



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-216/E-369441/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515128 / (E-8763)

D.K. Shinde College of Education, 163/1/k/2, 163/1/d, Mal Maruti, Kadgaon Road, Gijawane, Gadhinglaj, Kolhapur, Maharashtra-416502	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. V.S. Salokhe, Registrar
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **D.K. Shinde College of Education, 163/1/k/2, 163/1/d, Mal Maruti, Kadgaon Road, Gijawane, Gadhinglaj, Kolhapur, Maharashtra-416502** dated 11.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC /PAR/ORDER/APW0547/113085** dated 26.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. V.S. Salokhe, Registrar of D.K. Shinde College of Education, 163/1/k/2, 163/1/d, Mal Maruti, Kadgaon Road, Gijawane, Gadhinglaj, Kolhapur, Maharashtra-416502 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We have received a Withdrawal Order from the Hon'ble Council dated 26th May 2025, wherein the Hon'ble Council has asked the college to stop admitting students from the academic year 2025-26 and has withdrawn the recognition for the B. Ed course accorded in 1997. In this regard, we would like to bring to your good self's kind attention that, our Institute named D.K. Shinde College of Education, Gadhinglaj run by Chhatrapati Shahu Institute of Business Education and Research Trust, Kolhapur has been established and recognized in the year 1997. The Institute received the details of requirements of filing a Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) the deadline for which was the 31st of December 2024 as mentioned in Para 3 of the Council's order dated 26th May 2025. In response thereto the College has duly filled the PAR and submitted the same to the council online, on the 2nd December 2024, which is within the due date specified by the Council of 31st December 2024. Further, the Institute received a Show Cause Notice dated 31st of March 2025, Information on the Show cause Notice was sent by the Institute via Email on the 2nd April 2025 and also sent by post on the 4th April 2025 to which, the Institute has replied on the 16th April 2025. The postal acknowledgment of the same by the Council is enclosed herewith for the same. A copy of the PAR duly filed was submitted to the NCTE in reply to the Show Cause notice. However, no cognizance was taken of any of the above actions of the Institute

and recognition was unilaterally withdrawn vide their order dated 26th May 2025. Our institution has very religiously and sincerely been following all the norms and rules laid down by esteemed NCTE and University. Our institution is successfully accredited by NAAC. The Institute has duly filed the PAR for both the academic years online within the respective due dates after paying the required fees for the same. The Institute has also duly replied within time to the Show Cause Notice issued by the Hon'ble Council. We would like to humbly state that our institution is committed to the norms and rules of NCTE. We have, submitted the PAR to the Hon'ble Council within the due date stipulated thereby. We have also replied and complied to the Show Cause Notice within the stipulated time. We, therefore, sincerely request your good self to kindly consider the fact that all the compliances have been made by the Institute within the due dates specified by the Hon'ble Council and restore the recognition for the course of B.Ed to our College and oblige. We are, herewith, enclosing, all the necessary evidence and documents along with the fees of Rs.25,000/-."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 80 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 26.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024.

The Appeal Committee took note of the explanation furnished and the documentary proof for submission of PARs for both 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The Appeal Committee after perusal of the Appeal Report and documents submitted

thereunder filed by the appellant institution found that the institution has submitted the PARs as claimed.

The Appeal Committee upon consideration found that the issues raised require reconsideration and verification by the concerned Regional Committee. Accordingly, in exercise of its powers under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in line with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 23.02.2017 in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) No. 3231/2016], which mandates that all subsequent documents submitted with the appeal must be taken into account, the Appeal Committee decided to remand the case back to WRC for reconsideration.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the case of the appellant institution is remanded back to WRC for revisiting the matter.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 26.05.2025 and remand back the case to WRC with a direction to *re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time*. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to WRC with a direction to re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, D.K. Shinde College of Education, 163/1/k/2, 163/1/d, Mal Maruti, Kadgaon Road, Gijawane, Gadhinglaj, Kolhapur, Maharashtra-416502.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. Principal Secretary, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
89-218/E-369309/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025
APPLNRC202515142/ (E-8763)

Guru Nanak Dev College of Education, 166 (4-18) of 88/99, VPO-Majatri, Kharar, Mohali, Punjab-140307	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Guru Nanak Dev College of Education, 166 (4-18) of 88/99, VPO-Majatri, Kharar, Mohali, Punjab-140307** dated 14.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / NRC / PAR / ORDER / CH-21 /** dated 19.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Guru Nanak Dev College of Education, 166 (4-18) of 88/99, VPO-Majatri, Kharar, Mohali, Punjab-140307** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The show cause notice was sent by NCTE on wrong and incomplete address which was bound to be sent back undelivered and hence it was not received by the institution. 2. As far as the reason of issuance of the above said show cause notice in concerned, the college has already filled the PAR for 2021-22 and 2022-23 and the fee had already been submitted regarding the same and the details are given as under: Fee Details- 1. (2021-22) Transaction Ref. No. 241210211432337 dated: 10.12.2024, amount- 17700/- 2. (2022-23) UPI Ref. No. 436385367740 dated: 28.12.2024., amount 17700/- Par institution code- 2022263D508930 application code- p2122022046."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by NRC vide order dt. 19.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-

submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024.

The Appeal Committee took note of the explanation furnished and the documentary proof for submission of PARs for both 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The Appeal Committee after perusal of the Appeal Report and documents submitted thereunder filed by the appellant institution found that the institution has submitted the PARs as claimed.

The Appeal Committee upon consideration found that the issues raised require reconsideration and verification by the concerned Regional Committee. Accordingly, in exercise of its powers under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in line with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 23.02.2017 in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) No. 3231/2016], which mandates that all subsequent documents submitted with the appeal must be taken into account, the Appeal Committee decided to remand the case back to NRC for reconsideration.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned

Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed."

In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the case of the appellant institution is remanded back to NRC for revisiting the matter.

Noting the submission, Appeal Committee decided to set aside the implunged order dated 19.05.2025 and remand back the case to NRC with a direction to *re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time*. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to NRC with a direction to re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.



उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Guru Nanak Dev College of Education, 166 (4-18) of 88/99, VPO-Majatri, Kharar, Mohali, Punjab-140307.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **Administrative Secretary Higher Education and Languages, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Punjab, Vidya Bhawan, 7th Floor, Phase-8, Ajitgarh (Mohali)-160062.**

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-223/E-369847/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515141/E-8763)

Thakur Shyamnarayan College of Education and Research, 809A/1/8, Opposite Dream Park, Thakur Village, Kandivali Eas, Samta Nagar, Borivali, Mumbai, Maharashtra-400101	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Thakur Shyamnarayan College of Education and Research, 809A/1/8, Opposite Dream Park, Thakur Village, Kandivali Eas, Samta Nagar, Borivali, Mumbai, Maharashtra-400101** dated 14.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **File No. NCTE / 2025 / WRC / PAR / ORDER / 123537 / 2471 /** dated 26.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted any reply to the show cause notice."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of **Thakur Shyamnarayan College of Education and Research, 809A/1/8, Opposite Dream Park, Thakur Village, Kandivali Eas, Samta Nagar, Borivali, Mumbai, Maharashtra-400101** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "1. We refer to your Order No. NCTE 2025 /WRC/PAR/order 123537/2471 dated 26-05-2025 received by us on 10-06- 2025. We would like to clarify that in response to your final show cause notice no. NCTE/ WRC/APW/04462/123537/ B.Ed. / 387th/ MH/ 2023/ 223382 dated 28-06-2023, we had already submitted a detailed reply vide our letter no. TSCEK/NCTE- 16/2023- 2024 date 11-07-2023 together with an Affidavit duly notarized. A copy of the said letter dated 13-07- 2023, and the Affidavit is enclosed herewith. 2. Our college is affiliated under University of Mumbai and has been following all the Norms & standards of NCTE for the course recognized by the NCTE. We have also uploaded NCTE approved certificate from the University of Mumbai. (Certificate for compliance of NCTE Regulation 2014 and Norms & Standards approved by NCTE for teacher education institutions. Dated: 03rd December, 2024). 3. Our institution Thakur Shyamnarayan College of Education & research has filled PAR Performance Appraisal Report approved module. We have to further inform you that we have also submitted /uploaded online PAR as under A.Y 2021- 2022 was submitted on 20-12-2024 and A.Y 2022- 2023 was

submitted on 24-12- 2024. 3. The NCTE approved general instructions for filling for PAR was complied by our Institute. • Below mentioned are the Online PAR modules submitted by our institute: • A.Y. 2021-2022 was submitted on 20th December, 2024. • A.Y. 2022-2023 was submitted on 24th December, 2024. • Also extensions were given for the submission of PAR i.e. the first extension was dated 10th November, 2024 further it was extended to 10th December, 2024 which also got extended to 31st December, 2024 by NCTE. • Point 3,4,5 relates to PAR related instructions and Public Notices related to the same. 6. The NCTE had issued show cause notice to the institutes those who had not filled PAR (Dated: 02nd April, 2025). However, our institute has filled PAR form for A.Y. 2021-2022 & A.Y. 2022-2023 and we have not received any show cause notice related to PAR. 7. We have also received a revised order 30-06-2023 from NCTE for reduction of unit intake@1 unit for 50 students each. We have to submit that our institution has fully complied with the instructions given by NCTE vide show cause notice dated 28-06-2023 and we request you to kindly consider our case and withdraw your order dated 26-05-2025 and allow our institution to admit 1 unit of 50 students for the academic year 2025-2026. The process for admissions is due to commence from July 2025. Any delay in the admission process shall cause a lot of inconvenience to the students. In the circumstances, we make an earnest Appeal to you to reconsider our case and withdraw your order dated 26-05-2025.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 03.04.2008. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 a Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution vide order dated 29.05.2015 for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students (two basic units of 50 students each) from the academic session 2015-16.

