



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

**89-351/E-376391/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLWRC202515320**

Naveen Bharti B.Ed. College, Vpo-Khood, Distt.-Sikar (Raj, Khasra No. – 1357, Sikar Road, Dantaramgarh, Rajasthan, Pincode – 332023	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Sandeep Kumar, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, WRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	26.08.2025
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	26.09.2025

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Naveen Bharti B.Ed. College, Vpo-Khood, Distt.-Sikar (Raj, Khasra No. – 1357, Sikar Road, Dantaramgarh, Rajasthan, Pincode - 332023** dated 03/08/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **NCTE/2025/WRC/PAR/ORDER/RJ-989/6861-6865** dated 29/05/2025 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Sandeep Kumar of Naveen Bharti B.Ed. College, Vpo-Khood, Distt.-Sikar (Raj, Khasra No. – 1357, Sikar Road, Dantaramgarh, Rajasthan, Pincode - 332023** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1) That recognition for 2 years B.Ed. course to this institution was granted by NRC, NCTE vide order No. NRC/NCTE/ F-7/ RJ-989 / 2015 / 104555 dated 25.05.2015. Copy of recognition order for 2 years B.Ed. course is attached. 2) That this institution has submitted PAR report on PAR Portal of NCTE for academic session 2021-2022 on 08.12.2024 and paid Rs. 17700/- for PAR fees through Net Banking vide Transaction ID No. P21220235559593549a5e616310e6b1. PAR code of this institution for academic session 2021-2022 is P2122023555. Copy of PAR Report for session 2021-2022 is attached. 3) That this institution has submitted PAR report on PAR Portal of NCTE for academic session 2022-2023 on 10.12.2024 and paid Rs. 17700/- for PAR fees through Net Banking vide Transaction ID No. P22230359817628ffb5343b6cf8ed97. PAR code of this institution for academic session 2022-2023 is P2223035981. Copy of PAR Report for session 2022-2023 is attached. 4) That WRC, NCTE had issued a show cause notice to this institution for non-filling of PAR for academic session 2022-2023 without verifying the facts on 09.04.2025. 15 days time had been provided to this institution for submission of reply of above show cause notice. Thus, 24.04.2025 is the last date for submission of reply of above show cause notice. But WRC, NCTE has dispatched the above show cause notice on 06.05.2025, after expiry of last date for

submission of reply of above show cause notice, which was delivered to this institution on 09.05.2025. Copy of show cause notice and copy of track consignment issued by Indian Post are attached. 5) That WRC, NCTE had again issued a show cause notice to this institution for non-filing of PAR for academic session 2022-2023 without verifying the facts on 15.04.2025. 15 days time had been provided to this institution for submission of reply of above show cause notice. Thus, 30.04.2025 is the last date for submission of reply of above show cause notice. But WRC, NCTE has dispatched the above show cause notice on 07.05.2025, after expiry of last date for submission of reply of above show cause notice, which was delivered to this institution on 10.05.2025. Copy of show cause notice and copy of track consignment issued by Indian Post are attached. 6) That when the both show cause notices issued by WRC, NCTE for non-submission of PAR report for academic session 2022-2023 was dispatched by WRC, NCTE after expiry of last date of submission of online reply, then how it is possible to submit reply without receiving show cause notice. 7) That Western Regional Committee, NCTE had already decided in its 423 (1), 424 (1) and 425 (1) meetings that "The WRC further decided that before issue of withdrawal order, the Regional Director may re-verify that the institution have not submitted the reply of show cause notice". But the R. D., WRC, NCTE had not verified the facts that this institution has already submitted PAR report for academic session 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 and issued withdrawal order to this institution for 2 years B.Ed. course from academic session 2025-2026. Copy of minutes of the above meeting are attached. 8) That WRC, NCTE had issued withdrawal order for 2 years B.Ed. course to this institution on 29.05.2025 in which it is stated that "the matter was considered by the Western Regional Committee in its meeting No. 424 held on 20.05.2025 and the committee decided that the recognition / permission granted to Naveen Bharti B.Ed. College, as referred above be withdrawn under section 17 of NCTE Act, 1993 on the following grounds/reason with effect from the academic session 2025-2026. But no decision has been taken by the Western Regional Committee regarding withdrawal of recognition / permission for 2 years B.Ed. course of this institution in 424 Meeting (i, ii and iii) of WRC, NCTE held from 20.05.2025 to 23.05.2025. Copy of minutes of 424 Meeting (i, ii and iii) of WRC, NCTE held from 20.05.2025 to 23.05.2025 are attached. 9) That WRC, NCTE has issued withdrawal order for 2 years B.Ed. course to this institution on 29.05.2025. But the withdrawal order dated 29.05.2025 has been dispatched by

WRC, NCTE to this institution on 22.07.2025 which is delivered to this institution on 26.07.2025. As per withdrawal order dated 29.05.2025, last date for submission of Appeal is 28.07.2025 but the above show cause notice has been dispatched by WRC, NCTE on 22.07.2025, the last date for submission of Appeal from date of dispatch is 20.09.2025. Copy of withdrawal order and copy of track consignment issued by Indian Post are attached. 10) That WRC, NCTE has issued withdrawal letter without verifying the facts that this institution has already submitted online PAR report for academic session 2022-2023 on NCTE PAR Portal. R. D., WRC, NCTE has issued withdrawal letter for 2 years B.Ed. course to this institution without decision taken by Western Regional Committee.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 29.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The institution contended that it has filed the PAR for 2021–2022 and 2022–2023 and submitted PAR report on PAR Portal of NCTE for academic session 2021-2022 on 08.12.2024 and paid Rs. 17700/- for PAR fees through Net Banking vide Transaction ID No. P21220235559593549a5e616310e6b1. PAR code of this institution for academic session 2021-2022 is P2122023555. The appellant institution has also submitted PAR report on PAR Portal of NCTE for academic session 2022-2023 on 10.12.2024 and paid Rs. 17700/- for PAR fees through Net Banking vide Transaction ID No. P22230359817628ffb5343b6cf8ed97. PAR code of this institution for academic session 2022-2023 is P2223035981.

The Appeal Committee upon consideration found that the issues raised require reconsideration and verification by the concerned Regional Committee. Accordingly, in exercise of its powers under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in line with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 23.02.2017 in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) No. 3231/2016], which mandates that all subsequent documents submitted with the appeal must be taken into account, the Appeal Committee decided to remand the case back to WRC for reconsideration.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

**In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the case of the appellant institution is remanded back to WRC for revisiting the matter.**

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 29.05.2025 and remand back the case to WRC with a direction to *re-examine the submission of PARs*

by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to WRC with a direction re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the SRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Naveen Bharti B.Ed. College, Vpo-Khood, Distt.-Sikar (Raj, Khasra No.-1357, Sikar Road, Dantaramgarh, Rajasthan-332023.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Block-4, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Shiksha Sankul, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan-302015.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-352/E-376974/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLNRC202515249**

Baba Prasiddha Narayan Mahavidyalay, Plot No. - 1243,1302,1246,1243,1062, vill.- bagathari, Post Office - murara, tahasil- k, Murara, Pesara Road, Kerakat, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode – 222170	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Sh. Ashok Kumar Singh, Assistant Manager</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, NRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>26.08.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>26.09.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Baba Prasiddha Narayan Mahavidyalay, Plot No. - 1243,1302,1246,1243,1062, vill.- bagathari, Post Office - murara, tahasil- k, Murara, Pesara Road, Kerakat, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 222170** dated 17/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-11980/231484-231490** dated 13.06.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Sh. Ashok Kumar Singh of Baba Prasiddha Narayan Mahavidyalay, Plot No. - 1243,1302,1246,1243,1062, vill.- bagathari, Post Office - murara, tahasil- k, Murara, Pesara Road, Kerakat, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 222170** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “1) Be have letter of PAR successfully. 2) Be have Recognition order”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 13.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.



The institution contended that it has filed the PAR for 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The Appeal Committee upon consideration found that the issues raised require reconsideration and verification by the concerned Regional Committee. Accordingly, in exercise of its powers under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in line with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 23.02.2017 in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) No. 3231/2016], which mandates that all subsequent documents submitted with the appeal must be taken into account, the Appeal Committee decided to remand the case back to NRC for reconsideration.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

**In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the case of the appellant institution is remanded back to NRC for revisiting the matter.**

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 13.06.2025 and remand back the case to NRC with a direction to re-examine the submission of PARs

by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to NRC with a direction re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the SRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Baba Prasiddha Narayan Mahavidyalay, Plot No. - 1243,1302,1246,1243,1062, vill.- bagathari, Post Office - murara, tahasil- k, Murara, Pesara Road, Kerakat, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 222170.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-353/E-376963/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLNRC202515169**

Bishamber Sahai B.P.Ed. Institute Roorkee, Khasra No. 329/330, 6 KM STONE Nh-73 Delhi Dehradun Road Roorkee (U.K), ROORKEE, GARHWAL, Uttarakhand, Haridwar, Pincode – 247667	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Sh. Diwakar Jain, Deputy Director</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, NRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	26.08.2025
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	26.09.2025

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Bishamber Sahai B.P.Ed. Institute Roorkee, Khasra No. 329/330, 6 KM STONE Nh-73 Delhi Dehradun Road Roorkee (U.K), ROORKEE, GARHWAL, Uttarakhand, Haridwar, Pincode - 247667** dated **24/06/2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/UR-118** dated 20/05/2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The justification/reply given by the institution against Show Cause Notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable;”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Sh. Diwakar Jain** of **Bishamber Sahai B.P.Ed. Institute Roorkee, Khasra No. 329/330, 6 KM STONE Nh-73 Delhi Dehradun Road Roorkee (U.K), ROORKEE, GARHWAL, Uttarakhand, Haridwar, Pincode - 247667** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “Respected Sir With due respect we the management of Bishamber Sahai B.P.Ed. Institute Roorkee, extend our sincere apologies to the esteemed National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE) for not submitting the B.P.Ed PAR form for the academic session 2021-22 and 2022-23 on due date. Due to some unfortunate circumstances, we were unable to fill the form. We sincerely apologize to you. Today on 14 April 2025 I received your postal notice, in which you mentioned to reply on this notice within a period of 15 days from the date of issuance of this notice, which is 04 April 2025. I deeply apologize but we received this letter lately. Now I request you to guide us. We want to fill the required formalities of PAR. We deeply regret the inconvenience and confusion this may have caused to your esteemed office. The lapse was purely unintentional and arose due to internal administrative challenges. We take full responsibility for this oversight and assure you that necessary corrective measures have been implemented to prevent any such

recurrence in the future. We remain committed to maintaining the highest standards of integrity and compliance as guided by NCTE. We humbly request your kind consideration and understanding in this matter. Once again, we express our heartfelt apologies and assure you of our full cooperation and adherence to all NCTE guidelines & timelines going forward. Thank you for your patience and understanding. Yours sincerely Secretary”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years’ duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 20.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body of the Council in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, the submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The PAR process necessarily includes not only the online submission of institutional information but also the successful payment of the prescribed fee, which forms an integral part of the process. In order to make all the TEI’s aware regarding submission of PAR widespread publicity was given by various methods.

