinary sister institution. As and when permitted by relevant authorities, the multidisciplinary college intends to offer teacher education programs independently. Request for Consideration In view of the above, we respectfully submit that our institution, though categorized as a stand-alone TEI, functions in substantial and sustained academic collaboration with a recognized multidisciplinary college. We believe this aligns with the spirit and requirements of NCTE's multidisciplinary environment criteria, and we request that this be duly considered while reviewing our appeal. (iii) The reply to the First Show Cause Notice was submitted on 01.06.2024 via the official NCTE email (wrc@ncte-india.org) within the 21-day timeline as mentioned in the notice. The oversight occurred in the mode of submission, as we were unaware that responses must be submitted exclusively through the online portal. This was a procedural misunderstanding, not a delay in response. Immediate measures have since been taken to ensure portal-based compliance for all future submissions. 2. The mandatory disclosures is updated on institute's website. 3. Certified copy of land documents, is being uploaded in the upload section below though it had already been submitted along with initial application. 4. Non-Encumbrance Certificate, duly issued by the required competent authority is being uploaded in the upload section below. 5. The approved building plan is updated with all necessary details including total land area, built-up area etc. The updated document is uploaded herewith. 6. Building Safety Certificate and the Fire Safety Certificate from the competent authority are uploaded below. 7. The list of students admitted to the 4-year Integrated B.Sc. B.Ed. program for 2023–24, uploaded below. 8. The list of approved teaching staff, duly countersigned by the affiliating university, is available and uploaded below. 9. The bank statement of the last six months, highlighting salary/remuneration transactions for all teaching and non-teaching staff is uploaded below. 10. The institutions website is updated as per NCTE Requirements."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 23.02.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 12.04.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme: The appellant institution submitted that the delay in procedural compliance of submitting the replies through the portal occurred due to an unintentional oversight. However, upon receiving both, the initial as well as final Show Cause Notices from NCTE, the institution responded within the stipulated timelines via official email of NCTE ([wrc@ncte.india.org](mailto:wrc@ncte.india.org)), in good faith and with full intent to comply, believing it to be the appropriate mode of communication. The reply to the first Show Cause Notice was submitted on 1st June 2024, which was within the originally specified time limit of 21 days. However, the Refusal Order mentioned it as 15 days. The reply to the Final Show Cause Notice was submitted on 26th January 2025, within the stipulated 15-day period. All relevant documentary evidence supporting these submissions is uploaded in the following section. It was only

after receiving the Final Show Cause Notice, that, the institution became aware that responses were required to be submitted through the official NCTE online portal. This lack of awareness led to the responses not being uploaded online within the stipulated time, despite the institution acting diligently to respond in a timely manner. The institution sincerely regrets this technical lapse and assures that it was neither deliberate nor a case of non-compliance, but a genuine administrative error arising from procedural misinterpretation. Immediate internal corrective measures have been taken to ensure future compliance with all portal-based procedures.

Further, the Appellant Institution has submitted resolution stating that “A Governing Body Meeting of the Diocese of Ujjain was held at Bishop’s House, Dewas Road, Ujjain on 16<sup>th</sup> March 2022. It is hereby resolved on this day that, in order to fulfill the requirement of NEP 2020 for Teacher Education Institute to function in multi-disciplinary environment, Nirmala College of Education shall sign a Memorandum of Understanding with its sister concern, Nirmala College, Ujjain for adequately extending the multi-disciplinary advantages of NCU to NCE students and staff. When appropriate NCTE guidelines are published, NCE which is a standalone TEI presently, shall be merged as a department with the multi-disciplinary degree college, Nirmala College, Ujjain. The Director of both colleges is instructed and empowered to ensure that NEP 2020 regulations for TEIs be implemented in letter and spirit both”.

The Appeal Committee took note of the decision of the General Body of Council in its 65<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 6<sup>th</sup> May, 2025 which approved the extension of timeline for transition of 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. into ITEP before the start of the academic session 2026-2027, in place of the earlier deadline of 2025–2026, as notified in the Gazette Notification dated 30.01.2024.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a “multidisciplinary institution” as defined under clause “(ca) ***“multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of***



*study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.”*

The Committee also referred to the “Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,” dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

*If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:*

- (iii) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.*
- (iv) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.*

*The collaboration will be subject to the following:*

- (h) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.*
- (i) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.*
- (j) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.*

- (k) ***The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.***
- (l) ***One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.***
- (m) ***Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)***
- (n) ***NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.***

In light of these regulatory frameworks and NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, the Committee reviewed the claim of the appellant institution and observed that it has submitted explanations and documentation purporting to address the shortcomings highlighted in the WRC's impugned order dated 12.04.2025. The Committee also noted the legal position laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein the Court directed that subsequent documents submitted by the appellant must be taken into consideration by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating an appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 12.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further

decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

**IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 12.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, Nirmala College of Education, 44 45 46 49 50 51 52 95 96 104 105 108, Prem Nagar, Dewas Road, Chandessari, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh-456664.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462004.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-91/E-359242/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025**

**APPLWRC202514967**

Seth R. C. S. Arts and Commerce College, 4, Utai Road, Near Ravishankar Shukla Stadium, Durg, Chhattisgarh-491001	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Pooja Malhotra, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Seth R. C. S. Arts and Commerce College, 4, Utai Road, Near Ravishankar Shukla Stadium, Durg, Chhattisgarh-491001** dated 11.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202405132819 / CHATTISGARH / 2024 / REJC / 1098** dated 24.01.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as per Public Notice dated 22.04.2024. Hence, application rejected on the ground of not eligible for processing as mentioned through online application portal."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Pooja Malhotra, Principal of Seth R. C. S. Arts and Commerce College, 4, Utai Road, Near Ravishankar Shukla Stadium, Durg, Chhattisgarh-491001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Our institution fulfils the shortlisting criteria as per Public Notice dated 22.04.2024. Institution Graded with NAAC Multidisciplinary Institution running NCTE recognised course Explanation: (1) Graded with NAAC • Our college is graded with "B" in first cycle in 2017 and validity was 29-10-2022. • In Second cycle graded with "B++" in 2023 and validity till 09-06-2028 (2) Multidisciplinary Institution: Our college is multidisciplinary institution and having experience of running B.A, B.Com. B. Lib, M.A., M.Com. and other courses for last 30 years and above. (3) NCTE recognised course: Having experience of running NCTE recognised course B. P. Ed. since 1998."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 21.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 24.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 and 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3<sup>rd</sup>/Final opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme: The Appellant Institution submitted that it is graded with NAAC twice; in first cycle graded with “B”, in second cycle graded with “B++”. The Appellant Institution is multidisciplinary institution and having experience of running B.A., B.Com. B.Lib., M.A., M.Com. and other courses for last 30 years and above and the Appellant Institution having experience of running NCTE recognised teacher education programme B.P.Ed. since 1998 and is currently recognized.

The Appeal Committee, after considering the submissions made and in light of the applicable regulatory framework, examined the claims and supporting documents submitted by the appellant to address the deficiencies noted in the impugned WRC

order dated 24.01.2025. The Appeal Committee upon examination found merit in the submissions made by the appellant institution, warranting further verification. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 24.01.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 24.01.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also**

**directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Seth R. C. S. Arts and Commerce College, 4, Utai Road, Near Ravishankar Shukla Stadium, Durg, Chhattisgarh-491001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.





**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)  
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-103/E-360085/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**  
**APPLWRC202514976**

St. Thomas College, 245/1, 246/1, 246/2, 247, 248/1, 248/2, 248/3, 258 PART, Ruabandha, Civic Center, Bhilai, Durg, Chattisgarh-490006	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Shiny Mondonce, Principal-in charge</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **St. Thomas College, 245/1, 246/1, 246/2, 247, 248/1, 248/2, 248/3, 258 PART, Ruabandha, Civic Center, Bhilai, Durg, Chattisgarh-490006** dated 18.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2526202405122797/CHATTISGARG/2024/REJC/1088** dated 12.02.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Shiny Mondonce, Principal-in charge of St. Thomas College, 245/1, 246/1, 246/2, 247, 248/1, 248/2, 248/3, 258 PART, Ruabandha, Civic Center, Bhilai, Durg, Chattisgarh-490006** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Eligible for grant of recognition for integrated teacher education programme (fulfils the shortlisting criteria 1. institution graded with NAAC - A - 6 points 2. multidisciplinary institution successfully running for from 30 years and above - 4 points, 3. institution running NCTE recognized teacher education programme (B.Ed.) - 2 points - total - 12 points."

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted the application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 26.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 12.02.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.202 and 6th Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3<sup>rd</sup>/Final opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and requested that its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme: The Appellant Institution submitted that it has been accredited with A Grade by the NAAC with the time period allotted is from December 20, 2022 to December 19, 2027 for which 6 points will be attained as per shortlisting criteria. The Appellant Institution was established in 1984 as multidisciplinary institution and its 1<sup>st</sup> affiliation copy (B.Com and B.Sc.) of University and Higher Education has been attached for which 4 points will be attained as per shortlisting criteria. The Appellant Institution attains 2 points as per shortlisting criteria as NCTE recognized Teacher Education course (B.Ed.) affiliation have been provided from 2002.

The Appeal Committee, after considering the submissions made and in light of the applicable regulatory framework, examined the claims and supporting documents submitted by the appellant to address the deficiencies noted in the impugned WRC order dated 12.02.2025. The Appeal Committee upon examination found merit in the submissions made by the appellant institution, warranting further verification. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 12.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 12.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional**

prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, St. Thomas College, 245/1, 246/1, 246/2, 247, 248/1, 248/2, 248/3, 258 PART, Ruabandha, Civic Center, Bhilai, Durg, Chattisgarh-490006.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)  
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-106/E-360680/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**  
**APPLWRC202514985**

Sandipani Academy, 1502, 1503, Achhoti, Murmunda, Kumhari-Ahiwara Road, Dhamdha, Durg, Chhattisgarh-490036.	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>No one appeared</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Sandipani Academy, 1502, 1503, Achhoti, Murmunda, Kumhari-Ahiwara Road, Dhamdha, Durg, Chhattisgarh-490036** dated 18.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2526202405012517/CHATTISGARH/2024/REJC/845** dated 30.01.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that:- “The institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as per Public Notice dated 22.04.2024. Hence, application rejected on the ground of not eligible for processing as mentioned through online application portal.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

No one from **Sandipani Academy, 1502, 1503, Achhoti, Murmunda, Kumhari-Ahiwara Road, Dhamdha, Durg, Chhattisgarh-490036** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “The managing society of sandipani Academy, Achhoti is aggrieved by the said order and wishes to file an appeal under section 18 of the NCTE act, 1993 on the following grounds: as per the shortlisting criteria for processing of applications for ITEP from multidisciplinary institutions for academic session 2025-26, Sandipani academy achhoti is eligible on the following grounds: (i). criteria 1 NAAC grading. Sandipani academy, achhoti has been accredited with NAAC b++ which qualifies the institution for 6 points . (ii) . criteria 3 multidisciplinary institutions successfully running for some number of years: the managing society of Sandipani academy, achhoti is aggrieved by the said order and wishes to file an appeal under section 18 of the NCTE act, 1993 on the following grounds: as per the shortlisting criteria for processing of applications for ITEP from multidisciplinary institutions for academic session 2025-26 , sandipani academy achhoti is eligible on the following grounds: (i). criteria 1 naac grading. sandipani academy, achhoti has been accredited with NAAC b++ (ann.no.: 01) which qualifies the institution for 6 points . (ii) . criteria 3 multidisciplinary institutions successfully running for some number of years: the institution has been offering multiple

programmes.(ann.no.: 02), b. a. b. ed ( dual degree programme offering degree in b. a. and b. ed .(ann.no.: 03, 04), b. sc. b. ed ( dual degree programme offering degree in b. sc and b. ed. (ann.no.: 05,06) , B.Sc. nursing, M.Sc. nursing(ann.no.:07,08,09) making it a multidisciplinary institution. we would like to bring to your kind attention that sandipani academy society has duly applied to the directorate of higher education, Chhattisgarh, for the establishment of a new undergraduate college under the same management in the same campus to offer programs in liberal arts, humanities, social science, science, and mathematics from the academic session 2025-26. following a thorough inspection conducted by the directorate of higher education, the inspection committee submitted its report, based on which the commissioner of the directorate of higher education has formally recommended the opening of the proposed ug college.(ann.no.: 10). additionally, the directorate of higher education, Chhattisgarh, has forwarded the relevant recommendation to the ministry of higher education, Chhattisgarh, for further necessary action. as per the shortlisting criteria, this ensures 2 points under criteria 3. (iii).criteria 4 NCTE-recognized courses: sandipani academy, achhoti has been running NCTE-recognized programmes, namely: B.Ed. since 2012 (ann.no.: 11,12,14,15). and D.El.Ed. since 2016(ann.no.: 13). as per the shortlisting criteria, this grants the institution full 2 points under criteria 4 for the processing of the application for ITEP from a multidisciplinary institution. based on the above points, it is evident that sandipani academy, achhoti meets the minimum eligibility criteria , obtaining 10 points, for shortlisting under the public notice dated April 22, 2024. in light of this, we humbly request the esteemed NCTE to kindly consider our appeal and grant the necessary approval for the ITEP under sandipani academy society from the academic session 2025-26. we assure you of our commitment to maintaining the highest academic standards and adhering to all prescribed regulations. the refusal appears to be based on an oversight or misinterpretation of the institution's credentials. therefore, we humbly request a reconsideration of our application and urge the competent authority to grant approval for processing our application for ITEP for the academic session 2025-26. we look forward to a positive response and remain available for any further clarifications or documentation required.”



### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 4th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 31.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the WRC vide order dt. 30.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 and 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3<sup>rd</sup>/Final opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 04.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee noted that the WRC has refused the recognition of the appellant institution vide order dated 30.01.2025 against which the appellant institution has preferred an appeal dated 18.03.2025. The Appeal Committee examined the documents submitted along with the appeal, and further noted the following material deficiency:

- (i) The institution did not fulfil the minimum 10-point threshold under the shortlisting criteria, as approved by the Council in its 60th General Body Meeting, which is a mandatory requirement for processing applications under the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) for the academic session 2025–2026. The same was duly notified by the Council vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024.

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above grounds. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 30.01.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 30.01.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

**IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 30.01.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, Sandipani Academy, 1502, 1503, Achhoti, Murmunda, Kumhari-Ahiwara Road, Dhamdha, Durg, Chhattisgarh-490036.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-108/E-360658/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLWRC202514998**

St. Vincent Pallotti College, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 444, 445, 437/3, 408/6, Lodhi Para, Kapa, Pandri, Raipur, Chattisgarh-492004	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Kuldeep Dubey, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **St. Vincent Pallotti College, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 444, 445, 437/3, 408/6, Lodhi Para, Kapa, Pandri, Raipur, Chattisgarh-492004** dated 27.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2526202404232233/CHATTISGARH/2024/REJC/649** dated 14.02.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that:- “The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Kuldeep Dubey, Principal of St. Vincent Pallotti College, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 444, 445, 437/3, 408/6, Lodhi Para, Kapa, Pandri, Raipur, Chattisgarh-492004** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “(i). Our institution was accredited with CGPA of ‘2.92’ on four-point scale at ‘B++’ grade in the year 2021 in the third cycle of NAAC visit. As per the shortlisting criteria for processing of Applications for ITEP from Multidisciplinary Institutions for academic session 2025-26, the points secured are 6. (ii). Our institution was established in the Year 1995, which is affiliated to Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur (Chhattisgarh). This duration falls under category Above 25 years and below 30 years, thereby gaining 3 points. (iii). Our institution is running B.Ed. program recognized by NCTE since 1998, and as per shortlisting criteria for processing of Applications for ITEP from Multidisciplinary Institutions for academic session 2025-

26, the point secured is 2. (iv). Our institution has participated in the NIRF ranking and the name has been displayed by National Institutional Ranking Framework in the Indian Rankings 2023: Participated Institutes overall. As per the list, no rank is allotted after 100th Rank and display of rank band is up to 200th position. Considering the format released by NIRF our institution falls in 'List of Participating Institutes' and thereby securing 1 point. (v). The norms and standards prescribed by the NCTE, vide public notice dated 22.04.2024 for fulfilling minimum eligibility score required for institutions for Integrated Teacher Education Program (ITEP) is 10 points. As per the above explanation the total points secured by our institution is 12 points which is above the minimum eligibility score required for institutions for Integrated Teacher Education Program. S. No Criteria Description Points 1 NAAC grading B++ 6 Points 2 Duration of Conducting Multidisciplinary Institutions. Above 25 years and below 30 years (Since 1995) 3 Points 3 Institution running NCTE recognized Course. Running Two years B.Ed. program recognized by NCTE (Since 1998) 2 Points 4 NIRF Ranking 301-500 1 Points Total 12 Points."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 4th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 20.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the WRC vide order dt. 14.02.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 and 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3<sup>rd</sup>/Final opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and requested that its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appellant Institution submitted that it was accredited with CGPA of '2.92' on four-point scale at 'B++' grade in the year 2021 in the third cycle of NAAC visit. As per the shortlisting criteria for processing of Applications for ITEP from Multidisciplinary Institutions for academic session 2025-26, the points secured are 6. The Appellant Institution submitted that it was established in the year 1995, which is affiliated to Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur (Chhattisgarh). This duration falls under category above 25 years and below 30 years, thereby gaining 3 points. The Appellant Institution further submitted that it is running B.Ed. programme recognized by NCTE since 1998, and as per shortlisting criteria for processing of Applications for ITEP from Multidisciplinary Institution for academic session 2025-26, the point secured is 2.

The Appeal Committee, after considering the submissions made and in light of the applicable regulatory framework, examined the claims and supporting documents submitted by the appellant to address the deficiencies noted in the impugned WRC order dated 14.02.2025. The Appeal Committee upon examination found merit in the submissions made by the appellant institution, warranting further verification. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 14.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure

full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 14.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### **Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, St. Vincent Pallotti College, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 444, 445, 437/3, 408/6, Lodhi Para, Kapa, Pandri, Raipur, Chhattisgarh-492004.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-111/E-360662/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLWRC202514994**

Vipra Kala, Vanijya Avam Sharirik Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, 422/1, 422/7, 422/8, 422/24, G.E. Road, Raipur, Amanaka, Dharseewa, Chattisgarh-492001	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Divya Sharma, Head and Assistant Professor</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>



## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Vipra Kala, Vanijya Avam Sharirik Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, 422/1, 422/7, 422/8, 422/24, G.E. Road, Raipur, Amanaka, Dharseewa, Chattisgarh-492001** dated 26.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202404262391 / CHATTISGARH / 2024 / REJC / 747 dated 06.02.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Divya Sharma, Head and Assistant Professor of Vipra Kala, Vanijya Avam Sharirik Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, 422/1, 422/7, 422/8, 422/24, G.E. Road, Raipur, Amanaka, Dharseewa, Chattisgarh-492001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The Managing society of Vipra Kala Vanijya Avam Sharirik Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Raipur is aggrieved by the said order and wishes to file an appeal under section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993 on the following grounds: As per the short listing criteria which was notified by the NCTE Public Notice Dtd 22/04/2024 for processing of applications for ITEP from multidisciplinary institutions for academic session 2025-26, Vipra Kala

Vanijya Avam Sharirik Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Raipur is eligible on the following grounds: S. N. Criteria/Parameter Points Annexure 1. Institution with NAAC Grade- B - 4 POINTS - (Annexure 1) 2. NIRF Ranking Participation- 1 POINT - (Annexure 2) 3. Multidisciplinary Institutions 3 POINTS - (Annexure 3) successfully running from 25 Years and 30 Years 4. NCTE - Recognized Courses From 2 POINTS - (Annexure 4) Year 1998- Total 10 POINTS As per the shortlisting criteria prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting, the above description makes the institution eligible for the processing of the application for ITEP. Based on the above points, it is evident that Vipra Kala, Vanijya Avam Sharirik Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Raipur fulfils the minimum eligibility criteria, obtaining 10 points notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. On the basis of above mentioned points and eligibility criterions the affiliating University i.e. Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur, CG, And SCERT, State Council of Education, Research & Training Chhattisgarh issued the NOC (SCERT- Annexure 5) and (Annexure 6). Also we have received a mail from NCTE which was directed to the Higher Education that the Higher Education to provide NOC from the affiliating bodies (Annexure 7). Therefore, we humbly request a reconsideration of our application and urge the competent authority to grant approval for processing our application for ITEP for the academic session 2025-26. We look forward to a positive response and remain available for any further clarifications or documentation required. Thank you Yours sincerely, Vipra Kala, Vanijya Avam Sharirik Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, Raipur Phone No. 9406082000 Email: vipracollege1996@gmail.com"

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking

permission for running the ITEP course on 31.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the WRC vide order dt. 06.02.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 and 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3<sup>rd</sup>/Final opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and requested that its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appellant Institution submitted that the institution functions as a multidisciplinary institution in accordance with the definition of "Multidisciplinary Institution" as stipulated under the NCTE Regulations, 2021, and this status has been duly certified by the Registrar of the affiliating university. The institution has applied for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) and is operating as a multidisciplinary institution under common management and located on the same campus, which has also been duly verified and certified by the Registrar of the affiliating university. The Appellant Institution has also come in the India Rankings 2024 conducted by the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF), Ministry of Education, Government of India. Thus, the Appellant Institution claimed a total of 10 points (NAAC Grade: B - 4 Points, NIRF Ranking of institution: 501 & above-1 Point, Years of Multidisciplinary operations: 29 years-3 Points and Running NCTE-Recognized programmes: Yes-2 Points).

The Appeal Committee, after considering the submissions made and in light of the applicable regulatory framework, examined the claims and supporting documents submitted by the appellant to address the deficiencies noted in the impugned WRC

order dated 06.02.2025. The Appeal Committee upon examination found merit in the submissions made by the appellant institution, warranting further verification. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 06.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 06.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also**

**directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, Vipra Kala, Vanijya Avam Sharirik Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, 422/1, 422/7, 422/8, 422/24, G.E. Road, Raipur, Amanaka, Dharseeva, Chhattisgarh-492001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.



**एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-117/E-361194/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025**

**APPLWRC202515003/E-87631**

SIES College of Arts Science and Commerce (Empowered Autonomous), 83, 84, 106, 107, Jain Society, Sion, West Mumbai, Maharashtra-400022	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Uma Maheshwari Shankar, Director (Academics)</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **SIES College of Arts Science and Commerce (Empowered Autonomous), 83, 84, 106, 107, Jain Society, Sion, West Mumbai, Maharashtra-400022** dated 01.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202405032576 / MAHARASHTRA / 2024 / REJC / 894** dated 06.02.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60<sup>th</sup> General Body Meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate multi-disciplinary institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of the documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Uma Maheshwari Shankar, Director (Academics) of SIES College of Arts Science and Commerce (Empowered Autonomous), 83, 84, 106, 107, Jain Society, Sion, West Mumbai, Maharashtra-400022** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "1. Your above-mentioned Appellant is a multi-disciplinary 64 year old college, which has been conferred the status of an 'Autonomous College' on 28th May 2018 and thereafter has been conferred the status of 'Empowered Autonomous' college on 27th June 2023, by the University of Mumbai, since they fulfilled the criteria prescribed under the UGC (Conferment of Autonomous Status upon Colleges and Measures for Maintenance of Standards in Autonomous Colleges) Regulations, 2023. The Appellant has been re-

accredited with an 'A' Grade for 4th cycle by NAAC on 18th October 2024. 2. The Appellant met the shortlisting criteria mentioned in Annexure 1 to the Public Notice dated 22 April, 2024, since they were a 64 year old institution ( 4 points ) and were re-accredited by NAAC with an 'A' Grade ( 6 points) totalling 10 points. 5. The Appellant is not aware if the State Government has submitted its recommendations or comments to the NCTE Western Regional Committee, as required under Regn. 7 (5) or, if the Western Regional Committee was required to send a reminder as required under Regn. 7 (6) of the NCTE (Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended) and thereafter, the State Government has submitted its recommendations or comments. 6. The Western Regional Committee has not, either after consideration of the recommendations or comments or, suo motu communicated any decision that the institution shall be inspected through virtual mode by a team of experts called visiting team, as provided under Regn, 7(7) of the NCTE ( Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended). 7. Under Regn. 7 (17) of the NCTE ( Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 ( as amended), the Western Regional Committee was required to give a reasonable opportunity to the Institution for making an online representation, which is also set out in the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) dated 30 May, 2022, which is under force till date. 8. Under Guideline C (Sr. No. 6) of the SOP which is mandatory, since this has been notified under the NCTE (Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 (as amended), the Western Regional Committee was required to issue a Show Cause Notice (SCN) in Annexure -1 (First SCN after preliminary scrutiny) or issue a SCN in Annexure -2 (Final SCN after preliminary scrutiny) which has not been done. The principles of natural justice, of giving the Appellant an opportunity to reply, have not been met, resulting in grave miscarriage of justice. The Appellant submits that if the law requires a particular thing to be done in a particular way, it should be done in that way and, that statutory processes have to be followed strictly. 9. The Minutes of the meeting of the 411th Meeting of the WRC held on 06-07 January, 2025 and as uploaded on the NCTE website, record that the Chairperson and 3 members of the WRC, the State Representative for Goa and the Regional Director and Convenor, WRC, NCTE attended the meeting (3 persons in physical and 3 persons on virtual mode, while representatives of 7 states, including



