



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-284/E-372638/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515197 / E-87842

Pt. Harishankar Shukla Smriti Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 492/1, Main Road Kachna Raipur, Chattisgarh, Pincode – 492001	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	No one appeared
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	26.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF APPEAL

The appeal of **Pt. Harishankar Shukla Smriti Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 492/1, Main Road Kachna Raipur, Chattisgarh, Pincode - 492001** dated 03/07/2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the SCN No. **F. No. NCTE/WRC/2526202404262411/CHATTISGARH/2024/SCN** dated 24/06/2025 issued to the institution. The appellant institution in its Appeal report mentioned the reason for appeal as "Request for opening of Portal for ITEP Final SCN 2025-26."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

No one from **Pt. Harishankar Shukla Smriti Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 492/1, Main Road Kachna Raipur, Chattisgarh, Pincode - 492001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 26.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We have applied for ITEP 04 years course for the session 2025-26 with application no - 2526202404262411, in which we got final SCN at 24th June in which it is clearly mentioned that we have to submit the final SCN by 09th July 2025 (i.e. 15days from the opening of portal) . We have made all the preparations for submission but in the login portal of the institution it shows the date 01/07/2025, without submit button. Since the NCTE has already given 15 days time (which completes on 09th July 2025) for submission and today is 03rd July so it is requested to reopen the Final SCN portal for our institution which will enable us to submit the final SCN within time."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 26th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 29.05.2024. The Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 24.06.2025. However, the Withdrawal Order has not been issued to the Appellant Institution.

The instant matter was placed in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held on 26.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution has filed the appeal solely on the basis of the Show Cause Notice, without submitting any impugned withdrawal/rejection order.

Noting the submission and after careful consideration, Appeal Committee observed that in the absence of an impugned order, the appeal is not maintainable and is rendered infructuous.

IV. DECISION: -

The Appeal Committee, in terms of the extant appeal rules, decided that in the absence of an impugned order, the appeal is not maintainable and stands dismissed as infructuous.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Pt. Harishankar Shukla Smriti Mahavidyalaya, Khasra No. 492/1, Main Road Kachna Raipur, Chattisgarh, Pincode - 492001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-252/E-371289/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025

APPLWRC202515031

MAEERS MIT Arts, Commerce and Science College, 123/1, 124/1/1, Alandi Road, Alandi, Haveli, Pune, Maharashtra-412105	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Balasaheb B. Waphare, Director
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **MAEERS MIT Arts, Commerce and Science College, 123/1, 124/1/1, Alandi Road, Alandi, Haveli, Pune, Maharashtra-412105** dated 30.04.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202404262396 / MAHARASHTRA / 2024 / REJC / 752** dated 21.04.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as per Public Notice dated 22.04.2024. Hence, application rejected on the ground of not eligible for processing as mentioned through online ITEP application portal."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Balasaheb B. Waphare, Director of MAEERS MIT Arts, Commerce and Science College, 123/1, 124/1/1, Alandi Road, Alandi, Haveli, Pune, Maharashtra-412105 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "As per the public notice, Applicant institute is fulfilling the required criteria with 10 points. Director of Higher Education Maharashtra State has recommended our proposal & forwarded it to Higher & Technical education Department, Maharashtra government, for further recommendations to NCTE."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 29.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 21.04.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held on 28.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the

relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The appellant institution submitted before the Appeal Committee that as per the public notice, Applicant institute is fulfilling the required criteria with 10 points and Director of Higher Education Maharashtra State has recommended the proposal & forwarded it to Higher & Technical education Department, Maharashtra government, for further recommendations to NCTE.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 21.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is

further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 21.04.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, MAEERS MIT Arts, Commerce and Science College, 123/1, 124/1/1, Alandi Road, Alandi, Haveli, Pune, Maharashtra-412105.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphinston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलुीय प्रलधलकरण डें/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

रलषुुीय अधुलपक शलकुल परलषदु (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
ऑ-7 सेकुटर-10 दुवलरकल, नई दललुी-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दलनलंक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधलनलड डी धलरल 18 के तुहत दलडर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-163/E366779/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202514982

Maharashtra Mahavidyalaya, 183, Main Road Nilanga, Dist Latur, Maharashtra-413521 APPELLANT	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075 RESPONDENT
---	------------------	---

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Naresh Vyankatesh Pinamkar, Assistant Professor
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Maharashtra Mahavidyalaya, 183, Main Road Nilanga, Dist Latur, Maharashtra-413521** dated 20.03.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / WRC / 2526202404252379 / MAHARASHTRA / 2024 / REJC / 738** dated 27.02.2025 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The Shortlisting criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026 has been prescribed by the Council (NCTE) in its 60th General Body meeting. The same was notified by the NCTE vide Public Notice dated 22.04.2024 to facilitate Multidisciplinary Institutions for processing their application of ITEP for academic session 2025-2026. The institutions must obtain minimum of 10 points for getting shortlisted for processing based on extant norms and standards prescribed by NCTE. On initial scrutiny of documents uploaded on the portal, the institution does not fulfil the shortlisting criteria as prescribed by the Council and has failed to fulfil the required points which are essential for processing of application for academic session 2025-2026."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Naresh Vyankatesh Pinamkar, Assistant Professor of Maharashtra Mahavidyalaya, 183, Main Road Nilanga, Dist Latur, Maharashtra-413521 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "1. our college is multidisciplinary and running since 1970-71. (4 points) 2. our institution has reaccredited naac third cycle grade b+ (28 March 2019 to 27 March 2024) and fourth cycle grade b++(16 January 2025 to 15 January 2030).(5/6 points) 3. our institution having d.El.ed. running since 2011-12 (2 points) 4. our college has participated in NIRF ranking (above 500) (1 point) our institution secure more than 12 points as per shortlisting

criteria for the processing application of ITEP from multidisciplinary institutions for academic session 2025-2026. please consider our appeal positively as per above information and documents of proof attached. and allow us for the further process to the academic session from the academic year 2025-2026. your permission for this course give an opportunity to learn the interested and needy students in such a remote area.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 19.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the WRC vide order dated 27.02.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 7th Meeting, 2025 held on 17.06.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits, decided to ask the appellant institution to submit certain documents.