The Committee further noted the deliberations and resolution adopted by the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28th July 2025, which is reproduced below in extenso:

**“Decision of the Council:**

***In view of the above, the Council discussed and deliberated the agenda in detail and decided as under:***

- 1. The council was informed that the Hon’ble Delhi High Court, in W.P. (C) No. 8569/2025 titled Seth Moti Lal Teachers Education College & Anr. And other connected matters, had posed a query to the NCTE regarding whether the re-opening of the portal for submission of PAR was possible. In response, the proposal was placed before the council for deliberations and discussions.***
- 2. In view of the observations of the Hon’ble High Court of Delhi, the General Body of the Council resolved to adopt, for its consideration and final approval, a strictly one-time, non-precedent-setting, and time-bound resolution, without leaving any scope for future reliance.***
- 3. In view of the commencement of the academic session from 01.08.2025, the TEIs that have not pursued any remedial measures against the withdrawal order passed by the respective Regional Committees under Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 are deemed to be non-serious and/or fence-sitters. Accordingly, a cut-off date of 28.07.2025 has been rationally determined by the Council as a necessary administrative threshold to maintain academic discipline and institutional accountability. In the light of the same, only the following categories of TEIs will be eligible to avail the benefit of re-opening of the PAR portal:***
  - a) Those who have filed a Writ Petition before the Hon’ble Delhi High Court prior to the cut-off date of 28.07.2025; and***
  - b) Those who have filed an appeal before the NCTE, Appeal Committee prior to the cut-off date of 28.07.2025.***
- 4. The concerned TEIs shall be allowed to submit the PAR upon the reopening of the PAR Portal, subject to the payment of a processing fee of Rs. 25,000/- along with applicable GST and other statutory charges, per academic session.***
- 5. In view of the foregoing discussion, all derecognition orders issued by the respective Regional Committee (s) shall stand withdrawn, subject to the condition that the concerned TEIs submit an undertaking to apply afresh and resubmit a duly filled PAR upon the reopening of the PAR Portal.***

6. ***The PAR Portal shall be re-opened for a period of approximately three (3) weeks. A standard operating procedure (SOP) for the same shall be formulated.***
7. ***To ensure smooth and effective implementation of the proposed mechanism, a dedicated Nodal Officer shall be appointed. The Nodal Officer shall be responsible to:***
  1. ***Oversee and supervise the re-opening of the PAR portal;***
  2. ***Serve as the single point of contact for all communications with the eligible TEIs; and***
  3. ***Address any queries, grievances, and technical or logistical issues that may arise during the implementation process.***

***The name and official contact details of the Nodal Officer shall be notified separately through a Public Notice issued on the NCTE website.”***

In view of the above resolution, the Committee observed that the appellant institution, having not filed the PAR within the stipulated timelines, was deficient on this ground. However, in light of the decision of the General Body taken in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting on 28.07.2025 which permits reopening of the PAR portal for those institutions that had filed appeal before the Appeal Committee prior to the cut-off date of 28.07.2025, and the undertaking submitted by the appellant institution to apply afresh and resubmit a duly filled PAR upon the re-opening of the PAR Portal, as well as the confirmation that no court case has been filed by the institution, the Committee concluded that the appellant institution falls within the eligible category.

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, and in view of the above observations and guided by the resolution of the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the Appeal Committee has decided to remand the case to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) with a direction to ensure compliance as mandated therein. The appellant institution shall be permitted to apply afresh and resubmit a duly filled PAR upon reopening of the PAR Portal in accordance with the prescribed Standard Operating Procedure, and the Regional Committee shall thereafter take a reasoned decision in conformity with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.



**IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 20.05.2025 and remand back the case to Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with the directions specified hereinabove. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, Bishamber Sahai B.P.Ed. Institute Roorkee, Khasra No. 329/330, 6 KM STONE Nh-73 Delhi Dehradun Road Roorkee (U.K), ROORKEE, GARHWAL, Uttarakhand, Haridwar, Pincode - 247667.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Uttarakhand, Directorate General Of School Education Uttarakhand, Nanoorkhera, Dehradun 248001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

**89-361/E-3770370/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLSRC202515181**

Vennela College Of Elementary Teacher Education, Survey no. - 131/o,132, Near Renuka Yellamma Temple, anantharam, bhongir, Bhongir, Telangana, Pincode – 508116	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>The Representative</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, SRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	26.08.2025
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	26.09.2025

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Vennela College Of Elementary Teacher Education, Survey no. - 131/o,132, Near Renuka Yellamma Temple, Anantharaman, sBhongir, Telangana, Pincode - 508116** dated **26/06/2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F.No.NCTE/2025/SRC/PAR/ORDER/SRCAPP1288** dated 16/05/2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that “The justification/reply given by the Institution against Show Cause Notice for non-submission of PAR is not acceptable”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

The Representative from **Vennela College of Elementary Teacher Education, Survey no. - 131/o,132, Near Renuka Yellamma Temple, Anantharaman, Bhongir, Telangana, Pincode - 508116** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “It Is submitting that, we don’t have admissions for the Academic Years 2020-21 to 2022-23 due to Covid Pandemic situation. So, unavailability of data we have not submitted the performance appraisal report in online for the Academic Years 2020-21 to 2022-23. Further I am here with submitting few lines for your kind consideration that, our college established in the year 2012 and completed 13 years of service in a prime locality with own constructed building and having good infrastructure and consider employability of teaching & Non-Teaching Staff keeping their age (They Have Crossed 45+ Years of Age) on Humanitarian Grounds. Further we are ready to submit PAR coming Academic Year without fail. So please consider above request and revoke the order for which I shall be thankful to you. Regards, Dr. M. Niranjana Reddy, Secretary & Correspondent, Vennela College of Elementary Teacher Education.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 50 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 16.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body of the Council in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, the submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The PAR process necessarily includes not only the online submission of institutional information but also the successful payment of the prescribed fee, which forms an integral part of the process. In order to make all the TEI's aware regarding submission of PAR widespread publicity was given by various methods.

The Committee further noted the deliberations and resolution adopted by the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28th July 2025, which is reproduced below in extenso:

**"Decision of the Council:**

***In view of the above, the Council discussed and deliberated the agenda in detail and decided as under:***

- 1. The council was informed that the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, in W.P. (C) No. 8569/2025 titled Seth Moti Lal Teachers Education College & Anr. And other connected matters, had posed a query to the NCTE regarding whether the re-opening of the portal for submission of PAR was possible. In response, the proposal was placed before the council for deliberations and discussions.***
- 2. In view of the observations of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, the General Body of the Council resolved to adopt, for its***

*consideration and final approval, a strictly one-time, non-precedent-setting, and time-bound resolution, without leaving any scope for future reliance.*

3. *In view of the commencement of the academic session from 01.08.2025, the TEIs that have not pursued any remedial measures against the withdrawal order passed by the respective Regional Committees under Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 are deemed to be non-serious and/or fence-sitters. Accordingly, a cut-off date of 28.07.2025 has been rationally determined by the Council as a necessary administrative threshold to maintain academic discipline and institutional accountability. In the light of the same, only the following categories of TEIs will be eligible to avail the benefit of re-opening of the PAR portal:*

- a) *Those who have filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble Delhi High Court prior to the cut-off date of 28.07.2025; and*
- b) *Those who have filed an appeal before the NCTE, Appeal Committee prior to the cut-off date of 28.07.2025.*

4. *The concerned TEIs shall be allowed to submit the PAR upon the reopening of the PAR Portal, subject to the payment of a processing fee of Rs. 25,000/- along with applicable GST and other statutory charges, per academic session.*
5. *In view of the foregoing discussion, all derecognition orders issued by the respective Regional Committee (s) shall stand withdrawn, subject to the condition that the concerned TEIs submit an undertaking to apply afresh and resubmit a duly filled PAR upon the reopening of the PAR Portal.*
6. *The PAR Portal shall be re-opened for a period of approximately three (3) weeks. A standard operating procedure (SOP) for the same shall be formulated.*
7. *To ensure smooth and effective implementation of the proposed mechanism, a dedicated Nodal Officer shall be appointed. The Nodal Officer shall be responsible to:*
  1. *Oversee and supervise the re-opening of the PAR portal;*
  2. *Serve as the single point of contact for all communications with the eligible TEIs; and*
  3. *Address any queries, grievances, and technical or logistical issues that may arise during the implementation process.*

*The name and official contact details of the Nodal Officer shall be notified separately through a Public Notice issued on the NCTE website."*

In view of the above resolution, the Committee observed that the appellant institution, having not filed the PAR within the stipulated timelines, was deficient on this ground. However, in light of the decision of the General Body taken in its 67th

(Emergent) Meeting on 28.07.2025 which permits reopening of the PAR portal for those institutions that had filed appeal before the Appeal Committee prior to the cut-off date of 28.07.2025, and the undertaking submitted by the appellant institution to apply afresh and resubmit a duly filled PAR upon the re-opening of the PAR Portal, as well as the confirmation that no court case has been filed by the institution, the Committee concluded that the appellant institution falls within the eligible category.

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, and in view of the above observations and guided by the resolution of the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the Appeal Committee has decided to remand the case to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) with a

direction to ensure compliance as mandated therein. The appellant institution shall be permitted to apply afresh and resubmit a duly filled PAR upon reopening of the PAR Portal in accordance with the prescribed Standard Operating Procedure, and the Regional Committee shall thereafter take a reasoned decision in conformity with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 16.05.2025 and remand back the case to Southern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with the directions specified hereinabove. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.**

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### **Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Vennela College Of Elementary Teacher Education, Survey no. - 131/o,132, Near Renuka Yellamma Temple, Anantharaman, sBhongir, Telangana, Pincode - 508116.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad, Telangana–500022.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-364/E-376916/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025

**APPLNRC202515254**

Basant Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 265, 269, 270, 558, Basant Mahavidyalaya tendui Saray Inayat, Saray Inayat, Bahadurpur, Uttar Pradesh, Allahabad, Pincode – 221505	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Sh. Ayush Singh, Office Coordinator</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, NRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	26.08.2025
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	26.09.2025



## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Basant Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 265, 269, 270, 558, Basant Mahavidyalaya tendui Saray Inayat, Saray Inayat, Bahadurpur, Uttar Pradesh, Allahabad, Pincode - 221505** dated **18/07/2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/UP-959/** dated 30/05/2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “**As per Appeal Report - 1.** Our institution have successfully filled and submitted the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic years 2021-22 and 2022-23. However, we were surprised to find that after these submissions, the NRC Committee proceeded to withdraw our institution. 2. This is to bring to your kind attention that our institution received the recognition order from NCTE under the name “Basant Degree College.” However, all subsequent documents and approvals-such as: • No Objection Certificate (NOC) from the State Government, • Affiliation letter from the University, • Land ownership documents, CLU, and mutation records, have been issued in the name of “Basant Mahavidyalaya.” Furthermore, we would like to highlight that we have also submitted the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the year 2020–21 under the name “Basant Mahavidyalaya,” which was duly accepted by NRC, NCTE without any objection at the time.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Sh. Ayush Singh, Office Coordinator of Basant Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 265, 269, 270, 558, Basant Mahavidyalaya tendui Saray Inayat, Saray Inayat, Bahadurpur, Uttar Pradesh, Allahabad, Pincode - 221505** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “With due respect, I wish to bring to your kind notice the following details regarding our institution: 1. Our institution had successfully submitted the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) for the academic years 2021–22 and 2022–23 as per the following records: For 2021–22: • Institution Code: 2022AC72C00967 • Application Code: P2122023684 • Date & Time of Submission:

20/12/2024 at 14:44:25 • Transaction ID: P21220236846b26e82ed13692009ce1 • Transaction Ref. No.: 241220213131375? For 2022–23: • Institution Code: 2022AC72C00967 • Application Code: P2223038448 • Date & Time of Submission: 20/12/2024 at 15:43:06 • Transaction ID: P2223038448e53c0878ea64cc37cf36 • Transaction Ref. No.: 241220213144780?. 2. These submissions were made well within the prescribed timeline and successfully processed on the NCTE portal. 3. Despite fulfilling all procedural requirements, we were later informed that our institution's recognition was withdrawn by the NRC. We respectfully seek clarification on the grounds for withdrawal, especially considering that: • Our institution has a valid recognition order from NCTE in the name of "Basant Degree College". • However, all other related approvals—including State Government NOC, University Affiliation, CLU, Mutation, and Land Documents—are in the name of "Basant Mahavidyalaya". • Even the PAR for 2020–21 was submitted and accepted under the name "Basant Mahavidyalaya" by NRC. We request you to kindly consider this matter sympathetically and provide guidance on the steps to resolve the name discrepancy, and if possible, to review and reinstate the recognition of our institution. We are ready to furnish any further documents or clarification, if required. Thanking you in anticipation."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. course. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 30.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 through online mode considered the appeal of the appellant institution. The Committee perused the Appeal Report, documents available on record, and heard the oral submissions made by the appellant. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. programme. The Northern Regional Committee (NRC) had withdrawn the institution's recognition vide order dated 30.05.2025 on the ground that the justification furnished in response to the show cause notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not acceptable. The Appeal Committee observed that the withdrawal of recognition was primarily based on non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. As per the public notice issued by NCTE, the last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024.

The Appeal Committee noted that the Appellant Institution filed a Writ -C No. 26469 of 2025 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad and the Hon'ble court passed an order dated 07.08.2025. The operative part of the order is as under: -

***“...5. At this juncture, Mr. Awasthi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has referred the order dated 16.07.2025 of the High Court of Delhi At New Delhi passed in W.P. (C) 9939/2025 & CM APPL. 41403/2025 (R R Gupta Shikshan Prashikshan Sansthan & Anr. Vs. National Council For Teacher Education & Anr.) alongwith other writ petitions and submitted that the Delhi High Court has stayed the order impugned therein and allowed the respective institutions to participate in the counselling. On the basis of said judgment, it is prayed that the same benefit may be given to the petitioner by this Court.***

***6. Mr. Awasthi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has fairly conceded that the impugned orders may be stayed by allowing the petitioner to participate in the ongoing counselling till the disposal of the appeal by the appellate authority.***

***7. Taking into consideration of the entirety of the matter as well as submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and averments made in the petition, I am of the opinion that since the appeal is pending before the appellate authority, the petitioner shall allow to participate in the ongoing counselling, subject to the outcome of the statutory appeal preferred by the petitioner.***

***8. In view of the above direction/ observation, the writ petition is disposed of.”***

The institution contended that it has filed the PAR for 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The Appeal Committee upon consideration found that the issues raised require reconsideration and verification by the concerned Regional Committee. Accordingly, in exercise of its powers under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in line with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 23.02.2017 in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) No. 3231/2016], which mandates that all subsequent documents submitted with the appeal must be taken into account, the Appeal Committee decided to remand the case back to NRC for reconsideration.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

**In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the case of the appellant institution is remanded back to NRC for revisiting the matter.**

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 and remand back the case to NRC with a direction to re-examine the submission of PARs

by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

#### IV. **DECISION:** -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to NRC with a direction re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the SRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Basant Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 265, 269, 270, 558, Basant Mahavidyalaya tendui Saray Inayat, Saray Inayat, Bahadurpur, Uttar Pradesh, Allahabad, Pincode - 221505.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-369/E-377725/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLNRC202515085**

Swami Ganesha Nand Santan Dharam College of Education Uchana Kalan (Jind), Khasra No. - 219/1, Near Railway Station, Uchana Kalan, Haryana-126115	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Sh. Dharamvir Singh, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, NRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	26.08.2025
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	26.09.2025

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Swami Ganesha Nand Santan Dharam College of Education Uchana Kalan (Jind), Khasra No. - 219/1, Near Railway Station, Uchana Kalan, Haryana-126115** dated 31/05/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/HR-611** dated 23.05.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that “The Institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Sh. Dharamvir Singh of Swami Ganesha Nand Santan Dharam College of Education Uchana Kalan (Jind), Khasra No. - 219/1, Near Railway Station, Uchana Kalan, Haryana-126115** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “Reply of Show Cause Notice has also been correctly been submitted to your office vide this office letter No 20 Oct 2022. (b) Reply of Show Cause Notice duly supported alongwith an affidavit has been handed over to your worthy office on 30 Jan 2023 by the Principal of the Institute (copy attached). (c) Performance Appraisal System (PAR) of Swami Ganeshanand Sanatan Dharm College of Education and Shri Shiv Mandir Sanatan Dharm School and D Ed Centre, Uchana Kalan, Jind (Haryana) (being composite) for Academic Session 2022-23 was correctly been submitted on 09 Dec 2024 through online mode duly mentioned application code bearing No P2223028554 (Copy attached). (d) Your office receipt report regarding successful submission of PAR of Session 2022-23 is also attached. Reply of Show Cause Notice has also been correctly been submitted to your office vide this office letter No 20 Oct 2022. (b) Reply of Show Cause Notice duly supported alongwith an affidavit has been handed over to your worthy office on 30 Jan 2023 by the Principal of the Institute (copy attached). (c) Performance Appraisal System (PAR) of Swami Ganeshanand Sanatan Dharm College of Education and Shri Shiv Mandir Sanatan Dharm School and D Ed Centre, Uchana Kalan, Jind (Haryana) (being composite) for Academic Session 2022-23 was correctly been submitted on 09 Dec 2024 through online mode duly mentioned

application code bearing No P2223028554 (Copy attached). (d) Your office receipt report regarding successful submission of PAR of Session 2022-23 is also attached.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 23.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 through online mode considered the appeal of the appellant institution. The Committee perused the Appeal Report, documents available on record, and heard the oral submissions made by the appellant. The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. programme. The Northern Regional Committee (NRC) had withdrawn the institution's recognition vide order dated 23.05.2025 on the ground that the justification furnished in response to the show cause notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not acceptable. The Appeal Committee observed that the withdrawal of recognition was primarily based on non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. As per the public notice issued by NCTE, the last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024.

The institution contended that it has filed the PAR for 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The Appeal Committee upon consideration found that the issues raised require



reconsideration and verification by the concerned Regional Committee. Accordingly, in exercise of its powers under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in line with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 23.02.2017 in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) No. 3231/2016], which mandates that all subsequent documents submitted with the appeal must be taken into account, the Appeal Committee decided to remand the case back to NRC for reconsideration.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

**In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the case of the appellant institution is remanded back to NRC for revisiting the matter.**

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 23.05.2025 and remand back the case to NRC with a direction to *re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines*

issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to NRC with a direction re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the SRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Swami Ganesha Nand Santan Dharam College of Education Uchana Kalan (Jind), Khasra No. - 219/1, Near Railway Station, Uchana Kalan, Haryana-126115.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Government of Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Ground & 1st Floor, Sec-5, Panchkula, Haryana-134105.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-371/E-376364/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLNRC202515290**

Shri Baba Mastnath Institute of Education Training and Research, Khata No. – 3661, Asthal Boha, Delhi Road, Haryana, Rohtak, Pincode – 124021	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Ms. Aruna Anchal, Officiating Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, NRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>26.08.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>26.09.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Shri Baba Mastnath Institute of Education Training and Research, Khata No. – 3661, Asthal Boha, Delhi Road, Haryana, Rohtak, Pincode - 124021** dated 17/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no. **F. No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/HR-49 + HR-882/** dated 23/05/2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Ms. Aruna Anchal** of **Shri Baba Mastnath Institute of Education Training and Research, Khata No. – 3661, Asthal Boha, Delhi Road, Haryana, Rohtak, Pincode - 124021** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “Explanation for Non-Submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for Academic Sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23 Respected Sir/Madam, I am writing on behalf of Shri Baba Mastnath Institute Of Educational Training And Research, Asthal Bohar, Rohtak, Code No. 516002 to formally explain the non-submission of the Performance Appraisal Reports (PAR) for the academic sessions 2021–22 and 2022–23. Reason for Non-Submission: On November 7, 2022, the Department of School Education, Haryana, issued an order discontinuing the D.El.Ed. course across all Block Institutes of Teacher Education (BITEs), Government Elementary Teacher Training Institutes (GETTIs), and private self-financed colleges in the state, effective from the academic session 2022–23, in alignment with the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 . Subsequently, the National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE) withdrew recognition from all 395 Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs) in Haryana offering the D.El.Ed. course. These developments led to the cessation of D.El.Ed. course activities in our institution, resulting in the absence of academic and administrative data necessary for compiling the PARs for the specified sessions. But later by Supreme

Court order no. CWP No. 20342 dated 29.10.2024 admissions for D. El. Ed. was re-open in Haryana and admission schedule was announced from 14 October 2024 and continued till 20 January 2025. Due to which teaching and office staff were busy in this process of admission. We were unable to fill the PAR announced by NCTE. We understand the importance of maintaining compliance with NCTE regulations and are committed to resuming the submission of PARs should the D.El.Ed. course be reinstated in our institution. We sincerely apologize for any inconvenience caused due to this situation and seek your understanding regarding the circumstances that led to the non-submission. Now we request you please withdraw the order of notice and allow us to fill the PAR Portal Thank you for your attention to this matter.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 23.05.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The Committee observed that, in terms of Section 12(k) of the NCTE Act, 1993, the decision of the General Body of the Council in its 61st Meeting held on 05.08.2024, and subsequent Public Notices, the submission of the Performance Appraisal Report (PAR) is a mandatory and time-bound compliance obligation for all recognized Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs). The PAR process necessarily includes not only the online submission of institutional information but also the successful payment of the prescribed fee, which forms an integral part of the process. In order to make all the

TEI's aware regarding submission of PAR widespread publicity was given by various methods.