Further, a Corrigendum was issued to the institution vide order dated 09.09.2015 for name of the institution to be read as Thakur Shyamnarayan College of Education & Research (B.Ed.), in place of Thakur Educational Trust, Thakur Shyamnarayan. An affidavit dated 05.11.2015 submitted by the institution expressing willingness to run the college with one unit of 50 students, informing about admission made for only 50 students. An order for reduction of unit/intake in B.Ed. course from 100 students (two units of 50 students each) to 50 students (one unit of 50 students) vide order dated 30.06.2023. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by WRC vide order dt. 26.05.2025 on the ground that justification/reply given by the institution against show cause notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable. The Appeal Committee noted that this is the case of non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021-2022 and 2022-2023. As per the public notice issued, the last date for submission of the PAR was initially fixed as 10.11.2024, which was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024.

The Appeal Committee took note of the explanation furnished and the documentary proof for submission of PARs for both 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The Appeal Committee after perusal of the Appeal Report and documents submitted thereunder filed by the appellant institution found that the institution has submitted the PARs as claimed.

The Appeal Committee upon consideration found that the issues raised require reconsideration and verification by the concerned Regional Committee. Accordingly, in exercise of its powers under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in line with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 23.02.2017 in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) No. 3231/2016], which mandates that all subsequent documents submitted with the appeal must be taken into account, the Appeal Committee decided to remand the case back to WRC for reconsideration.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the case of the appellant institution is remanded back to WRC for revisiting the matter.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 26.05.2025 and remand back the case to WRC with a direction to *re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time.* The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to WRC with a direction to re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Thakur Shyamnarayan College of Education and Research, 809A/1/8, Opposite Dream Park, Thakur Village, Kandivali Eas, Samta Nagar, Borivali, Mumbai, Maharashtra-400101.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. Principal Secretary, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphiston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-187/E-367910/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025

APPLNRC202515102/ E-87631

Asian College of Education, 29//18,19, 22, 23, 27//2, 3, 8, 13, 18, Village Kasiana PO Chalaila, Sirhind Road, Patiala, Punjab- 147001	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Asian College of Education, 29//18, 19, 22, 23, 27//2, 3, 8, 13, 18, Village Kasiana PO Chalaila, Sirhind Road, Patiala, Punjab-147001** dated 04.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / NRC / 2526202402091168 / PUNJAB / 2024 / REJC / 168** dated 17.04.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "As per information filled up by the institution in the online transition application portal, the institution is only running teacher education programme(s) and not multi-disciplinary programme(s) which is a pre-requisite for transition of 4-year B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed course to ITEP. As per Regulation 8 (1) of the NCTE Regulations 2014, the institution shall offer the ITEP in a multi-disciplinary environment. Further, clause 1.5 of the Norms and Standards for Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) (Appendix-15) of the NCTE Regulations 2014 inter alia provides that the ITEP shall be in multi and inter disciplinary academic environment. The institution is not a multi-disciplinary."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of **Asian College of Education, 29//18, 19, 22, 23, 27//2, 3, 8, 13, 18, Village Kasiana PO Chalaila, Sirhind Road, Patiala, Punjab-147001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "It is most respectfully submitted that the decision of Refusing the application for grant of Recognition of ITEP Course is based on wrong and incorrect facts i.e., the Institution has time and again complied with all the norms and regulations of NCTE Act. It is pertinent to mention that the application of the Institution was refused vide order dt. 17.04.25 despite having submitted all the proofs and documents pertaining to the Institution falling in the category of multi-disciplinary as defined in the Rules and Regulations NCTE and as per requirement of NEP,2020 as well. It is most respectfully submitted that the Institution applied for Transition to ITEP Course vide application dt. 22.02.2024. While applying for the transition to ITEP course, it was

inadvertently mentioned no against the column of Multi-Disciplinary Courses offered by the institution. It is a matter of record that we are an Institution offering 21 courses in total in various streams. Copy of the list of courses offered by the Institution is attached herewith for ready reference. We are attaching proofs of Affiliation Orders dt. 14.06.2010 & 05.07.2010 issued by Punjabi University, Patiala of all the courses running in our institution to further enable your good office to process the application of the Institution for transition to ITEP Course. The screenshot of the Website of the institution depicting the no. of courses offered by the Institution is also attached herewith for ready reference. Further, it is submitted that as per NCTE regulations, 2014, the institutions were required to be a "composite institution" and the status of our institution was composite only as we were running various degree courses as well. The Panjabi University also acknowledged the same and gave us a status of Composite institution in the year 2016. Copy of the letter issued by Panjabi University is attached herewith for ready reference. The institution was awarded NAAC Accreditation on 29.03.22 and the same is valid till 28.03.2027. Furthermore, the institution was also conferred autonomous status on 13.11.23. Copies of the NAAC Certificate and Autonomous Status letter is attached herewith for ready reference. It is submitted that we had duly replied to the Northern Regional Committee vide written representation/ reply dt. 13.02.25 alongwith all the documents with respect to institution falling under the category of Multi-disciplinary (Copy of the Reply dt. 13.03.25 to the Show Cause Notice is attached alongwith the present appeal for ready reference). It is submitted that reply dt. 13.03.25, was duly submitted/uploaded on the web portal of NCTE, however the same has not been considered by NRC. The Institution herein is once again submitting all the requisite documents for your kind perusal and necessary action. It is pertinent to mention that due to wrong and arbitrary decision of NRC, the Institution, which is running this course successfully since 2016, great hardship is caused to it. The Institution urges for reversing/setting aside the Refusal Order dt. 17.04.25 as passed on the grounds mentioned above and requests to for an early action in this regard. The Institution made a bonafide mistake while filling up the form for transition to ITEP Course by mentioning no against the column of courses offered by the institution other than teacher training. We sincerely apologize for the said mistake. It is submitted that the law settled with respect to bonafide mistake, and the respective party should not suffer for the

same. The application of the institution ought not to be refused on this technical ground itself. The Institution with folded hand and utmost respect prays that the Refusal Order dt. 17.04.25 as passed by the NRC be set aside and reversed in the interest of justice as the NRC has failed to appreciate the correct facts and documents available on record. That our Institution has a good reputation in the vicinity and is imparting quality education since past so many years. You are requested to kindly take early action in this regard and direct the NRC to process the application of the Institution for Transition to ITEP Course considering all the documents with respect institution being falling under the category multi-disciplinary as defined in regulations and appendix of NCTE."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Northern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 22.02.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the NRC vide order dated 17.04.2025.

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025, held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal filed by Asian College of Education, Patiala, Punjab, against the refusal of recognition for ITEP by NRC vide order dated 17.04.2025. The appellant submitted that the institution qualifies as a multi-disciplinary institution, offering 21 courses in various streams under affiliation from Punjabi University, Patiala. The status of a composite institution was granted by the affiliating university in 2016, and the college is NAAC accredited (valid till 28.03.2027) and enjoys autonomous status since 13.11.2023. The refusal was allegedly based on an inadvertent error in the application form, where "No" was marked against multi-disciplinary status. The appellant claimed that all relevant documents, including the reply dated 13.03.2025 to the NRC's Show Cause Notice, were submitted on the portal but not duly considered. The institution requested reversal of the refusal order and consideration of their ITEP application on merit.