The instant matter was placed in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held on 28.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The appellant institution submitted before the Appeal Committee that it is a multidisciplinary and running since 1970-71. (4 points), it has reaccredited NAAC third cycle grade b+ (28 March 2019 to 27 March 2024) and fourth cycle grade b++(16 January 2025 to 15 January 2030). (5/6

points), the institution is having D.El.Ed. running since 2011-12 (2 points) and college has participated in NIRF ranking (above 500) (1 point). Thus the institution secure more than 12 points as per shortlisting criteria for the processing application of ITEP from multidisciplinary institutions for academic session 2025-2026.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 27.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 27.02.2025 and remand the matter to the Western Regional Committee (WRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The WRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The WRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the WRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Maharashtra Mahavidyalaya, 183, Main Road Nilanga, Dist Latur, Maharashtra-413521.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Directorate of Higher Education, Elphinston Technical School premises, 3, Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talao, Chhatrapati Shivaji Terminus Area, Fort, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-250/E-371824/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025
APPLSRC202515207

R.L.N. Dora Colege of Education, 122/3, Rokkams House, Palakinda Road, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh-532001	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Rangumudri Ganapathi Rao
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **R.L.N. Dora College of Education, 122/3, Rokkams House, Palakinda Road, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh-532001** dated 05.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. SRO / NCTE / APSO1315 / B.Ed./AP/2019/13888-3894** dated 17.01.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The Management of the Institution had not submitted required documents in response to Show Cause Notice (SCN) issued to the institution on 19.02.2019. Another opportunity was given to the institution by serving a Final Show Cause Notice (FSCN) dated 15.10.2019. The institution did not submit its reply/representation in response to Final Show Cause Notice (FSCN)."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. Rangumudri Ganapathi Rao of **R.L.N. Dora College of Education, 122/3, Rokkams House, Palakinda Road, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh-532001** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The Management of the institution Secretary admitted (ICU) hospital health issue berating problem and chest pain. After next year covid-19 pandemic starting again covid attacked after 2023 secretary. Death. Not responding for any communication please kindly consider our request our good office. Now all documents submitting."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 04.01.2006. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit dated 30.12.2014 for its willingness for adherence

of provisions of new Regulations. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution on dated 20.03.2015 for conducting B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of one basic unit of 100 (two basic units) from the academic session 2015-16. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 17.01.2020.

The instant matter was placed in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held on 28.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal the Committee noted that the SRC has withdrawn the recognition of the appellant institution vide order dated 17.01.2020 against which the appellant institution has preferred an appeal dated 05.07.2025. The Appeal Committee observed that the Appeal suffers from enormous delay of **5 years, 3 months & 18 days** which is unreasonable. The Committee further observed that the appellant institution has not provided any satisfactory explanation or sufficient cause for such an extraordinary and unexplained delay. As per settled legal principles and as recognized under the NCTE Act, 1993 and its Regulations, condonation of delay may only be granted upon demonstration of reasonable and sufficient cause for non-compliance, which is lacking in this case. The doctrine of "delay and laches" squarely applies to the present matter.

Accordingly, after careful consideration of all documents available on record, submissions made, and in light of the absence of sufficient cause for the procedural delay and regulatory non-compliance, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that no ground is made out for interference with the impugned order. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition of the institution under the powers vested in it under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for non-compliance with conditions of recognition.

Hence, the Appeal Committee after perusing the documents which were made available on records is of the view that the appellant institution is still lacking on the above ground. The Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be

rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 17.01.2020 issued by SRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council holds that the appeal suffers from gross delay and laches, is barred by limitation, the appeal is accordingly not maintainable and stands rejected. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be dismissed and therefore, the impugned order dated 17.01.2020 issued by SRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council holds that the appeal suffers from gross delay and laches, is barred by limitation, the appeal is accordingly not maintainable and stands rejected. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be dismissed and therefore, the impugned order dated 17.01.2020 issued by SRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, R.L.N. Dora College of Education, 122/3, Rokkams House, Palakinda Road, Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh-532001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3rd Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-291/E-373083/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025
APPLSRC202515241

B.R.M. B.Ed. College, 235/4,235/5, Ragani Palli, Kothaindllu, Punganur, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517247	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Mr. G. Raja Bhushanam, Administrator
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **B.R.M. B.Ed. College, 235/4,235/5, Ragani Palli, Kothaindllu, Punganur, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517247** dated 15.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. SRO / NCTE / APSO8386 / B.Ed. / AP / 2019 / 103635** dated 24.04.2019 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “(i) The institution has not submitted land documents. (ii) The Building Plan submitted by the institution is not complete rather it is in part basis. Even the space mentioned is not sufficient both for B.Ed. & D.El.Ed. programmes. (iii) The land conversion certificate is issued after establishment of the Institution with so may conditions which is not in format required by the NCTE. (iv) The latest faculty list is also not furnished as per the Amended NCTE Regulations, 2017.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Mr. G. Raja Bhushanam, Administrator of B.R.M. B.Ed. College, 235/4,235/5, Ragani Palli, Kothaindllu, Punganur, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517247 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “It is most respectfully submitted that the decision of Withdrawing the Recognition is based on wrong and incorrect facts i.e the Institution has time and again complied with all the norms and regulations of NCTE Act and submitted all the documents time and again for consideration. It is pertinent to mention that the recognition of the institution has been withdrawn by SRC despite having submitted all the proofs and documents as directed in the Show Cause Notices issued to the institution. That the recognition of the institution was withdrawn on 24.04.19 by SRC, mentioning certain grounds. The institution filed W.P (C) No. 6470/2019 which was allowed with following directions :- “5. In the above circumstances, the petitioner is directed to appear before the appellate authority in the NCTE within three weeks. 6. Such appeal, if filed within the above period, the date and time of which would be communicated to the petitioner on its email Id, i.e. hrda.pgr@gmail.com and would be disposed of within a period of eight weeks from the date of hearing. 7. Till the disposal

of the appeal by the appellate committee, the petitioner institute shall be allowed to continue to function. 8. The respondents are directed to reflect the correct status of the petitioner on its website within three days. 9. The writ petition is disposed of in the above terms with no order as to costs.” Copy of the Order is submitted herewith As per the directions of Hon’ble Court the institution filed online appeal before Appeal Committee on 19.06.2019 having Appeal Id. 13261. The hard copy of the said appeal alongwith documents was also submitted, however no appeal hearing was given to the institution. Copy of the Appeal Dt. 19.06.2019 is enclosed herewith. That the Secretary of the Society who was following up with NCTE for the appeal suffered from Covid-19 and later suffered various serious ailments after recovering from covid and was under continuous treatment thereafter, and was not in a position to continue in the affairs of the Society or the institution. It is important to mention that the secretary even suffered a brain stroke and his memory was seriously effected. Medical record of the Secretary is being placed on record. The society after filing of the appeal dt. 19.06.2019 starting sending reminders only from 2023, when the president of the society seeing the medical condition of the Secretary, took over the affairs of the institution. The institution sent various reminders for hearing of Appeal, last being 04.04.2025, however nothing happened. The institution on being advised is preferring the present appeal to continue the course without any hindrance. It is submitted that the institution despite having a court order in its favour did not admit students after Covid, due to health issues of the Secretary. SRC processed the recognition application of our institution. After consideration of the documents and affidavit submitted by the institution and being satisfied that this institution had fulfil the requirements under the provisions of NCTE Act, Rules and relevant regulations including the norms and standard for B.Ed. course on 29.02.2008. It is submitted that we had duly replied to the Southern Regional Committee vide written representation and replies. Copies of the same are attached alongwith the present appeal for ready reference. The Institution herein is once again submitting all the requisite documents for your kind perusal and necessary action. It is pertinent to mention that due to wrong and arbitrary decision of SRC, the Institution, which is running this course successfully since 2008, great hardship is caused to it. The institution has all the requisite documents and permissions as per NCTE Act &