The Committee further noted the deliberations and resolution adopted by the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28th July 2025, which is reproduced below in extenso:

**"Decision of the Council:**

***In view of the above, the Council discussed and deliberated the agenda in detail and decided as under:***

- 1. The council was informed that the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, in W.P. (C) No. 8569/2025 titled Seth Moti Lal Teachers Education College & Anr. And other connected matters, had posed a query to the NCTE regarding whether the re-opening of the portal for submission of PAR was possible. In response, the proposal was placed before the council for deliberations and discussions.***
- 2. In view of the observations of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, the General Body of the Council resolved to adopt, for its consideration and final approval, a strictly one-time, non-precedent-setting, and time-bound resolution, without leaving any scope for future reliance.***
- 3. In view of the commencement of the academic session from 01.08.2025, the TEIs that have not pursued any remedial measures against the withdrawal order passed by the respective Regional Committees under Section 17 of the NCTE Act, 1993 are deemed to be non-serious and/or fence-sitters. Accordingly, a cut-off date of 28.07.2025 has been rationally determined by the Council as a necessary administrative threshold to maintain academic discipline and institutional accountability. In the light of the same, only the following categories of TEIs will be eligible to avail the benefit of re-opening of the PAR portal:***
  - a) Those who have filed a Writ Petition before the Hon'ble Delhi High Court prior to the cut-off date of 28.07.2025; and***
  - b) Those who have filed an appeal before the NCTE, Appeal Committee prior to the cut-off date of 28.07.2025.***
- 4. The concerned TEIs shall be allowed to submit the PAR upon the reopening of the PAR Portal, subject to the payment of a processing fee of Rs. 25,000/- along with applicable GST and other statutory charges, per academic session.***

5. ***In view of the foregoing discussion, all derecognition orders issued by the respective Regional Committee (s) shall stand withdrawn, subject to the condition that the concerned TEIs submit an undertaking to apply afresh and resubmit a duly filled PAR upon the reopening of the PAR Portal.***
6. ***The PAR Portal shall be re-opened for a period of approximately three (3) weeks. A standard operating procedure (SOP) for the same shall be formulated.***
7. ***To ensure smooth and effective implementation of the proposed mechanism, a dedicated Nodal Officer shall be appointed. The Nodal Officer shall be responsible to:***
  1. ***Oversee and supervise the re-opening of the PAR portal;***
  2. ***Serve as the single point of contact for all communications with the eligible TEIs; and***
  3. ***Address any queries, grievances, and technical or logistical issues that may arise during the implementation process.***

***The name and official contact details of the Nodal Officer shall be notified separately through a Public Notice issued on the NCTE website.”***

In view of the above resolution, the Committee observed that the appellant institution, having not filed the PAR within the stipulated timelines, was deficient on this ground. However, in light of the decision of the General Body taken in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting on 28.07.2025 which permits reopening of the PAR portal for those institutions that had filed appeal before the Appeal Committee prior to the cut-off date of 28.07.2025, and the undertaking submitted by the appellant institution to apply afresh and resubmit a duly filled PAR upon the re-opening of the PAR Portal, as well as the confirmation that no court case has been filed by the institution, the Committee concluded that the appellant institution falls within the eligible category.

The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that subsequent documents submitted in the appeal must be considered.

Appeal Committee further noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, and in view of the above observations and guided by the resolution of the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the Appeal Committee has decided to remand the case to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) with a direction to ensure compliance as mandated therein. The appellant institution shall be permitted to apply afresh and resubmit a duly filled PAR upon reopening of the PAR Portal in accordance with the prescribed Standard Operating Procedure, and the Regional Committee shall thereafter take a reasoned decision in conformity with the provisions of the NCTE Act, 1993, the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.



**IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 23.05.2025 and remand back the case to Northern Regional Committee for fresh consideration in accordance with the directions specified hereinabove. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the directions contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Shri Baba Mastnath Institute of Education Training and Research, Khata No. – 3661, Asthal Boha, Delhi Road, Haryana, Rohtak, Pincode - 124021.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Government of Haryana, Shiksha Sadan, Ground & 1st Floor, Sec-5, Panchkula, Haryana-134105.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-384/E-378648/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLNRC202515266**

SD Maha Vidyalaya Thakurdwara Moradabad, Plot no. 227 94, Sd Maha Vidyalaya Thakurdwara, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode – 244601	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>No one appeared</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, NRC
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	26.08.2025
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	26.09.2025

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **SD Maha Vidyalaya Thakurdwara Moradabad, Plot no. 227 94, Sd Maha Vidyalaya Thakurdwara, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 244601** dated 21/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per withdrawal order no **F. No. NCET/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-4438** dated 08/06/2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “The institution has not submitted any reply to the Show Cause Notice.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

No one from **SD Maha Vidyalaya Thakurdwara Moradabad, Plot no. 227 94, Sd Maha Vidyalaya Thakurdwara, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 244601** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “You are requested that in your order number NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ ORDER/NRCAPP-4438/ DATE: 08/06/2025, you have cancelled the recognition of our college S. D. Mahavidyalaya, Thakurdwara (File No. NRCAPP-4438) due to non-filling of PAR, whereas we have filled the PAR of the year 2022 and 2023 on time and have also deposited the fee prescribed by you. You are requested to cancel the withdrawal order No. NCTE/2025/NRC/PAR/ORDER/NRCAPP-4438/ DATE: 08/06/2025 issued by you and grant us permission to take admission in the year 2025-26.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the NRC vide order dated 08.06.2025 on the ground that the reply/justification submitted by the institution to the Show Cause Notice for non-submission of Performance Appraisal Reports (PARs) was not found

acceptable. The case relates to the non-submission of PARs for the academic sessions 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The last date for submission of PARs was initially fixed as 10.11.2024 and was subsequently extended up to 31.12.2024 through Public Notices.

The institution contended that it has filed the PAR for 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. The Appeal Committee took note of the explanation furnished and the documentary proof submitted, including: (a) The receipt of submission of PAR for the session 2021–2022 & 2022-2023. The Appeal Committee upon consideration found that the issues raised require reconsideration and verification by the concerned Regional Committee. Accordingly, in exercise of its powers under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, 1993, and in line with the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 23.02.2017 in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) No. 3231/2016], which mandates that all subsequent documents submitted with the appeal must be taken into account, the Appeal Committee decided to remand the case back to NRC for reconsideration.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

**In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the case of the appellant institution is remanded back to NRC for revisiting the matter.**

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 08.06.2025 and remand back the case to NRC with a direction to *re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time*. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the NRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

**IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to NRC with a direction re-examine the submission of PARs by the institution and consider the documentary evidence furnished with the appeal in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014, and guidelines issued from time to time. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the SRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, SD Maha Vidyalaya Thakurdwara Moradabad, Plot no. 227 94, Sd Maha Vidyalaya Thakurdwara, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, Pincode - 244601.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)  
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-359/E-376741/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**  
**APPLNRC202515072**

Akal Degree College Mastuana, Khasra No. 219, Akal Degree College, Mastuana, Mastuana Sahib, Punjab, Pincode – 148002  <b>APPELLANT</b>	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075  <b>RESPONDENT</b>
<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Sukhdeep Kaur, Professor</b>	
<b>Respondent by</b>	Regional Director, NRC	
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	26.08.2025	
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	26.09.2025	

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF APPEAL**

The appeal of **Akal Degree College Mastuana, Khasra No. 219, Akal Degree College, Mastuana, Mastuana Sahib, Punjab, Pincode - 148002** dated 27/05/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per decision made vide **Minutes (Volume-3) of 436th meeting of NRC** dated 20-21/03/2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that “No details provided by the institution regarding payment of processing fees. The institution has mentioned in the application that it is a government aided institution, however, it is not clear whether the proposed programme will be run by the institution on self-financed basis or will be fully funded by the Government. 1) The institution has not uploaded Certified registered land documents issued by the Registering institution/society/trust/company on the date of making application of NCTE. 2) The institution has not uploaded the mutation certificate issued by the revenue department. The institution has uploaded a self-declaration for Land Mutation. 3) Non-Encumbrance Certificate uploaded is in regional language. Notarized English translated copy of the same is not uploaded. 4) The building plan uploaded is approved by the Architect and not by the Competent Government Authority. The khasra no. mentioned in the building plan does not match with the khasra no. mentioned in the online application. 5) The building Completion Certificate uploaded is issued by the Architect and not by the Government Engineer. The plot no./ khasra no. mentioned in the Building Completion Certificate does not match with the building plan and with the online application. 6) Certificate to the effect that the building is differently abled friendly is issued by the Architect and not by the Government Authority concerned.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Sukhdeep Kaur of Akal Degree College Mastuana, Khasra No. 219, Akal Degree College, Mastuana, Mastuana Sahib, Punjab, Pincode - 148002** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “The institution has applied for ITEP program under category of government aided college. The institution was willing to deposit processing fee of the program and we have mailed about it to NCTE office



that option of paying fee is not seen on portal and institution was unable to pay processing fee, but NCTE office replied that application has been successfully submitted. Neither in the first show cause notice nor in the second show cause notice not any objection regarding processing fee was mentioned. So, on the ground of processing fee our application for ITEP program should not be rejected. The institution is ready to pay fee. This program will be run under self-financed scheme. 2) Institution has submitted land documents. The institution is an oldest institution running since 1920 in the Malwa region of Punjab. The institution has possession of the land since 1920. This land has been donated by Nabha Riyasat to run educational institutions as they were followers of Sant Attar Singh who is known for his initiatives taken for spreading education in Punjab. This institution Akal Degree College Mastuana was founded by Sant Attar Singh ji and this land is registered under the name of Akal Degree College Mastuana which was donated to college. 3) Document has been uploaded and it is correct as the ownership of Akal Degree College has not been changed since 1920. So, the land was not sold further and mutation certificate is not needed in this case. 4) This certificate is provided in regional language by Punjab Government, but institution has now translated document in English provided by competent authority. 5) The building plan is approved by competent architect who is authorized by Punjab Government. We have possession of land under khasra numbers 38/2(5-9)10(7-11), 35//18/1 (2-14)19(4-8)23/1(5-14), 37//5(1-17), 35//28, (17-0), 38/1(5-16). By mistake when it was applied only khasra number 219 was written. Even now while doing online appeal, it was typed 219 again and when we tried to edit and rectify details of khasra number, the option of edit was not available. So please above mentioned khasra numbers should be considered. 6) Building Completion Certificate is provided by authorized architect. Khasra number given in certificate is same as mentioned in building plan but as already explained in 5th point other khasra numbers have been added in building plan too. 7) The Certificate Uploaded Is Approved by Authorized Government Architect and the Building Is Differently Abled Friendly. Ramps are made for Differently Abled Students”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Northern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on --. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the NRC vide order dated --.

The instant matter was placed in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee, after considering the appeal, the impugned order of the Regional Committee, the appeal report, the documents placed on record and the oral submissions of the appellant institution, observed that recognition had been refused primarily on account of non-compliance with the eligibility requirements stipulated under the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended).