Maharashtra were absent. ITEP Applications were at Sr. No.2 L of the Agenda and the Appellant's application was listed at Sr. No. 66. The Minutes record that the application rejected on the ground mentioned through online transition application portal. As on 16 February, 2025, no reasons were uploaded on the portal of the Appellant and the Appellant is therefore unaware of the reasons for which the Application has been rejected. The order is therefore cryptic and is not a reasoned order. It is the Appellant's contention that as the WRC of the NCTE is exercising statutory powers, they were required to give show cause notices putting the Appellant on notice about alleged defects / deficiencies in their Application, granting them a chance to explain and, after hearing the Appellant , pass orders on their Application. By failing to issue Show Cause Notices and, grant a hearing to the Appellant, the WRC of the NCTE not only refused to discharge their statutory duties and obligations, but the order passed by them is void ab initio. 10. The Appellant submits that the order communicated to them does not disclose whether the Government of Maharashtra had sent their recommendations or comments within 15 days from 13 June, 2024 and if the recommendations were sent, the nature of the recommendations) (as required under Regn 7 (5) of the Regulations (as amended) or, whether any reminder was sent to the State Government (as required under Regn 7 (6) of the Regulations (as amended). 11. The Appellant submits that if the Government of Maharashtra had failed to send their comments / recommendations within the time prescribed under Regns. 7 (5) and 7 (6) of the Regulations (as amended), the WRC ought to have exercised the powers vested in them under Regn 7(7) (as amended) to inspect the Appellant, which they failed to do. By failing to exercise this power vested in them, the WRC failed to assess the preparedness of the Appellant for commencing the course. Therefore, the WRC had no report before them on the preparedness of the Appellant for commencing the course. 12. Since the inspection was not conducted, the Appellant was denied an opportunity for making an online representation, as set out in Regn, 7 (17) (as amended). 13. The Appellant states that they were not provided the reasonable opportunity, as required under the 2022 amendments to the Act read with the SOP 2022, to make their written representation , before passing the refusal order under Ss. 14/15 (3) (b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. This is in gross violation of the NCTE (Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Regulations (as amended vide Notification dated 4

May, 2022) read with the SOP 2022. 14. The Western Regional Committee, NCTE refused to grant such recognition to the Appellant and refused the Appellant's application. , vide its order dated 06 January, 2025, which was communicated to the Appellant on 06 February, 2025, by an email of the same date and, a copy of which is enclosed as Annexure 1. 15. For the reasons set out below, your Appellant submits that the Western Regional Committee, NCTE ought to have granted recognition to the Appellant and allowed the Appellant's application, for the reasons set out below. 16. The Regional Committee erred in deciding the matter and rejecting the Application of the Appellant 11th on the following grounds, on the following grounds, which are in the alternative and, without prejudice to one another."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 17.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the WRC vide order dt. 06.02.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 4<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 and 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3<sup>rd</sup>/Final opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and its case be

considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The appellant institution submitted that the SIES has established, and it administers and manages SIES College of Arts, Science and Commerce (Empowered Autonomous), ('College') Sion West from 1960. It submitted that the National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) has further re-accredited the college with CGPA of 3.02 on 4-point scale, with A Grade, vide Certificate dated 18 October 2024 and the same is valid up to 17 October 2029. The college is a multi-disciplinary institution successfully running for 65 years. Thus, the appellant institution fulfils the short-listing criteria prescribed for processing ITEP Applications for the session 2025-26, since it has secured a total of 10 points (6 points for NAAC accreditation and 4 points as a multi-disciplinary institution running successfully for 30 years and above).

The Appeal Committee, after considering the submissions made and in light of the applicable regulatory framework, examined the claims and supporting documents submitted by the appellant to address the deficiencies noted in the impugned WRC order dated 06.02.2025. The Appeal Committee upon examination found merit in the submissions made by the appellant institution, warranting further verification. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 06.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after

considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 06.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### **Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, SIES College of Arts Science and Commerce (Empowered Autonomous), 83, 84, 106, 107, Jain Society, Sion, West Mumbai, Maharashtra-400022.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-23/E-354969/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025**

**APPLWRC202414864**

Dnyanopasak Shikshan Mandal Parbhani, 577/1, 574, Jintur Road, Parbhani, Maharashtra-431401	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Shaikh Md Babar, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Dnyanopasak Shikshan Mandal Parbhani, 577/1, 574, Jintur Road, Parbhani, Maharashtra-431401** dated 23.12.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202405203236 / MAHARASHTRA / 2024 / REJC / 1479** dated 02.11.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60<sup>th</sup> General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Shaikh Md Babar, Principal of Dnyanopasak Shikshan Mandal Parbhani, 577/1, 574, Jintur Road, Parbhani, Maharashtra-431401** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The college has got B+ grade in NAAC Accreditation gives 5 marks, Multidisciplinary faculties gives 4 marks, and the institution successfully run the B.P.Ed. and D.El.Ed. which gives 2 marks (total: 11 marks). this means the institution fulfill the essential criteria for processing of the application for academic session 2025-2026."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 21.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the WRC vide order dt. 02.11.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 2<sup>nd</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 4<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 15.04.2025 and 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3<sup>rd</sup>/Final opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and requested that its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The appellant institution submitted that it is accredited by NAAC (4<sup>th</sup> Cycle) with B+ Grade having CGPA score is 2.60. (Points: 05), the institution is multidisciplinary successfully running for last 41 years (Since 07 June 1984). (Points: 04) and the institution has experience of running the NCTE recognized Teacher Education Programmes (Points: 02) (a) Dnyanopasak Shikshan Mandal's College of Physical Education, Parbhani started from 16<sup>th</sup> August 1990 (b) Dnyanopasak Shikshan Mandal's Primary Teachers Training D.Ed. Course at Parbhani started from 17<sup>th</sup> December 2006.

The Appeal Committee, after considering the submissions made and in light of the applicable regulatory framework, examined the claims and supporting documents submitted by the appellant to address the deficiencies noted in the impugned WRC order dated 02.11.2024. The Appeal Committee upon examination found merit in the submissions made by the appellant institution, warranting further verification. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 02.11.2024 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 02.11.2024 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal**



requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Dnyanopasak Shikshan Mandal Parbhani, 577/1, 574, Jintur Road, Parbhani, Maharashtra-431401.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



**एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-29/E-355326/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLERC202514887**

Shree Shree Gourgobind Girls College, Khurai Sajor Leikai, Sawombung, Lamlong, Imphal, Manipur-795010	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Ms. Thounaojam Ajita Chanu, Asst. Prof.</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, ERC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Shree Shree Gourgobind Girls College, Khurai Sajor Leikai, Sawombung, Lamlong, Imphal, Manipur-795010** dated 17.01.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / ERC / 2526202405313568 / MANIPUR / 2024 / REJC / 1780** dated 26.10.2024 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide dated 09.09.2024 with a direction to submit reply within 15 days, on the deficiencies, but the institution has not submitted its reply within specific time."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Ms. Thounaojam Ajita Chanu, Asst. Prof. of Shree Shree Gourgobind Girls College, Khurai Sajor Leikai, Sawombung, Lamlong, Imphal, Manipur-795010** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "1. Our institute has obtained a minimum of 10 points for shortlisting criteria. 2. Our institute is not running any NCTE recognised courses however we have filled in "30 years and above" in the sense that our college is running UG Programmes for more than 30 years and above for the column of Base Criteria "Number of years from the date of inception of the institution till the date of issue of public notice inviting applications by NCTE." 3. supporting documents attached to the email. [Enclosed – Annexure1] 4. supporting documents attached to the email. [Enclosed – Annexure2, 2(A) & 2(B)] 5. supporting documents attached to the email. [Enclosed – Annexure3 and 3(A)] 6. supporting documents attached to the email. [Enclosed – Annexure4, 4(A) & 4(B)] 7. supporting documents attached to the email. [Enclosed – Annexure5, 5(A), 5(B) & 5(C)] 8. supporting documents attached to the email. [Enclosed – Annexure6. 6(A), 6(B) & 6(C)] 9. supporting documents attached to the email. [Enclosed – Annexure7] 10. Room1 – 20' x 46', Room2 – 20' x 46', Room3 – 25' x 16' 11. supporting documents attached to the email. [Enclosed – Annexure8 ] 12. supporting documents attached to the email. [Enclosed – Annexure9] 13. supporting documents attached to the email. [Enclosed – Annexure10] 14. The institute has not

replied to the First Show Cause Notice due to the ongoing crisis of the state (Meitei-Kuki conflict), there is often General Strikes, Bandh, Road blockage, Imposing curfew, Internet Ban and flood in the past few months. So, it takes much time to get the required documents from the government offices.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Eastern Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 31.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the ERC vide order dt. 26.10.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 2<sup>nd</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025, 4<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 and 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant last opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The appellant institution submitted that it has been established in the years of 1985 (more than thirty years old and multidisciplinary) under grant-in-aid from Government of Manipur, the institution is NAAC accredited with CGPA of 2.53 on four-point scale at B+ grade valid up to December 02, 2028, the institution has obtained NIRF Ranking 607 and the institution has obtained NOC no. MU/P-8/2006/CDC/Pt./1803 dated 17<sup>th</sup> September, 2024 from

affiliating body i.e., Manipur University. In addition, the institution has NOC no. DUHE-29/2/2022-DUHE-DU&HE dated 27<sup>th</sup> May, 2024 from the Directorate of University & Higher Education, Government of Manipur for the proposed course.

The Appeal Committee, after considering the submissions made and in light of the applicable regulatory framework, examined the claims and supporting documents submitted by the appellant to address the deficiencies noted in the impugned ERC order dated 26.10.2024. The Appeal Committee upon examination found merit in the submissions made by the appellant institution, warranting further verification. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 26.10.2024 and remand the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee (ERC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The ERC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The ERC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the ERC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 26.10.2024 and remand the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee (ERC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the

appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The ERC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The ERC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the ERC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Shree Shree Gourgobind Girls College, Khurai Sajor Leikai, Sawombung, Lamlong, Imphal, Manipur-795010.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Directorate of University & Higher Education, Government of Manipur, Nityaipat Chuthek, Near Raj Bhavan, Imphal, Manipur-795001.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)  
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-43/E-356675/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**  
**APPLERC202514900**

S. Kula Womens College, Plot No. 21, Kongkham, Nambol, Bishnupur, Manipur-795134	Vs	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>No one appeared</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, ERC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **S. Kula Womens College, Plot No. 21, Kongkham, Nambol, Bishnupur, Manipur-795134** dated 12.02.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / ERC / 2526202405213277 / MANIPUR / 2024 / REJC / 1516** dated 24.01.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution with a direction to submit reply within 15 days, on the deficiencies, but the institution has not submitted its reply within specific time."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

No one from **B.Ed. Department of S. Kula Womens College, Plot No. 21, Kongkham, Nambol, Bishnupur, Manipur-795134** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Delay lack submission due to unavailable of certificate on time."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Eastern Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 31.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the ERC vide order dt. 24.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 2<sup>nd</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 19.02.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.