Regulations. The Institution urges for reversing/setting aside the decision of Withdrawing the recognition as passed on the grounds mentioned above and requests to for an early action in this regard. The Institution with folded hand and utmost respect prays that the Withdrawal Order as passed by the SRC be set aside and reversed in the interest of justice as the SRC has failed to appreciate the correct facts and documents available on record. That our Institution has a good reputation all over the country and is imparting quality education since past so many years. You are requested to kindly take early action in this regard and consider the present as well as well appeal dt. 19.06.2019 and restore the recognition of the institution. the institution had submitted the all the Land documents at the time of earlier appeal, however, is submitting again for kind perusal please. The institution had submitted the latest building plan at the time of earlier appeal, however, is submitting again for kind perusal please. The institution had submitted the latest Land conversion at the time of earlier appeal, however, is submitting again for kind perusal please. The institution had submitted the latest staff profile at the time of earlier appeal, however, is submitting again for kind perusal please.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students vide order dated 29.02.2008 from the academic session 2007-2008. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit dated 28.04.2015 for its willingness for adherence of provisions of new Regulations. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution on dated 12.05.2015 for conducting B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of one basic unit of 100 (two basic unit) from the academic session 2015-16. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 24.04.2019.

The instant matter was placed in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held on 28.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee noted that the SRC has withdrawn the recognition of the appellant institution vide order dated 24.04.2019 against which the appellant institution has preferred an appeal dated 15.07.2025. The Appeal Committee observed that the Appeal suffers from enormous delay of **6 years & 21 days** which is unreasonable and without any proper justification. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution submitted before the Appeal Committee that it had filed the appeal online on 19.06.2019 and had also submitted a hard copy. However, the Appeal Committee, found that no such appeal was ever received or entered in the records of the Appeal Division for the relevant period. The appellant has failed to produce even a single original documentary proof such as acknowledgment, receipt, or communication to substantiate its claim. The Committee further observed that even if, for the sake of argument, the appellant's claim was accepted, no effort was made by the institution over the intervening period to pursue the matter or seek a hearing. This demonstrates a complete lack of bona fide on the part of the appellant. The attempt to revive the matter after a long lapse of time is an afterthought and clearly an abuse of the appellate process.

The Appeal Committee on examination of the case records and submissions made during the hearing, the Committee noted that as per NCTE (Third Amendment) Rules, 2011, submission of hardcopies of appeal documents is a mandatory procedural requirement for processing the appeal. The present appeal has been filed after an inordinate delay of more than six years. In terms of the extant Appeal Rules framed under the NCTE Act, 1993, no appeal is maintainable beyond the prescribed period of limitation. The principle of delay and laches, as consistently upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and various High Courts. The Committee, therefore, holds that the submission of the appellant is unsubstantiated and devoid of legal merit.

Accordingly, after careful consideration of all documents available on record, submissions made, and in light of the absence of sufficient cause for the procedural

delay and regulatory non-compliance, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that no ground is made out for interference with the impugned order. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition of the institution under the powers vested in it under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for non-compliance with conditions of recognition. Hence, the Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 24.04.2019 issued by SRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council holds that the appeal suffers from gross delay and laches, is barred by limitation, the appeal is accordingly not maintainable and stands rejected. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be dismissed and therefore, the impugned order dated 24.04.2019 issued by SRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council holds that the appeal suffers from gross delay and laches, is barred by limitation, the appeal is accordingly not maintainable and stands rejected. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be dismissed and therefore, the impugned order dated 24.04.2019 issued by SRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.

उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Appeal ID : APPLSRC 202515241

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, B.R.M. B.Ed. College, 235/4,235/5, Ragani Palli, Kothaindllu, Punganur, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517247.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3rd Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलुय प्रलधलकरण डें/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शलक्षा परलषद (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दलल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दलनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधलनलरड की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-160/E366530/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025

APPLSRC202515042

MVR Institute of Teacher Training, K.No.50, Plot No.19-9-3E/5, Tirupati Head Post Office, 19th Street, Tirupati, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517501	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Ms. M. Sushma Ramana Reddy & Sri T.I. Nagarjuna, Director and Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of MVR Institute of Teacher Training, K.No.50, Plot No.19-9-3E/5, Tirupati Head Post Office, 19th Street, Tirupati, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517501 dated 14.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. F. SRC / NCTE / SRCAPP681 / D.El.Ed. / {AP} / 2022 / 139567 dated 24.01.2023 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that “(i) The institution failed to submit reply to the Final Show Cause Notice dated 16.09.2022. (ii) Further it is also observed that the institution has not filled Performance Appraisal Report (PAR)”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Ms. M. Sushma Ramana Reddy & Sri T.I. Nagarjuna, Director and Principal of MVR Institute of Teacher Training, K.No.50, Plot No.19-9-3E/5, Tirupati Head Post Office, 19th Street, Tirupati, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517501 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that “I Am Suffering from Chronic Health Problem (Prostate). We Are Not Violate Rules and Regulations of NCTE & SCERT (AP Govt). We Applied for Renewal of Affiliation Fee Paid Dd and Necessary Documents Submitted To SCERT. They Appointed Visiting Team 1. Dr. V. Sekhar, Deo, Chittoor, A.P 2. Sri S. Purushotham, Principal Diet, Karvetinagaram, A.P. Visited and Recommended Remarks to Renewal of Affiliation (2020-2021 To 2024-25). We Are Waiting for Affiliation Copy, But We Received Withdrawal Order From NCTE. Prior We Are Not Received Any Notices From NCTE. We Have Adequate Infrastructure and Instructional Facilities. Hence, Request to You Kindly Continue Recognition to MVR Institute of Teacher Training (D.El.Ed.). Thanking You Sir.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for D.El.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 50 students from the academic session 2015-2016 vide order dated 03.03.2015. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by SRC vide order dt. 24.01.2023.

The instant matter was placed in its 7th Meeting, 2025 held on 17.06.2025 before the Appeal Committee and committee decided to grant another opportunity to the appellant institution for making its submission.

The instant matter was placed in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held on 28.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee noted that the SRC has withdrawn the recognition of the appellant institution vide order dated 24.01.2023 against which the appellant institution has preferred an appeal dated 14.05.2025. The Appeal Committee observed that the Appeal suffers from enormous delay of **2 years, 1 month & 20 days** which is unreasonable. The Committee further observed that the appellant institution has not provided any satisfactory explanation or sufficient cause for such an extraordinary and unexplained delay. As per settled legal principles and as recognized under the NCTE Act, 1993 and its Regulations, condonation of delay may only be granted upon demonstration of reasonable and sufficient cause for non-compliance, which is lacking in this case. The doctrine of "delay and laches" squarely applies to the present matter as consistently upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and various High Courts. The Committee, therefore, holds that the submission of the appellant is unsubstantiated and devoid of legal merit.