The Committee took note of the subsequent decision of the General Body of NCTE in its 65<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 06.05.2025 whereby the timeline for transition of 4-year integrated B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. programmes into ITEP was extended up to the academic session 2026–27, as against the earlier cut-off of 2025–26.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a “multidisciplinary institution” as defined under clause **“(ca) “multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.”**

The Committee also referred to the **“Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,”** dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

***If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI, then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:***

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.***
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.***

**The collaboration will be subject to the following:**

- (a) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.***
- (b) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.***
- (c) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.***
- (d) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.***
- (e) One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A. B.Ed., B.Sc. B.Ed., B.Com. B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.***
- (f) Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)***
- (g) NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.***

The Committee further noted the deliberations and resolution adopted by the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28th July 2025, which is reproduced below in extenso:

**"Decision of the Council:**

- i. In view of the above, the Council discussed and deliberated the agenda in detail and approved the option III proposed by the Committee as under:***

***The final opportunity be provided to all such TEIs including those institutions of which applications were refused/rejected by giving an opportunity to apply afresh online on NCTE Portal. Those institutions which have earlier submitted Transition applications in response to NCTE Public Notice dated 05.02.2024, may be exempted from making payment of processing fee, subject to specifying/mentioning the Registration number of the earlier application submitted.***

- ii. The portal be opened as above and a Public Notice be issued with direction to all recognised existing TEIs offering B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course (prior to omission of the Appendix-13) to apply afresh except the institutions which have either been already transited into ITEP or issued Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Regional Committee concerned.***
- iii. The council also decided that the Guidelines for transforming NCTE recognised stand-alone Teacher Education Institution into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institution issued by NCTE be enclosed with the Public Notice for information to all concerned.***

The Appeal Committee, upon detailed consideration of the Appeal Report, documents placed on record, and oral submissions advanced during the hearing, observed that appeal was deficient on the count mentioned in the impugned refusal order. However, in view of the decision of the General Body of the NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, which permits final opportunity to all such TEIs including those institutions of which applications were refused/rejected by giving an opportunity to apply afresh online on NCTE Portal. Thus, portal be opened as above and a Public Notice be issued with direction to all recognised existing TEIs offering B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course (prior to omission of the Appendix-13) to apply afresh except the institutions which have either been already transited into ITEP or issued Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Regional Committee concerned, the Appeal

Committee was of the view that the appellant institution falls within the eligible category. The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including *Rambha College of Education v. NCTE* [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that in cases of remand, subsequent documents submitted with the appeal must be considered.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution and keeping in view of the decision of the General Body of the NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the the minutes (Volume-3) of 436th meeting of NRC dated 20-21.03.2025 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) for fresh consideration. The appellant institution shall be afforded a final opportunity to apply afresh online on the NCTE portal and may be exempted from making payment of processing fee, subject to specifying/mentioning the Registration number of the earlier application submitted which have earlier submitted Transition applications in response to NCTE Public Notice dated 05.02.2024. The portal be opened as above, and a Public Notice be issued with direction to all recognised existing TEIs offering B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. course (prior to omission of the Appendix-13) to apply afresh except the institutions which have either been already transited into ITEP or issued Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Regional Committee concerned. The Regional Committee shall examine all documents placed on record, including those submitted in appeal, and determine the eligibility of the institution as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution strictly in terms of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended) and the Guidelines dated 15.05.2025. The Regional Committee shall ensure assessing the case of the appellant institution comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, considering all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The Regional Committee shall also ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of

the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the Regional Committee shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the direction contained herein.

**IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the minutes (Volume-3) of 436<sup>th</sup> meeting of NRC dated 20-21.03.2025 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) for fresh consideration in accordance with the directions specified hereinabove. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the direction contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Akal Degree College Mastuana, Khasra No. 219, Akal Degree College, Mastuana, Mastuana Sahib, Punjab, Pincode - 148002.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Punjab, Vidya Bhawan, Block E, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Phase-VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali)-Punjab-160062.



**एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-233/E-369433/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLSRC202515136**

Sri Chandrasekharendra Saraswathi Viswa Mahavidyalaya, Sri Jayendra Saraswathi Street, Kanchipur, Enathur 675, Enathur, Tamilnadu, Kancheepuram- 631561  <b>APPELLANT</b>	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075  <b>RESPONDENT</b>
---	------------------	--

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Prof. K. Venkatramanan, Dean</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, SRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>26.08.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>26.09.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Sri Chandrasekharendra Saraswathi Viswa Mahavidyalaya, Sri Jayendra Saraswathi Street, Kanchipur, Enathur 675, Enathur, Tamilnadu, Kancheepuram-631561** dated 13.06.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/SRC/2526202405162915/TAMILNADU/2024/REJC/1181** dated 16.05.2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, refusal recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that “In reply to SCN for the Building Completion Certificate, the institution has not uploaded the Building Completion Certificate nor submitted its reply on the objection. . In reply to SCN for the Building Safety Certificate, the institution has not uploaded the Building Safety Certificate nor submitted its reply on the objection.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Prof. K. Venkatramanan, Dean of Sri Chandrasekharendra Saraswathi Viswa Mahavidyalaya, Sri Jayendra Saraswathi Street, Kanchipur, Enathur 675, Enathur, Tamilnadu, Kancheepuram-631561** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “The reply to SCN was duly uploaded by us in the ITEP website. but due to some technical issues the same is not reflecting in the site. we noticed the issue immediately and sent a mail to src@ncte-india.org on 07.05.2025 itself attaching all the relevant documents. still our application got rejected for no fault on our part. Hence, we appeal for favourable consideration of our case. relevant building safety certificate and completion certificate document is attached herewith alongwith refusal order.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking



permission for running the ITEP Course on 31.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the SRC vide order dated 16.05.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee noted that the SRC has refused the recognition of the appellant institution vide order dated 16.05.2025 against which the appellant institution has preferred an appeal dated 13.06.2025.

The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 16.05.2025 and remand the matter to the Southern Regional Committee (SRC) with a **direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the NRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations.** The Appellant institution is

directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the SRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the documents submitted before Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the SRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the SRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Sri Chandrasekharendra Saraswathi Viswa Mahavidyalaya, Sri Jayendra Saraswathi Street, Kanchipur, Enathur 675, Enathur, Tamilnadu, Kancheepuram-631561.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Chennai, Tamil Nadu-600009.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)  
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-221/E-369277/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**  
**APPLWRC202514970**

Ramchandi College Saraipali, Bagaijor post-kendudhar tah- saraipali Bagaijor, 672, Kendudhar, Bagaijor, Saraipali, Chhattisgarh, Mahasumund-493558  <b>APPELLANT</b>	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075  <b>RESPONDENT</b>
---	------------------	---

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. Nand Kumar Bhoi, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>26.08.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>26.09.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Ramchandi College Saraipali, Bagaijor post-kendudhar tah-saraipali, Bagaijor, 672, Kendudhar, Bagaijor, Saraipali, Chhattisgarh, Mahasumund-493558** dated 15.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. WRC/2526202404252352/CHATTISGARH/2024/REJC/714** dated 30/01/2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusal recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that "The institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as per Public Notice dated 22.04.2024. Hence, application rejected on the ground of not eligible for processing as mentioned through online application portal."

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. Nand Kumar Bhoi** of **Ramchandi College Saraipali, Bagaijor post-kendudhar tah-saraipali, Bagaijor, 672, Kendudhar, Bagaijor, Saraipali, Chhattisgarh, Mahasumund-493558** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that "Our Institution Ramchandi college Bagaijor Saraipali fully fulfilled all the criteria given in the public notice published on dated 22.04.2024 which are as follows. 1- NAAC grade B++ Point – (06) 2- Multidisciplinary Institution Running last 22 Years Point- (02) 3- NCTE recognized course B.Ed. – (2 Years) Point- (02). We served minimum 10 Points which was required for short listing for fulfilling the online application ITEP for 4th Phase of academic session 2025-26."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking

permission for running the ITEP Course on 30.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 30.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, it meets the shortlisting criteria points and its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 21.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements,

academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

**IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Ramchandi College Saraipali, Bagaijor post-kendudhar tah-saraipali, Bagaijor, 672, Kendudhar, Bagaijor, Saraipali, Chhattisgarh, Mahasumund-493558.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)  
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**  
**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**  
**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**  
**89-138/E-362918/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**  
**APPLWRC202515022/E-88173**

Holy Cross Womens College, 323/3, Patpariya, M.G. Road, Ambikapur, Surguja, Chattisgarh-497001	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Ms. Shanta Joseph, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>26.08.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>26.09.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Holy Cross Womens College, 323/3, Patpariya, M.G. Road, Ambikapur, Surguja, Chattisgarh-497001** dated 17.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2526202404242295/CHATTISGARH/2024/REJC/410** dated 17/12/2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusal recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that “The shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP application for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60<sup>th</sup> General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as per Public Notice dated 22.04.2024. Hence, application rejected on the ground of not eligible for processing as mentioned through online application portal.”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Ms. Shanta Joseph of Holy Cross Womens College, 323/3, Patpariya, M.G. Road, Ambikapur, Surguja, Chattisgarh-497001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “The appellant named Holy Cross Womens College, Ambikapure begs to prefer this memorandum of appeal under section 18 of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993 on the following facts and grounds  
FACTS 1. The HOLY CROSS WOMENS COLLEGE, AMBIKAPUR, Chhattisgarh Applied on-line for the following Integrated Teacher Education Programme(ITEP) on 18.5.2024 Application Code- 252620240424229 alongwith duly authenticated copies of the desired documents S No. Discipline Stage(s) 1 B.Sc. B.Ed. Secondary (2 unit), Middle (2 unit), Foundational (2 unit), Preparatory (2 unit) 2 B.A. B.Ed. Secondary (1



unit), Middle (1 unit), Foundational (1 unit), Preparatory (1 unit) 3 B.Com. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), Middle (1 unit), Foundational (1 unit), Preparatory (1 unit) 2. On line fee for above ITEP courses Amounting to Rs 177000.00 was paid in favour of Member Secretary, WRC,NCTE bearing Transaction Ref. No.24051818452542 dated 18.5.2024 3. "AND WHEREAS ,application bearing No. 2526202404242295 of the Holy Cross Womens College, Ambikapur was placed before WRC in its 408th(Part-I) Meeting of Western Regionall Committee(WRC),National Council for Teacher Education held on 12th-14th November 2024 and it was observed that: The abstracts of the Minutes of the 408th(Part-I) Meeting of Western Regional Committee(WRC),National Council for Teacher Education was held on 12th-14th November, 91 2526202404242295 Holy Cross Womens College, Ambikapur, Patpariya, M.G. Road, Ambikapur, Ambikapur, Surguja, Chattisgarh-497001 ITEP Decision of WRC: The online application of the institution along with other related documents was carefully examined in the light of the NCTE Act, 1993, Regulations and Guidelines issued by NCTE from time to time and the Committee decided as under: The institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as per Public Notice dated 22.04.2024. Hence, application rejected on the ground of not eligible for processing as mentioned through online application portal. Sd/ (Dr. Shailesh Naranbhai Zala) Chairperson, WRC Public Notice dated 22,4,2024 4. Basic information about – Holy Cross Women College, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh Year of Establishment 1971 53 years on the date of submission of ITEP Application Whether Minority Institute YES Minority Status Cert. annexed with the application Whether Institute is Boys/Girls/Co-Ed Girls Only for girls since inception Number of years from the date of inception of the institution till the date of issue of public notice inviting applications by NCTE 53 years 30 years and above UGC Section 2(f) and 12( b) Act status of the college Section 2(f) and 12( b) Status 9th January 1977 NAAC accreditation Cycle 4 "A" Grade Valid up to 19/2/2028 Cycle-1 Five Star 9.1.1999 Cycle-2 B Grade 20.5.2005 Cycle-3 B Grade 3.3.2015 NIRF Submitted Rank awaited Hostel Availability Girls Hostel for 800 students UG/PG Separate Wings Land Area 70617.645 mts Mutation Certificate No. 2A2/92-93 dt 12.8.1993 Collector Ambikapur Built Up Area Total built-up area 2,19,786.69 sq. ft Holy Cross Women's College 1,00,262.1658 sq. ft. Holy Cross College Hostel 1 94,545.53 sq. ft. Holy Cross College Hostel 2 24,979 sq. ft. Total built-up area 2,19,786.69 sq. ft. Category of Institution Grant –in –aid plus Self Finance