The Appeal Committee took note of the decision of the General Body of Council in its 65th meeting held on 6th May, 2025 which approved the extension of timeline for transition of 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. into ITEP before the start of the academic session 2026-2027, in place of the earlier deadline of 2025–2026, as notified in the Gazette Notification dated 30.01.2024.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a “multidisciplinary institution” as defined under clause “(ca) ***“multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.*”**

The Committee also referred to the “Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,” dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.***
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.***

The collaboration will be subject to the following:

- (a) *Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.*
- (b) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.*
- (c) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.*
- (d) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.*
- (e) *One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.*
- (f) *Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)*
- (g) *NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.*

In light of these regulatory frameworks and NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, the Committee reviewed the claim of the appellant institution and observed that it has submitted explanations and documentation purporting to address the shortcomings highlighted in the NRC's impugned order dated 17.04.2025. The Committee also noted the legal position laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein the Court directed that subsequent documents submitted by the appellant must be taken into consideration by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating an appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 17.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) for re-examination. The NRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The NRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility

for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the NRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 17.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) for re-examination. The NRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The NRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the NRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Asian College of Education, 29//18, 19, 22, 23, 27//2, 3, 8, 13, 18, Village Kasiana PO Chalaila, Sirhind Road, Patiala, Punjab-147001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. Administrative Secretary Higher Education and Languages, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Punjab, Vidya Bhawan, 7th Floor, Phase-8, Ajitgarh (Mohali)-160062.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-188/E-367938/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025
APPLNRC202515114/E-87631

Sanskar College, 509, Kalasiya-Chhutmalpur Road, Sansarpur, Behat, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh-247662	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Praveen Choudhary, Managing Director
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Sanskar College, 509, Kalasiya-Chhutmalpur Road, Sansarpur, Behat, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh-247662** dated 14.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / NRC / 2526202402071089 / UTTAR PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 89** dated 08.04.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The institution has not uploaded authorization letter on the stamp paper as per admissible government rate. The institution has not uploaded the details of Affiliating Body. The institution has not submitted the Not -for-profit Certificate from competent authority. The institution not uploaded Exemption Certificate (12A) from the Income Tax Department. The institution has submitted affiliation order dated 19.01.2020 from CCS University Meerut, Uttar Pradesh. The institution has also uploaded provisional affiliation from Maa Shakhumbhari University, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh dated 10.05.2023. Hence, the institution is required to submit the valid document regarding the affiliating body for affiliating the Multidisciplinary programme(s). The institution has not uploaded the details for students admitted. The institution has not uploaded the latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the Competent Government Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. The institution has uploaded the building plan which is not readable, Hence the institution is required to submit Blueprint of approved Building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area with the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well other infrastructural facilities such as classrooms etc. The institution has not uploaded the building safety certificate from the Competent Government Authority. The institution has not uploaded the fire safety certificate issued by the Competent Government Authority. The institution has not uploaded Certificate to the effect that the building is differently abled friendly from the Competent Government Authority. The institution has uploaded Building Completion Certificate wherein the size of Multipurpose Hall is not mentioned. Hence, the institution is required to submit a

Building Completion Certificate signed by the Competent Government Authority, complete in all respect. The institution has submitted application for transition from the 4-year Integrated Programme B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. to Integrated Teacher Education programme (ITEP). As per records, the recognition was granted for 4-year Integrated Programme B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. by NCTE for two units only. Hence, the institution is not eligible to transit for 16 units. The Committee noted that the institution has not uploaded any reply through online portal against Show Cause Notice dated 08/01/2025.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Praveen Choudhary, Managing Director of Sanskar College, 509, Kalasiya-Chhutmalpur Road, Sansarpur, Behat, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh-247662 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “It is most respectfully submitted that the decision of Refusing the application for grant of Recognition of ITEP Course is based on wrong and incorrect facts i.e. the Institution has time and again complied with all the norms and regulations of NCTE Act. It is pertinent to mention that the application of the Institution was refused vide order dt. 08.04.25 despite having submitted all the proofs and documents. It is submitted that the institution vide email dt. 28.02.2025 and speed post dt. 01.03.25 had duly submitted all the documents as requisite by the NRC. the documents were sent through mail and by way of speed post as the institution was not able upload the same due technical error on the portal. Institution had duly submitted authorization letter, however the same is being submitted again alongwith present appeal on Rs. 100/- stamp paper for your ready reference. The Institutions affiliation body is Maa Shakumbhari University, Saharanpur (Uttar Pradesh). In this regard kindly see the letter Uttar Pradesh Shasan, Ucha Shiksha Anubhag-1 Notification No. 1982/70-1-2021-16(74)/2018 T.C. II dated 02 December 2021, which clearly indicates the name of the affiliating body. the said details were duly submitted with NRC however the NRC has failed to consider the same Letter enclosed for your ready reference. The Institution had duly submitted the Not-for-profit certificate issued by the competent authority and also the Exemption Certificate (12A) from the Principal Commissioner of Income Tax/Commissioner of Income Tax dated 27.05.2021. the same is again submitted

herewith for ready reference. We wish to inform you that the state government has established a separate new state government university i.e. Maa Shakhumbhari University, Saharanpur (Uttar Pradesh) for three districts of Uttar Pradesh i.e Saharanpur, Shamli & Muzaffarnagar. Please be informed that the colleges in the abovementioned three districts were earlier affiliated to CCS University, Meerut. This change has come into effect from the year 2021, when the new University namely Maa Shakhumbhari University, Saharanpur has been formed. At present the courses which are being run by the college were earlier affiliated to CCS University Meerut and now all of them have come under Maa Shakhumbhari University, Saharanpur (Uttar Pradesh). In this regard please see letter 02.1221 Uttar Pradesh Shasan, Uchha Shiksha Anubhag-1 Notification No. 1982/70-1-2021-16(74)/2018 T.C. II. For reference. Copy of the Letter is enclosed. We further inform you that institute already run B.A., B.Sc., B.Lib., BJMC courses. The date mention in your letter i.e., 19.01.2020 and 10.05.2023 these dated above courses affiliation letters dated. Institute run B.A., B.Sc., B.Lib., BJMC courses details are given below :- (1) B.A. dt. 10.05.2023 Maa Shakumbhari University Saharanpur (2) B.Sc. dt. 29.01.2020, Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut and dt. 20.05.2022 Maa Shakumbhari University Saharanpur (3) B.Lib. BJMC dt. 29.10.2021 Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut and dt. 20.05.2022 Maa Shakumbhari University Saharanpur. The list of students admitted had been duly submitted through mail as well as through speedpost. The list of Students Verified List (Nominal Roll) issued by Maa Shakumbhari University Saharanpur is enclosed again for your ready reference. The Institution had duly submitted the latest Non-Encumbrance Certificate. Certificate No. 22025309000416 dated 27.02.2025 issued by by Mr. Shivden Singh, Deputy Registrar, Tehsil – Behat, Distt – Saharanpur (UP). Once again Non-Encumbrance Certificate is enclosed for your ready reference. The institution is herewith once again submitting clear and legible Building plan signed by the Competent Authority in which the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No./Plot No., total land area, total built-up area with the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well other infrastructural facilities such as classrooms etc. are mentioned. The same was duly submitted through email dt. 28.02.25 and speedpost dt. 01.03.25. The Building Safety Certificate from the Competent Government Authority is enclosed herewith as well. It was duly submitted however the Building Fire Safety Certificate issued

Chief Fire Officer, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh is again being submitted for ready reference. The building is differently abled friendly and the certificate to this effect from the competent authority is is enclosed for ready reference. It is most humbly submitted that all the documents were duly submitted through email as well as through speedpost. The documents were not uploaded on the portal due to technical error. The institution is herewith enclosing Building Completion Certificate, Building map and Building Completion Certificate. As per NCTE norms institute has existing infrastructural and instructional area available as per 16 units and well equipped laboratories in well conditions. So institute has been applied in 4-year Integrated Programme B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. for 16 units. So, please kindly hereby by you are requested to grant the intake capacity according to your maximum approval capacity as per rules. It is submitted that the Institution had not received Show Cause notice from NCTE and the first show cause that was received was only 15.02.2025. The Institution urges for reversing/setting aside the Refusal Order dt. 08.04.25 as passed on the grounds mentioned above and requests to for an early action in this regard. The Institution could not upload the reply or documents on the portal due to technical error. The application of the institution ought not to be refused on this technical ground itself. The Institution with folded hand and utmost respect prays that the Refusal Order dt. 08.04.25 as passed by the NRC be set aside and reversed in the interest of justice as the NRC has failed to appreciate the correct facts and documents available on record. That our Institution has a good reputation in the vicinity and is imparting quality education since past so many years. You are requested to kindly take early action in this regard and direct the NRC to process the application of the Institution for Transition to ITEP Course considering all the documents as submitted through email dt. 28.02.25 and speedpost dt. 01.03.25. Cpy of the email dt. 28.02.25 and speedpost receipt dt. 01.03.25 is enclosed herewith for ready reference.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Northern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 02.03.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the NRC vide order dated 08.04.2025.