The instant matter was again placed in its 4<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 and 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3<sup>rd</sup>/Final opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and requested that its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The appellant institution submitted that S. Kula Women's College is certified Accreditation graded with NAAC B+, it has National Institution Ranking Framework (NIRF) Band is 151-200, the institution is multidisciplinary running successfully from the year 2015-2016, certificate of subject offered by Manipur University from the 1995 and recognition certificate for conducting 2 (two) years B.Ed. course.

The Appeal Committee, after considering the submissions made and in light of the applicable regulatory framework, examined the claims and supporting documents submitted by the appellant to address the deficiencies noted in the impugned ERC order dated 24.01.2025. The Appeal Committee upon examination found merit in the submissions made by the appellant institution, warranting further verification. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 24.01.2025 and remand the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee (ERC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The ERC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The ERC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the ERC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 24.01.2025 and remand the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee (ERC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The ERC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The ERC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the ERC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, S. Kula Womens College, Plot No. 21, Kongkham, Nambol, Bishnupur, Manipur-795134.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Directorate of University & Higher Education, Government of Manipur, Nityaipat Chuthek, Near Raj Bhavan, Imphal, Manipur-795001.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-151/E-365882/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLWRC202514996 / E-87631**

Seth Phoolchand Agrawal Smriti Mahavidyalaya, 536/1, 510/1, 1766,1767,1768, Kurra, Nawapara (Rajim), NH 130 C, Gobra Nawapara, Raipur, Chattisgarh-493881	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Shobha Gawri, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Seth Phoolchand Agrawalsmriti Mahavidyalaya, 536/1, 510/1, 1766,1767,1768, Kurra, Nawapara (Rajim), NH 130 C, Gobra Nawapara, Raipur, Chattisgarh-493881** dated 24.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202404232223 / CHATTISGARH / 2024 / REJC / 641** dated 06.02.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that “The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025- 2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Shobha Gawri, Principal of Seth Phoolchand Agrawalsmriti Mahavidyalaya, 536/1, 510/1, 1766,1767,1768, Kurra, Nawapara (Rajim), NH 130 C, Gobra Nawapara, Raipur, Chattisgarh-493881** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “1. Institution NAAC Accreditation ‘B++’ - Point – 06 (Annexure – 1) 2. NIRF Ranking Participation - Points – 01 (Annexure – 2) 3. Multidisciplinary Institution successfully running from 25 to Below 30 years - Points – 03 (Annexure – 3) 4. NCTE Recognized courses From Year 2005 - Points – 02 (Annexure – 4) Total Points – 12 5. NCTE – PAR Report successfully filed since 2021 to 2024. (Annexure – 5) 6. Qualified Regular teachers list (Highlighted Teachers are qualified for ITEP) (Annexure – 6) 7. Annual turnover of the college (Past 03 years) – 7.5 cr. 8. Well-equipped Library 18810 Books 25 Journals, 15 Magazine & E- Library facilities with N-List. 9. Indoor & Outdoor Sports

Facilities 10. Units of NCC (Navel & Army), NSS and Red cross society for all round development of students 11. Best college award by affiliating University for session – 2021-22 & 2022- 23 (Annexure – 7).”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 30.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 06.02.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The appellant institution submitted that it is accredited by NAAC with Grade B++ (CGPA 2.94), effective from July 19<sup>th</sup> , 2023. Points claims (6), the institution has been participating in the NIRF (National Institutional Ranking Framework) since 2018. Ranked not shown the rank in website above 100 and the institution is a multidisciplinary college offering a wide range of academic programs across various disciplines (a) Arts: Bachelor of Art Sociology, Political Science, Geography, History, Economics, Hindi Literature (b) Science:

Bachelor of Science Biology, Information Technology (c) Commerce: Bachelor of Commerce (B.Com.), Bachelor of Business administration (BBA) (d) Post Graduate Programs (MA in Hindi, Geography, Sociology; M.Com.; M.Sc. in Biotechnology, Botany, Computer Science, Mathematics, Chemistry € Teacher education: Bachelor of Education (B.Ed.) and Diploma course: PGDCA, DCA. In addition, the appellant institution operates under the common management of Chitrotpla Shikshan Samitee and continues to run these programs successfully since 30 year (1994 to till date). Point claims (4), the institution has been running the Bachelor of Education (B.Ed.) program since 2005. Point Claim 2. Thus, the appellant institution has claimed 12 points (criteria 1-6 points Criteria 3-4 points, Criteria 4-2 points).

The Appeal Committee, after considering the submissions made and in light of the applicable regulatory framework, examined the claims and supporting documents submitted by the appellant to address the deficiencies noted in the impugned WRC order dated 06.02.2025. The Appeal Committee upon examination found merit in the submissions made by the appellant institution, warranting further verification. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 06.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including

compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 06.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### **Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, Seth Phoolchand Agrawalsmriti Mahavidyalaya, 536/1, 510/1, 1766,1767,1768, Kurra, Nawapara (Rajim), NH 130 C, Gobra Nawapara, Raipur, Chhattisgarh-493881.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.





**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)  
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-143/E-363879/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**  
**APPLWRC202515006**

Manikya Lal Verma Shramjeevi College, 429, Near Town Hall Link Road, Shastri Circle, Girwa, Udaipur, Rajasthan-313001	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>No one appeared</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Manikya Lal Verma Shramjeevi College, 429, Near Town Hall Link Road, Shastri Circle, Girwa, Udaipur, Rajasthan-313001** dated 01.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202405032574 / RAJASTHAN / 2024 / REJC / 892** dated 06.02.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60<sup>th</sup> General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

No one from **Manikya Lal Verma Shramjeevi College, 429, Near Town Hall Link Road, Shastri Circle, Girwa, Udaipur, Rajasthan-313001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "(i) We understand that our uploaded NAAC accreditation certificate (valid from 2017-2022) had expired at the time of application submission. However, the circumstances leading to this situation were beyond our control. 1. situation were beyond our control. Our university has consistently maintained a strong academic record and was previously accredited with an A Grade under NAAC standards. 2. The delay in NAAC reaccreditation was primarily due to COVID-19 disruptions, which caused significant postponements in the NAAC process. Even after normal operations resumed, it has preventing us from initiating the process. 3. Additionally, our institution had planned to apply under the new Binary Accreditation System of NAAC, which aligns

better with our institutions strengths and compliance with educational standards. Since this system introduces a more holistic approach that we already adhere to, we believed this would be the most appropriate accreditation frame work for us. 4. We are in continuous communication with NAAC authorities, and the reaccreditation process is in progress. We anticipate completing all formalities at the Earliest. (ii) As a deemed-to-be university with a primary focus on regional and tribal education, our priority has been inclusive and accessible education rather than national rankings. Despite this, our institution has consistently excelled in academic excellence, teacher training , and social impact, as evident from our longstanding contribution to teacher education in Rajasthan. JRN RV has been consistently participating in the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) to showcase our academic excellence and institutional growth. While we have been making continuous efforts to enhance our performance, due to certain factors, we have not yet secured a position within the top 100 rankings. However, we remain committed to improving our academic standards, research output, infrastructure, and overall institutional performance. With collective efforts from our faculty, students, and administration, we strive to achieve higher recognition in the coming years. (iii) Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth has been a pioneering institution in teacher education, particularly in the tribal and rural regions of Rajasthan. Our commitment to excellence is demonstrated by: 1. Our long-standing role in uplifting education in underserved areas and producing highly qualified teachers. 2. The availability of a wide range of teacher education programs, including: BA-B.Ed. and B.Sc.-B.Ed. 3. Specialized teacher training programs to address regional and pedagogical needs. 4. Request for Consideration. (iv) Given the above clarifications, we kindly request the Western Regional Committee to reconsider our ITEP application and allow us an opportunity to fulfill the necessary accreditation formalities at the earliest. We remain fully committed to maintaining quality education and aligning with the NCTE's vision for teacher training excellence. We sincerely appreciate your time and consideration and look forward to a favorable resolution."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 31.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 06.02.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 28.05.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 04.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee noted that the WRC has refused the recognition of the appellant institution vide order dated 06.02.2025 against which the appellant institution has preferred an appeal dated 01.04.2025. The Appeal Committee examined the documents submitted along with the appeal, and further noted the following material deficiency:

- (i) The institution did not fulfil the minimum 10-point threshold under the shortlisting criteria, as approved by the Council in its 60<sup>th</sup> General Body Meeting, which is a mandatory requirement for processing applications under the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) for the academic session 2025–2026. The same was duly notified by the Council vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024.

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above grounds. The Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 06.02.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 06.02.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.

**IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the WRC was justified in refusing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned refusal order dated 06.02.2025 issued by WRC is confirmed.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Manikya Lal Verma Shramjeevi College, 429, Near Town Hall Link Road, Shastri Circle, Girwa, Udaipur, Rajasthan-313001.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.**



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-234/E-369186/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLERC202515045**

Mirza Noor Mahammad College of Education, 147, 154, 158, 147/255, 147/257, Lutunia, Sabang, Midnapur, West Bengal-721166	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Sh./Ms. Kamruz Zaman working as Member of the Management</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, ERC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Mirza Noor Mahammad College of Education, 147, 154, 158, 147/255, 147/257, Lutunia, Sabang, Midnapur, West Bengal-721166** dated 15.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. ER-360(II).7 / NCTE / ERCAPP1299 & ERCAPP4220 / D.El.Ed. & D.El.Ed. Add. / WB / 2023 / 70336-70344** dated 18.03.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “(i) The institution has not submitted the latest list of teaching faculty duly approved by the affiliating body along with affidavits, testimonials, service certificate, marks card etc. in respect of D.El.Ed. & D.El.Ed. (Add.) programme. (ii) The institution has not submitted salary statement for payment of salary paid to the faculty appointed in the institution through Bank duly certificated by the disbursing bank for the last 6 months.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

Sh./Ms. Kamruz Zaman working as Member of the Management of **Mirza Noor Mahammad College of Education, 147, 154, 158, 147/255, 147/257, Lutunia, Sabang, Midnapur, West Bengal-721166** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “Our affiliating body is west Bengal board of primary education which never issued any show cause notice in respect of teaching faculty since 2017-2018 to 2024-2025. Institute is not under the monitoring authority of Baba Saheb Ambedkar Education University which made unfortunately complain against teaching faculty and its salary statement for faculty appointed in the institution. Required faculty members are paid through bank regularly. Our teaching staff list approved by the west Bengal board of primary education not Baba Saheb Ambedkar Education University will speak itself that complaint letter dated 22.11.2022 issued by the University is unfortunate. Your kindness will consider our explanation and documents submitted by the Institution.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 seats vide order dated 31.08.2012 and followed by additional intake of 50 (Existing 50 + Addl. 50=100) thus making the sum total intake of 100 (two basic units) from the academic session 2017-2018 vide order dated 26.04.2017. The recognition of the institution for D.El.Ed. & D.El.Ed. (Add.) programme was withdrawn by the ERC vide order dated 18.03.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 04.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report and after careful examination of the records and submissions made by the appellant institution.

The Appeal Committee noted that the institution submitted a staff list comprising one Principal and fifteen faculty members; however, it failed to furnish the requisite testimonials, service certificates, marks cards, and other supporting documents in respect of the D.El.Ed. and D.El.Ed. (Additional) programmes. The staff list was also not substantiated with the proceedings of the Selection Committee, or the panel of experts involved in the faculty selection process. In the absence of such records, the staff list submitted cannot be relied upon as authentic or supported by substantial proof.

Further, the institution did not provide the salary statements evidencing payment of salaries to the appointed faculty members through bank transfer, duly certified by the disbursing bank, for the last six months.



The Appeal Committee noted that the institution has not complied with these essential requirements, having failed to submit the necessary testimonials, service certificates, marks cards, staff selection records, and salary documents. Consequently, the institution has not demonstrated compliance with its regulatory obligations. It was also observed that despite issuance of a Show Cause Notice (SCN) by the Eastern Regional Committee (ERC) in 2022, the institution has failed to rectify the deficiencies and achieve compliance.