Accordingly, after careful consideration of all documents available on record, submissions made, and in light of the absence of sufficient cause for the procedural delay and regulatory non-compliance, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that no ground is made out for interference with the impugned order. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition of the institution under the powers vested in it under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for non-compliance with conditions of recognition. Hence, the Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in

withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council holds that the appeal suffers from gross delay and laches, is barred by limitation, the appeal is accordingly not maintainable and stands rejected. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be dismissed and therefore, the impugned order dated 24.01.2023 issued by SRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council holds that the appeal suffers from gross delay and laches, is barred by limitation, the appeal is accordingly not maintainable and stands rejected. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be dismissed and therefore, the impugned order dated 24.01.2023 issued by SRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, MVR Institute of Teacher Training, K.No.50, Plot No.19-9-3E/5, Tirupati Head Post Office, 19th Street, Tirupati, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh-517501.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3rd Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-169/E-367005/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025
APPLSRC202515063

Sadulla College of Education, 517/a, Mulakaluru Village and Post, Narasarpet, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522601	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Su. S.A. Hussain, Secretary
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Sadulla College of Education, 517/a, Mulakaluru Village and Post, Narasarpet, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522601** dated 24.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. SRC / NCTE / SRCAPP3576 / B.Ed. / 434th Mtg. / AP / 2024 / 144703** dated 09.04.2024 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution failed to submit reply to the Final Show Cause Notice dated 20.10.2023."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Su. S.A. Hussain, Secretary of **Sadulla College of Education, 517/a, Mulakaluru Village and Post, Narasarpet, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522601** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "No notice was received before passing of withdrawal order."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2016-2017 vide order dated 03.02.2017. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 09.04.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 7th Meeting, 2025 held on 17.06.2025 before the Appeal Committee and committee decided to grant another opportunity to the appellant institution for making its submission.

The instant matter was placed in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held on 28.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee noted that the SRC has withdrawn the recognition of the appellant institution vide order dated 09.04.2024 against which the appellant institution has preferred an appeal dated 24.05.2025. The Appeal Committee observed that the Appeal suffers from enormous delay of **11 months & 15 days** which is unreasonable. The Committee further observed that the appellant institution has not provided any satisfactory explanation or sufficient cause for such an extraordinary and unexplained delay. As per settled legal principles and as recognized under the NCTE Act, 1993 and its Regulations, condonation of delay may only be granted upon demonstration of reasonable and sufficient cause for non-compliance, which is lacking in this case. The doctrine of "delay and laches" squarely applies to the present matter as consistently upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and various High Courts. The Committee, therefore, holds that the submission of the appellant is unsubstantiated and devoid of legal merit.

Accordingly, after careful consideration of all documents available on record, submissions made, and in light of the absence of sufficient cause for the procedural delay and regulatory non-compliance, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that no ground is made out for interference with the impugned order. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition of the institution under the powers vested in it under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for non-compliance with conditions of recognition. Hence, the Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council holds that the appeal suffers from gross delay and laches, is barred by limitation, the appeal is accordingly not maintainable and stands rejected. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be dismissed and therefore, the impugned order dated 09.04.2024 issued by SRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council holds that the appeal suffers from gross delay and laches, is barred by limitation, the appeal is accordingly not maintainable and stands rejected. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be dismissed and therefore, the impugned order dated 09.04.2024 issued by SRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Sadulla College of Education, 517/a, Mulakaluru Village and Post, Narasarpet, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522601.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3rd Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-176/E367681/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025

APPLSRC202515066

Sadulla B.P.Ed. College, 471/c, Mulakaluru Street Village and Post, Narasarpet, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522601	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Shaik. Sadulla Ahmad Hussain, Secretary
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Sadulla B.P.Ed. College, 471/c, Mulakaluru Street Village and Post, Narasarpet, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522601** dated 25.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. SRC / NCTE / SRCAPP171 / B.Ed. / 435th Mtg. / {AP} / 2024 / 144795** dated 19.04.2024 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution failed to submit reply to the Final Show Cause Notice dated 20.10.2023."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Shaik. Sadulla Ahmad Hussain, Secretary of Sadulla B.P.Ed. College, 471/c, Mulakaluru Street Village and Post, Narasarpet, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522601 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "No notice was received by the institution."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.P.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2014-2015 vide order dated 03.03.2014. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit dated 17.01.2015 for its willingness for adherence of provisions of new Regulations. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution on dated 19.05.2015 for conducting B.P.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of two basic unit of 100 (two units of 50 students each). The recognition of the institution for B.P.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the SRC vide order dated 19.04.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 7th Meeting, 2025 held on 17.06.2025 before the Appeal Committee and committee decided to grant another opportunity to the appellant institution for making its submission.

The instant matter was placed in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held on 28.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee noted that the SRC has withdrawn the recognition of the appellant institution vide order dated 19.04.2024 against which the appellant institution has preferred an appeal dated 25.05.2025. The Appeal Committee observed that the Appeal suffers from enormous delay of **11 months & 6 days** which is unreasonable. The Committee further observed that the appellant institution has not provided any satisfactory explanation or sufficient cause for such an extraordinary and unexplained delay. As per settled legal principles and as recognized under the NCTE Act, 1993 and its Regulations, condonation of delay may only be granted upon demonstration of reasonable and sufficient cause for non-compliance, which is lacking in this case. The doctrine of "delay and laches" squarely applies to the present matter as consistently upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and various High Courts. The Committee, therefore, holds that the submission of the appellant is unsubstantiated and devoid of legal merit.

Accordingly, after careful consideration of all documents available on record, submissions made, and in light of the absence of sufficient cause for the procedural delay and regulatory non-compliance, the Appeal Committee is of the considered view that no ground is made out for interference with the impugned order. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition of the institution under the powers vested in it under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for non-compliance with conditions of recognition. Hence, the Appeal Committee concluded that the SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be rejected and therefore, the impugned order dated 19.04.2024 issued by SRC is confirmed.

Noting the submission made in the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council holds that the appeal suffers from gross delay and laches, is barred by limitation, the appeal is accordingly not maintainable and stands rejected. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be dismissed and therefore, the impugned order dated 19.04.2024 issued by SRC is confirmed.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council holds that the appeal suffers from gross delay and laches, is barred by limitation, the appeal is accordingly not maintainable and stands rejected. The SRC was justified in withdrawing the recognition and decided that the instant appeal deserves to be dismissed and therefore, the impugned order dated 19.04.2024 issued by SRC is confirmed.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, Sadulla B.P.Ed. College, 471/c, Mulakaluru Street Village and Post, Narasarpet, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh-522601.**
2. **The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.**
3. **Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.**
4. **The Education Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, J Block, 3rd Floor, Room No. 312, Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022.**



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-296/E-373562/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025
APPLERC202515036

Don Bosco College, SDO/TBI/GC/AQ, Maram Bazar, College Road (Near NH2), Maram Centre, Senapati District, Manipur- 795015	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. K.O. Sebastian, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of **Don Bosco College, SDO/TBI/GC/AQ, Maram Bazar, College Road (Near NH2), Maram Centre, Senapati District, Manipur-795015** dated 06.05.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. NCTE / ERC / 2526202405142870 / MANIPUR / 2024 / REJC / 578** dated 21.11.2024 of the Eastern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP Course on the grounds that "The institution was not having land and building on the date of submitting its application."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. K.O. Sebastian, Principal of Don Bosco College, SDO/TBI/GC/AQ, Maram Bazar, College Road (Near NH2), Maram Centre, Senapati District, Manipur-795015 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We have uploaded the land document which was bifurcated from parent institution. After Show cause notice circular, we have uploaded the latest land documents."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Eastern Regional Committee for grant of recognition, seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 20.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the ERC vide order dated 21.11.2024.