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025, held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal filed by Sanskar College, 509, Kalasiya-Chhutmalpur Road, Sansarpur, Behat, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh–247662, against the refusal of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) by the Northern Regional Committee (NRC), communicated vide order dated 08.04.2025.

The appellant submitted that the refusal was based on incorrect facts and that all requisite documents—affiliation letters from Maa Shakumbhari University, statutory certificates (12A, Non-Encumbrance, Fire Safety, Building Plan, Building Safety, Disability Access), infrastructure details, and student lists—were duly submitted via email dated 28.02.2025 and speed post dated 01.03.2025. The institution stated that it was unable to upload documents due to technical issues on the NCTE portal and did not receive any prior Show Cause Notice except one dated 15.02.2025. It prayed for setting aside the refusal order and requested that the application be considered on merits.

The Appeal Committee took note of the decision of the General Body of Council in its 65th meeting held on 6th May, 2025 which approved the extension of timeline for transition of 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. into ITEP before the start of the academic session 2026-2027, in place of the earlier deadline of 2025–2026, as notified in the Gazette Notification dated 30.01.2024.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a “multidisciplinary institution” as defined under clause ***“(ca) “multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of***

study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.”

The Committee also referred to the “Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,” dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.*
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.*

The collaboration will be subject to the following:

- (a) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.*
- (b) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.*
- (c) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.*
- (d) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.*
- (e) One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.*
- (f) Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)*

- (g) *NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.*

In light of these regulatory frameworks and NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, the Committee reviewed the claim of the appellant institution and observed that it has submitted explanations and documentation purporting to address the shortcomings highlighted in the NRC's impugned order dated 14.05.2025. The Committee also noted the legal position laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein the Court directed that subsequent documents submitted by the appellant must be taken into consideration by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating an appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) for re-examination. The NRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The NRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the NRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 14.05.2025 and remand the matter to the

Northern Regional Committee (NRC) for re-examination. The NRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The NRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the NRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Sanskar College, 509, Kalasiya-Chhutmalpur Road, Sansarpur, Behat, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh-247662.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education & Member Secretary, Uttar Pradesh State Council of Higher Education, 6th Floor, 619, Indira Bhawan, Ashok Marg, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-189/E-367921/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515107 / E-87631

Cambridge PG Girls College, 3373/2, Village Kanwat, Tehsil Khandela, Shahpora Road, Sikar, Rajasthan-332708	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Hari Singh Bhadwa, President
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Cambridge PG Girls College, 3373/2, Village Kanwat, Tehsil Khandela, Shahpora Road, Sikar, Rajasthan-332708** dated 05.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202402271824 / RAJASTHAN / 2024 / REJC / 1774** dated 19.05.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The institution had not uploaded the reply of Final Show Cause Notice dated 24.03.2025 within the stipulated time. The institution is deficient on the following grounds of final show Notice: (i) The institution has uploaded affiliation order for B.A.B.Ed. B.Sc.B.Ed course issued by Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Shekhawati University, Sikar dated 26.06.2023 for the academic session 2023-24. However, the institution is required to upload affiliation order for the academic session 2024-25 from the affiliating university. (ii) The institution has uploaded affiliation order for B.A. course issued by University of Rajasthan, Jaipur dated 20.12.2006 for the academic session 2006-07. The institution is required to upload affiliation order for the academic session 2024-25 from the affiliating university. (iii) Institution Website <https://cambridgetechcpgc.in> has not been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. (iv) The institution has uploaded Certificate "TO WHOMSOEVER IT MAY CONCERN" signed by Chartered Accountant, MKSK & Associated and replied that total receipts of the institute are below Rs. 5 crore which is already exempt in under section 10(23C)(iiiad) of Income Tax, 1961 hence there is no requirement of taking similar registration for exempting income under section 12A of Income Tax, 1961. The institution has not submitted Exemption Certificate (12A) of the Income Tax Act, 1961. (v) The institution has uploaded Self Certificate for not-for profit which is not issued by govt. competent authority. (vi) The institution has not uploaded Fire Safety Certificate issued by Govt. Competent Authority. (vii) The institution shall submit the list of teaching staff duly approved and countersigned by its affiliating body. (viii) The

institution shall submit the bank statement of last six months indicating the transaction of the salary / remuneration to its teaching staff.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Hari Singh Bhadwa, President of Cambridge PG Girls College, 3373/2, Village Kanwat, Tehsil Khandela, Shahpora Road, Sikar, Rajasthan-332708 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “Due to bereavement in our family, the reply couldn't be submitted. Now I am submitting all the documents, please consider and accept my appeal and matter may be remanded back to the WRC to decide afresh. In addition, the Appellant Institution submitted that the institution has submitted latest affiliation order for B.A.B.Ed. B.Sc.B.Ed. course issued by Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Shekhawati University, Sikar for the academic session 2024-25. The institution has submitted latest affiliation order for the academic session 2024-25 from the Affiliating University. Institution website <https://Cambridge-techcpgc.in> has not updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. The institution has submitted Exemption Certificate (12A) of the Income Tax Act, 1961. The institution has updated not-for profit which is issued by Govt. Competent Authority. The institution has submitted Fire Safety Certificate issued by Govt. Competent Authority. The institution has submitted the list of teaching staff duly approved and countersigned by its affiliating body. The institution has submitted the bank statement of last six months indicating the transaction of the salary/remuneration to its teaching staff. The institution is not submitted Final Show Cause Notice the secretary is family problems reasons.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking

permission for running the ITEP Course on 05.03.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 19.05.2025.

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025, held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal filed by Cambridge PG Girls College, 3373/2, Village Kanwat, Tehsil Khandela, Shahpora Road, Sikar, Rajasthan-332708 against the refusal of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), communicated vide order dated 19.05.2025.

The Committee perused the Appeal Report, documents available on record, and heard the oral submissions advanced during the meeting. It was noted that the appellant institution had submitted its application for grant of recognition for transition to ITEP programme on 05.03.2024. The appellant has latest affiliation order for B.A.B.Ed. B.Sc.B.Ed. course issued by Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Shekhawati University, Sikar for the academic session 2024-25. The institution submitted proof of updated and maintained website in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time, a copy of Exemption Certificate (12A) of the Income Tax Act, 1961, a copy of Fire Safety Certificate and teaching staff list duly approved and countersigned by its affiliating body. The institution has submitted the bank statement of last six months indicating the transaction of the salary/remuneration to its teaching staff.

The Appeal Committee took note of the decision of the General Body of Council in its 65th meeting held on 6th May, 2025 which approved the extension of timeline for transition of 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. into ITEP before the start of the academic session 2026-2027, in place of the earlier deadline of 2025–2026, as notified in the Gazette Notification dated 30.01.2024.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a "multidisciplinary

institution" as defined under clause "(ca) ***"multidisciplinary institution" means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme."***

The Committee also referred to the **"Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,"** dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:

- (i) *The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.*
- (ii) *A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.*

The collaboration will be subject to the following:

- (a) *Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.*
- (b) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.*
- (c) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.*
- (d) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.*
- (e) *One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.*
- (f) *Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities,*

- departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)*
- (g) *NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.*

In light of these regulatory frameworks and NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, the Committee reviewed the claim of the appellant institution and observed that it has submitted explanations and documentation purporting to address the shortcomings highlighted in the WRC's impugned order dated 19.05.2025. The Committee also noted the legal position laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein the Court directed that subsequent documents submitted by the appellant must be taken into consideration by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating an appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 19.05.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 19.05.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Cambridge PG Girls College, 3373/2, Village Kanwat, Tehsil Khandela, Shahpora Road, Sikar, Rajasthan-332708.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



एनसीटीई अपीलुीय प्रलधलकरण डें/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राषुड्रीय अध्यापक शलक्षा परलषद (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेकुटर-10 दुवरका, नई दलल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दलनलंक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधलनलड डी धारा 18 के तहत दलयर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-192/E-368120/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202515086 / E-8763/