Accordingly, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that the appellant institution has been in continuous, repeated, and willful default of the statutory norms, minimum standards, and conditions of recognition laid down under the NCTE framework. The deficiencies identified are not mere technical or procedural in nature but constitute substantive non-compliance, undermining the foundational academic parameters essential for delivering quality teacher education.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record Appeal Committee found no credible justification or compliance on the part of the appellant, the Appeal Committee concluded that ERC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 18.03.2025 issued by ERC is confirmed.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the ERC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 18.03.2025 issued by ERC is confirmed.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Mirza Noor Mahammad College of Education, 147, 154, 158, 147/255, 147/257, Lutunia, Sabang, Midnapur, West Bengal-721166.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of West Bengal, Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake City, (5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor) Kolkata, West Bengal-700 091.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-104/E-360687/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLSRC202514987 / E- 8763)**

North Karnataka D.Ed. College, 36/A, Hulsoor Road, Basvakalyan, Bidar, Karnataka-585327	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. Mahamad Ameer, Administrator</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, SRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **North Karnataka D.Ed. College, 36/A, Hulsoor Road, Basvakalyan, Bidar, Karnataka-585327** dated 24.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F.SRC/NCTE/APS05905/Elementary (D.Ed.)/ 414<sup>th</sup> Mtg./ KA/2022/140300** dated 27.01.2023 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “(i). The institution failed to submit reply to the Final Show Cause Notice dated 14.09.2022. (ii). Further, it is also observed that the institution has not filled Performance Appraisal Report (PAR).”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Mr. Mahamad Ameer, Administrator of North Karnataka D.Ed. College, 36/A, Hulsoor Road, Basvakalyan, Bidar, Karnataka-585327** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “We humbly submit that our institution has not receive the final show cause notice. the Hon’ble high court of Karnataka in w.p.201231 of 2024 has made order that reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority. Hon’ble court has also noted about appeal to be filed by the institution. our institution is having all the facilities and documents as per NCTE norms. we are submitting herewith the certified copy of land documents, approved building plan, building completion certificate, land use certificate, non-encumbrance certificate, form ‘A’ for FDRs for rs.12 lakhs and approved staff list for kind consideration of the appeal committee and also we have filled performance appraisal report(par) for the academic year 2021-22& 2022-23. we humbly request the appeal committee to kindly grant recognition for our D.El.Ed. course which is already running from past 18 years and oblige.”

### III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 4th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for Elementary (D.Ed.) course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students vide order dated 12.04.2007. The recognition of the institution for D.El.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 27.01.2023.

The instant matter was placed in its 4<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 and 6<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 28.05.2025. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant 3<sup>rd</sup>/Final opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 04.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents noted that the SRC has withdrawn the recognition of the appellant institution vide order dated 27.01.2023 against which the appellant institution has preferred an appeal dated 24.03.2025.

The Appeal Committee noted that the institution filed a Writ Petition No. 201231 of 2024 before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Kalaburagi Bench and the Hon'ble High Court passed an order dated 16.05.2024. The operative part of the order is as under: -

***“...5. For the reason that the petitioner is having an alternative efficacious remedy, the writ petition is hereby dismissed without going into the merits of the case, reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority in the manner known to law, if it is so advised. However, keeping in view the interest of the innocent students who have been admitted by the petitioner, they are permitted to appear in the ensuing examination for First Year D.Ed. course scheduled from 27.05.2024, which shall be subject to the result of the appeal that the petitioner may prefer. In the event the petitioner fails to succeed in the appeal and the admission of its students***

*are nullified, the students shall have the liberty to proceed against the petitioner to recover the damages for the injuries suffered by them.*

**6. The respondents shall permit the students of the petitioner-Institution as mentioned in Annexures-E and E1 to the writ petition to appear in the examination after collecting all the necessary fees and if they are found otherwise eligible."**

The Appeal Committee considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report and after careful examination of the records and submissions placed before it, the Appeal Committee observed that the institution has exhibited gross non-compliance with the mandatory statutory obligations stipulated under the National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014, framed in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993. After careful deliberation, the Committee noted the following serious deficiencies:

- (i) The appellant institution failed to substantiate with documentary proof before the Appeal Committee on the deficiency observed by the SRC through its Final Show Cause Notice dated 14.09.2022, thereby violating procedural requirements and exhibiting a lack of institutional diligence.
- (ii) **Failure to Provide Requisite Documents:** Despite specific instructions by the Appeal Committee, the institution failed to produce basic and essential records such as the land & building documents. The Appeal Committee observed that the compliance submitted by the institution remained deficient and incomplete. The land documents were submitted only in photocopy form and not as certified copies; the Building Completion Certificate was unsigned by the competent engineer; the staff list was only a photocopy, not supported with the approval of the affiliating body, nor substantiated with the proceedings of the Selection Committee or the panel of experts. In the absence of such records, the staff list could not be relied upon as authentic or valid proof of compliance and institution also failed to furnish salary statements evidencing payment of salaries to faculty through bank transfer, duly certified by the disbursing bank for the last six months, as required under Clause 10(2) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014.
- (iii) **Non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs):** The institution has not filed the required Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) for relevant academic sessions, which is a serious lapse in continuous quality monitoring as per NCTE's mandated compliance framework.

- (iv) The appeal has been filed after an excessive delay of 1 year, 11 months, and 25 days beyond the limitation period prescribed under Section 18(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993. The appellant institution has failed to provide any credible or justified grounds for condoning such delay, which reflects disregard towards regulatory timelines and compliance obligations.

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is in repeated, deliberate, and continuing default of the statutory norms, standards, and conditions of recognition prescribed under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant institution is still lacking on the above grounds and deficiencies are not merely procedural lapses but amount to material violations affecting the core standards of academic and infrastructural integrity of the teacher education program. The Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 27.01.2023 issued by SRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 27.01.2023 issued by SRC is confirmed.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 27.01.2023 issued by SRC is confirmed.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, North Karnataka D.Ed. College, 36/A, Hulsoor Road, Basvakalyan, Bidar, Karnataka-585327.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.





**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-200/E-368760/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLSRC202515116 (E- 8763) .**

Universal College of Education, 156, 157, Avilala, Tirupathi Rural, Satyagnana Nagar, Tirupathi, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517507	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. Ullapu Sarade, Sec. &amp; Correspondent</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, SRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	04.07.2025
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	20.08.2025

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Universal College of Education, 156, 157, Avilala, Tirupathi Rural, Satyagnana Nagar, Tirupathi, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517507** dated 06.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. SRO / NCTE / APS005500 / B.Ed. / {AP} / 2020 / 121942-1948** dated 24.12.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "(i) The management has not shifted its institution to its own permanent building which is in violation of the NCTE Regulations, 2002, 3(c). (ii) The institution has also not responded to the Show Cause Notice issued on 26.11.2019."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Mr. Ullapu Sarade, Sec. & Correspondent of Universal College of Education, 156, 157, Avilala, Tirupathi Rural, Satyagnana Nagar, Tirupathi, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517507** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The management has shifting to new building permanent building application submitted date on 28.06.2019. Copy enclosed. The management secretary is admitted hospital (ICU) hart problem. Medical copy submitted."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for Secondary (B.Ed.) course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (Hundred) students vide order dated 19.09.2007. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit dt. 19.02.2015 for its willingness for adherence of provisions of new Regulations. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students (two units) from the academic

session 2015-16 vide order dated 12.05.2015. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 24.12.2020.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. Upon examination of the records, the Committee noted that the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) had withdrawn the recognition of the institution on the ground that the management had failed to shift the institution to its own permanent building, in contravention of NCTE Regulation. The Committee further noted that the institution had not responded to the Show Cause Notice issued on 26.11.2019 prior to the withdrawal of recognition. The appellant institution with respect to the requirement of shifting to its permanent building, submitted that it had placed a proposal for change of location on 28.06.2019. The institution also admitted that a Show Cause Notice dated 26.11.2019 was issued to it but claimed that due to ill health it could not furnish a reply.

The Appeal Committee further observed that the appeal has been filed after an inordinate delay of four years, three months, and thirteen days beyond the limitation prescribed under Section 18(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993. The appellant institution failed to put forth any credible or sufficient grounds to justify condonation of such delay, thereby reflecting disregard for statutory timelines and compliance obligations.

In view of the above, the Appeal Committee found that the appellant institution has failed to adhere to the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, and that the inordinate delay in filing the appeal disentitles it to any relief. It was also noted that despite issuance of the Show Cause Notice, the institution did not file any reply before the SRC. Accordingly, the Committee held that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition of the institution and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected. The impugned order dated 24.12.2020 issued by the SRC is, therefore, confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 24.12.2020 issued by SRC is confirmed.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 24.12.2020 issued by SRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Universal College of Education, 156, 157, Avilala, Tirupathi Rural, Satyagnana Nagar, Tirupathi, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517507.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-203/E-366531/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLSRC202515033/ E- 87631**

Al-Meezan Educational Associations B.Ed. College, 21, 22,33 and 12, Highlands, Anandnagar Road, Old Hubli, Dharwad, Karnataka-580024	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. Vijay Kumar Kattimani, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, SRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **AI-Meezan Educational Associations B.Ed. College, 21, 22,33 and 12, Highlands, Anandnagar Road, Old Hubli, Dharwad, Karnataka-580024** dated 01.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. SRO / NCTE / APS03724 / B.Ed. / {KA} / 2021 / 129746** dated 30.12.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "(i) The institution submitted a proforma of 15 faculty only for the academic session 2018-19. The institution did not submit latest approval of faculty duly signed and approved by the Affiliating University. Further, the faculty strength is not enough to run B.Ed. course (2 units) as required under Appendix 4 of NCTE Regulations, 2014. (ii) The building plan submitted by the institution do not show the availability of Multipurpose Hall. (iii) The institution did not submit prescribed format of LUC and B&C. (iv) The institution did not submit form 'A' issued by the bank regarding maintenance of FDRs towards Endowment and Reserve Funds as required under NCTE Regulations, 2014. (v) The institution did not submit details of administrative and professional staff as required under clause 5.3 of Appendix 4 of NCTE Regulations, 2014 for B.Ed. course. (vi) The institution did not submit proof of disbursement of salary to faculty & non-teaching staff through bank account as required under clause 10(2) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. (vii) The website of the institution is not uploaded with the information required under clauses 7(14)(i), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. (viii) The institution did not submit registration, bye-laws etc. related to the managing society/trust."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Mr. Vijay Kumar Kattimani, Principal of AI-Meezan Educational Associations B.Ed. College, 21, 22,33 and 12, Highlands, Anandnagar Road, Old Hubli, Dharwad, Karnataka-580024** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "It is humbly submitted that our institution AI-Meezan Educational Association's B.Ed. College, Highlands, Anandnagar Road, Old Hubli, Dharwad District, Karnataka-580024 run by