The instant matter was placed in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held on 28.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee noted that the ERC has refused the

recognition of the appellant institution vide order dated 21.11.2024 against which the appellant institution has preferred an appeal dated 06.05.2025.

The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The appellant institution before the Appeal Committee submitted that it has uploaded the land document which was bifurcated from parent institution. It has also submitted that the total land area of the college has expanded from 17.5 acres to approximately 30 acres and the entire landholding of the college was surveyed, documented, and certified by the District Commissioner.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 21.11.2024 and remand the matter to the Eastern Regional Committee (ERC) with a **direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the NRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations.** The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further

necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to remand back the case to ERC with a direction to verify the authenticity of the documents submitted before Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Don Bosco College, SDO/TBI/GC/AQ, Maram Bazar, College Road (Near NH2), Maram Centre, Senapati District, Manipur-795015.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Directorate of University & Higher Education, Government of Manipur, Nityaipat Chuthek, Near Raj Bhavan, Imphal, Manipur-795001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-301/E-373669/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025
APPLWRC202515238

DR. C. V. Raman University, 28 Kota, Main Road Kota, Chhattisgarh Bilaspur-495113	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	The Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **DR. C. V. Raman University, 28 Kota, Main Road Kota, Chhattisgarh Bilaspur-495113** dated **19.06.2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F. No. WRC / APW01719 / 723074 / 421 / B.ED. / CG / 2025 / 2349** dated 30/05/2025 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “ 1) The institution has not submitted Certified registered land documents issued by the Revenue Department. 2) The institution has not submitted original Affidavit of Rs. 100/- in prescribed format by NCTE regarding Land and Building documents. The institution has not submitted the Blueprint of approved Building plan signed by the Competent Govt. Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution. Kasra No. Plot No, total land area, total built-up area with the measurements of the Multi-purpose Hall as well other infrastructural facilities such as classrooms etc. The earmarked space for all the teacher education programmes being conducted in the premises and any proposed course to be run in the premises. 3) The institution has not submitted a Certified copy of site plan with demarcated land area for running different courses. 4) The institution has not submitted CLU (Change of Land Use Certificate) indicating Survey/Plot/Kasra No, issued by the Competent Government Authority. 5) The institution has not submitted Mutation Certificate indicating Survey/Plot/Khasra No. Issued by the Competent Government Authority. 6) The institution has not submitted Non-Encumbrance Certificate indicating Survey/Plot/Khasra No. issued by the Competent Government Authority indicating that the land is free from all encumbrances. 7) The institution has not submitted Building safety certificate from the Competent Government Authority. 8) The institution has not submitted Fire safety certificate issued by the Competent Government Authority. 9) The institution has not submitted Certificate to the effect that the building is differently abled friendly from the Competent Government Authority. 10) The institution has not submitted Building Completion Certificate signed by the Competent Government Authority in the format prescribed by NCTE. 11) The institution

has not submitted latest current faculty list approved & signed each page by concerned Registrar of affiliating body in original with the details of their teaching subject date of birth, date of selection appointment, date of joining, academic qualifications, teaching experience. NET/Ph.D. (NCTE's GoI dated 09.06.2017), salary structure and related documents duly attested by authorized representative of management along with copy of approval letter of affiliating body. 12) The institution has not submitted notarized original affidavit on Rs. 100/- on non-judicial stamp paper by the management and Rs. 10/- non-judicial stamp paper by each selected appointed faculty in the NCTE prescribed proforma. 13) The institution has not submitted details of salary disbursed to the faculty along with six months Bank statement and account number of each faculty member. 14) The institution has not submitted copies of valid Fixed Deposit Receipts issued by Nationalized Scheduled Bank towards Endowment & Reserve Fund in the joint operation mode with RD. WRC, NCTE & Management along with duly filled Bank Form "A" in original, verified/signed by the Manager of the Bank as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. 15) The institution has not submitted compliance of all points in light of Clause 7(14)(1), 8(6), 8(14) and 10(3) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 as amended from time to time."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

The Representative from **DR. C. V. Raman University, 28 Kota, Main Road Kota, Chhattisgarh Bilaspur-495113** appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "We, the appellant, respectfully inform you that our institution had submitted a reply dated 24th March 2025, via email and speed post, in response to the show cause notice. the details of the submission are as follows: 1. email dated 24th March 2025 2. speed post receipt dated 25th march 2025 which was not considered and or no remark or non-consideration has been received by appellant furthermore, the appellant is again submitting all documents with updates as mentioned in para 8.2, points 1 to 16 from email and registered post for your kind perusal of the honorable authorities. all documents were uploaded while appeal submission and complete documents will be sent within a week via email(wrc@ncteindia.org,grievance@ncte-

india.org,ds_acad@ncte-india.org) and registered post. we kindly request you to reconsider the withdrawal order dated 30/05/25 in light of the above submissions. thank you for your consideration.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for B.Ed. course vide order dated 09.08.2005. Thereafter, on promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014 the institution has submitted affidavit dt. 05.01.2015 for its willingness for adherence of provisions of new Regulations. A Revised Provisional Recognition Order was issued to the institution for conducting B.Ed. programme of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students (two units) from the academic session 2015-16 vide order dated 31.05.2015. The recognition of the institution for B.Ed. programme was withdrawn by the WRC vide order dated 30.05.2025.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 9th meeting held on 28.07.2025. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee took note of the explanation and submissions of the institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution in its appeal has submitted its compliance report along with documentary evidences as found deficient in the impugned withdrawal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it

was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 is set-aside as the Appellate Committee has decided to remand back the case to WRC for revisiting the matter.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to WRC with a direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the NRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 30.05.2025 and remand back the case to WRC with a direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the NRC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the WRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the WRC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, DR. C. V. Raman University, 28 Kota, Main Road Kota, Chhattisgarh Bilaspur-495113.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Chhattisgarh, First floor, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Chhattisgarh, 492002.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-32/E-356154/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025

APPLNRC202514895

I.P.(P.G.) College Campus -2, 190, Dariyapur, Bulandshahr Delhi Road, NH-91, Sadar, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh- 203001	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. T.N. Mishra, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF ORDER