Sainath Institution, 258, 264, Murawal Chauraha, Gram Panchayat-Ghughara District, Katni, Madhya Pradesh-483501	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Amodh Saxena & Deepak Mathur, Director & Joint Director
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Sainath Institution, 258, 264, Murawal Chauraha, Gram Panchayat-Ghughara District, Katni, Madhya Pradesh-483501** dated 30.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202402151338 / MADHYA PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 338** dated 31.03.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "i. The institution has not uploaded proof of running multidisciplinary programme(s) as required under NCTE Regulations No.NCTE-Regl011/80/2018-MS(Regulation)-HQ dated 26.10.2021 and Public Notice No.NCTE-Regl022/16/2023-Reg. Sec-HQ dated 22/16/2023- Reg.Sec-HQ dated 5.2.2024. ii. The institution has not uploaded Exemption Certificate (12A) issued by Govt. Competent Authority (Income Tax Dept.) instead of that Form-10AC issued by Income Tax Dept. is uploaded. iii. As reflected on the staff profile approved by the Registrar of the Affiliating University (Rani Durgavati Vishwavidyalay), salary to appointed faculty is being paid as per UGC norms. However, in response to query raised at S.No.15 of Show Cause Notice, attached the bank statement of the last six months salary disbursed for total 18 teaching staff, which is around 3 to 4 lakhs, which does not justify the fact that UGC salary is being paid."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Amodh Saxena & Deepak Mathur, Director & Joint Director of Sainath Institution, 258, 264, Murawal Chauraha, Gram Panchayat-Ghughara District, Katni, Madhya Pradesh-483501 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "With reference to the requirement under NCTE Regulations No. NCTE-Regl011/80/2018-MS(Regulation)-HQ dated 26.10.2021 and Public Notice No. NCTE-Regl022/16/2023-Reg. Sec-HQ dated 05.02.2024 regarding proof of running multidisciplinary programmes, we would like to inform you that our institution has already applied for the introduction of "B.Com. (ALL

YEAR) COMMERCE WITH COMPUTER APPLICATION" programme in the month of September 2024. The proposal was duly submitted to the Higher Education Department at Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh. We have received assurance that the NOC for these courses will be issued shortly. As soon as the NOC is received from the Higher Education Department, we will proceed to initiate the said programmes and fully comply with the requirements of the NCTE regulations concerning multidisciplinary education. Also, attached is the inspection report of the Nodal college as reference, which provides a strong reference for NOC for Higher Education courses. We remain committed to fulfilling all regulatory obligations and will submit the necessary documentation promptly upon receiving the NOC. Document is already enclosed. With reference to Point No. 15 of the Show Cause Notice issued to Sainath Institution, Katni, run by Shri Hospital Educational and Sports Society, we humbly submit the following clarification regarding the disbursement of salary to teaching staff and compliance with NCTE Regulations, 2014. As per the approved staff profile issued by the Registrar, Rani Durgavati Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, a total of 18 teaching staff members have been appointed and are working full-time in the institution. All appointments have been made strictly following UGC/NCTE eligibility norms and have been duly verified by the affiliating university. However, we acknowledge that the bank statement submitted (last 6 months) shows a total salary disbursement amounting to approximately 3 to 4 lakhs per month. This may not fully align with the salary expected under the UGC pay scale structure. We would like to clarify the following points: 1. We are a self-financed institution with no additional aids or grants. Our only source of funds is students' fees. 2. Currently, 13 faculty members are engaged in the teacher education program, as per the requirement under NCTE Regulation, 2014 for the approved intake. The remaining staff includes teaching/support roles under other courses and administrative duties. 3. At present, due to financial constraints, faculty members are being paid on a consolidated salary basis, rather than the full UGC scale. However, the institution has initiated a phase-wise implementation of UGC pay scale norms, and a resolution to this effect has been passed by the management. The full-scale implementation is planned for the upcoming academic session as per future expected cash flows. 4. All staff members are engaged in regular teaching-learning activities, academic responsibilities, and curriculum planning as per the workload norms of NCTE.

We respectfully request the Hon'ble Council to kindly consider our genuine efforts and provide a suitable opportunity for us to align fully with the prescribed norms in a time-bound manner.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 05.03.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 31.03.2025.

The Appeal Committee, in its 8th Meeting held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal filed by Sainath Institution, 258, 264, Murawal Chauraha, Gram Panchayat-Ghughara, District Katni, Madhya Pradesh-483501, against the refusal of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), communicated vide order dated 31.03.2025.

The Committee examined the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral submissions made by the institution. The appellant submitted that it has applied to the Higher Education Department, Bhopal, for NOC to introduce B.Com. (Computer Application) as evidence of a multidisciplinary framework. The institution assured that the NOC would be furnished upon receipt. In response to staff salary compliance, the institution clarified that it is self-financed and presently disburses consolidated salaries due to financial constraints. However, a resolution for phase-wise UGC pay scale implementation has been adopted by the management. The institution submitted proof of appointments as per NCTE norms, teaching staff lists approved by the affiliating university, and bank statements. The institution requested sympathetic consideration for compliance within a time-bound framework.

The Appeal Committee took note of the decision of the General Body of Council in its 65th meeting held on 6th May, 2025 which approved the extension of timeline for transition of 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. into ITEP before the start of the academic session 2026-2027, in place of the earlier deadline of 2025–2026, as notified in the Gazette Notification dated 30.01.2024.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a “multidisciplinary institution” as defined under clause ***“(ca) “multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.”***

The Committee also referred to the **“Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,”** dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.***
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.***

The collaboration will be subject to the following:

- (a) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.**
- (b) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.**
- (c) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.**
- (d) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.**
- (e) One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.**
- (f) Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)**
- (g) NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.**

In light of these regulatory frameworks and NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, the Committee reviewed the claim of the appellant institution and observed that it has submitted explanations and documentation purporting to address the shortcomings highlighted in the WRC's impugned order dated 31.03.2025. The Committee also noted the legal position laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein the Court directed that subsequent documents submitted by the appellant must be taken into consideration by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating an appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 31.03.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated

15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 31.03.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Sainath Institution, 258, 264, Murawal Chauraha, Gram Panchayat-Ghughara District, Katni, Madhya Pradesh-483501.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462004.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-197/E-368511/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202515109 | E-87631

Trilok Singh TT College, 129/1, Narodara, Laxmangarh, Sikar Link Road NH 52, Sikar, Rajasthan- 332311	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of Trilok Singh TT College, 129/1, Narodara, Laxmangarh, Sikar Link Road NH 52, Sikar, Rajasthan-332311 dated 05.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202402221588 / RAJASTHAN / 2024 / REJC / 588** dated 24.04.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "(i) The name of the institution "Trilok Singh T T College" mentioned in the application and recognition order of B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. whereas "Trilok Singh Mahavidyalaya" mentioned in the university letter with regard to Multidisciplinary Programmes uploaded by the institution. Both the names are different. Therefore, as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time, the application of the institution does not fall in the category of Multidisciplinary institution. (ii) The website of institution has not been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. (iii) The institution has uploaded Building Plan, but size of Multipurpose Hall is not readable, and institution has filled up size of multipurpose hall 123.18 sq.m at the time of submission of online application, which is less as per NCTE Norms. (iv) The institution has not uploaded the latest list of teaching staff duly approved and countersigned by its affiliating body. (v) As per the latitude and longitude mentioned in the application, the name of institution is not showing in google map."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of Trilok Singh TT College, 129/1, Narodara, Laxmangarh, Sikar Link Road NH 52, Sikar, Rajasthan-332311 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "All the documents submitted along with present appeal. The deficiencies pointed out by the regional committee have been rectified. Kindly accept the appeal and remand back the matter to WRC to decide afresh and further WRC may be directed to issue order for

transition to institution. In addition, the institution has submitted that the society namely Trilok Singh Shikshan and Anusandhan Sansthan, Narodara Bus Stand, NH-52, Sikar Road, Laxmangarh, Laxmnagarh, Sikar, Rajasthan-332311 has running namely "**Trilok Singh Mahavidyalaya**". The both institution is running under said society and same location with same address. Hence the both institution is under Multidisciplinary Programmes. Therefore, as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time, the application of the institution is in the category of Multidisciplinary institution. The website of institution has been now updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time. The institution has submitted Building Plan, with Multipurpose Hall is 2202.5 Sq.Feet which is as per NCTE Norms. The institution has submitted the latest list of teaching staff duly approved and countersigned by its affiliating body. As per the latitude and longitude mentioned in the application, the name of institution is now showing in google map."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 04.03.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 24.04.2025.

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025, held online on 03.07.2025, considered the appeal filed by Trilok Singh TT College, 129/1, Narodara, Laxmangarh, Sikar Link Road, NH-52, Sikar, Rajasthan-332311, against the refusal of recognition for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) by the Western Regional Committee (WRC), communicated vide order dated 24.04.2025.

The Committee examined the Appeal Report, records submitted, and oral submissions made by the institution. It was submitted that all deficiencies pointed out by

the WRC had been duly rectified and supporting documents, including the updated Building Plan (Multipurpose Hall: 2202.5 sq. ft.), approved faculty list, and Google Map verification, were enclosed. The institution further stated that Trilok Singh TT College and Trilok Singh Mahavidyalaya are being operated by the same society at the same location, thereby qualifying as a multidisciplinary institution under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institutional website has also been updated in compliance with Clauses 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14), and 10(3) of the NCTE Regulations. The appellant requested that the appeal be accepted and the case remanded to WRC for reconsideration.