Al-Meezan Educational Association, Hubli, Karnataka is approved by NCTE vide order No.F.SRO/NCTE/2005-2006/4826 dated 21.12.2005, and the institution is providing education to the students since 2005 without any disturbance. The institution has also got renewal of affiliation from the Karnatak University, Dharwad, Karnataka for the current academic year. That the institution has immediately submitted the reply to the final show cause notice dated 29.09.2020 issued to the institution vide our letter dated 02.11.2020. That thereafter, the institution has not received any email and postal letter from NCTE till now and the institution was under the impression that our reply to show cause notice was positively considered by NCTE. That it was only on 16.04.2025 the institution received verbal communication from the Karnatak University that our approval from NCTE has been withdrawn by the NCTE. But unfortunately, we have not received any communication from NCTE either through post or email stating that our institution's recognition has been withdrawn by NCTE. Also we have found no gazette publication against our institution by the NCTE in terms of the NCTE Act 1993. That therefore, the institution immediately wrote a letter to SRC, NCTE vide our letter dated 18.04.2025 asking to provide the recognition status of our institution at the earliest so that we may inform the university accordingly, through email as well as registered post. But till now the institution has not received any reply/response from NCTE in this regard. That University vide their letter dated 22.04.2025 received on 24.04.2025 informed us that our recognition has been withdrawn by the NCTE. But no copy of the withdrawal letter was given to us. That the representative of the institution immediately approached to the SRC, NCTE, New Delhi with a request to provide the copy of the withdrawal letter. But the authorities concerned has informed that the order copy cannot be given by hand and the same is available in the website of NCTE. Then only we came to know that our withdrawal order has been uploaded in the official website of NCTE. Therefore, immediately we downloaded the order from NCTE website. Hence this appeal. That with regard to the deficiencies observed by the Committee, we are providing the following clarifications:- Compliance to Deficiency No.1 In compliance with this deficiency we have appointed the qualified principal and two assistant professors as per NCTE norms. The list of complete faculty of the institution duly approved by the NCTE is enclosed herewith. Compliance to Deficiency No.2 In compliance with this, in the building plan in

the basement we have provide the Multipurpose Hall of 704 cm x 2308c. The plan is enclosed herewith. Compliance to Deficiency No.3 In compliance with this, it is submitted that the institution has received LUC in the prescribed format and we have applied for BCC and same will be arranged soon. The copies are enclosed herewith. Compliance to Deficiency No.4 In compliance with this, the institution has already complied with it and the copies of FDRs are enclosed herewith. Compliance to Deficiency No.5 In compliance with this, the institution has enclosed herewith the complete details of the Administrative and Professional Staff. Compliance to Deficiency No.6 In compliance with this, it is submitted that the salary to the faculty & non-teaching staff are paid through bank account as required under clause 10(2) of NCTE, Regulations Act, 2014. The details of salary to the faculty and non-teaching staffs are enclosed herewith. Compliance to Deficiency No.7 In compliance with this, it is submitted that the website of the institution is properly maintained and uploaded with the required information as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. Compliance to Deficiency No.8 In compliance with this, it is submitted that we have already submitted required documents of the organization. We are submitting herewith the copy of the registration certificate of the society and Memorandum of association and rules and regulations of the society. That our College is one of the oldest unaided institution in Karnataka and the existence of this institution is beneficial to many poor students who are living in nearby villages in Dharwad District. Since 2005 we have been complying with all the compliances asked by the NCTE from time to time and we have never failed in providing any documents asked by the NCTE since its inception. It is therefore, we humbly prayed the withdrawal order of the SRC, NCTE may kindly be reconsidered and necessary recognition order may kindly be issued to the institution.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting secondary (B.Ed.) course of one-year duration with an



annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 21.12.2005. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit dt. 30.01.2015 for its willingness for adherence of provisions of new Regulations. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution vide order dated 16.05.2015 for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students for two basic units from the academic session 2015-16. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 30.12.2021.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Appeal Committee upon examination of the records, noted that the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) had withdrawn the recognition of the institution on several grounds pertaining to infrastructural and instructional facilities, in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The Appeal Committee on perusal of the Appeal Report and the submissions made by the appellant institution, the Committee observed that the institution had failed to submit the following essential documents:

- (i) The institution submitted an unapproved staff list without the requisite testimonials, service certificates, marks cards, and other supporting documents. The staff list was also not substantiated with the proceedings of the Selection Committee, or the panel of experts involved in the faculty selection process. In the absence of such records, the staff list cannot be relied upon as authentic or supported by substantial proof.
- (ii) The institution did not furnish salary statements evidencing payment of salaries to the appointed faculty members through bank transfer, duly certified by the disbursing bank for the last six months, as mandated under Clause 10(2) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014.
- (iii) The institution failed to submit an approved building plan, Land Use Certificate (LUC), and Building Completion Certificate (BCC). Further, the institution's website has not been updated with the mandatory disclosures required under Clauses 7(14)(i), 8(14), and 10(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

The Committee further noted that the appeal was filed after an inordinate delay of three years, one month, and twenty-nine days beyond the limitation period prescribed under Section 18(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993. The appellant institution did not provide any credible or satisfactory justification for condonation of such delay, which reflects disregard for the statutory timelines and compliance obligations. The Appeal

Committee observed that the appellant institution is in repeated, deliberate, and continuing default of the statutory norms, standards, and conditions of recognition prescribed under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The deficiencies noted are not merely procedural in nature but constitute material violations affecting the fundamental academic and infrastructural standards of the teacher education programme.

Accordingly, the Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition of the institution. The Committee, therefore, decided that the appeal lacks merit and deserves to be rejected, and consequently confirmed the impugned order dated 30.12.2021 issued by SRC.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 30.12.2021 issued by SRC is confirmed.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 30.12.2021 issued by SRC is confirmed.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### **Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Al-Meezan Educational Associations B.Ed. College, 21, 22,33 and 12, Highlands, Anandnagar Road, Old Hubli, Dharwad, Karnataka-580024.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Room No. 645 A, 2<sup>nd</sup> Gate, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, M.S. Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.**



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-210/E-369097/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLSRC202515122 / E-87631**

Dhivyam College of Education, 5/226, Morappur Main Road, Solaikottai, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu-636704	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. Munuswamy S, Chief Executive Officer</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, SRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Dhivyam College of Education, 5/226, Morappur Main Road, Solaikottai, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu-636704** dated 10.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. SRC / NCTE / SRCAPP2136 / B.Ed. / 459<sup>th</sup> Mtg. / TN / 2025 / 148057** dated 15.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “(i) The institution has not submitted proof towards payment of salary to staff through online /Bank mode. (ii) The Bank Statement submitted by the institution towards payment of salary to staff is not countersigned by the concerned Bank.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Mr. Munuswamy S, Chief Executive Officer of Dhivyam College of Education, 5/226, Morappur Main Road, Solaikottai, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu-636704** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “Our institution ready to submit proof towards payment of salary through online /bank mode (applied time chairman kept the documents in locker). Bank statement submitted by our institution towards payment of salary to staff is not countersigned by the concern Bank (applied time chairman kept the documents in locker) we ready to submit the documents.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of

100 students vide order dated 31.05.2015 from the academic session 2015-2016. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 15.05.2025.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Appeal Committee noted that the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) had withdrawn the recognition of the institution on the ground that it had failed to furnish proof of payment of salaries to staff through online/bank transfer. The Bank Statement submitted by the institution was not duly countersigned by the concerned Bank, as required under the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

The Appeal Committee on perusal of the appeal report and submissions made, the Committee further observed that the institution had not submitted valid proof of salary disbursement through online/bank mode. The Bank Statement placed on record does not establish that payments were credited into the individual accounts of the faculty members concerned. Consequently, it remains unsubstantiated that salaries were being regularly disbursed to all staff engaged in the teacher education programme.

The Appeal Committee observed that the salary payment mechanism is a critical component of institutional compliance, as it directly impacts the credibility, continuity, and quality of teacher education. The deficiencies identified in this regard are not merely technical or procedural but constitute substantive violations of the mandatory regulatory requirements.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record Appeal Committee found no credible justification or compliance on the part of the appellant, the Appeal Committee concluded that SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 15.05.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 15.05.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Dhivyam College of Education, 5/226, Morappur Main Road, Solaikottai, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu-636704.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Chennai, Tamil Nadu-600009.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-211/E-369096/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLSRC202515126/ E-87631**

Vijaya College of Physical Education, 1/4/F, 1/4/g, 1/4/c, 1/4/d, 1/4/b, Kunna, Loyabat Road, Vijayawada Rural Taluk, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. B. Uday Kumar, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, SRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Vijaya College of Physical Education, 1/4/F, 1/4/g, 1/4/c, 1/4/d, 1/4/b, Kunna, Loyabat Road, Vijayawada Rural Taluk, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh** dated 10.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. SRC / NCTE / SRCAPP35 / B.P.ED. / 459<sup>th</sup> Mtg. / AP / 2025 / 148055** dated 15.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The Non-Encumbrance submitted by the institution shows that the land of the institution is mortgaged with Bank(s), hence, the land of the institution is not free from all encumbrances."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Mr. B. Uday Kumar, Principal of Vijaya College of Physical Education, 1/4/F, 1/4/g, 1/4/c, 1/4/d, 1/4/b, Kunna, Loyabat Road, Vijayawada Rural Taluk, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The deficiencies pointed out by the SRC has been rectified. the institution is fulfilling all the criteria as such the withdrawal order may be set aside."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.P.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 03.03.2014 from the academic session 2014-2015. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit dt. 14.02.2015 for its willingness for adherence of provisions of new Regulations. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution vide order dated 19.05.2015 for conducting B.P.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students (two basic units) from the academic session 2015-16.



The recognition of the institution for B.P.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 15.05.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 04.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee and during the online hearing the Appeal Committee took note of the explanation and submissions of the institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the SRC in its withdrawal order dated 15.05.2025 pointed out that the Non-Encumbrance submitted by the institution shows that the land of the institution is mortgaged with Bank(s), hence, the land of the institution is not free from all encumbrances.”

The Appeal Committee noted that the institution has submitted detailed explanation alongwith submitted the documents with a claim to have rectified the shortcomings points with reference to the Non-Encumbrance Certificate pointed out in the impugned order dated 15.05.2025. Hence, the Committee decided to remand back the matter to SRC, NCTE with the direction to verify the NEC submitted by the appellant institution as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and keeping in view, the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi Judgment dated **23.02.2017** passed in **W.P(C). no. 3231/2016** titled **“Rambha College of Education V/s NCTE”** wherein the Hon’ble Court has directed the Appeal Committee to take into consideration the subsequent documents of the Appellant while disposing of the Appeal has to be taken on record.

The Appeal Committee noted that the document submitted in appeal viz a viz the grounds mentioned in the impugned order dated 15.05.2025, required to be verified by the SRC and decision taken accordingly.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not***

***compelled to approach the Court in this manner."***

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

***"Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed."***

In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the case of the appellant institution is remanded back to SRC for revisiting the matter.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to set aside the implunged order dated 15.05.2025 and remand back the case to SRC with a direction to verify the NEC submitted by the appellant institution and decision taken accordingly. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the SRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to verify the NEC submitted by the appellant institution and decision taken accordingly. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the SRC to take further necessary action as per the

**NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Vijaya College of Physical Education, 1/4/F, 1/4/g, 1/4/c, 1/4/d, 1/4/b, Kunna, Loyabat Road, Vijayawada Rural Taluk, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.**



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-46/E-357117/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLWRC202414823 / E-8763**

Lt. Matushree Shantaben Jivrajbhai Korat B.Ed. College, Village-Jetpur, Street/Road - Old Rupavati Road, District - Rajkot, Gujarat-360370	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>No one appeared</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>04.07.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>20.08.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF ORDER**

The appeal of **Lt. Matushree Shantaben Jivrajbhai Korat B.Ed. College, Village-Jetpur, Street/Road - Old Rupavati Road, District - Rajkot, Gujarat-360370** dated 15.10.2024 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. WRC / APW01417 / 323215 / Guj. / 255<sup>th</sup> / 2016 / 170146-170153** dated 28.06.2016 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "A Resolution has been received from the Lt. Shantaben Jivarajbhai Korat Education Trust for closing the Lt. Shantaben Jivarajbhai Korat College because no students are available. The NOC from the Saurashtra University has also been submitted".