The appeal of I.P.(P.G.) College Campus -2, 190, Dariyapur, Bulandshahr Delhi Road, NH-91, Sadar, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh-203001 dated 06.02.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the order No. **F. No. NCTE / NRC / 2526202404292465 / UTTAR PRADESH / 2024 / REJC / 803** dated 20.01.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP course on the grounds that:- "The institution has uploaded certificate of NAAC with Grade "B+" which is valid up to 20.03.2028 and the institution has obtained 05 points on this behalf. The institution has been running multidisciplinary courses since 25.07.2016, hence, it has obtained 01 point in this regard. The institution has uploaded recognition order of B.Ed. programme recognized by NCTE; hence, it has obtained 2 points. As per data uploaded by the institution, the University is obtaining 08 points only. Since the minimum 10 points are required as per short listing criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026, therefore, the application of the University is not eligible to apply for 4th phase of ITEP in terms of Public Notice F.No. NCTE-Reg1012/1/2024-Reg.Sec-HQ dated 22.04.2024 issued by NCTE (HQ)."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. T.N. Mishra, Principal of I.P.(P.G.) College Campus -2, 190, Dariyapur, Bulandshahr Delhi Road, NH-91, Sadar, Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh-203001 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "Principal Fact I.P. Degree College (Grant in Aid) Bulandshahr is governed by Inswar Dayal Parsandi Devi Vidhya Prasarni Sabha. Which is registerted in 1969 (Annexure-1) College was established in 1970 and recognized by UGC in 1971 (Annexure-2) multidisciplinary course B.Com., B.Sc. are recognized by CCS University Meerut since 1984 (Annexure-3). After 2000 in self-finance scheme society has started BCA, BBA, B.Sc. (Biotechnology), M.Sc. (Biotechnology), B.Ed. & M.Ed. courses in the same premises (Now it's known as I.P. Degree College, Campus-

1) along with courses of grant-in-aid. Later second campus (Namely I.P. (P.G.) College, Campus-2) under self-finance system was established in 2008 in this campus all self-finance courses were being shifted by taking permission of concerned authority. Now there are two campus of the same college and governed by the same society. Due to pressure of admission required in B.Com. the society has applied another one section for B.Com. & B.Sc. in 2016. Which are affiliated in self-finance system.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th September, 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Western Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP course on 20.05.2024. The recognition of the institution was refused by the NRC vide order dt. 20.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 19.02.2025, 4th Meeting, 2025 held on 15.04.2025 and 7th Meeting, 2025 held on 17.06.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits decided to ask the appellant institution to submit certain documents/clarifications.

The instant matter was placed in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held on 28.07.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution submitted that it meets the shortlisting criteria points and its case be considered for grant of recognition for the ITEP programme. The appellant institution submitted before the Appeal Committee that (i) I. P. Degree College (Grant in Aid) Bulandshahr is governed by Inswar Dayal Parasandi Devi Vidhya Prasarni Sabha, which is registered in 1969 College was established in 1970 and recognized by UGC in

1971 multidisciplinary course B.Com., B.Sc., recognized by CCS University Meerut since 1984. It is multidisciplinary college. After 2000 in self-finance scheme society has started BCA, BBA, B.Sc. (Biotechnology), M.Sc. (Biotechnology), B.Ed. & M.Ed. courses in the same premises (Now its known as I.P. Degree College, Campus-1) along with courses of grant-in-aid. Later second campus (Namely I.P. (P.G.) College, Campus-2) under self-finance system was established in 2008 by the same society. In this campus all self-finance courses were being shifted by taking permission of concerned authority. Now I. P. (P.G.) College, Campus-2 is also multidisciplinary college (Here seeking ITEP recognition) is offering B.Com., M.Com., B.Sc., M.Sc., BBA, BCA, B.Ed., M.Ed., & D.El.Ed. courses governed by the same society is far away 08 Km., from J. P. College, Campus-1. The college applied for ITEP from session 2025-26 but NRC/NCTE has given one (1) point by considering multidisciplinary courses being started from 2016. While I. P. College, Campus-1 is offering multidisciplinary courses since 1984 i.e. above 30 years of same society. The Society is able to get 04 points as per criteria of NCTE norms for ITEP. The appellant requested to award 04 points instead of 1 point and consider our application for ITEP recognition and claimed that all requisite documents have been submitted along with letter of explanation.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 20.01.2025 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) with a direction to reassess the

eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The NRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The NRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the NRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 20.01.2025 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The NRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The NRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Appeal ID: APPLNRC 202514895

Copy to :-

1. **The Principal, I.P.(P.G.) College Campus -2, 190, Dariyapur, Bulandshahr Delhi Road, NH-91, Sadar, Bulandshahar, Uttar Pradesh-203001.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलीय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

. 89-34/E-356526/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025

APPL NRC202514901

Army Institution of Education, Plot M-1, Chl II, Pocket P-5, Awho Gurjinder Vihar, Sector -CHI-2, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh-201310	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Abhilasha Gautam, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of Army Institution of Education, Plot No. Plot M-1, Village - Chl II, Street/Road - Pocket P-5, Post Office - Awho Gurjinder Vihar, Taluka/Mandal-Sector -CHI-2, District - Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh-201310 dated 13.02.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. F. No. NCTE/NRC/2526202404252375/UTTAR PRADESH/2024/REJC/734 dated 20.01.2025 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting ITEP. Course on the grounds that "The institution has uploaded certificate of NAAC with Grade "A" which is valid up to 09.06.2028 and the institution has obtained 6 points behalf. The institution has been running B.Ed. (Special Education) which is recognized by RCI from 17.08.2023 and not by NCTE. Hence, no point is awarded in this regard. The institution has uploaded recognition order of B.Ed. programme recognized by NCTE. Hence, it has obtained 2 points. As per data uploaded by the institution, the University is obtaining 08 points only. Since the minimum 10 points are required as per short listing criteria for processing ITEP applications for the session 2025-2026, therefore, the application of the University is not eligible to apply for 4th phase of ITEP in terms of Public Notice F.No. NCTE-Reg 1012/1/2024-Reg. Sec-HQ dated 22.04.2024 issued by NCTE (HQ)."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Dr. Abhilasha Gautam, Principal of Army Institution of Education, Plot No. Plot M-1, Village - Chl II, Street/Road - Pocket P-5, Post Office - Awho Gurjinder Vihar, Taluka/Mandal-Sector -CHI-2, District - Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh-201310 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025.