The Appeal Committee took note of the decision of the General Body of Council in its 65th meeting held on 6th May, 2025 which approved the extension of timeline for transition of 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. into ITEP before the start of the academic session 2026-2027, in place of the earlier deadline of 2025–2026, as notified in the Gazette Notification dated 30.01.2024.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a “multidisciplinary institution” as defined under clause ***“(ca) “multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.”***

The Committee also referred to the **“Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,”** dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.***
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.***

The collaboration will be subject to the following:

- (a) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.***
- (b) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.***
- (c) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.***
- (d) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.***
- (e) One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.***
- (f) Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)***
- (g) NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.***

In light of these regulatory frameworks and NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, the Committee reviewed the claim of the appellant institution and observed that it has submitted explanations and documentation purporting to address the shortcomings highlighted in the WRC's impugned order dated 24.04.2025. The Committee also noted the legal position laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in

W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein the Court directed that subsequent documents submitted by the appellant must be taken into consideration by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating an appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 24.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 24.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or

amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Trilok Singh TT College, 129/1, Narodara, Laxmangarh, Sikar Link Road NH 52, Sikar, Rajasthan-332311.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur; Rajasthan-302015.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-239/E-370561/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025
APPLSRC202515178 / E-87631

Dr. B.D. Jatti College of Education, 975/2, Civil Hospital Road, Ayodhya Nagar, Belgaum, Karnataka-590001	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Dr. B.D. Jatti College of Education, 975/2, Civil Hospital Road, Ayodhya Nagar, Belgaum, Karnataka-590001** dated 24.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. SRC / NCTE / AOSO0602, APSO0538 / B.Ed. / 461st Mtg. / KA / 2025 / 148272** dated 02.06.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "(i) On the basis of complaint received from CBI, the Show Cause Notice u/s 17 was issued to the institution for making admission over and approved intake by NCTE. (ii) The institution in its reply to SCN has agreed that admissions over and above the approved intake has been made by the institution. (iii) The above act of the institution is violation of the provisions of NCTE Act, Rules, Regulations and guidelines issued by the NCTE-Hqrs, from time to time."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative of **Dr. B.D. Jatti College of Education, 975/2, Civil Hospital Road, Ayodhya Nagar, Belgaum, Karnataka-590001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "For the approval of B.Ed. colleges we are eligible for getting the approval from NCTE based on the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka Bangalore passed orders against W.P. Number 13832-13837/2011 dated. 13-02-2013."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course with an annual intake of 60 students from the academic session 2000-2001 vide order dated 10.07.2000. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit dated 22.01.2015 for its willingness for adherence of provisions of new Regulations. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution on dated 29.05.2015 for conducting B.Ed. course of two years

duration. A Continuation Order was issued to the institution vide order dated 21.02.2020 for B.Ed. programme of 1 basic unit of 50 students. Further, A Corrigendum dated 18.03.2020 was issued to the institution for B.Ed. programme 2 basic units of 50 students each. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 02.06.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The representative of the appellant institution appeared online before the Appeal Committee on 03.07.2025. During the hearing, the institution submitted that it is eligible for recognition based on the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P. Nos. 13832-13837/2011 dated 13.02.2013. The appellant institution submitted before the Appeal Committee that the new management proactively reported the irregularities to Dakshina Bharat Hindi Prachar Sabha (DBHPS) Chennai and the Ministry of Education. A committee chaired by Justice L. Narasimha Reddy was constituted, and a CBI inquiry was initiated. The appellant submitted that the current management has filed a case against the previous management has not violated any NCTE norms and has taken corrective and legal steps against the previous office bearers responsible for the infractions and now seeks restoration of recognition based on the change in leadership.

The Committee examined the Appeal Report, oral submissions, and relevant documents. It was noted that the withdrawal proceedings were initiated based on a complaint from the CBI regarding admissions made over and above the approved intake. The appellant institution acknowledged these irregularities, clarifying that they occurred during the tenure of the previous management,

The Appeal Committee noted these submissions but found that the institution had explicitly admitted to violating the NCTE-approved intake norms. Change of management, while noted, does not nullify the responsibility of the institution to comply with statutory provisions. Institutional accountability is collective and continuous, and any violation, especially unauthorized admissions, is treated as a serious breach of the NCTE

regulatory framework. Furthermore, the cited High Court order does not override the binding norms and provisions laid down by NCTE.

In view of the above, the Appeal Committee found no merit in the appeal. Therefore, the appeal is rejected, and the decision of the Southern Regional Committee to withdraw recognition stands upheld.

In view of the above and having found no credible justification or compliance on the part of the appellant, the Appeal Committee holds that the withdrawal of recognition by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) was legally tenable and warranted and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 02.06.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 02.06.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Dr. B.D. Jatti College of Education, 975/2, Civil Hospital Road, Ayodhya Nagar, Belgaum, Karnataka-590001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. Principal Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-240/E-370560/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025

APPLSRC202515177/E-87631

DBHPS Basaveshwara College of Education, 1553/A New No.-K 50, Lakshmipuram, VV Road, Mysore, Karnataka-570004	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. Sreeshail Yakkundimath, Executive Committee Member (Management)
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **DBHPS Basaveshwara College of Education, 1553/A New No.-K 50, Lakshmipuram, VV Road, Mysore, Karnataka-570004** dated 24.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. SRC / NCTE / AOSO0600, AOS0550 / B.Ed. / 461st Mtg. / KA / 2025 / 148301** dated 02.06.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "(i) On the basis of complaint received from CBI, the Show Cause Notice u/s 17 was issued to the institution for making admission over and approved intake by NCTE. (ii) The institution in its reply to SCN has agreed that admissions over and above the approved intake has been made by the institution. (iii) The above act of the institution is violation of the provisions of NCTE Act, Rules, Regulations and guidelines issued by the NCTE-Hqrs, from time to time."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Sreeshail Yakkundimath, Executive Committee Member (Management) of DBHPS Basaveshwara College of Education, 1553/A New No.-K 50, Lakshmipuram, VV Road, Mysore, Karnataka-570004 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "For the approval of B.Ed. colleges we are eligible for getting the approval from NCTE based on the Honorable High Court of Karnataka Bangalore passed order against WP No. 13832-13837 / 2012 dt-13/02/2013."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course with an annual intake of 60 students from the academic session 2000-2001 vide order dated 10.07.2000. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit dated 22.01.2015 for its willingness for

adherence of provisions of new Regulations. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution on dated 29.05.2015 for conducting B.Ed. course of two years duration. A Continuation Order was issued to the institution vide order dated 24.02.2020 for B.Ed. programme of 2 basic units of 50 students each. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 02.06.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The representative of the appellant institution appeared online before the Appeal Committee on 03.07.2025. During the hearing, the institution submitted that it is eligible for recognition based on the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P. Nos. 13832-13837/2011 dated 13.02.2013. The appellant institution submitted before the Appeal Committee that the new management proactively reported the irregularities to Dakshina Bharat Hindi Prachar Sabha (DBHPS) Chennai and the Ministry of Education. A committee chaired by Justice L. Narasimha Reddy was constituted, and a CBI inquiry was initiated. The appellant submitted that the current management has filed a case against the previous management has not violated any NCTE norms and has taken corrective and legal steps against the previous office bearers responsible for the infractions and now seeks restoration of recognition based on the change in leadership.

The Committee examined the Appeal Report, oral submissions, and relevant documents. It was noted that the withdrawal proceedings were initiated based on a complaint from the CBI regarding admissions made over and above the approved intake. The appellant institution acknowledged these irregularities, clarifying that they occurred during the tenure of the previous management.

The Appeal Committee noted these submissions but found that the institution had explicitly admitted to violating the NCTE-approved intake norms. Change of management, while noted, does not nullify the responsibility of the institution to comply with statutory provisions. Institutional accountability is collective and continuous, and any violation, especially unauthorized admissions, is treated as a serious breach of the NCTE

regulatory framework. Furthermore, the cited High Court order does not override the binding norms and provisions laid down by NCTE.

In view of the above, the Appeal Committee found no merit in the appeal. Therefore, the appeal is rejected, and the decision of the Southern Regional Committee to withdraw recognition stands upheld.