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

No one from **Lt. Matushree Shantaben Jivrajbhai Korat B.Ed. College, Village-Jetpur, Street/Road - Old Rupavati Road, District - Rajkot, Gujarat-360370** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "It is most respectfully submitted that the decision of Closing the B.Ed. Course of the institution by WRC in its 255th meeting is based on wrong and incorrect facts. The institution is duly recognized by the NCTE since 09.08.2005 for B.Ed. Course. (Copy of the Recognition Order is Enclosed) and has time and again complied with all the norms and regulations of NCTE Act. The letter dt. 09.10.15, was never written by the management for closure whereas the same were written for continuing the recognition. The institution management had on earlier occasion had taken a decision on 26.07.15 for closure of the institution on which no action was taken upon by the WRC. However, the management realized its mistake and decision taken in haste to close the institution and passed a resolution on 07.09.2015 for continuing of the B.Ed. Course. The management also informed the WRC vide letters dt. 09.10.15 & 30.05.16 for continuing the B.Ed. course. The copy of the resolution was also submitted alongwith the said letters. (Copies of letters dt. 09.10.2015 & 30.05.2016 are enclosed alongwith Resolution of the Society) The Hon'ble High Court in W.P (C) 5753/2022 had clarified that if the request for closure has

been taken back by the institution, then in that case request for continuing ought to be entertained and the institution shall remain recognized for all purposes. The operative portion is as under: - "3. Issue notice. Mr. Manoharan accepts notice and submits that an endeavor will be made to consider both the pending applications of the petitioner – one seeking permission for closure of the M.Ed. course, and the other seeking withdrawal of its earlier application for closure of the course, in the next meeting of the respondent no. 2. He, therefore, prays that hearing in the present petition be deferred. 4. Having considered the submissions of the parties, I find that it is an undisputed position that the petitioner's application dated 18.07.2019 seeking permission for closure has remained un-actioned till date. It is also an admitted position that the petitioner has now sought to withdraw its application for closure. It is not a case where respondent had found any deficiency in the working of the petitioner institute vis-a-vis the M.Ed. course. In my considered view, in these circumstances, when the petitioner has itself withdrawn its request for closure of the said course, there remains nothing for the respondent no. 2 to consider in its meeting. In fact, on many occasions, it has been projected before this Court that the WRC is overburdened with applications seeking recognition, and therefore, even otherwise, no useful purpose will be served in respondent no. 2 now considering the petitioner's application. 5. The writ petition is accordingly, allowed by directing the respondent no. 2 to not take any further action on the basis of the petitioner's application dated 18.07.2019. Consequently, the application dated 18.02.2022 will be rendered infructuous. The respondent no. 2 will forthwith issue a clarification to the concerned affiliating university that the petitioner continues to be a recognized institute for running the M.Ed. course. Information in this regard also to be displayed on the web-portal of the concerned affiliating university. It is, however, made clear that in case the respondents find any deficiency in running of the M.Ed. course by the petitioner, it will always be open for the respondents to take action in accordance with the regulations." The Closure order wrongly recorded the submission of documents without even looking at the letters dt. 09.10.2015 & 30.05.2016 for CONTINUING the B.Ed Course by the management. As per Clause 7(19) of the NCTE Regulations, the Regional Committee shall process the application for closure in the manner prescribed for the processing of applications for new programmes or additional programmes or

additional intake. And also NCTE has issued guidelines issued on 24.12.2014, for processing of application for closure of programmes in para 8 In respect of of application pending seeking closure of programme, the concerned institution will be required to enclose its request letter with resolution of the society/trust, a NOC from affiliating body and an affidavit by the institution stating that they have cleared the dues of all the employees, and they have made provisions for the existing students to complete the course “ No such exercise has been carried out in the present case, and the institution is being made to suffer for the same. The institution immediately after getting the knowledge of the decision of closure, the management of the institution gave a detailed representation and all the documents as evidence with respect continuing the B.Ed. Course in the institution, however till date no action has been contemplated on the same. It is submitted that the institution duly submitted a notarized affidavits dt. 16.03.2018 & 19.03.2019 (Copies Enclosed), under the signatures of the trustee detailing the sequence of events and willingness to continue the B.Ed. Course in the institution. The institution has made many representations to the WRC but, no response has been received till date. It is matter of record that no show cause notice was issued to the institution prior to closure of the institution, which is against the rules and regulations as laid down under the ACT. Attention is drawn towards all the communications as well representations made to the WRC wherein all the details have been specifically provided mentioning all the facts. Furthermore, the closure order so received did not mention the appropriate remedy for cancelling the Order, so the institution was continuously writing to the WRC for continuation of the recognition, as the management at no point in time had any intention to close the programme. Furthermore, even the online module of the appeal does not have an option for filing an appeal against closure order nor any section is specified for filing an appeal against closure order. The management of the institution was recently advised to appeal against the closure order and is doing so to meet the ends of justice. Further, it is pertinent to mention that the name of the regional director as mentioned in the closure letter is not the same person as who has signed the closure order, which also raises serious assertions in issuance of the closure order, which otherwise is also issued based on letters which say the contrary. The Institution is a multi-disciplinary institution and runs

various courses and duly fulfils criteria as per NCTE Norms and regulations. With all above references, the institution upholds that standards laid by NCTE for the foresaid course, We request the Appeal Committee to take appropriate and holistic action regarding the matter in a manner that does not harm the interest of the students in the vicinity, who are eagerly waiting for the institution to run again smoothly. It is important to mention that the college situates in a rural area, and there is a requirement for B.Ed College in the vicinity. It is pertinent to mention that due to wrong and arbitrary decision of WRC, the institution, which is running successfully since 2005, the closure order has been passed based on wrong facts and without even issuing a show cause notice which is against the NCTE Act and regulations. The institution urges for reversing/setting aside the Closure Order as passed on the grounds mentioned above and requests to for an early action in this regard. The institution with folded hand and utmost respect prays that the Closure Order as passed by the WRC be set aside and quashed in the interest of justice as the WRC has failed to appreciate the correct facts and documents available on record. The institution has a good name in the vicinity and is imparting quality education since 2005. You are requested to kindly take a lenient view and restore the recognition of the institution with immediate effect.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course vide order dated 09.08.2005. The file of the institution for B.Ed. course was closed by the WRC as per the request of the Appellant Institution vide order dated 28.06.2016.

The instant matter was placed in its 3<sup>rd</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 07.03.2025 and 5<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee decided to seek clarification/documents from the WRC on the claim of the institution, and to keep the appeal pending till the clarification/report is submitted by the Regional Director, Western



Regional Committee (WRC), NCTE, so that the decision of the appeal Committee become authenticated.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting held on 04.07.2025. The Appeal Committee on examination of the records, the Committee noted that the Western Regional Committee (WRC) had withdrawn the recognition of the institution on the ground that **“A Resolution has been received from the Lt. Shantaben Jivarajbhai Korat Education Trust fro closing the Lt. Shantaben Jivarajbhai Korat College because no students are available. The NOC from the Saurashtra University has also been submitted”.**

The appellant institution, however, contended before the Appeal Committee that the letter dated 09.10.2015 was never intended as a request for closure but was, in fact, issued for continuation of recognition. The management admitted that an earlier resolution dated 26.07.2015 had been passed for closure of the institution but clarified that the said decision was taken in haste and was subsequently reconsidered. A fresh resolution dated 07.09.2015 was passed by the management for continuation of the B.Ed. course, and the same was duly communicated to the WRC through letters dated 09.10.2015 and 30.05.2016. The Appeal Committee also noted that despite seeking a report from the WRC, no response was received.

The Committee further observed that the present appeal has been filed after an inordinate delay of 8 years, 1 month, and 17 days beyond the limitation prescribed under Section 18(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993. The appellant institution failed to demonstrate any credible or sufficient cause for condoning such extraordinary delay, thereby showing disregard for statutory timelines and compliance obligations.

The Appeal Committee after having considered the records and submissions, the Appeal Committee held that the appellant institution has defaulted in adhering to the statutory framework governing appeals. The WRC was justified in closing the file of the institution with respect to the B.Ed. course. Accordingly, the Appeal Committee

concluded that the appeal deserves to be rejected, and the impugned order dated 28.06.2016 issued by the WRC is hereby confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in closing the file of the Appellant Institution for B.Ed. course and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 28.06.2016 issued by WRC is confirmed.

**IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee concluded that the WRC was justified in closing the file of the Appellant Institution for B.Ed. course and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 28.06.2016 issued by WRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, Lt. Matushree Shantaben Jivrajbhai Korat B.Ed. College, Village-Jetpur, Street/Road - Old Rupavati Road, District - Rajkot, Gujarat-360370.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Block No. 5, 8th Floor, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar, Gujarat.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 20.08.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-124/E-362333/2025 Appeal/8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLSRC202515019 | E- 87631**

Hindu College of Education, 24-2-1/A, 24-1-45, Opp Sri Venkateshwara, Vignana Mandiram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522003	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Mr. B. Jithendra Nag, Office Administrator</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, SRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	04.07.2025
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	20.08.2025

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF AS PER MINUTES**

The appeal of **Hindu College of Education, 24-2-1/A, 24-1-45, Opp Sri Venkateshwara, Vignana Mandiram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522003** dated 15.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Minutes of 445<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> October 2024 of the Southern Regional Committee on the grounds that “a) The institution was recognised by SRC-NCTE with an annual intake of 100 (two units) vide order dated 20/10/2008 from the academic session 2008-2009. b) After promulgation of NCTE Regulations 2014 and on the request of the institution, the intake of the institution was reduced to 50 seats (one unit) by SRC through a Revised Recognition order dated 26th April 2017. c) On the basis of compliance submitted by the institution in response to SCN dated 15th July 2023, the continuation order was issued to the institution for B.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students (one unit) vide dated 31st August 2023. d) Aggrieved by this decision of SRC, the institution approached the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh. The directions of the Hon'ble High Court in WP No. 23884/2023 was considered by SRC in 429<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 11th October 2023 and the SRC decided to inform the institution that their request for increase the seats cannot be acceded to as the institution itself requested earlier to run B.Ed. course with one unit only. The institution may apply for an additional intake as and when the applications are invited by the NCTE through online portal. The same was intimated to the institution vide dated 23rd October 2023. e) The institution submitted a further representation dated 25/05/2024 requesting to reinstate their intake for 2 units in our B.Ed. course, which was considered by SRC in its 438<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 03/06/2024 and SRC decided to constitute the online Visiting Team for inspection under Section 13 of the NCTE Act for the institution. f) The institution vide a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amrawati and the Hon'ble Court vide order in W.P. No. 19774 dated 23.09.2024 has passed the following order :- “2. At the outset, it is the contention of the Petitioner-Institution that the respondents are not conducting virtual inspection and restoring the original intake capacity of students i.e. 250 from the academic year 2024-25. 3. Learned counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent has furnished proceedings sent through email dated 23.09.2024 (part of the

record), which alludes that in the 438th meeting the representation of the Institution was considered and decided to constitute online visiting team for inspection. 4. And also indicate that the matter is pending before the Principal Secretary, soon after direction from the Principal Secretary, visiting team, will be constituted as per the procedure and the constituted team will conduct inspection of the Institution and submit a report to the SRC. Thereafter, SRC Committee Members will decide the same, based on the representation and report. 5. In pursuance of the proceedings furnished by counsel for the 2nd respondent, this Court is of the opinion that it is unnecessary to keep the Writ Petitions pending inviting counter. Hence, the present Writ Petitions are disposed of directing the Principal Secretary to constitute the inspection team to conduct the inspection of the petitioner-institution within a period of six (6) weeks from today and submit inspection report to the SRC Committee and thereafter the SRC Committee shall take decision as per the report submitted by the inspection team forwarded through the Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education. 6. Accordingly, both the Writ Petitions are disposed of. There shall no order as to costs.” g) Subsequently an IA was filed by the institute where the “Principal Secretary” was modified to “Member Secretary”. h) On further review, it was informed to the SRC, in October 2024 that inspection under Section-13 of the NCTE Act empowers NCTE Council to decide for inspections of the already running institutions to ascertain whether the recognised institution is functioning in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act. The SRC is not empowered to decide for inspection u/s 13 of the NCTE Act, 1993. Hence, this issue was discussed in the meeting held on 26/10/24. i) There is a prescribed procedure for applying for additional intake as defined in clause 5 (3) of NCTE Regulations 2014, which was not followed by the institution in the instant case. In view of the above, the SRC noted that the decision in 438th meeting held on 03/06/2024 was taken inadvertently as the SRC is not empowered to take decision for causing inspection u/s 13 and, therefore, be treated as withdrawn. Further, after careful consideration of all the facts, the SRC decided the following:- (a) The Committee considered the Representation submitted by the institution and decided to inform the institution that their request for increasing the intake cannot be acceded to as the institution itself requested earlier to run B.Ed. course with one unit only in 2016 & 2017. Therefore, the

institution may apply for an additional intake as and when the applications are invited by the NCTE through online portal. (b) SRC further decided that the Counsel for SRC-NCTE be requested to file an I.A. / Review / Appeal before the appropriate forum in the above matter keeping in view the above facts.”