In the appeal report, it is submitted that “1. Multidisciplinary Nature of Army Institute of Education (AIE): Army Institute of Education (AIE) is a standalone institution offering teacher education programs since 2003, functioning under the aegis of the Army Welfare Education Society (AWES). It shares a common campus with another institute Army Institute of Management and Technology (AIMT) offering BBA and MBA programs. Both institutes are affiliated with Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University (GGSIPU) and functioning under same management. Despite being administratively distinct, both institutes share significant academic and infrastructural resources, aligning with the vision of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. (a) NEP 2020 emphasizes that all higher education institutions should evolve into multidisciplinary institutions by 2030. Co-located institutions sharing academic resources and infrastructure qualify as multidisciplinary institutions. (b) According to the UGC Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Institutions (2022), the UGC defines a multidisciplinary institution as one that offers diverse programs across disciplines within a shared ecosystem, ensuring access to holistic learning. Since our co-located institute offers BBA and MBA, both of which are accessible to students from multiple academic backgrounds (Humanities, Science, and Commerce), this strengthens our case for multidisciplinary recognition. (c) NCTE Guidelines (ITEP Eligibility Criteria): The NCTE, in its vision for teacher education, encourages institutions functioning in multidisciplinary settings to promote integrated and interdisciplinary learning. Given that AIE shares facilities and functions in close academic collaboration with another professional institute, our institution qualifies under these guidelines. 2. Justification for Scoring Under NCTE Evaluation Criteria: Considering the eligibility parameters set by NCTE, the following aspects must be factored into the evaluation of AIE: - (a) NAAC ‘A’ Grade Accreditation. (6 Points Justification) (b) Legacy and Experience in Teacher Education for running NCTE recognised programme B Ed. (2 Points Justification) (c) BBA and MBA as Multidisciplinary Programs (2 Points Justification) 3. State Government and University Consent Already Secured: (a) The Government of Uttar Pradesh has issued a No Objection Certificate (NOC) for ITEP (enclosed). (b) Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University (GGSIPU) has granted consent for offering ITEP (enclosed). (c) In alignment with NEP 2020 and NCTE’s multidisciplinary approach, AIE is also planning to introduce

a BA in Liberal Arts from the academic year 2025- 26, further reinforcing our commitment to offering a broad-based education system. 4. Request for Reconsideration: In light of the above justifications, we request NCTE to kindly reconsider its decision regarding the refusal of our ITEP application. Our institute meets the spirit of NEP 2020's multidisciplinary framework, and our long- standing commitment to quality teacher education, coupled with our academic collaboration with a business education institute, qualifies us for reconsideration under NCTE norms. The Institute had resubmitted the documents earlier as well justifying our case vide our letter No 20502/ITEP/AIE dated 11 Nov 2024 as response to observations raised vide minutes of meeting of 423rd meeting of NRC. 5. We request a review of our application, considering: (a) Our co-located institutes contribution to multidisciplinary learning, (b) The interdisciplinary nature of our academic environment, (c) Our NAAC 'A' Grade Accreditation, (d) Our established history in teacher education. (e) NOC of UP Govt (f) AIE application for BA Liberal Arts 6. We seek a favorable reconsideration of our case in light of these facts and would be grateful for an opportunity to provide further clarifications if needed."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution had submitted an application to the Northern Regional Committee for grant of recognition for seeking permission for running the ITEP Course on 21.05.2024. The recognition of the institution for ITEP programme was refused by the NRC vide order dated 20.01.2025.

The instant matter was placed in its 2nd Meeting, 2025 held on 09.01.2025 and 7th Meeting, 2025 held on 17.06.2025 before the Appeal Committee. The Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. The Appeal Committee in order to consider the case of the appellant institution on merits decided to ask the appellant institution to submit certain documents /clarification.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting held on 28.07.2025. The Committee examined the appeal documents and the relevant records submitted by the appellant institution. During the hearing, the appellant institution in addition to its submission presented a certificate from the **Registrar of Guru Govind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi** certifying therein that Army institute of Education (AIE), is affiliated to Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, New Delhi since the year 2003. The institute has been offering the Bachelor of Education (B.Ed.) programme from 2003, and the B.Ed. (Special Education) programme from 2019 onwards. Since 2003, the institute operated from campus located in Delhi Cantt. In the year 2013, it was relocated to its current campus in Greater Noida, where it is situated alongside the Army Institute of Management & Technology (AIMT) under the aegis of the Army Welfare Education Society (AWES). That the Army Institute of Education (AIE) and the Army Institute of Management and Technology (AIMT) are located on land allotted to the AWES Society, with clearly demarcated areas assigned to each as per the approved site plan. AIE offers the B.Ed. & B.Ed. (Spl. Edn.) (LD) programme, while AIMT offers MBA, MBA (Analytics) and BBA programmes, Although both maintain separate academic and administrative structures in compliance with regulatory norms, they operate within a unified institutional framework under the aegis of AWES. In addition to the B.Ed. and B.Ed. (Special Education) (Learning Disability) programmes, from the academic year 2025-26, Army Institute of Education (AIE) has commenced a new undergraduate programme B.A. (Liberal Arts). It was further informed by the Registrar of Guru Govind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi that this certificate is being issued on request of the institute for onward submission to the

National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE) with regard to the introduction of the Integrated Teacher Education Programme (ITEP) from the Academic Session 2025-26.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Noting the submissions and oral arguments presented during the hearing, the Committee resolved to set aside the impugned order dated 20.01.2025 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The NRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The NRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is further directed to submit all documents filed in appeal to the NRC within 15 days from the receipt of this order.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record, and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Appeal Committee decided to set aside the impugned order dated 20.01.2025 and remand the matter to the Northern Regional Committee (NRC) with a direction to reassess the eligibility of the appellant institution for recognition under the ITEP programme. The NRC shall specifically evaluate whether the institution meets the requisite shortlisting criteria and qualifies as a bona fide multidisciplinary institution. This review must ensure full compliance with the relevant regulatory provisions, legal requirements, academic and assessment standards, and institutional prerequisites necessary for implementation of the ITEP programme. The NRC shall take a reasoned and speaking decision after considering all documents and subsequent submissions of the appellant, including compliance with the deficiencies cited in the impugned order. The appellant institution is also directed to forward the documents submitted in appeal to the NRC within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Army Institution of Education, Plot No. Plot M-1, Village - Chl II, Street/Road - Pocket P-5, Post Office - Awho Gurjinder Vihar, Taluka/Mandal-Sector -CHI-2, District - Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh-201310.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh, Room No. 03, Naveen Bhawan, U.P. Secretariat, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)
जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/
APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
89-310/E-374324/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025
APPLERC202515281

Elias Chowdhury Academy, Vill/Po Barala, Ps- Raghunathganj, Dist- Murshidab, Barala, 2430 Barala Raghunathganj Murarai Road, Raghunathganj, West Bengal, 742235	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Kumar Aditya, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Elias Chowdhury Academy, Vill/Po Barala, Ps-Raghunathganj, Dist- Murshidab, Barala, 2430 Barala Raghunathganj Murarai Road, Raghunathganj, West Bengal, 742235 dated 23.07.2025 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. F.No.ER368.3/NCTE/B.Ed.&D.El.Ed./ERCAPP3585/WB/2025 (71300-71307) dated 14.07.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted copies of Saving Bank Account number in r/o each of the faculties appointed for B.Ed./D.El.Ed. programme and the document stating therein that the faculties are being paid salary through cheque /RTGS/NEFT for the last six months. 2. The institution has not submitted PAN card of the teaching staff namely Md. Asif Sahriar, Dr. Wasim khan and Mr. Supriyo manna"