In view of the above and having found no credible justification or compliance on the part of the appellant, the Appeal Committee holds that the withdrawal of recognition by the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) was legally tenable and warranted and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 02.06.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned withdrawal order dated 02.06.2025 issued by SRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, DBHPS Basaveshwara College of Education, 1553/A New No.- K 50, Lakshmipuram, VV Road, Mysore, Karnataka-570004.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **Principal Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Secretary Establishment, Higher Education Dept., Room No. 645 A, 2nd Gate, 6th Floor, M.S.Building, Bengaluru, Karnataka-1.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-242/E-370544/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515147 | E-87631

Seth Sushil Kumar Bihani SD Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, 12-13, Near Sukhadiya Circle NH 62, Bihani Education Trust, Suratgarh Foad, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan- 335001	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Kavita Choudhary, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Seth Sushil Kumar Bihani SD Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, 12-13, Near Sukhadiya Circle NH 62, Bihani Education Trust, Suratgarh Foad, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan-335001** dated 17.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202405183038 / RAJASTHAN / 2024 / REJC / 1287** dated 31.10.2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025- 2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Kavita Choudhary, Principal of Seth Sushil Kumar Bihani SD Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, 12-13, Near Sukhadiya Circle NH 62, Bihani Education Trust, Suratgarh Foad, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan-335001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "01. With reference to the observations regarding the name mismatch and multidisciplinary status, it is respectfully submitted that Seth G.L. Bihani S.D. Shiksha Trust, Sri Ganganagar has been functioning as an educational trust since 1951, and is located on a large-acre campus in the heart of Sri Ganganagar, near Sukhadia Circle. The Trust operates a multidisciplinary educational campus, wherein a wide range of professional, general, and technical programmes are being run under the same campus

and management. These include: • Law courses: LL.B., LL.M., and Diploma/Degree in Forensic Science • General education: B.A., B.Sc., B.Com., M.A., M.Sc., M.Com., BBA, BCA, MCA • Pharmacy: D.Pharm. and B.Pharm. • Education: D.El.Ed., B.Ed., and Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. courses • School Education: Two schools (one affiliated to CBSE and one to RBSE) are also being run within the same campus. It is also noteworthy that the names of the individual departments/institutions are designated in memory of respected family members (grandfather, father, etc.), hence the names may differ, but the sponsoring and governing body remains the same, i.e., Seth G.L. Bihani S.D. Shiksha Trust, Sri Ganganagar. Therefore, in view of the above, it is humbly submitted that the institution qualifies as a multidisciplinary institution as all the listed programmes are being conducted under the aegis of the same Trust, on the same campus, and within the purview of the same management structure. ii) With reference to the observation regarding the institutions website, it is respectfully submitted that "www.sdbihanibedcollegesgnr.org" is the official website of Seth Sushil Kumar Bihani S.D. Shiksha Mahavidyalaya. The website is regularly updated and maintained in full compliance with the provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14), and 10(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time. All mandatory disclosures, including staff details, infrastructure, financial statements, recognition orders, academic calendar, student intake, and other statutory information, are available and accessible on the website as per regulatory requirements. In support of the same, a screenshot of the updated website is attached, and the live website link is as follows: www.sdbihanibedcollegesgnr.org The institution remains fully committed to transparency, accountability, and strict adherence to all applicable NCTE norms. iii) With reference to the observation regarding the uploaded building plan, it is respectfully submitted that the name of the course(s) being run in the premises is clearly mentioned in the body of the building plan documents already uploaded. Furthermore, the same document has been re-uploaded for reference and compliance. The document includes the approval by the Assistant Engineer, PWD, Sub Division-II, Sri Ganganagar, and contains the necessary details such as: • The name of the course(s) being offered • The Khasra number / Plot number • The total land area • The floor-wise built-up area • The earmarked land and built-up area for each course being conducted on the same campus We assure that the

uploaded building plan is authentic, complete, and approved by the competent government authority. The institution remains committed to full compliance with all NCTE regulations and requirements. iv) With reference to the observation regarding the Change of Land Use (CLU) Certificate dated 01.05.2018 signed by the Commissioner, Nagar Parishad, Sri Ganganagar, it is respectfully submitted that: The earlier uploaded document was a scanned copy, and due to technical limitations during scanning, the official stamp was not clearly visible. To address the concern, a clear and coloured scanned copy of the same CLU Certificate—with the visible official stamp and signature—has now been re-uploaded for your kind consideration and necessary action. The institution remains committed to fulfilling all documentary requirements in accordance with the applicable norms. v) With reference to the observation regarding the Building Completion Certificate (BCC), it is respectfully submitted that: The earlier uploaded BCC, certified by the Assistant Engineer, PWD, Government of Rajasthan, Sri Ganganagar, and the version prepared by the Registered Engineer (Valuer) and countersigned by the management of the institution, were inadvertently submitted without date. To rectify this, the BCC has been re-prepared and duly signed with date by both the Assistant Engineer, PWD and the institutions management. The updated and properly dated Building Completion Certificate has now been uploaded for your kind consideration. The institution remains committed to complying with all NCTE norms and documentation requirements. vi) With reference to the observation regarding the approval letter dated 28.01.2025 issued by the Registrar of Maharaja Ganga Singh University (MGSU), Bikaner, it is respectfully submitted that: The institution is duly affiliated to MGSU, Bikaner, and the uploaded approval letter for the selection of permanent lecturer is an official communication issued by the university. It is important to note that MGSU follows a standard format for such approval letters across all affiliated colleges. The language and format, including the level of detail such as institutional address, are as per university's official norms, and the same has been uploaded as it was received from MGSU, without any modification. We assure that the letter is authentic and has been uploaded in its original and complete form as issued by the affiliating body. The institution remains committed to maintaining transparency and regulatory compliance in all respects. vii) With reference to the observation regarding the affidavit uploaded on Rs. 100/- non-judicial

stamp paper, it is respectfully submitted that: The earlier uploaded affidavit did not include the specific details of the land and built-up area as required under the NCTE Regulations, 2014. To ensure full compliance, the affidavit has been re-prepared and now includes all mandatory information, such as: • Total land area (with Khasra/Plot No.) • Total built-up area (floor-wise) • Earmarked land and built-up area for each programme The updated and duly notarized affidavit has now been re-uploaded in accordance with the provisions of Clause 7(4) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution reaffirms its commitment to meeting all regulatory requirements in letter and spirit. viii) With reference to the observation regarding the list of all members of the Society/Trust being uploaded without signatures, it is respectfully submitted that: Due to scanning limitations, the footer part of the document—where the Society's verification seal and authorized signature were present—was inadvertently omitted in the earlier upload. To address this, the complete and properly scanned document, clearly showing the seal and signature verifying the list of Society/Trust members, has now been re-uploaded for your kind perusal. The institution assures full compliance with the documentation requirements as per NCTE norms. ix) Both the Registered Land Documents and the Change of Land Use (CLU) Certificate are now being re-uploaded together to ensure compliance with all the requirements prescribed by the competent government authority.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 30.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the WRC vide order dt. 31.10.2024.

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 04.07.2025 considered the appeal filed by Seth Sushil Kumar Bihani SD Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, 12-13, Near Sukhadiya Circle NH 62, Bihani Education Trust, Suratgarh Foad, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan-335001 against the refusal of recognition by the Western

Regional Committee (WRC) for the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP), as communicated vide order dated 31.10.2024.

The Committee perused the Appeal Report, documents submitted by the appellant institution, and oral submissions made during the hearing. The appellant submitted that it qualifies as a multidisciplinary institution, as various professional, general, and technical programmes are being run under the same sponsoring trust, on the same campus, and under unified management. These include law (LL.B., LL.M., Forensic Science), general education (B.A., B.Sc., B.Com., M.A., M.Sc., M.Com., BBA, BCA, MCA), pharmacy (D.Pharm., B.Pharm.), and teacher education (D.El.Ed., B.Ed., and Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed.) programmes.

The institution further stated that its website is regularly maintained in compliance with Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14), and 10(3) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014. It also submitted that deficiencies cited in the WRC's order, such as the Building Plan, Building Completion Certificate, CLU Certificate, and land documents, have been rectified and re-uploaded.

It was also submitted that the institution is affiliated with Maharaja Ganga Singh University (MGSU), and approval for ITEP is under process. The appellant further submitted a revised, notarized affidavit including all mandatory details — land area with khasra number, total built-up area, and earmarked space for each programme in accordance with Clause 7(4) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014.