Funds Received During Last 5 years 5. Funds received from Central / State Government During the last five years Year Scheme Funding Agency Amount 2019-20 Salary Dept. Of Higher Education (State Govt.) 1,47,94,962 New Construction, Renovation, Equipment RUSA 1,00,00,000 2020-21 Salary Dept. Of Higher Education (State Govt.) 4,24,90,023 2021-22 Salary Dept. Of Higher Education (State Govt.) 2,99,99,453 Unnat Bharat Abhiyan Unnat Bharat Abhiyan 50,000 2022-23 Salary Dept. Of Higher Education (State Govt.) 3,45,72,657 New Construction, Renovation, Equipment RUSA 50,00,000 2023-24 Salary Dept. of Higher Education (State Govt.) 3,46,38,429 5. Academic under-graduate and Post-Graduate Programmes. (ii) The appellant named HOLY CROSS WOMENS COLLEGE, Ambikapur begs to prefer this memorandum of appeal under section 18 of the National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993 on the following facts and grounds FACTS 1. The HOLY CROSS WOMENS COLLEGE, AMBIKAPUR, Chhattisgarh Applied on-line for the following Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) on 18.5.2024 Application Code-252620240424229 alongwith duly authenticated copies of the desired documents S No. Discipline Stage(s) 1 B.Sc. B.Ed. Secondary (2 unit), Middle (2 unit), Foundational (2 unit), Preparatory (2 unit) 2 B.A. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), Middle (1 unit), Foundational (1 unit), Preparatory (1 unit) 3 B.Com. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), Middle (1 unit), Foundational (1 unit), Preparatory (1 unit) 2. On line fee for above ITEP courses Amounting to Rs 177000.00 was paid in favour of Member Secretary, WRC, NCTE bearing Transaction Ref. No.24051818452542 dated 18.5.2024 3. ".....AND WHEREAS ,application bearing No. 2526202404242295 of the Holy Cross Womens College, Ambikapur was placed before WRC in its 408th(Part-I) Meeting of Western Regional Committee (WRC), National Council for Teacher Education held on 12th-14th November 2024 and it was observed that: The abstracts of the Minutes of the 408th(Part-I) Meeting of Western Regional Committee (WRC), National Council for Teacher Education was held on 12th-14th November, 91 2526202404242295 Holy Cross Womens College, Ambikapur, Patpariya, M.G. Road, Ambikapur, Ambikapur, Surguja, Chattisgarh-497001 ITEP Decision of WRC: The online application of the institution along with other related documents was carefully examined in the light of the NCTE Act, 1993, Regulations and Guidelines issued by NCTE from time to time and the Committee decided as under: The institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as per Public Notice dated 22.04.2024. Hence, application rejected on the

ground of not eligible for processing as mentioned through online application portal. Sd/ (Dr. Shailesh Naranbhai Zala) Chairperson, WRC Public Notice dated 22,4,2024

4. Basic information about –Holy Cross Women College-, Ambikapur, Chattisgarh  
 Year of Establishment 1971 53 years on the date of submission of ITEP Application  
 Whether Minority Institute YES Minority Status Cert. annexed with the application  
 Whether Institute is Boys/Girls/Co-Ed Girls Only for girls since inception Number of  
 years from the date of inception of the institution till the date of issue of public notice  
 inviting applications by NCTE 53 years 30 years and above UGC Section 2(f) and 12(  
 b) Act status of the college Section 2(f) and 12( b) Status 9th January 1977 NAAC  
 accreditation Cycle 4 “A” Grade Valid up to 19/2/2028 Cycle-1 Five Star 9.1.1999  
 Cycle-2 B Grade 20.5.2005 Cycle-3 B Grade 3.3.2015 NIRF Submitted Rank awaited  
 Hostel Availability Girls Hostel for 800 students UG/PG Separate Wings Land Area  
 70617.645 mts Mutation Certificate No. 2A2/92-93 dt 12.8.1993 Collector Ambikapur  
 Built Up Area Total built-up area 2,19,786.69 sq. ft Holy Cross Women’s College  
 1,00,262.1658 sq. ft. Holy Cross College Hostel 1 94,545.53 sq. ft. Holy Cross College  
 Hostel 2 24,979 sq. ft. Total built-up area 2,19,786.69 sq. ft. Category of Institution  
 Grant –in –aid plus Self Finance Funds Received During Last 5 years 5.Funds  
 received from Central / State Government During the last five years Year Scheme  
 Funding Agency Amount 2019-20 Salary Dept. of Higher Education (State Govt.)  
 1,47,94,962 New Construction, Renovation, Equipment RUSA 1,00,00,000 2020-21  
 Salary Dept. Of Higher Education (State Govt.) 4,24,90,023 2021-22 Salary Dept. Of  
 Higher Education (State Govt.) 2,99,99,453 Unnat Bharat Abhiyan Unnat Bharat  
 Abhiyan 50,000 2022-23 Salary Dept. Of Higher Education (State Govt.) 3,45,72,657  
 New Construction, Renovation, Equipment RUSA 50,00,000 2023-24 Salary Dept. Of  
 Higher Education (State Govt.) 3,46,38,429 5. Academic under-graduate and Post-  
 Graduate Programmes GROUNDS OF APPEAL 1. The appellant Holy Cross Womens  
 College, Ambikapur Is under the management of renowned philanthropist and no profit  
 trust popularly known as Pavitra Cruz Sisters Association with a track record of  
 providing quality education socio-cultural, developmental, health and sports activities  
 though its initiatives from time to time. It has an appreciable contribution in the  
 promotion of general, professional, and vocational education to the remote and tribal  
 young girls of Chhattisgarh. It has credit of conducting the following recognized  
 multidisciplinary programmes MULTI DISCIPLINARY PROGRAMME(S) S No.

Programme Stream Course Affiliating University/Body Approved Intake Present Intake  
Start Year 1 Under Graduate Arts B.A Sarguja University 300 300 1971 2 Under  
Graduate Commerce B.Com Sarguja University 210 210 2003 3 Under Graduate  
Science B.Sc. Sarguja University 455 455 1971 4 Post Graduate Arts M.A Sarguja  
University 140 140 1996 5 Post Graduate Commerce M.Com Sarguja University 30  
30 2008 6 Post Graduate Science M.Sc. Sarguja University 70 70 1997

2. That appellant Holy Cross Womens College, Ambikapur submitted on line application for following Integrated Teacher Education Programme(ITEP) on 18.5.2024 Application Code- 252620240424229 alongwith duly authenticated copies of the desired documents S No. Discipline Stage(s) 1 B.Sc. B.Ed. Secondary (2 unit), Middle (2 unit), Foundational (2 unit), Preparatory (2 unit) 2 B.A. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), Middle (1 unit), Foundational (1 unit), Preparatory (1 unit) 3 B.Com. B.Ed. Secondary (1 unit), Middle (1unit), Foundational (1 unit), Preparatory (1 unit) 3 That WRC, NCTE in its 408 Meeting dated 12th-14th November 2024 at S.No. 91 . Rejected the application on the ground that institution does not fulfil the short-listing criteria as per Public Notice dated 22.04.2024. Hence, application rejected on the ground of not eligible for processing as mentioned through online application portal. The abstracts of the Minutes of the 408th(Part-I) Meeting of Western Regional Committee (WRC), National Council for Teacher Education was held on 12th-14th November, 2024 91 2526202404242295 Holy Cross Womens College, Ambikapur, Patpariya, M.G. Road, Ambikapur, Ambikapur, Surguja, Chattisgarh-497001 ITEP Decision of WRC: The online application of the institution along with other related documents was carefully examined in the light of the NCTE Act, 1993, Regulations and Guidelines issued by NCTE from time to time and the Committee decided as under: The institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as per Public Notice dated 22.04.2024. Hence, application rejected on the ground of not eligible for processing as mentioned through online application portal. Sd/ (Dr. Shailesh Naranbhai Zala) Chairperson, WRC 3. That no formal order of rejection of application is communicated to the appellant by the WRC, NCTE till the date of filing this appeal. 4. That Holy Cross Womens College, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh was established by the Pavitra Cruz Sisters Association in the year 1971 and has successfully completed its 53 meritorious years on the date of submission of application to WRC, NCTE 5. That college has inadvertently by typical error mentioned zero years in column Number of years from the date of inception of

the institution till the date of issue of public notice inviting applications by NCTE whereas college has mentioned its date of establishment as 1971. 6. That the appellant deserves to be considered as has rendered more than 30 years of establishment, unfortunately its 53 years of establishment since 1971 has probably been ignored. Thus, no mark has been awarded for its establishment more than 30 years. Otherwise, appellant qualifies to earn four marks and fully deserved for eligible zone of applicants. 7. That the following significant grounds are mentioned in support of the appeal UGC Section 2(f) and 12(b) Act status of the college Section 2(f) and 12(b) Status 9th January 1977 NAAC accreditation Cycle 4 "A" Grade Valid up to 19/2/2028 Cycle-1 Five Star 9.1.1999 Cycle-2 B Grade 20.5.2005 Cycle-3 B Grade 3.3.2015 NIRF Submitted Rank awaited 8. That appeal lent has sufficient Land and infrastructure to meet the proposed ITEP Programmes. Built Up Area Total built-up area 2,19,786.69 sq. ft Holy Cross Women's College 1,00,262.1658 sq. ft. Holy Cross College Hostel 1 94,545.53 sq. ft. Holy Cross College Hostel 2 24,979 sq. ft. Total built-up area 2,19,786.69 sq. ft. 9. That the appellant is a Grant-in Aid as well as Self-finance institute and is getting grant from the state government, Central govt. and UGC under specific schemes 10. It is pertinent to mention that Holy Cross Women's College, Ambikapur is one of prestigious college of Chhattisgarh State has 53 years of its standing with meritorious NAAC Grade "A" valid up to 19.02.2028, clubbed with sufficient infrastructure catering to the requirement for the above mentioned ITEP Programmes w.e.f 2025 onward. (a) WRC acted in the unfair manner, without properly examining the application and assessing eligibility criteria for short-listing as per Public Notice dated 22.04.2024. More pathetically, WRC failed to perform its duty to make efforts re-examine the data available on the file or documents and sufficiently provided by the college which need to collaborate before arriving to an illogical conclusion. to reject the application of Holy Cross Women's College, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh (b) Decision taken in 408th Meeting of WRC in respect of Holy Cross Women's College, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh is a unilateral, biased and is against the doctrine of natural justice which amount to miscarriage of justice without being thoroughly going through the application and documents submitted in support of its legitimate claim . 11. Finally In the light of facts explained alongwith the supporting documents in the above paras by the appellant, it may be appreciated that impugned decision of 408th Meeting of WRC, NCTE dated 12th-14th November 2024 cannot form any bona-fide and prima-

facie ground for the closure of application of Holy Cross Women's College, Ambikapur, Chhattisgarh thus deserves to be quashed. (iii) PRAYER The appellant therefore prays that the decision of 408th Meeting of WRC, NCTE dated 12th-14th November 2024 at S.No.91 appealed against may be set aside and appropriate relief granted to the appellant."