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Sh. Kumar Aditya of Elias Chowdhury Academy, Vill/Po Barala, Ps-Raghunathganj, Dist- Murshidab, Barala, 2430 Barala Raghunathganj Murarai Road, Raghunathganj, West Bengal, 742235 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "The institute already submitted dated on 10-03-2025, the copies of saving bank account number r/o each of the faculties for D.El.Ed. programme and last six month paid salary statement of the faculties. 2. Institute have already submitted on 10-03-2025 the PAN card of the teaching staff Md Asif Sahriar"

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records and heard oral arguments advanced during the Meeting.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the D.El.Ed. course of two years' duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the ERC vide order dated 14.07.2025.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 9th meeting held on 28.07.2025. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee took note of the explanation and submissions of the institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution in its Appeal has submitted its compliance report along with documentary evidence as found deficient in the impugned withdrawal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the impugned order dated 14.07.2025 is set-aside as the Appellate Committee has decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC with a **direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations.** The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 14.07.2025 and remand back the case to ERC with a direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Elias Chowdhury Academy, Vill/Po Barala, Ps-Raghunathganj, Dist- Murshidab, Barala, 2430 Barala Raghunathganj Murarai Road, Raghunathganj, West Bengal, 742235.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of West Bengal, Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake City, (5th, 6th, 8th, 10th Floor) Kolkata, West Bengal-700 091.



एनसीटीई अपीलिय प्राधिकरण में/ IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय अध्यापक शिक्षा परिषद् (एन.सी.टी.ई.)

जी-7 सेक्टर-10 द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दिनांक/ Date - 16.09.2025

एनसीटीई अधिनियम की धारा 18 के तहत दायर अपील/

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

89-311/E-374325/2025 Appeal/9th Meeting, 2025

APPLERC202515280

Elias Chowdhury Academy, Vill/Po Barala, Ps- Raghunathganj, Dist- Murshidab, Barala, 2430 Barala Raghunathganj Murarai Road, Raghunathganj, West Bengal, 742235	<u>Vs</u>	Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110075
APPELLANT		RESPONDENT

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Kumar Aditya, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, ERC
Date of Hearing	28.07.2025
Date of Pronouncement	16.09.2025

आदेश/ ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of **Elias Chowdhury Academy, Vill/Po Barala, Ps-Raghunathganj, Dist- Murshidab, Barala, 2430 Barala Raghunathganj Murarai Road, Raghunathganj, West Bengal, 742235** dated **23.07.2025** filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. **F.No.ER368.3/NCTE/B.Ed.&D.El.Ed./ERCAPP3585/WB/2025 (71300-71307)** dated 14.07.2025 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawal recognition for conducting D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submit copies of saving bank account number in r/o each of the faculties appointed for B.Ed./D.El.Ed. programme and the document stating therein that the faculties are being paid salary through cheque /RTGS/NEFT for the last six months. 2. The institution has not submitted PAN card of the teaching staff namely Md. Asif Sahriar, Dr. Wasim khan and Mr. Supriyo manna"

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT: -

Sh. Kumar Aditya of Elias Chowdhury Academy, Vill/Po Barala, Ps-Raghunathganj, Dist- Murshidab, Barala, 2430 Barala Raghunathganj Murarai Road, Raghunathganj, West Bengal, 742235 appeared online to present the case of the appellant institution on 28.07.2025. In the appeal report, it is submitted that "the institute already submitted dated 10-03-2025, the copies of saving bank account number r/o each of the faculties for B.Ed. programme and last six month paid salary statement of the faculties. 2. Institute have already submitted on 10-03-2025 the pan card of the teaching staff dr. Wasim khan and Mr. Supriyo manna."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE: -

The Appeal Committee in its 9th Meeting, 2025 held online on 28th July 2025 took up this Appeal and perused the Appeal Report, documents available on the records.

The Committee noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for the B.Ed. course of two years duration with an annual intake of 100 students. The recognition of the institution was withdrawn by the ERC vide order dated 14.07.2025.

The instant matter was placed before the Appeal Committee in its 9th meeting held on 28.07.2025. The Appeal Committee after perusing the documents and oral argument advanced during the hearing, the Committee took note of the explanation and submissions of the institution. The Appeal Committee noted that the appellant institution in its Appeal has submitted its compliance report along with documentary evidence as found deficient in the impugned withdrawal order.

The Committee noted the submissions of the appellant institution and observed that subsequent documents placed on record are also required to be considered for fair adjudication. Accordingly, the concerned Regional Committee shall re-examine the matter afresh and verify the authenticity and relevance of all documents and pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with the NCTE Act and Regulations. The Committee also took cognizance of the legal precedent set by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) No. 3231/2016 – Rambha College of Education vs. NCTE, wherein it was held that any additional documents furnished by the appellant must be duly considered by the Appeal Committee while adjudicating the appeal.

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 08.04.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 4382/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Appellate Committee of NCTE, is directed to ensure that, whenever an order of remand is passed, the status of the impugned is clearly spelt out so that the institution is not compelled to approach the Court in this manner.”

Appeal Committee noted that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 30.07.2021, passed in W.P. (C) 7260/2021 has observed as follows: -

“Although the Appellate Committee of the NCTE would be well advised to expressly quash the original order of the concerned Regional Committee while remanding the matter, the position in law is that the order automatically stands quashed. The institution is, therefore, entitled to the benefits of recognition until a fresh withdrawal order is passed.”

In view of the afore-mentioned extracts of the court orders, the impugned order dated 14.07.2025 is set-aside as the Appellate Committee has decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

Noting the submission and verbal arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC with a **direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations.** The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

IV. DECISION: -

After perusal of the Appeal Report, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee of the Council concluded to set aside the impugned order dated 14.07.2025 and remand back the case to ERC with a direction to verify the documents submitted in Appeal and then appropriate action shall be taken by the ERC as per provisions of the NCTE Regulations. The Appellant institution is directed to forward to the ERC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days from the receipt of order of the Appeal and after receipt of the same the ERC to take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014, guidelines and amendments issued from time to time as per direction given herein above.

उपरोक्त निर्णय अपील समिति की ओर से सूचित किया जा रहा है।/ The above decision is being communicated on behalf of the Appeal Committee.


उप सचिव (अपील) / Deputy Secretary (Appeal)

Copy to :-

1. The Principal, Elias Chowdhury Academy, Vill/Po Barala, Ps-Raghunathganj, Dist- Murshidab, Barala, 2430 Barala Raghunathganj Murarai Road, Raghunathganj, West Bengal, 742235.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi – 110075.
4. The Education Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Govt. of West Bengal, Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake City, (5th, 6th, 8th, 10th Floor) Kolkata, West Bengal-700 091.