The Appeal Committee took note of the decision of the General Body of Council in its 65th meeting held on 6th May, 2025 which approved the extension of timeline for transition of 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. into ITEP before the start of the academic session 2026-2027, in place of the earlier deadline of 2025–2026, as notified in the Gazette Notification dated 30.01.2024.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a “multidisciplinary institution” as defined under clause “(ca) **“multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.”**

The Committee also referred to the “Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,” dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:

- (i) *The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.*
- (ii) *A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.*

The collaboration will be subject to the following:

- (a) *Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.*
- (b) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.*
- (c) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.*

- (d) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.*
- (e) *One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.*
- (f) *Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)*
- (g) *NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.*

In light of these regulatory frameworks and NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, the Committee reviewed the claim of the appellant institution and observed that it has submitted explanations and documentation purporting to address the shortcomings highlighted in the WRC's impugned order dated 31.10.2024. The Committee also noted the legal position laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein the Court directed that subsequent documents submitted by the appellant must be taken into consideration by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating an appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 31.10.2024 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by

the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 31.10.2024 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Seth Sushil Kumar Bihani SD Shiksha Mahavidyalaya, 12-13, Near Sukhadiya Circle NH 62, Bihani Education Trust, Suratgarh Foad, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan-335001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 18.08.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-243/E-370551/2025 Appeal/8th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515153 / E-87631

R.M. Memorial Women Teacher Training College, 30, 38/1, 39/1, Ujjwal Vihar Baran Road, Gram Deoli Arab, Udyog Nagar, Ladpura, Kota, Rajasthan-324001	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	03.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	18.08.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **R.M. Memorial Women Teacher Training College, 30, 38/1, 39/1, Ujjwal Vihar Baran Road, Gram Deoli Arab, Udyog Nagar, Ladpura, Kota, Rajasthan-324001** dated 19.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202402091172 / RAJASTHAN / 2024 / REJC / 172** dated 21.04.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that “(i) Uploaded Non Encumbrance Certificate in respect of land Khasra No. 30, 38/1, 39/1 issued by Tehsildar, Kota Development Authority, Kota (Raj.) dated 30.01.2025, wherein the seal of Tehsildar is not reflected. (ii) The institution namely R.M. Memorial Women Teacher Training College is not running any college in the field of Liberal Arts, Humanities, Social Sciences, Commerce or Mathematics, therefore as per NCTE Regulations, 2014, as amended from time to time, the application of the institution does not fall in the category of Multidisciplinary institution. As per the Order uploaded on the institution’s website it is conducting the B.Ed. course with 100 intake since 2006. However, the institution has not mentioned this fact in the transition application. This college is not running any multidisciplinary programme. The institution has not uploaded any proof/documentary evidence regarding multidisciplinary institution. (iii) The affidavit has been uploaded by the institution along with Patta. However, certified land documents in respect of Khasra No. 30, 38/1, 39/1 issued by Revenue Department are not uploaded, instead a copy of modified patta dated 01.03.2013 issued by Karyalay Nagar Vikas Trust, Kota is uploaded. (iv) The institution has not uploaded latest Mutation Certificate of land in respect of Khasra No. 30. However, Khasra No. 38/1, 39/1 are not mentioned in the uploaded Mutation Certificate. (v) As per the building plan uploaded by the institution the total built up area is 2500.33 sq.mts. The institution has proposed to conduct two units of ITEP. Further, as per the institution’s website it is also conducting B.Ed. course with 100 intake since 2006. However, the institution has not mentioned this fact in the transition application. It has not uploaded the list of Teaching Staff for B.Ed. duly countersigned by the Registrar of Affiliating University. (vii) The institution has uploaded the Building Safety Certificate dated 28.02.2024 without mentioning Khasra Number therein.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from R.M. Memorial Women Teacher Training College, 30, 38/1, 39/1, Ujjwal Vihar Baran Road, Gram Deoli Arab, Udyog Nagar, Ladpura, Kota, Rajasthan-324001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 03.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “(i) Non-Encumbrance Certificate in respect of Land Khasra no. 30, 38/1, 39/1 issued by Tahsildar, Kota Development Authority, Kota (Raj.) dated 30.01.2025 the seal of Tehsildar is reflected. (ii) As per clause 8(1) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 New Teacher Education Institution shall be located in Composite Institution. As per clause 2 (b) “Composite Institution” means a duly recognized Higher Education Institution offering undergraduate or post graduate Programmes of study in the field of liberal arts or humanities or Social Science or Sciences or Commerce or Mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Teacher Education Programmes or an institution offering Multi Teacher Education Programmes. This institution is running Teacher Education Programmes and applied for grant of recognition of B.A.B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. course. After fully satisfied from the eligibility criteria for recognition and satisfied from infrastructural and institutional facilities, NRC, NCTE had granted Recognition for B.A.B.Ed./ B.Sc. B.Ed. course vide order dated 29.04.2017. In the State of Rajasthan, ban has been imposed on opening of New Degree Colleges from 2022-23 to till now. Therefore, this institution has not been able to open Degree College in the college campus. In future, whenever, State Govt. will open the portal for recognition of Degree College, this college will get recognition of Degree College or as per NCTE guidelines for transforming NCTE recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutes into multidisciplinary HEIs 26.05.2025. We shall enter into MOU of collaboration with nearby multidisciplinary college. (Enclosed No. 02) The College applied for ITEP through this application therefore B.Ed. was not mentioned in it but it was mentioned in the application that college is running since 2006. (iii) The affidavit uploaded by the institution along with Patta and Certified Land Documents in respect of Khasra No. 30, 38/1, 39/1 issued by Revenue Department. (iv) The institution uploaded the Mutation Certificate of land in respect of Khasra No. 30, 38/1, 39/1. (v) As the application was for ITEP we only uploaded the integrated B.Ed. course (B.A,B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed.) Building Plan with total built up area of 2500.33 Sq.Mtr. Please be informed that B.Ed. Course is also

being run since 2006 in another block with built up area of 1786.84 Sq.Mtr. So, the total built up area for running B.Ed. & Integrated B.Ed. College is 4287.17 Sq. Mtr. (vi) It is mentioned in the application that the B.Ed. Course is running in the College since 2006, as THIS application was for ITEP therefore countersigned Staff list of integrated Course by the Registrar of Affiliated University WAS uploaded. now we HAVE uploaded the countersigned B.Ed. staff list. (vii) The institution HAS uploaded the REVISED Building Safety Certificate dated 29.02.2024 with Khasra No. 30, 38/1, 39/1 mentioned and seal/signed again by concerned authority on dated 04.04.2025.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held online on 3rd July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 04.03.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the WRC vide order dt. 21.04.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 8th Meeting, 2025 held on 03.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee considered the documents submitted alongwith the Appeal Report and after careful examination of the records and submissions placed before it, the Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted a copy of Non-Encumbrance Certificate in respect of Land Khasra no. 30, 38/1, 39/1 issued by Tahsildar, Kota Development Authority, Kota (Raj.) dated 30.01.2025 the seal of Tehsildar is reflected. The appellant institution further submitted affidavit along with Patta and Certified Land Documents in respect of Khasra No. 30, 38/1, 39/1 issued by Revenue Department. The appellant institution submitted the Mutation Certificate of land in respect of Khasra No. 30, 38/1, 39/1 and a copy of building plan etc. The appellant also submitted that it has initiated the process of collaboration as per directions contained in the NCTE MDI guidelines. The Appeal Committee observed that the Appellant Institution is a NCTE-recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institution, seeking

transition to a Multidisciplinary Higher Educational Institution (MDI) through the collaborative model as outlined in the NCTE Guidelines dated 15.05.2025 for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions.

The Appeal Committee took note of the decision of the General Body of Council in its 65th meeting held on 6th May, 2025 which approved the extension of timeline for transition of 4-year Integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. into ITEP before the start of the academic session 2026-2027, in place of the earlier deadline of 2025–2026, as notified in the Gazette Notification dated 30.01.2024.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a “multidisciplinary institution” as defined under clause “(ca) ***“multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.”***”

The Committee also referred to the “Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,” dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:

- (i) *The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.*
- (ii) *A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.*

The collaboration will be subject to the following:

- (a) *Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.*
- (b) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.*
- (c) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.*
- (d) *The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.*
- (e) *One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.*
- (f) *Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)*
- (g) *NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.*

In light of these regulatory frameworks and NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, the Committee reviewed the claim of the appellant institution and observed that it has submitted explanations and documentation purporting to address the shortcomings highlighted in the WRC's impugned order dated 21.04.2025. The Committee also noted the legal position laid down by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein the Court directed that subsequent documents submitted by the appellant must be taken into consideration by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating an appeal.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 21.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-

examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 21.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for re-examination. The WRC is directed to reassess the case comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, taking into account all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The WRC shall ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the WRC shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, R.M. Memorial Women Teacher Training College, 30, 38/1, 39/1, Ujjwal Vihar Baran Road, Gram Deoli Arab, Udyog Nagar, Ladpura, Kota, Rajasthan-324001.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.**

उप सचिव (अपील)/ Deputy Secretary (Appeal)