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 18.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 17.12.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that Holy Cross Women's College, Ambikapur, was established in the year 1971 and has been imparting quality education for the past 53 years. That the institution is a multidisciplinary Institution, offering undergraduate, postgraduate and Ph.D programmes in diverse fields, including Bachelor of Arts (B.A.), Bachelor of Science (B.Sc.), Bachelor of Commerce (B.Com.), and Bachelor of Home Science (B.H.Sc.). That the institution has been accredited with an "A" grade with CGPA 3.16 by the National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC), and is valid up to February 19, 2028. That the institution actively participates in the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) and has been involved in self-assessment and quality benchmarking through the same. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 21.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

**After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 21.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC**

shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. The Principal, Holy Cross Womens College, 323/3, Patpariya, M.G. Road, Ambikapur, Surguja, Chattisgarh-497001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.





एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)  
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)  
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/  
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT  
89-202/E-368915/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025  
APPLWRC202515025

Shaildevi Mahavidyalaya, Janjgiri Road, Anda, 763/2, anda Janjgiri road, Durg, Chhattisgarh, durg-491221	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Dr. K. N. Mishra, Principal</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>26.08.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>26.09.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Shaildevi Mahavidyalaya, Janjgiri Road, Anda, 763/2, anda Janjgiri road, Durg, Chhattisgarh, durg-491221** dated 26.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2526202404302506/CHATTISGARH/2024/REJC** dated 02/11/2024 of the Western Regional Committee, refusal recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that “The shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60<sup>th</sup> General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2025 to facilitate Multidisciplinary institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Dr. K. N. Mishra, Principal of Shaildevi Mahavidyalaya, Janjgiri Road, Anda, 763/2, anda Janjgiri road, Durg, Chhattisgarh, durg-491221** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “Due to refusal order I am not able to clarify the point as mentioned of 10 point scaling system for new unit.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 31.05.2024. The recognition of the

institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 02.11.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held on 26.08.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, it meets the shortlisting criteria points and its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned refusal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 02.11.2024 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for

implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

#### **IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 02.11.2024 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### **Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Shaildevi Mahavidyalaya, Janjgiri Road, Anda, 763/2, anda Janjgiri road, Durg, Chhattisgarh, durg-491221.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.**



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 26.09.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-156/E-366233/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLERC202515041**

Godda College, 72, Godda, Punsiya, Deoghar Pirpainti Road, Jharkhand-814133	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>Sh. Basuki Nath Jha, Assistant Prof.</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, ERC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>26.08.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>26.09.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL**

The appeal of **Godda College, 72, Godda, Punsiya, Deoghar Pirpainti Road, Jhardhand-814133** dated 13/05/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F.No.ER.360(11).4/NCTE/B.Ed./ERCAPE00437/JH/2023/70345-70354** dated 18/03/2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.Ed. course on the grounds that “ 1) The institution has appointed one Principal and four Lecturers only for two unit of B.Ed. course which is less than NCTE Regulations. 2) The institution has not submitted latest Fire Safety Certificate duly approved by the Competent Government Authority. 3) The institution has not submitted copy of Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Government Authority. 4) The institution has not submitted a copy of Non-Encumbrance Certificate duly approved by the Government Competent Authority. 5) The institution has submitted a copy of Building Plan approved Assistant Engineer, Building Sub-Division-I, Godda which is not readable. 6) The institution has submitted salary statement for payment of salary paid to the faculty appointed in the institution through Bank certificated by the Indian Bank for the last 6 months which is not clear”

### **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**Sh. Basuki Nath Jha, Assistant Prof. of Godda College, 72, Godda, Punsiya, Deoghar Pirpainti Road, Jhardhand-814133** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “SKMU, dumka the appointing authority of lecturers at B.Ed. Godda College has appointed 08 more lecturers. so, the total strength is one HoD and twelve (12) lecturers. S.K.M. University has given undertaking to NCTE for filling rest 03 teaching positions very soon as during fresh appointment process through Advt. memo no.skmu/r-g/246/25 dt. 05.04.2025 suitable candidates were not found as per Jharkhand govt. reservation roster. (ii) B.Ed., Godda College, Godda had installed fire safety system and got fire safety certificate with memo no.- 2193/tech./2025 dt. 26.03.2025 issued by additional state fire officer, Jharkhand, Ranchi. (iii) the institution

is producing land use certificate having letter no.358/ dt. 17.03.2025, issued by circle officer, Godda Sadar, Jharkhand. (iv) the institution is producing non-encumbrance certificate having letter no.- 04 dt. 20.03.2025 issued by District Registrar, Godda, Jharkhand. (v) the institution is now submitting fresh building plan approved by executive engineer, building sub-division, Godda having letter no.-311/Godda, dt. 10.05.2025. (vi) the institution is now sending the fresh salary statement of only 05 teaching staffs and other non-teaching staff certificated by bank for the last 06 months (sep2024 to feb2025). the new 08 teaching staffs has joined on first week of May 2025.”

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for Secondary (B.Ed.) course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 (Hundred) students vide order dated 12.09.2005, followed by Revised Recognition order vide order dated 30.05.2015 with an intake of 100 (two basic units) from the academic session 2015-2016 as per NCTE Regulations, 2014

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 11th Meeting held on 05.09.2025.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 12<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 10.09.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. The appellant institution, in its appeal and during the hearing, contended that the deficiencies have been duly rectified and that the documents now furnished establish compliance with the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations, therefore, its case be considered for continuation of recognition for B.Ed. programme. The Appeal Committee upon perusal of the records and after hearing the oral submissions of the appellant, the Committee noted that the institution has placed on record a compliance report along with various supporting documents in purported fulfillment of the deficiencies cited in the impugned withdrawal order.

The Appeal Committee observed that, in the interest of fair adjudication, subsequent documents submitted by the appellant are also required to be duly examined. Reliance was placed on the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016], wherein it was held that additional documents furnished by an appellant must be considered while adjudicating appeals. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee is required to carefully re-examine the matter, verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents submitted, and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”***

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

***“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”***

In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the impugned order dated 14.07.2025 is set-aside as the Appellate Committee has decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC with a to carefully re-examine the matter, verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents



submitted, and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

#### IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 14.07.2025 and remand back the case to ERC ~~with a~~ to carefully re-examine the matter, verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents submitted, and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the provisions of the NCTE Act, Rules, and Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

#### Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Godda College, 72, Godda, Punsiya, Deoghar Pirpainti Road, Jhardhand-814133.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher and Technical Education, Nepal house, Yojna Bhawan, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Jharkhand.



**एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)**

**G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075**

दिनांक/ Date - 25.09.2025

**एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/**

**APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT**

**89-385/E-378853/2025 Appeal/11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025**

**APPLWRC202515367**

Pt Brijkishore Pateria College of Education Deori, Khasra No. 69, 221/1, 221/6, 221/7, 222/6, 222/7, 220/1, Khanderao Ward, Deori, Deori, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh, 470226	<b><u>Vs</u></b>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
<b>APPELLANT</b>		<b>RESPONDENT</b>

<b>Representative of Appellant</b>	<b>No one appeared</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>Regional Director, WRC</b>
<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>26.08.2025</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>25.09.2025</b>

## आदेश/ ORDER

### **I. GROUND OF REFUSAL**

The appeal of **Pt Brijkishore Pateria College of Education Deori, Khasra No. 69, 221/1,221/6, 221/7, 222/6, 222/7,220/1, Khanderao Ward, Deori, Deori, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh, 470226** dated 23/08/2025S filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the decision as per refusal order no. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2526202402121259/MADHYAPRADESH/2024/REJC/259** dated 26/06/2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusal recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that “1) The name of the institution "PT BRIJKISHORE PATERIA COLLEGE OF EDUCATION" mentioned in the application and recognition order of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. whereas "B.K.P. COLLEGE OF COMPUTER EDUCATION" mentioned in the university letter of affiliation with regard to Multi-disciplinary Programmes uploaded by the institution. Both the names are different. Therefore, as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time, the application of the institution does not fall in the category of multi-disciplinary institution. 2) The institution is conducting degree courses i.e. B.A. with 180 intake, B.Sc. with 360 intake, B.Com. with 180 intake combined intake of 720. The sufficiency of land and built-up area for 720 intake of multidisciplinary courses and 4 units for proposed ITEP course, B.Ed. with 100 intake and D.El.Ed. course (Code-24213: mentioned on institutions website) cannot be ascertained. 3) The institution has uploaded an affidavit for Khasra Nos. 69, 221/1,221/6, 221/7, 222/6, 222/7. The institution has uploaded land documents for Khasra No. 221/1, 121/6 and 121/7. The institution has not uploaded latest Registered Land Document for Khasra no. 221/6, 221/7 & 69/3. 4) The institution has not uploaded Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority of State Government indicating the Khasra/Plot/Survey No. and mentioning the total land area and total built-up area earmarked for each course being run in the premises and the demarcated land and built-up area for the teacher education programmes including multi-disciplinary programmes. 5) The institution has not uploaded Not-for-profit Certificate issued by Competent Govt. Authority. 6) The institution has uploaded Land Title Certificate in which Khasra No. 220/1 mentioned which does not mention in the other land documents uploaded by the

institution. 7) The institution has uploaded Building Safety Certificate dated 03.04.2025 which has been issued by chief municipal officer of Nagar palika parishad, deori in respect of plot no. 69 only. The institution has not uploaded Building Safety Certificate mentioning all Khasra/Plot/Survey No. in adherence of safety guidelines as prescribed by National/State Disaster Management Authority issued by Competent Government Authority. 8) The institution has uploaded the latest list of teaching staff which is not latest and not countersigned by the Registrar of affiliating body as per qualification contained in the NCTE Norms and Regulations as amended from time to time. 9) The institution has uploaded bank statement indicating the transaction of the salary / remuneration to its teaching staff. However, it cannot be ascertained from the uploaded documents whether the institution is paying salary to its staff as per Central/State Government pay scales in accordance with the norms and standards of NCTE.

The website of institution has NOT been updated and maintained in compliance to provisions under Clause 7(14)(i), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time.”