## **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Mr. B. Jithendra Nag, Office Administrator of Hindu College of Education, 24-2-1/A, 24-1-45, Opp Sri Venkateshwara, Vignana Mandiram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522003** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 04.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “(i) That the SRC its meeting dated 26.10.2024 has refused to restore the intake of our institution from one unit to two unit. A copy of SRC, 445th meeting order held on 26.10.2024 is enclosed herewith as Enclosure 1. (ii) That in order to appreciate various contentions and averments being raised hereinafter by the Appellant, it is necessary to state the following few relevant facts in brief. (iii) That SRC, conducted expert visit and verified infrastructural & instructional facilities of our institution and issued recognition order dated 20.10.2008 for conducting B.Ed. course of one year from the academic session 2008-2009 with an annual intake of 100 students to our institution. A copy of SRC recognition order dated 20.10.2008 is enclosed herewith as Enclosure 2. (iv) That NCTE issued the new Regulations in 2014 and the institution submitted its compliance to the said new Regulations. Accordingly, SRC issued revised recognition order dated 26.05.2015 to our institution for an annual intake of 100 students. A copy of revised recognition order dated 26.05.2015 is enclosed herewith as Enclosure 3. (v) That since at that point of time the demand of the B.Ed. course was no much therefore appellant requested the SRC for reduction of one unit. Accordingly, SRC vide its order dated 31.08.2023 reduced two unit to one unit and issued the continuance order. A copy of order dated 31.08.2023 is enclosed as Enclosure 4. (vi) That thereafter, in year 2024 there was great demand for the B.Ed. admissions and therefore appellant dated 25.05.2024 submitted its representation alongwith supporting documents seeking restoration of the intake to two units. A True Copy of the dated 25.05.2024 along with representation documents are enclosed as Enclosure 5. (vii) That it is submitted that thereafter SRC decided to

constitute the Visiting Team for inspection of the Appellant Institution. However, no inspection of the Appellant Institution was conducted by the SRC. It is submitted that Appellant along with its representation had already provided all the details to the SRC, however, the SRC vide its impugned decision taken in its 445th meeting held of 26.10.2024, refused to restore the intake as was granted earlier by the SRC.

SUBMISSIONS BEFORE THE APPEAL COMMITTEE (viii) That it is submitted that the SRC has misinterpreted the case of the institution under clause 5 (3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 as the case of the institution in no way relate with new or additional programme. It is a fact that the institution is a already recognised institution since 20.10.2008. (ix) That the contention of the institution for reduction of intake was for some period of time due to constraint financial problem and when the institution is able to uplift its position then only requested the SRC for restoration of intake of the institution. (x) That in the similar circumstances, the SRC in the matter of Mumbai Karnataka Education Trust's B.Ed. College for Women, Renebennur, Karnatka has allowed running of B.Ed. programme from 1 unit to 2 unit. The SRC accordingly issued Corrigendum to this effect in SRC Meeting 435th dated 03.04.2024 (copy enclosed). (xi) That the other Regional Committees of the NCTE e.g. NRC in the case of Gurukul Bharti College of Education, Himachal Pradesh allowed the restoration of intake of the institution as per NRC minutes 427th Meeting dated 18.09.2024 (copy enclosed) after being remanded back by the Appellate Authority. (xii) That there are in several cases the Regional Committee and Appellate Authority of the NCTE considered such type of matters and thereby considered and allowed additional intake of the institution in the case where after reduction of intake the institution subsequently requested for increase of intake. (xiii) That as per Norms & Standards prescribed for B.Ed. programme the following is provided: (a) The institution shall possess 2500 sq.mts of exclusive well demarcated land for the initial intake of fifty students out of which 1500 sq. mts shall be built up area and the remaining space for lawns, playfields etc. For an additional intake beyond two hundred and upto three hundred, it shall possess land of 3500 sqm. For the institutions established prior to this Regulations. For an additional intake of one hundred students, built up area is to be increased by 500 sqm. And the requirement of additional land may not apply to them. (b) There shall be a basic unit of 50 students with a

maximum of two units. The above provisions clearly implied that the institution running beyond two hundred intake is required to have applications for increase of intake. Also, the institution may have one basic unit with a maximum of two units. (xiv) It is humbly submitted that our institution is a running institution and the SRC had already granted recognition for B.Ed course 2 units vide order dated 20.10.2008. Only on the request of the institution the SRC made the reduction of intake. Now the institution is seeking restoration of intake for smooth functioning of the institution on the same pretext the SRC granted restoration of intake capacity of the institution namely Mumbai Karnatak Education Trust's B.Ed. College for Women, Renebennur, Karnatka has allowed running of B.Ed. programme from 1 unit to 2 unit. The SRC accordingly issued Corrigendum to this effect in SRC Meeting 435th dated 03.04.2024 (copy enclosed). Similarly to this case, the case of the institution is no way related with Additional intake instead it's a restoration of intake granted earlier by the SRC. NCTE. (xv) That allowing one institution restoration of intake capacity and denial of intake capacity to another institution is arbitrary & unlawful. (xvi) That it is submitted that SRC failed to observe that our institution fulfils all the requirements pertaining to infrastructural and instructional facilities, which are required for conducting two units of B.Ed. course. PRAYER It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that NCTE may graciously be pleased to: - (i) Allow the instant Appeal and restore the intake of the Appellant Institution for running the B.Ed. course; and for this act of kindness, the applicant is in duty bound shall for ever pray."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 4<sup>th</sup> July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 20.10.2008. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit dt. 17.01.2015 for its willingness for adherence of provisions of new Regulations. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution vide order dated 26.05.2015 for



conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students for two basic units from the academic session 2015-16. Further, the intake capacity of B.Ed. was reduced from 2 units to 1 unit and withdrawn the recognition of 2<sup>nd</sup> unit for B.Ed. course from the academic session 2016-2017 vide order dated 26.04.2017. The Continuation Order was issued to the institution for B.Ed. Programme for two-years duration with an annual intake of 1 basic unit of 50 students vide order dated 31.08.2023. In the case of the institution the SRC in its 445<sup>th</sup> meeting also observed the following: -

- (a) The institution was recognised by SRC-NCTE with an annual intake of 100 (two units) vide order dated 20/10/2008 from the academic session 2008-2009.
- (b) After promulgation of NCTE Regulations 2014 and on the request of the institution, the intake of the institution was reduced to 50 seats(one unit) by SRC through a Revised Recognition order dated 26th April 2017.
- (c) On the basis of compliance submitted by the institution in response to SCN dated 15th July 2023, the continuation order was issued to the institution for B.Ed. programme with an annual intake of 50 students (one unit) vide dated 31st August 2023.
- (d) Aggrieved by this decision of SRC, the institution approached the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh. The directions of the Hon'ble High Court in WP No. 23884/2023 was considered by SRC in 429<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 11th October 2023 and the SRC decided to inform the institution that their request for increase the seats cannot be acceded to as the institution itself requested earlier to run B.Ed. course with one unit only. The institution may apply for an additional intake as and when the applications are invited by the NCTE through online portal. The same was intimated to the institution vide dated 23rd October 2023.
- (e) The institution submitted a further representation dated 25/05/2024 requesting to reinstate their intake for 2 units in our B.Ed. course, which was considered by SRC in its 438<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 03/06/2024 and SRC decided to constitute the online Visiting Team for inspection under Section 13 of the NCTE Act for the institution.
- (f) The institution vide a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amrawati and the Hon'ble Court vide order in W.P. No. 19774 dated 23.09.2024 has passed the following order :-

"2. At the outset, it is the contention of the Petitioner-Institution that the respondents are not conducting virtual inspection and restoring the original intake capacity of students i.e. 250 from the academic year 2024-25.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent has furnished proceedings sent through email dated 23.09.2024 (part of the record),

which alludes that in the 438th meeting the representation of the Institution was considered and decided to constitute online visiting team for inspection.

4. And also indicate that the matter is pending before the Principal Secretary, soon after direction from the Principal Secretary, visiting team, will be constituted as per the procedure and the constituted team will conduct inspection of the Institution and submit a report to the SRC. Thereafter, SRC Committee Members will decide the same, based on the representation and report.

5. In pursuance of the proceedings furnished by counsel for the 2nd respondent, this Court is of the opinion that it is unnecessary to keep the Writ Petitions pending inviting counter. Hence, the present Writ Petitions are disposed of directing the Principal Secretary to constitute the inspection team to conduct the inspection of the petitioner-institution within a period of six (6) weeks from today and submit inspection report to the SRC Committee and thereafter the SRC Committee shall take decision as per the report submitted by the inspection team forwarded through the Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education.

6. Accordingly, both the Writ Petitions are disposed of. There shall no order as to costs."

- (g) Subsequently an IA was filed by the institute where the "Principal Secretary" was modified to "Member Secretary".
- (h) On further review, it was informed to the SRC, in October 2024 that inspection under Section-13 of the NCTE Act empowers NCTE Council to decide for inspections of the already running institutions to ascertain whether the recognised institution is functioning in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act. The SRC is not empowered to decide for inspection u/s 13 of the NCTE Act, 1993. Hence, this issue was discussed in the meeting held on 26/10/24.
- (i) There is a prescribed procedure for applying for additional intake as defined in clause 5 (3) of NCTE Regulations 2014, which was not followed by the institution in the instant case.

In view of the above, the SRC noted that the decision in 438th meeting held on 03/06/2024 was taken inadvertently as the SRC is not empowered to take decision for causing inspection u/s 13 and, therefore, be treated as withdrawn.

Further, after careful consideration of all the facts, the SRC decided the following :-

- a. The Committee considered the Representation submitted by the institution and decided to inform the institution that their request for increasing the intake cannot be acceded to as the institution itself requested earlier to run B.Ed. course with one unit only in 2016 & 2017.

- Therefore, the institution may apply for an additional intake as and when the applications are invited by the NCTE through online portal.
- b. SRC further decided that the Counsel for SRC-NCTE be requested to file an I.A. / Review / Appeal before the appropriate forum in the above matter keeping in view the above facts.”

The instant matter was placed in its 5<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 29.04.2025. The appellant institution did not appear online to present its case before Appellate Authority on 29.04.2025. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to grant another (Second) opportunity to the appellant institution and the institution was required to submit the documents mentioned therein.

The instant matter was placed in its 8<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 04.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the institution submitted a representation dated 25.05.2024 requesting to reinstate their intake for two units in existing B.Ed. course and the finally informed to the appellant institution vide minutes of 445<sup>th</sup> meeting of SRC held on 26<sup>th</sup> October 2024 that “(a) The Committee considered the Representation submitted by the institution and decided to inform the institution that their request for increasing the intake cannot be acceded to as the institution itself requested earlier to run B.Ed. course with one unit only in 2016 & 2017. Therefore, the institution may apply for an additional intake as and when the applications are invited by the NCTE through online portal. (b) SRC further decided that the Counsel for SRC-NCTE be requested to file an I.A. / Review / Appeal before the appropriate forum in the above matter keeping in view the above facts.”

The Appeal Committee noted that a Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students for two basic units from the academic session 2015-16. Subsequently on the request of the appellant institution an order dated 26.04.2017

was issued to the institution for reduction of intake from 2 units to 1 unit. Thus, the SRC reduced the intake on the request of the institution itself.

The Appeal Committee on the basis of above facts and circumstances is of the view that subsequent request for increase in intake has to be governed by the NCTE-Regulation, 2014. In order to get increased intake, institution is required to apply for additional intake as and when the applications are invited by NCTE through online portal. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee observed that the appeal of the institution is still deficient on the following points: -

- (i) **The SRC reduced the intake of the institution on the request of the institution. Therefore, the institution may apply for an additional intake as per the provisions of Section 15 of the NCTE Act 1993, as and when the applications are invited by NCTE.**

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in rejecting the request for reinstating the intake for B.Ed. Course and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned minutes dated 26.10.2024 issued by SRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in rejecting the request for reinstating the intake for B.Ed. Course and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the decision taken in the impugned minutes dated 26.10.2024 issued by SRC is confirmed.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in rejecting the request for reinstating the intake for B.Ed. Course and decided that the instant appeal**

**deserves to be rejected and therefore, the decision taken in impugned minutes dated 26.10.2024 issued by SRC is confirmed.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
**उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)**

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Hindu College of Education, 24-2-1/A, 24-1-45, Opp Sri Venkateshwara, Vignana Mandiram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522003.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.**