## **II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -**

**No one from Pt Brijkishore Pateria College of Education Deori, Khasra No. 69, 221/1,221/6, 221/7, 222/6, 222/7,220/1, Khanderao Ward, Deori, Deori, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh, 470226** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.08.2025. In the appeal report, the appellant institution submitted that “ 1) The Institute BKP College of Computer Education and Pt. Brij Kishore Pateria College of Education are under same society Samagra Bundelkhand Prakhanda Shiksha avam Samaj Kalyan Samiti, and are not distinct entities but are same institute, with differentiation in names made only on the basis of the courses offered i.e. B.A./B.Sc./B.Com. and B.Ed., D.El.Ed., B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. respectively. According to requirement of NCTE norms for ITEP, previously we initiated the process of merger of these Institutes and now they are merged to form multidisciplinary Institute named as “Pt. Brij Kishore Pateria College of Education” in accordance to UGC norms “Guidelines for Transforming Higher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Institutions, September 2022” and “Guidelines for transforming NCTE recognized stand-alone teacher education institutions into multidisciplinary higher

education institution” under point 4.2.1 published on May 2025. The recognition order and affiliation letter for session 2025-26 of same nomenclature are attached here. 2) Annual Intake of general degree courses B.A., B.Com., B.Sc. are not mentioned anywhere by department of higher Education and affiliating body, so we submitted intake number as for what we applied for in 2010 , as it was a compulsory disclosure .But actual admission data show great difference between intake and admission likewise in session 2024-25 total student (all years) in B.A. is 68, B.Sc. is 88 and B. Com. 29 against displayed intake. Record of admitted students in different sessions attached here in tabular form for more information. Session 2023-24 Class I year II year III year Total Girls Boys Girls Boys Girls Boys B.A. 19 10 16 05 02 02 54 B.Sc. 27 05 15 01 05 02 55 B.Com 07 04 03 01 00 00 15 Total 53 19 34 07 07 04 124 Session 2024-25 Class I year II year III year Total Girls Boys Girls Boys Girls Boys B.A. 15 18 13 02 15 05 68 B.Sc. 41 06 22 03 15 01 88 B.Com 07 09 05 04 03 01 29 TOTAL 63 33 40 09 33 07 185 Session 2025-26 Class I year II year III year Total Girls Boys Girls Boys Girls Boys B.A. 16 23 14 12 13 02 80 B.Sc. 33 11 39 06 22 03 114 B.Com 03 03 07 08 05 04 30 TOTAL 52 37 60 26 40 09 224 According to admission data till date the institute has never crossed 250 number of students altogether including all degree & all years students due to tough competition by govt. institute nearby. Thus, lack of space is not a problem. Further the institution has been in existence for 1.5 decades and has been running different teaching education programs since 2009 and general degree program since 2010, indicating prior academic and infrastructural capacity of institute Furthermore the institute has prior built up area of 4268.14 sqm. Apart from this the institute has already started construction work to increase the built up area by 596 sqm on khasra no 220/1,221/6,221/7 of plot 69 map is attached, after this we would be able to manage further more increment in number of students admitted. Relevant documents attached.

3) As per objection by NCTE we would like to tell you that the documents uploaded are for 221/6 and 221/7 in which khasra no. are printed wrong as 121/6 and 121/7 and the proof for that is the permission letter from collector of saggar who gave permission for buying of it by the institute .furthermore it been a long we applied for correction but there is no help from competent government authority. Thus, the registration and permission from collector is attached here and also for 69/3. 4) Building plan is already uploaded in reduce from of full blueprint and in separate parts for more detail. In which

competent authority of state government i.e. Nagarpalika Parishad Deori has given approval and other information is mentioned. Readable building plan is reattached here and additionally detailed information in form of table is given below

S. No.	Description of Land / Building Area in sq.m..
1	Total land area as per land title certificate 6070.28
2	Land area used for construction as per building plan 2145.61
3	Carpet area / Built area as per BCC 4268.14
4	Proposed land area for new construction 1400.97
5	proposed built up area 521.74
6	land area for playground Remaining area.

5) The Parent organization of Institute i.e. Samagra Bundelkhand Prakhanda Shiksha avam Samaj Kalyan Samiti already registered as non-profit organization (NPO) on the Darpan Portal of Niti ayog Govt. of India with unique identification number MP/2019/0235212, Dated 21-06-2019. Along with this registration according to your requirement we have also apply to obtain "Not For Profit" certificate from the office of Registrar of societies who suggested for registration under 12 A and 80 G of Income Tax act, Now Institute is registered under 12A and 80 G and was also previously registered under MP society registrikaran adhiniyam 1973 and after this we applied for Not for profit certificate in front of registrar of societies who in response said that only those institutes are registered under above sections who are already not for profit thus this does not require any physical certification and letter for the above said is attached here"

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -**

**The Appeal Committee in its 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting, 2025 held online on 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.**

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 04.03.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 26.06.2025.

The Appeal Committee, after considering the appeal, the impugned order of the Regional Committee, the appeal report, the documents placed on record and the oral submissions of the appellant institution, observed that recognition had been refused primarily on account of non-compliance with the eligibility requirements stipulated under the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended).

The Committee took note of the subsequent decision of the General Body of NCTE in its 65<sup>th</sup> Meeting held on 06.05.2025 whereby the timeline for transition of 4-year integrated B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. programmes into ITEP was extended up to the academic session 2026–27, as against the earlier cut-off of 2025–26.

Further, the Committee examined the provisions of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended vide Gazette Notification dated 22.10.2021), particularly the eligibility requirement for ITEP, which mandates that an institution must be a “multidisciplinary institution” as defined under clause **“(ca) “multidisciplinary institution” means a duly recognized higher education institution involving several different subjects of study/combining or involving more than one discipline. Multidisciplinary universities and colleges will aim to establish education departments, which besides carrying out cutting-edge research in various aspects of education, will also run Integrated Teacher Education Programmes, in collaboration with other departments or field of liberal arts or humanities or social sciences or commerce or mathematics, as the case may be, at the time of applying for recognition of Integrated Teacher Education Programme.”**

The Committee also referred to the **“Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions,”** dated 15.05.2025 which prescribe the following for collaboration of NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI with Multidisciplinary HEI:-

***If NCTE recognized Stand-Alone TEI is neither able to transform itself into a Multidisciplinary HEI nor merge with another multidisciplinary HEI,***

***then it may be allowed to collaborate with a multidisciplinary HEI situated within a radius of 10 km from it, as an interim measure, provided there is a need for a teacher education programme in that region. In such cases:***

- (i) The applicant Stand-alone TEI shall produce a certificate from the concerned State Government justifying the need for teacher education programme in that area/region.***
- (ii) A proposal for collaboration shall be submitted to NCTE for consideration by the sub-committee of the Governing Body constituted for the Grant of approval of such collaboration.***

**The collaboration will be subject to the following:**

- (a) Both the institution intending for such collaboration must be affiliated to the same university. The affiliating university, through its statutory bodies, must approve of such collaboration. It shall comply with the guidelines of the relevant regulatory body(ies). Both the institutions shall be situated within a radius of 10 KM.***
- (b) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall offer at least two undergraduate degree programmes in accordance with the requirements of ITEP.***
- (c) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI must not have an education department of its own.***
- (d) The collaborating Multidisciplinary HEI shall be allowed to collaborate with only one NCTE recognized Stand-alone TEI for this purpose.***
- (e) One unit for ITEP in each programme (B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed., B.Com.B.Ed.) is permissible under this model of collaboration.***
- (f) Both institutions shall sign a functional Memorandum of Collaboration (MoC) spelling out the following details: academic infrastructure, instructional facilities, departments, faculty allocation, administration, interdisciplinary activities, governance, and strategy for a sustainable and successful running of the teacher education programmes. (attached as Appendix 2)***
- (g) NCTE shall maintain supervisory and regulatory authority over all such collaborative arrangements.***

The Committee further noted the deliberations and resolution adopted by the General Body of NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28th July 2025, which is reproduced below in extenso:

**“Decision of the Council:**

- i. In view of the above, the Council discussed and deliberated the agenda in detail and approved the option III proposed by the Committee as under:***



***The final opportunity be provided to all such TEIs including those institutions of which applications were refused/rejected by giving an opportunity to apply afresh online on NCTE Portal. Those institutions which have earlier submitted Transition applications in response to NCTE Public Notice dated 05.02.2024, may be exempted from making payment of processing fee, subject to specifying/mentioning the Registration number of the earlier application submitted.***

- ii. The portal be opened as above and a Public Notice be issued with direction to all recognised existing TEIs offering B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. course (prior to omission of the Appendix-13) to apply afresh except the institutions which have either been already transited into ITEP or issued Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Regional Committee concerned.***
- iii. The council also decided that the Guidelines for transforming NCTE recognised stand-alone Teacher Education Institution into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institution issued by NCTE be enclosed with the Public Notice for information to all concerned.***

The Appeal Committee, upon detailed consideration of the Appeal Report, documents placed on record, and oral submissions advanced during the hearing, took note of the explanation and submissions of the institution and accordingly the Appeal Committee observed the appellant institution has submitted explanation mentioned in appeal report and submitted the documents with a claim to have rectified the shortcomings points pointed out in the impugned order. As regards Multidisciplinary (MDI) status, the appellant institution submitted that it has started the process as NCTE has issued the "Guidelines for Transforming NCTE Recognized Stand-Alone Teacher Education Institutions into Multidisciplinary Higher Education Institutions" on 20.05.2025 to convert standalone institution into multidisciplinary institution. However, in view of the decision of the General Body of the NCTE in its 67th (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, which permits final opportunity to all such TEIs including those institutions of which applications were refused/rejected by giving an opportunity to apply afresh online on NCTE Portal. Thus, portal be opened as above and a Public Notice be issued with direction to all recognised existing TEIs offering B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. course (prior to omission of the Appendix-13) to apply afresh except the institutions which have either been already transited into ITEP or issued Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Regional Committee concerned, the Appeal Committee

was of the view that the appellant institution falls within the eligible category. The Committee also took note of the judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, including Rambha College of Education v. NCTE [W.P. (C) 3231/2016, judgment dated 23.02.2017], as well as orders dated 08.04.2021 in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 and 30.07.2021 in W.P. (C) 7260/2021, which mandate that in cases of remand, subsequent documents submitted with the appeal must be considered.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee after careful perusal of the appeal report, documents on record and oral submissions made during the hearing, and the claims put forth by the appellant institution and keeping in view of the decision of the General Body of the NCTE in its 67<sup>th</sup> (Emergent) Meeting held on 28.07.2025, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 01.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration. The appellant institution shall be afforded a final opportunity to apply afresh online on the NCTE portal and may be exempted from making payment of processing fee, subject to specifying/mentioning the Registration number of the earlier application submitted which have earlier submitted Transition applications in response to NCTE Public Notice dated 05.02.2024. The portal be opened as above, and a Public Notice be issued with direction to all recognised existing TEIs offering B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. course (prior to omission of the Appendix-13) to apply afresh except the institutions which have either been already transited into ITEP or issued Letter of Intent (LOI) by the Regional Committee concerned. The Regional Committee shall examine all documents placed on record, including those submitted in appeal, and determine the eligibility of the institution as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution strictly in terms of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 (as amended) and the Guidelines dated 15.05.2025. The Regional Committee shall ensure assessing the case of the appellant institution comprehensively and determine whether the institution qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution, considering all records and supplementary submissions made by the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies noted in the original order. The Regional Committee shall also ensure adherence to all applicable regulatory provisions, the NCTE MDI Guidelines dated 15.05.2025, legal aspect, academic and assessment standards, and institutional eligibility for implementation of

the ITEP programme. The Appeal Committee further decided that after such examination, the Regional Committee shall take a reasoned decision in strict compliance with the NCTE Regulations, 2014 and any subsequent guidelines or amendments issued by the Council. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the direction contained herein.

**IV. DECISION: -**

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 01.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) for fresh consideration in accordance with the directions specified hereinabove. The appellant institution is further directed to forward to the Regional Committee, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this order, the documents submitted along with the appeal, whereupon the Regional Committee shall take further necessary action strictly in accordance with law and in light of the direction contained herein.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

  
उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

**Copy to :-**

1. **The Principal, Pt Brijkishore Pateria College of Education Deori, Khasra No. 69, 221/1, 221/6, 221/7, 222/6, 222/7, 220/1, Khanderao Ward, Deori, Deori, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh, 470226.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, 2nd floor, Annex-3, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462004.**